RESEARCH

Open Access



Novel nanomedicine with a chemical-exchange saturation transfer e ect for breast cancer treatment in vivo

Yanlong Jia^{1†}, Chaochao Wang^{2†}, Jiehua Zheng³, Guisen Lin¹, Dalong Ni⁴, Zhiwei Shen¹, Baoxuan Huang², Yan Li¹, Jitian Guan¹, Weida Hong³, Yuanfeng Chen¹ and Renhua Wu^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Nanomedicine is a promising new approach to cancer treatment that avoids the disadvantages of traditional chemotherapy and improves therapeutic indices. However, the lack of a real-time visualization imaging technology to monitor drug distribution greatly limits its clinical application. Image-tracked drug delivery is of great clinical interest; it is useful for identifying those patients for whom the therapy is more likely to be beneficial. This paper discusses a novel nanomedicine that displays features of nanoparticles and facilitates functional magnetic resonance imaging but is challenging to prepare.

Results: To achieve this goal, we synthesized an acylamino-containing amphiphilic block copolymer (polyethylene glycol-polyacrylamide-polyacetonitrile, PEG-b-P(AM-*co*-AN)) by reversible addition-fragmentation chain transfer (RAFT) polymerization. The PEG-b-P(AM-*co*-AN) has chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) e ects, which enable the use of CEST imaging for monitoring nanocarrier accumulation and providing molecular information of pathological tissues. Based on PEG-b-P(AM-*co*-AN), a new nanomedicine PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX was constructed by nano-precipitation. The self-assembling nature of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX made the synthesis e ective, straightforward, and biocompatible. In vitro studies demonstrate decreased cytotoxicity of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX compared to free doxorubicin (half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50), mean ~ 0.62 µg/mL vs. ~ 5 µg/mL), and the nanomedicine more e ciently entered the cytoplasm and nucleus of cancer cells to kill them. Further, in vivo animal experiments showed that the nanomedicine developed was not only e ective against breast cancer, but also displayed an excellent sensitive CEST e ect for monitoring drug accumulation (at about 0.5 ppm) in tumor areas. The CEST signal of post-injection 2 h was significantly higher than that of pre-injection (2.17 ± 0.88% vs. 0. 09 ± 0.75%, *p* < 0.01).

Conclusions: The nanomedicine with CEST imaging reflects the characterization of tumors and therapeutic functions has great potential medical applications.

Keywords: Breast cancer, Magnetic resonance imaging, Chemical exchange saturation transfer, Doxorubicin, Nanomedicine

Background

Many small-molecule drugs are widely used to treat malignant tumors. Doxorubicin (DOX), an important anthracycline antibiotic, is a broad-spectrum and aperiodic specific anticancer drug with wide clinical applications against various malignancies, including breast cancer, soft tissue sarcomas, and hematological

*Correspondence: rhwu@stu.edu.cn

¹Yanlong Jia and Chaochao Wang contributed equally to this work ¹ Department of Radiology, Second A liated Hospital, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou 515041, People's Republic of China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2019. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the articles Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the articles Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativeco mmons.org/licenses/by/4/0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/ zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data. malignancies [1–3]. DOX exerts its anticancer e ects by breaking the intracellular DNA chain to prevent the DNA replication, transcription, and macromolecular biosynthesis processes, ultimately leading to cancer cell death [4]. Although DOX shows high cytotoxicity against cancer cells, its clinical utility is limited owing to its rapid clearance from the body, poor target selectivity, chemoresistance, and serious side e ects [5]. Hence, to restore the clinical e ectiveness of DOX against cancer, innovative technologies and methods are needed.

