RESEARCH Open Access



# Access and knowledge of contraceptives and unmet need for family planning in Pakistan

Muhammad Farhan Asif<sup>1,2</sup>, Majid Ali<sup>3</sup>, Haz Ghulam Abbas<sup>4</sup>, Tayyaba Ishfaq<sup>5</sup>, Shafaqat Ali<sup>1\*</sup>, Ghulam Abid<sup>6</sup> and Zohra S. Lassi<sup>7,8</sup>

## **Abstract**

**Background** Family planning facilities provide an extensive choice of assistance that is bene cial for women and the society. It may limit the fatality risk for mothers and babies by reducing the rate of pregnancies and abortions. The Government of Pakistan has been continuously trying to persuade the people about the importance of family planning. The accomplishment of these programs depends upon various aspects associated with the knowledge, availability, and access to contraceptives. This paper has investigated the e ect of knowledge and access to contraceptives on the unmet need for family planning (UMNFP) among married women of reproductive age (MWRA) in Pakistan.

**Method** The comprehensive dataset of the Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18 has been used to investigate the e ect of knowledge and access to contraceptives on UMNFP among MWRA in Pakistan by applying Multivariable Logistic regression.

**Results** The prevelance of UMNFP is higher among MWRA of 25 to 34 years than other age groups. The likelihood of UMNFP decreases with increase in education above the primary level. The prevalence of UMNFP is found higher among women who belong to the poorer wealth quintile than the women of the poorest wealth quintile. The odds of UMNFP are considerably low among women belonging to the richer and richest wealth quintile, compared to the women of the poorest wealth quintile. Women's participation in decision making for not using contraceptives is a signi cant factor to reduce UMNFP. The odds of UMNFP are higher among those women who have no knowledge and lack of access to contraceptives compared to those who have knowledge and access to contraceptives.

**Conclusions** Both knowledge and access to contraceptives are important factors to determine UMNFP. The government should initiate programs to disseminate knowledge as well as provision of contraceptives for e ective family planning.

Keywords Knowledge of contraceptives, Access to contraceptives, Unmet need for family planning, Pakistan

\*Correspondence: Shafaqat Ali gcsrshafaqatrao@gmail.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 2 of 8

# **Background**

e government of Pakistan has taken several measures for reducing maternal mortality; the most important is the provision of short-term and long-term family planning (FP) services at basic health care units of the country [1, 2]. A study in india has shown that awareness and knowledge of contraceptives are not enough to implement FP but both factors are major determinants of FP [3]. Unmet need for family planning (UMNFP) can be reduced by executing e ective FP programs [4]. a signi cant di erence between the demand and actual users of FP services [5]. In low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), 225 million women of reproductive age want to prevent pregnancy by using FP methods, though they are not using any of them [6]. Approximately 45% of women in Asia, North Africa, and Eastern Europe are experiencing this dilemma [7]. In general, UMNFP in Indonesia is nearly 11% [8], 12% in Bangladesh [9], 13% in India [10] 17% in Pakistan [1], 22% each in Ethiopia [11] and Tanzania [12], 24% in Nepal [13], 30% in Guyana [6], and 32% in Peru [14] during the current years.

e situation is more challenging for less or under-developed countries, as their tendency of UMNFP is higher; thereby, understanding its causes and determinants will be bene cial in reducing the UMNFP [15]. In Pakistan, 17% of fertile married women face the issue of UMNFP. However, use of contraceptives are increasing by 1% per year [1].

UMNFP is a central idea in population policy and family planning. e term UMNFP is used to refer to "women who would prefer to limit childbearing or space their next birth, yet reluctant in using any FP method" [16]. It includes women who can express desires for their fertility control by delaying their subsequent birth for at least two years or entirely refraining from childbearing. In the current study, UMNFP is described as "the proportion of married women of reproductive age (MWRA) who are not using any contraceptive methods but would like to postpone the next pregnancy (unmet need for spacing), or who do not want any more children (unmet need for limiting)" [17].

Family planning (FP) and population policy can have di erent direct and indirect e ects which are linked with each other. e direct e ects are related with di erent varaibles of population dynamics such as fertility, family size, birth spacing and population growth. An e ective FP program would lead to reduction in fertility, reduced family size and lower population growth. e indirect e ects of FP include di erent socioeconomic implications of fertility, family size and population growth. ese implications may vary across societies but are generally linked with infant mortality, maternal mortality and female labor force participation [1, 18]. Reproductive health and FP programs are signi cantly helpful to

reduce fecundity, child mortality and to bring improvements in maternal and child health in the LMICs [19–22]. UMNFP is one of the primary reasons behind close birth spacing and childbearing at an early age [23–26]. It is also frequently connected with physical abuse of women, risky abortions, and poor maternal health [15].