Recent developments of nano-theory and technology have resulted in various novel drug delivery systems [6, 7] such as liposomes [8-10], polymeric nanomicelles [11], metal nanoparticles (NPs) [12], inorganic NPs [13], and mesoporous silica [14]. Drug-loaded nanocarriers are small in size and are therefore easily absorbed by cells [15]; moreover, they preferentially accumulate in tumors owing to the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) e drugs are then released and kill the cane ect [16]. cer cells. An ideal drug nanocarrier should have high water solubility, high endocytosis e ciency, low cost, low cytotoxicity toward normal cells, and a long circulation time. Amphiphilic block copolymer NPs are common types of nanomicelles that have recently come into the research spotlight given their following advantages: their surfaces can be easily modified, they show good biocompatibility, have a long plasma half-life, are of low toxicity, are associated with lower costs, and are environmentally friendly [17-19]. Polymeric NPs are mainly composed of amphiphilic block copolymers with hydrophobic and hydrophilic fragments, which form a hydrophobic corehydrophilic shell structure by self-assembly in a selective us, polymer NPs can load hydrophobic solvent [20]. small-molecule drugs on their core, thereby improving the solubility of drugs. Drug stability is enhanced through interactions between the hydrophobic ends. In addition, the plasma half-life is prolonged because the hydrophilic ends are not easily recognized by the defense system erefore, an NPs formulation could be a promising [**21**]. means for reducing the systemic toxicity of traditional chemotherapy and improving therapeutic indices.

Along with good stability, it is important that analysis of the distribution of a drug in the circulation is possible. Image-guided delivery of nanomedicines in vivo is of great clinical interest, as it can help identify patients for whom the treatment is more likely to be beneficial, which is particularly important for establishing tailored individualized treatments. To date, various imaging techniques have been studied for their ability to track the delivery of drugs in vivo. However, each in vivo imaging modality has its own limitations. For example, owing to its low sensitivity and poor capability of revealing biochemical or physiological abnormalities, the extensive application of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be limited [22]. Gadolinium-enhanced T1WI and dynamic contrast enhancement MRI require injection of contrast agents, which increase the risks of possible Gd accumulation in the tissue and renal fibrosis [23]. F18-fluorine-2-deoxy- -glucose positron emission tomography can provide information regarding energy metabolism in the early stage of tumor formation [24]; however, this technique also has low specificity, high costs, and requires injection of radioactive substances [25]. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy is limited by its relatively poor detection sensitivity and poor spatial resolution in vivo [26, 27]. Moreover, the wide application of optical imaging is hindered by its intrinsic depth limitation [28]. US. novel methods are needed to allow for nanomedicines to be tracked in vivo after their administration. Visualizing their accumulation in tumors would facilitate evaluations of disease progression more comprehensively and enable more accurate predictions of tumor progression.

Chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI is a novel contrast mechanism that allows for the amplified detection of low-concentration molecules by applying selective radiofrequency (RF) saturation pulses on exchangeable protons [29–31]. e saturated exchangeable protons then exchange with bulk water protons, resulting in partial loss of the bulk water signal, which then becomes detectable during MRI [32]. CEST MRI can be switched "on" and "o " at will by simply adjusting the RF saturation pulse sequence parameters [33]. Notably, CEST MRI has potential to provide molecular information for diagnosing pathological tissues and detecting molecular responses to treatment [34, 35]. Moreover, nanoscale carriers could be used as a CEST contrast agent to detect substances at very low concentrations (i.e., at the micromolar or nanomolar scale) [36]. Most importantly, NP-based CEST contrast agents can be specifically tailored to respond to a given stimulus (e.g., pH, enzyme), with benefits for imaging sensitivity and specificity [37, 38]. It is thus possible to extend CEST technology to the nano-technology realm through integrating CEST contrast agents into nanocarriers.

erefore, we aimed to synthesize a novel nanomedicine using DOX that could not only overcome the drawbacks of traditional chemotherapy but would also allow for detection in the circulation by CEST.

Materials and methods

Acrylamide (AM)

Acrylamide (AR, 99.0%; Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) is a small-molecule compound with a molecular weight of 71.08, and was prepared at di erent concentrations (10 mM, 30 mM, 50 mM, and 100 mM) at the same pH of 7.8 for CEST scanning. To evaluate whether the CEST e ect of AM is pH-dependent, solutions of four di erent pH (7.2, 7.4, 7.6, and 7.8) were titrated at the same concentration (50 mM). Di erent saturation powers (0.5–4.0 μ T) and saturation times (1–5 s) were also used to find the optimized conditions. All imaging procedures conducted in this study were performed on an Agilent 7.0 T MR system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with a standard 9563 body coil for signal transmission and reception. For in vitro experiments, an improved version of continuous wave echo planar imaging sequence (CW-EPI) [39] was used with the following parameters: TR=6000 ms, TE=29.46 ms, Kzero=32, slice thickness=2 mm, FOV=30 × 30 mm, matrix size=64 × 64. e total imaging duration was 613 s.

Synthesis of PEG-b-(PAM-co-PAN) and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX

e amphiphilic block copolymer polyethylene glycol (PEG)-b-(PAM-co-PAN) was synthesized using a PEGbased macro-RAFT. AM (40 mmol, 2.83 g), acrylonitrile (10 mmol, 0.52 g), azodiisobutyronitrile (AIBN, 0.002 mmol, 0.33 mg), PEG-RAFT (0.02 mmol, 0.11 g), and 5 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) were added to a 25-mL reaction flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar and a rubber seal. e air of the reaction flask was removed by vacuuming, and argon was injected and cire polymerization was performed culated three times. at 65 °C for 12 h in an oil bath. e polymerization was terminated by exposure to air. e product was precipitated into diethyl ether, and this process was repeated e final product was dried in vacuum at three times. 30 °C for 48 h, yielding a white solid (2.81 g, yield: 81.2%, $M_{n,GPC} = 37,982, Mw/Mn = 1.32$). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, d₆-DMSO,):1.24 (m, -CH₃), 1.80-2, 32(-CH-CH₂-), 3.51 (-OCH₂CH₂O-), 6.95-7.46 (-CONH₂).

e typical fabrication process of PEG-PAM-PAN@ DOX is shown in Scheme 1. In brief, 4 mg of DOX powder and 20 mg of the PEG-PAM-PAN block copolymer were dissolved together in 2 mL of DMSO, which was added to 8 mL of deionized water upon stirring. DMSO was then removed by dialysis (MWCO = 12,000 Da) against deionized water for 24 h, and fresh deionized water was replaced every 2 h to ensure complete removal of excess DOX molecules that failed to be entrapped by the polymer NPs. e polymer NPs were concentrated by ultrafiltration. e final concentration of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX was 10 mg/mL.

Characterization

e particle size and morphology of the NPs were characterized by dynamic light scattering (DLS) on a Nano-Zetasizer system (Malvern Instruments Ltd.) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) analysis



DOX for chemotherapy and CEST imaging

performed on a JEOL 200CX microscope with an accelerating voltage of 200 kV. MDA-MB-231-Luciferase-Pur breast cancer cells (Fubio Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd., Shanghai, China) were used in this study for evaluation, which can be widely used for in vivo bioluminescent assays. MDA-MB-231-Luciferase-Pur breast cancer cells (10×10^4 cells/well) were cultured overnight in a laser confocal glass plate. After adherent growth, the medium containing the NPs replaced the original culture medium and the cells were grown for 2 h or 24 h.

e culture medium was discarded and washed with phosphate-bu ered saline (PBS) thrice to remove the free NPs that were not uptaken by MDA-MB-231-Luciferase-Pur cells. Finally, the sample was fixed using a paraformaldehyde solution (40 g/L) for 30 min and washed with PBS thrice. e cells were stained using 4-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) for 5 min and again washed with PBS thrice. Confocal microscopy was used to observe the sample under an oil lens. DAPI stained the nuclei blue, DOX emitted red fluorescence, and the fusion of DAPI and DOX was observed as purple fluorescence.