Women's education, lack of awareness about FP methods, lack of access to FP methods, higher cost and low quality of available FP methods, hesitation to use due to fear of side e ects, husband's opposition of use, social norms, religious restrictions, and dominance of males in decision making regarding the use of FP are considered as important and crucial determinants of UMNFP [6]. Educated women are expected to have lower likelihood of UMNFP because they are aware of FP methods and are capable of making their own decisions regarding their health, fertility choices, contraceptives use, and employment [1, 18]. A higher tendency of UMNFP is found among the women who are uneducated of younger age, do not have awareness about contraceptives, and reside in rural areas [17, 20, 27, 28]. Whereas a lower tendency of UMNFP is found among women who are educated, have educated partners, and those who belong to a higher socio-economic class of the society [19, 29–34].

e economic and social development of countries and societies determine the level and needs for contraceptives [35, 36]. Furthermore, the use of contraceptives is also a ected by di erent individual level and household level characteristics as well as economic and population policies [37]. e likelihood of UMNFP is a ected by the availability of FP techniques, expenditures and cost of FP, cooperation and willingness of women to use FP, their social and economic status and misconceptions about the consequences of the use of FP [38, 39]. Moreover, education of couple, information and awareness regarding FP techniques, family support, age of women, wealth status, dwelling location, knowledge of abortion, and religious restrictions are also associated with usage of FP methods [17, 19, 37, 40–43].

Most of married women of reproductive age are more likely to have unmet need for family planning because of some wrong information regarding fear of side e ects of using contraceptives. Even they are willing, they cannot access contraceptives as they cannot use them properly due to less information [17]. Hence, this study investigates the impact of knowledge and accessibility to contraceptives on the UMNFP in Pakistan.

# Methods

Data source

e Pakistan Bureau of Statistics provided household lists for sampling zones in Pakistan. e sample size was 16,240 households, of which 7,980 households were in urban areas, and 8260 were in rural areas. e two-stage Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 3 of 8

sampling process was used to ensure the survey indicators were accurate. 580 main sample units (285 in urban areas and 295 in rural areas) were randomly picked in the rst stage of sampling, and a static number of 28 households was arbitrarily selected in each cluster in the second stage of sampling using an equal probability systematic sampling process. In 2017-18, a total of 50,495 married women aged 15–49 were interviewed [1]. We were able to evaluate the data from 12,735 women after excluding those who had incomplete data.

## Variables and measurement

To examine the e ects of knowledge and access to contraceptives on unmet need for FP, the functional form of the model used was:

UMNFP=f(WA, WED, WS, WE, PDC, HED, KC, KCS, AC).

e logistic regression equation for the above functional form of the model can be written as.

Log (odds) = logit(P) = ln(P/1 - P).

UMNFP=Logit  $(p) = +b_1(WA) + b_2(WE) + b_3(WS) + b_4(WE) + b_5(PDC) + b_6(HED) + b_7(KC) + b_8(KCS) + b_8(AC).$ Where

UMNFP=Unmet need for family planning is exists "when married women of reproductive age who are not using any method but would like to postpone the next pregnancy (unmet need for spacing), or who do not want any more children (unmet need for limiting)". e variable has been constructed by extracting information from PDHS. is information has been used to build a binary variable of UMNFP. It is categorized into two categories women having UMNFP (spacing and limiting) and women not having UMNFP.

WA=Women's age has been divided into seven age groups of ve-year group interval i.e. 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, and 45-49. To avoid a small cell count, the age of women categories were merged into <25 years, 25-34 years, and  $\ge 35$  years.

WED=Women's education is categorized into four categories. If a woman has no education=coded as 0; if a woman has primary education=coded as 1; if a woman has secondary education=coded as 2, and coded as 3 if a woman has higher education.

WS=Wealth status of the household was constructed by using household assets and residence characteristics. Every household was given a score for all assets and a summation of the score was taken for every household. Each person was ranked as per scores of the households they resided. e variable was categorized into ve quintiles from Poorest to Richest. If a woman belongs to a poorest household=coded as 1, if a woman belongs to a middle household=coded as 3, if a woman belongs to the

richer household=coded as 4, and if a woman belongs to the richest household=coded as 5.

WE=Women's employment status has been divided into two categories, i.e., if a woman is currently unemployed=coded as 0, and if a woman is employed=coded as 1.

PDC=A question from measuring the participation in decision-making for not using contraceptives is "Would you say that not using contraception is mainly your decision and mainly your husband's decision". It is a categorical variable. It has been divided into two categories, i.e., if a woman has decided not to use contraceptives alone or jointly with a husband or someone else=coded as 1, and if someone else has decided not to use contraceptives=coded as 2.

HED=Husband's education is categorized into four categories, i.e., coded as 0, if a husband has no education, coded as 1 if a husband has a primary education, coded as 2, if a husband has a secondary education, and if a husband has higher education then coded as 3.

KC=A question from measuring the knowledge of contraceptives is "Would you say that knowledge of contraceptives." Knowledge of contraceptives is divided into two categories, i.e., if a woman knows about contraceptives=coded as 1, and if a woman does not know contraceptives=coded as 0.

KCS=Knowledge about the source of contraceptives is measured from the question "Would you say that knowledge about the source of contraceptives." It is categorized into two categories, i.e., if a woman knows the source of contraceptives=coded as 1, and if a woman does not know the source of contraceptive=coded as 0.

AC=Access to contraceptives is measured from the question "would you say that access of contraceptives." It is categorized into two categories, i.e., if a woman has no access to contraceptives=coded as 0, and if a woman has access to contraceptives=coded as 1.

Descriptive statistics were calculated using frequency, percentage, and mean with standard deviation for categorical variables. e outcome variable is UMNFP. It was de ned by the sum of UMNFP for spacing and limiting. Multivariable logistic regression models were used for inferential analysis to produce covariate-adjusted prevalence rates and 95% CIs. Di erent categories were merged to avoid a small cell count problem at this level. We included all candidate variables (socio-economic, knowledge, and access to contraceptives) in the model to select the nal variables. Where the dependent variable was dichotomous, i.e., having UMNFP (spacing and limiting) and not having UMNFP. All analyses were performed in SPSS v20 [44].

Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 4 of 8

## **Results**

e socio-economic and demographic determinants of the women are presented in Table 1. Data from 12,735 females were examined. Of these, almost two-thirds of the women were aged between 35 and 49 years old; similarly, two-third of all females (66.0%) and one-third of all husbands (37.0%) had received no education. Almost half of the women (51.1%) belonged to the poorest and poor wealth quintile. Furthermore, 85.5% of the women were currently unemployed. e majority (77%) of the husbands were not against the use of contraceptives. Almost all women (99.7%) had knowledge and source of contraceptives (99.7%). e majority, 98% of the women, had access to contraceptive methods.

Table 2 shows the results of multivariable logistic regression. e UMNFP was lower in women who belong to the less than 25 years age group and at least 35 years age group compared to women 25–34 years. Women with at least an elementary education had a higher risk of UMNFP than women without any educational background. e chance of having UMNFP was signi cantly higher among women who belong to poor wealth quintile than those women who belong to poorest wealth quintile. Likewise, It was lower among women who reside in richer and richest wealth quintiles than those women

who reside in poorest wealth quintile. e likelihood of UMNFP was higher in women who were not employed than those who were employed. e prevalence of UMNFP was higher in women whose husbands had at least had primary education than those with no education. e likelihood of UMNFP was lower among women who participated in decision-making for not using contraceptives than those who did not participate for not using contraceptives. Lastly, the odd ratios of UMNFP were higher in women who did not know the source of contraceptives and had no access to contraceptive methods.

## **Discussions**

Empiricl nding suggest that MWRA who are 25 to 34 years old have a greater prevalence of UMNFP as compared to other age groups. e probability of UMNFP declines as education above primary school level. e prevalence of UMNFP is found higher among women who belong to the poorer wealth quintile than the women of the poorest wealth quintile. e odds of UMNFP are considerably low among women belonging to the richer and richest wealth quintile, compared to the women of the poorest wealth quintile. Women's participation in decision making for not using contraceptives is a

**Table 1** Description of socio-economic and demographic characteristics of women (n = 12,735)

Socio-economic and Demographic Characteristics		No Unmet Need	<b>Unmet Need</b>	Total
		Frequency (%)	Frequency (%)	Frequency (%)
Women's Age	< 25 years	304 (2.4)	435 (3.4)	739 (5.8)
	25-34 years	1,318 (10.4)	2,390 (18.8)	3,708 (29.2)
	35 years	4,564 (35.8)	3,724 (29.2)	8,288 (65.0)
Women's Education	No education	4,163 (32.7)	4,278 (33.6)	8,441 (66.3)
	Primary	734 (5.8)	860 (6.8)	1,594 (12.5)
	Secondary	850 (6.7)	915 (7.2)	1,765 (13.9)
	Higher	439 (3.4)	496 (3.9)	935 (7.3)
Wealth Status of Household	Poorest	1,560 (12.2)	1,780 (14.0)	3,340 (26.2)
	Poorer	1,364 (10.7)	1,811 (14.2)	3,175 (24.9)
	Middle	1,203 (9.4)	1,286 (10.1)	2,489 (19.5)
	Richer	1,004 (7.9)	866 (6.8)	1,870 (14.7)
	Richest	1,055 (8.3)	806 (6.3)	1,861 (14.6)
Women's Employment Status	No	5,321 (41.8)	5,562 (43.7)	10,883 (85.5)
	Yes	865 (6.8)	987 (7.8)	1,852 (14.5)
Participation in Decision Making for Not Using Contraceptives	No participation	4,914 (38.6)	4,899 (38.5)	9,813 (77.1)
	Participation	1,272 (10)	1,650 (13.0)	2,922 (22.9)
Husband's Education	No education	2,327 (18.3)	2,385 (18.7)	4,712 (37.0)
	Primary	810 (6.4)	959 (7.5)	1,769 (13.9)
	Secondary	1,970 (15.5)	2,112 (16.6)	4,082 (32.1)
	Higher	1,079 (8.5)	1,093 (8.6)	2,172 (17.1)
Reason for Not Using: Knowledge of Contraceptives	Knowledge	6,179 (48.5)	6,518 (51.2)	12,697 (99.7)
	No knowledge	7 (0.1)	31 (0.2)	38 (0.3)
Reason for Not Using: Knowledge of Source	Knowledge	6,177 (48.5)	6,519 (51.2)	12,696 (99.7)
	No knowledge	9 (0.1)	30 (0.2)	39 (0.3)
Reason for Not Using: Access to Contraceptives	Access	6,134 (48.2)	6,349 (49.9)	12,483 (98.0)
	No Access	52 (0.4)	200 (1.6)	252 (2.0)

Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 5 of 8

Table 2 Results of Multivariable Logistic Regression

Determinants		PR	P	95% CI
Women's Age	< 25 years	0.786	0.000	0.667 to 0.925
	25-34 years			Ref
	35 years	0.474		0.437 to 0.515
Women's Education	No Education	Ref		
	Primary	1.433	0.000	1.209 to 1.697
	Secondary	1.194		1.063 to 1.341
	Higher	1.177		1.044 to 1.326
Wealth Status of Household	Poorest	Ref		
	Poorer	1.121	0.000	1.012 to 1.241
	Middle	0.894		0.798 to 1.000
	Richer	0.701		0.617 to 0.796
	Richest	0.576		0.499 to 0.666
Women's Employment Status	Unemployed	Ref		
	Employed	1.095	0.084	0.988 to 1.214
Participation in Decision Making for Not Using Contraceptives	Participation	Ref		
	No Participation	1.228	0.000	1.127 to 1.339
Husband's Education	No Education	Ref		
	Primary	1.171	0.053	1.043 to 1.314
	Secondary	1.140		1.036 to 1.255
	Higher	1.132		0.715 to 1.307
Reason for Not Using: Knowledge of Contraceptives	Knowledge	Ref		
	No Knowledge	3.550	0.003	1.534 to 8.219
Reason for Not Using: Knowledge about Source of Contraceptives	Knowledge	Ref		
	No Knowledge	2.389	0.031	1.083 to 5.268
Reason for Not Using: Access to Contraceptives	Access	Ref		
	No Access	3.632	0.000	2.654 to 4.971

signi cant factor to reduce UMNFP. e odds of UMNFP are higher among those women who have no knowledge and lack of access to contraceptives compared to those who have knowledge and access to contraceptives.

Women's education is negatively related to UMNFP. Women with primary education are more likely to have overall UMNFP, but a continuous decline in UMNFP can be seen with higher education. e odds ratio revealed that UMNFP was greater in women with no information regarding contraceptive methods. ese women do not know any preventative methods, thereby, do not need something they are not aware of [17].

e prevalence rate is higher for women who have no accessibility to any FP method. Access to contraceptives remains a predicament issue in low-middle income countries [45]. Several studies have shown that a sizeable part of the population in rural areas faces a signi cant problem in getting professional quality family planning services at low cost [42, 43, 46]. In countries where preventatives are not adopted owing to the high unavailability, necessary investments should be made to increase the convenience of contraceptives. Apart from access, it is also essential that health care professionals be trained to give information on available contraceptive methods and make sure that people can opt for the method that suits their personal needs [47, 48]. Although, it should

be pointed out that some women face many diculties [49, 50] while trying to meet their needs for reproductive health.

Unmet need is quite linked with women's age. FP and unmet need mean delaying or spacing births at a young age, while in old age, it refers to stopping or limiting births. e tendency of unmet need is at its extreme in the late thirties and decreases in the forties. But the statement about the chances of unmet need being highest in the thirties is not statistically proven, although unmet need decreases with an increase in age. As the desired number of children is attained until the late thirties, most women would wish to go for family planning at this age.

at is why the tendency of unmet needs is highest in this age. In contrast, young women would want space between pregnancies; therefore, a tendency to delay subsequent pregnancies will likely be higher. Previous studies support our results [27, 30, 51–54].

An uneducated woman tends to be more a ected by UMNFP than educated women as educated women are more aware and have better access to FP services. Similarly, educated women have more authority in decision-making for using contraceptives. Due to the easy access to modern contraceptives, wealthy women su er less from UMNFP than poor women. e following studies support our results [27, 30, 55–57]. In the same way,

Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 6 of 8

employed women have better authority on decision-making for using FP services, hence having fewer chances of having UMNFP than unemployed women. Also, the husband's education is highly associated with mutual decision-making; therefore, it helps in reducing UMNFP [17, 58–60]. FP programs should be integrated with employment, education, etc. according to the national policies formulated in light of the results of this paper. To bring a positive change in the employment and economic status of the country, the government should take measures to improve the literacy and employment rate of the population, especially girls. With e ective government policies, enough women's employment opportunities can be provided, which could help solve the problem of UMNFP.

e prevalence of UMNFP is higher in those women whose husbands or someone else have decided not to use contraceptives. is is noteworthy because all women should have the freedom to choose whether or not to have children. is also emphasizes the need to empower women since this will enable them to exercise sovereignty over their lives and bodies and make informed contraception decisions [61–65].

e reported ndings are based on the responses of women between the ages of 15 and 49 who took part in the survey. Furthermore, the socioeconomic factors, beliefs, and perceptions of males about family planning services can have a signi cant impact on family planning decisions. is can be mostly true in a male-dominated culture like Pakistan. In the future, it may be bene cial to investigate the importance of these factors in terms of the occurrence of unmet need for family planning services. Furthermore, it is necessary to investigate the factors that contribute to regional variances in the total UMNFP.

## Conclusions

Based on these ndings, enhancing women's education is essential for tackling UMNFP. Educated women are not only more likely to utilize family planning but also make informed reproductive choices. Expanding contraceptive access and launching targeted public awareness campaigns are critical for reducing UMNFP. Additionally, creating more job opportunities for women and dismantling social and cultural barriers to employment will signi cantly empower women and strengthen their decision-making capabilities. Engaging religious leaders in advocating for family planning can transform societal attitudes and drive widespread adoption of contraceptive practices.

# Abbreviations

FP Family planning

PDHS Pakistan demographic and health survey
UMNFP Unmet need for family planning
LMICs Low- and middle-income countries
MWRA Married women of reproductive age

#### Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowlde Dr. Sha qul Hassan, Assistant Professor, College of Law, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh for his delibration on the research.

#### **Author contributions**

MFA, MA, HGA, TI, and ZL substantially contributed by developing the conceptual framework and design of the study. MFA, SA, and GA substantially contributed through the acquisition and analysis of the data. MFA, MA, HGA, TI, SA, GA and ZL were involved in drafting and critically revising the article.

#### **Fundina**

Not applicable.

## Data availability

We have used the secondary data of PDHS 2017–18. Available at: https://dhsprogram.com/data/dataset/Pakistan\_Standard-DHS\_2017.cfm? ag=0.

## **Declarations**

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

This paper is based on secondary data which is collected from Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18.

#### Consent for publication

Not applicable.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>UKM-Graduate School of Business, Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, 43000 Bangi, Selangor, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Business Management, Ilma University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan

<sup>3</sup>Department of Economics and Agri-Economics, Pir Mehr Ali Shah Arid Agriculture University, Rawalpindi, Punjab, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>School of Law, Bahria University, E8 Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>5</sup>Department of Economics, National University of Science & Technology, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>6</sup>Department of Business Studies, Kinnaird College for Women, Lahore, Punjab, Pakistan

<sup>7</sup>Robinson Research Institute, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

<sup>8</sup>School of Public Health, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Adelaide, Adelaide, Australia

## Received: 30 September 2023 / Accepted: 4 December 2024 Published online: 21 December 2024

### References

- Pakistan NIPS. Pakistan Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18 Islamabad. Pakistan: National Institute of Population Studies and Macro International Inc.: 2018.
- Government of Pakistan. Federal Ministry of Health. http://www.moh.gov.et/ documents/20181/21665/National+Family+Planning+Guideline\_Pakistan\_2 018.pdf/. 2018.
- Yadav K, Agarwal M, Shukla M, Singh JV, Singh VK. Unmet need for family planning services among young married women (15–24 years) living in urban slums of India. BMC Womens Health. 2020;20:1–17.
- Kols A. Reducing unmet need for family planning: Evidence-based strategies and approaches. Outlook. 2008;25(1):1.
- Ranjan A. Studies in Population of Madhya Pradesh. Bhopal, India: Shyam Institute: 2004.
- WHO. Family Planning. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs351/en/index.html. 2018.
- Westo CF. Unmet Need for Modern Contraceptive Methods. DHS Analystudiestudies No. 28. Calverton. Maryland, USA: ICF International; 2012.

Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 7 of 8

- IDHS. Indonesia Demographic and Health Survey. 2017. National Population and Family Planning Board, Statistics Indonesia, Ministry of Health, The DHS Program. https://www.dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR342/FR342.pdf. 2017.
- NIPORT. Bangladesh Demographic and Health Survey 2017-18. National Institute of Population Research, Training medical education. Bangladesh: Family Welfare Division, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Dhaka; 2018.
- International Institute for Population Sciences. India Demographic and Health Survey 2015-16. India: International Institute for Population Sciences-IIPS; 2017.
- Central Statistics Agency. Report of 2016 Ethiopian Demographic and Health Survey (EDHS). 2016.
- Tanzania Demographic and Health Survey. Tanzania: 2015-16 Demographic and Health Survey and Malaria Indicator Survey. Key Findings. https://dhsprogram.com/pubs/pdf/FR321/FR321.pdf. 2016.
- Ministry of Health. Nepal, New ERA. and ICF. Nepal Demographic and Health Survey. Kathmandu, Nepal: Ministry of Health, Nepal; 2016.
- Sedgh G, Ashford LS, Hussain R. Unmet need for contraception in developing countries: Examining women's reasons for not using a method. New York: Guttmacher Inst. 2016;2:2015–6.
- Wulifan JK, Jahn A, Hien H, Ilboudo PC, Meda N, Robyn PJ, Hamadou TS, Haidara O, De Allegri M. Determinants of unmet need for family planning in rural Burkina Faso: A multilevel logistic regression analysis. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2017;17(1):426.
- Gribble J, Ha ey J. Reproductive health in sub-saharan Africa. Popul Ref Bureau. 2008:8.
- Asif MF, Pervaiz Z. Socio-demographic determinants of unmet need for family planning among married women in Pakistan. BMC Public Health. 2019;10(1):1–8
- United Nation. Method of measuring the impact of family planning programmes on fertility. https://www.un.org/en/development/desa/population/publications/pdf/manuals/family/fertility/part2\_chap1.pdf. 2021.
- Asif MF, Pervaiz Z, Afridi JR, Abid G, Lassi ZS. Role of husbands attitude towards the usage of contraceptives for unmet need of family planning among married women of reproductive age in Pakistan. BMC Womens Health. 2021;21:163.
- Chaudhary AR. An economic analysis of Pakistan's investment in population control. Pak Econ Soc Rev. 2000 Dec;1:167–92.
- Sinding SW, Ross JA, Rosen eld AG. Seeking common ground: unmet need and demographic goals. Int Fam Plan Perspect. 1994;1:23–32.
- Westo CF, Bankole A. Unmet Need: 1990–1994. Calverton, MD: Macro International, Inc.; 1995. DHS Comparative Report No. 16.
- Bankole A, Hussain R, Sedgh G, Rossier C, Kaboré I, Guiella G. Unintended pregnancy and induced abortion in Burkina Faso: Causes and consequences. New York, NY, USA: Guttmacher Institute; 2014 Dec.
- Okonofua FE, Odimegwu C, Ajabor H, Daru PH, Johnson A. Assessing the prevalence and determinants of unwanted pregnancy and induced abortion in Nigeria. Stud Fam Plan. 1999;30:67–77.
- Sedgh G, Hussain R, Bankole A, Singh S. Women with an Unmet Need for Contraception in Developing Countries and their Reasons for Not Using a Method. Occasional Report No. 37. New York: Guttmacher Institute; 2007.
- USAID. Family planning and the MDGs. Saving lives, saving Resources. Task Order 1–8, 2009
- 27. Ojakaa D. Trends and determinants of unmet need for family planning in Kenya. DHS working papers no. 56. Calverton, MD: Macro. International Inc;
- Pasha O, Fikree FF, Vermund S. Determinants of unmet need for family planning in squatter settlements in Karachi, Pakistan. Asia-Paci c Popul J. 2001;16(2):93–108.
- Bhandari GP, Premarajan KC, Jha N, Yadav BK, Paudel IS, Nagesh S. Prevalence and determinants of unmet need for family planning in a district of eastern region of Nepal. Kathmandu Univ Med J. 2006;4(2):203–10.
- Devis DR, Rastogi SR, Retherford RD. Unmet need for family planning in Uttar Pradesh. National Family Health Survey Subject Reports. 1(1–27). Mumbai: International Institute for Population Sciences; 1996.
- Stash S. Explanations of unmet need for contraception in Chitwan, Nepal. Studies in family planning. 1999; 30(4):267 – 87.
- Sultan MK, Bakr I, Ismail NA, Arafa N. Prevalence of unmet contraceptive need among Egyptian women: A community-based study. J Prev Med Hyg. 2010;51:62–6.
- Hydari MA, Abid G, Asif MF, Butt TH, Lassi ZS. The e ects of COVID-19 (Corona Virus Disease 2019) pandemic: An exploratory study of Pakistan. Int J Dis Rec Bus Cont. 2019;12(1):1431–49.

- Qaiser N, Sattar N, Arshi S, Asif MF, Afridi JR. Impact of thriving on job performance, positive health and turnover intention: Consequences of thriving at workplace. Int J Inform Bus Manage. 2021;13(2):97–107.
- Asif MF, Khalid S, Khalid KS, Abid G. E ect of mother's employment on child mortality in Pakistan: Moderating role of mother's empowerment. J ISOSS. 2021;7(4):175–86.
- Gage AJ. Sexual activity and contraceptive use: The components of the decision making process. Studies in family planning. Jun. 1998;1:154–66.
- Hogan DP, Berhanu B, Hailemariam A. Household organization, women's autonomy, and contraceptive behavior in southern Ethiopia. Stud Fam Plann. 1999:30(4):302–14.
- 38. Asif MF, Pervaiz Z, Afridi JR, Safdar R, Abid G, Lassi ZS. Socio-economic determinants of child mortality in Pakistan and the moderating role of household's wealth index. BMC Pediatr. 2022;22(1):1–8.
- Campbell M, Sahin-Hodoglugil NN, Potts M. Barriers to fertility regulation: A review of the literature. Stud Fam Plann. 2006;37(2):87–98.
- Babalola S, Fatusi A. Determinants of use of maternal health services in Nigeria-looking beyond individual and household factors. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth. 2009;9(1):43.
- Creanga AA, Gillespie D, Karklins S, Tsui AO. Low use of contraception among poor women in Africa: An equity issue. Bull World Health Organ. 2011;89:258–66.
- Robinson WC, Makhdoom A, Shah. and Nasra M. Shah. The family planning program in Pakistan: What went wrong? International Family Planning Perspectives. 1981. 7(3): 85–92.
- Rukanuddin, Abdul Razzaque and Karen Hardee-Cleaveland. Can family planning succeed in Pakistan? International Family Planning perspectives. 1992.
   18(A): 142-6
- 44. Zafar R, Abid G, Rehmat M, Ali M, Hassan Q, Asif MF. So hard to say goodbye: Impact of punitive supervision on turnover intention. Total Qual Manage Bus Excellence. 2021. 33(5-6), 614–636.
- Robey B, Ross J, Bhushan I. Meeting Unmet Need: New Strategies. Population Reports, Series J, No. 43. Baltimore: The Johns Hopkins University. 1996.
- Rosen JE, Shanti R. Conley. Pakistans Population Program: the challenge ahead. Country Study Series No. 3. Washington, DC: Population Action International: 1996.
- Curtis KM, Jatlaoui TC, Tepper NK, Zapata LB, Horton LG, Jamieson DJ et al. US selected practice recommendations for contraceptive use, 2016. 2016.
- World Health Organization. Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use. 5th edition. Geneva: World Health Organization. 2015. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/181468/9789241549158enq.pdf?sequence=9
- Asekun-Olarinmoye E, Adebimpe W, Bamidele J, Odu O, Asekun-Olarinmoye I, Ojofeitimi E. Barriers to use of modern contraceptives among women in an inner city area of Osogbo metropolis, Osun state, Nigeria. Int J Womens Health. 2013;5:647.
- Asif MF, Meherali S, Abid G, Khan MS, Lassi ZS. Predictors of child's health in Pakistan and the moderating role of Birth Spacing. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(3):1759.
- Anand BK, Singh J, Mohi MK. Study of unmet need for family planning in immunization clinic of a teaching hospital at Patiala, India. Int J Health. 2010;11(1):23–4.
- 52. Hailemariam A, Haddis F. Factors a ecting unmet need for family planning in southern nations, nationalities and peoples region, Ethiopia. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2011;21(2):77–90.
- 53. Westo CF. The potential demand for family planning: A new measure of unmet need and estimates for ve latin American countries. Int Fam Plann Perspect. 1988;14(2):45–53.
- Woldemicael G, Beaujot R. Currently married women with an unmet need for contraception in Eritrea: Pro le and determinants. Can Stud Popul. 2011;38(1–2):61–81.
- 55. Choudhary S, Saluja N, Sharma S, Gaur D, Pandey S. A study on the extent and reasons of unmet need for family planning among women of reproductive age group in rural area of Haryana. Int J Health. 2011;12(1):1–7.
- Klijzing E. Are there unmet family planning needs in Europe? Fam Plan Perspect. 2000;32(2):74–88.
- Asif MF, Safdar H, Ali S. Factors a ecting the performance of school students: A case study of Bahawalpur. Ilkogr Online. 2020;19:3650–60.
- Jejeebhoy SJ, Sebastian MP. Actions that protect: Promoting sexual and reproductive health and choice among young people in India. 2003.
- Santhya KG, Acharya R, Jejeebhoy SJ, Ram U. Timing of rst sex before marriage and its correlates: Evidence from India. Cult Health Sex. 2011;13(3):327–41.

Asif et al. BMC Women's Health (2024) 24:651 Page 8 of 8

- Ali S, Asif MF, Khan MK, Fatima N, Safdar H, Lassi ZS. Moderating role of husband's education and their employment on female labor force participation in Pakistan. Ilkogr Online. 2020;19:5265–76.
- Kasa AS, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice towards family planning among reproductive age women in a resource limited settings of Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Res Notes. 2018;11:577–89.
- Asif MF, Ishtiaq S, Abbasi NI, Tahir I, Abid G, Lassi ZS. The Interaction E ect of Birth spacing and Maternal Healthcare Services on Child Mortality in Pakistan. Children. 2023;10(4):710.
- 63. Asif MF, Ali S, Ali M, Abid G, Lassi ZS. The moderating role of maternal education and employment on child health in Pakistan. Children. 2022;9(10):1559.
- 64. Asif MF, Pervaiz Z. Determinants of child mortality in Pakistan: Moderating role of mother's education. J ISOSS. 2022;8:29–40.
- Mubeen S, Asif MF, Kiran A. Socio-economic empowerment of women with sustainable development goal 05, and Pakistan's commitment (Sindh): An assessment. IBT-Journal Bus Stud. 2023;19(1):48–64.

# Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional a liations.