Toxicity assessment

Cytotoxicity assessment

e breast cancer cells were cultured at 37 °C with 5% CO_2 in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% streptomycin/penicillin. e cells were seeded into a 96-well cell culture plate at 10⁴ cells/well, which were then incubated for 24 h at 37 °C under 5% CO_2 . DMEM solutions of PEG-PAM-PAN with di erent concentrations (0.8, 1.6, 3.1, 6.2, 12.5, 25, 50, 100, and 200 µg/mL) were added to

the wells. Di erent concentrations (0.31, 0.62, 1.25, 2.5, 5 and 10 μ g/mL) of free DOX and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX were also added to the wells to measure the cell viability, calculated using a typical methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium (MTT) assay.

In vivo toxicity assessment

Fifteen Kunming mice (Laboratory Animal Center, Shantou University Medical College) with an average weight of 20 g were used for in vivo toxicity evaluation. e mice were divided into three groups: group 1 (control) mice were injected with saline only, whereas mice in group 2 and group 3 were administered PEG-PAM-PAN once via tail intravenous injection at a total dose of 10 mg/kg and observed for 7 days and 30 days, respectively. e survival and body weight of the mice were evaluated every 3 days. Tissue and blood samples were collected from mice of all three groups. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of the heart, liver, spleen, lungs, and kidney tissues of the mice was performed. Four important hepatic indicators (alanine aminotransferase [ALT], aspartate aminotransferase [AST], alkaline phosphatase [ALP], and total protein [TP]), three indicators of kidney functions (creatinine [CRE], blood urea nitrogen [BUN], and urea [UA]), and complete blood count (CBC) were determined.

Chemotherapeutic e cacy model

All animal care and experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of Shantou University Medical College (Approval ID: SUMC2019-179) and were in accordance with the National Research Council's Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. For this assessment, 20 5-weekold female BALB/c nude mice (Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co., Ltd.), weighing 18-22 g, were used, which were maintained at the Laboratory Animal Center of Shantou University Medical College. All mice were kept in a specific pathogen-free animal room with a temperature-controlled system and a 12-h dark-light cycle. ey were fed standard laboratory diet e animals were acclimatized to the environand water. ment for 1 week before the experiment.

Approximately 2×10^6 MDA-MB-231-Luciferase-Pur breast cancer cells were implanted into the fourth left mammary fat pad (n=12) and inoculated subcutaneously into the right hind limb (n=8) of the 6-week-old female BALB/c nude mice. Tumors were allowed to grow for 2 to 3 weeks, until they were approximately 5 mm in diameter. e mice were divided into three groups of a control group, DOX group (5 mg/kg), and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX group (3 mg/kg DOX). As the substrate of firefly Luciferin, VivoGlo Luciferin, can glow in a tumor model of breast cancer expressing luciferase, tumor growth was observed 10 min after intraperitoneal injection (150 mg/kg) with an in vivo fluorescence imager (IVIS Kinetic). Twelve orthotopically xenografted tumors were evaluated in the three groups with four animals per group. Tumor size and the body weight of mice were measured every 3 days from day 0 to the day of euthanasia (day 21) using a Vernier caliper and electronic scale, e volume of the tumor was calculated respectively. using the following formula: $V = a \times b^2/2$ (a is the longest diameter of the tumor, while b is the longest diameter perpendicular to a). e relative volume was calculated by comparing the final volume to the initial tumor volume. H&E and immunohistochemical staining was performed to reveal the changes in the tumors at the cellular level. Eight tumors in the subcutaneous tissue of the right hind limb were scanned for CEST imaging before, and 30 min, 1 h, 2 h, and 2.5 h after intravenous injection of 200 µL PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX (~10 mg/mL NPs) to detect drug accumulation.

In vivo CEST imaging

e mice were anesthetized with isoflurane vaporized with 5% O₂; 4.0% isoflurane was used for anesthesia induction and 2.0-2.5% isoflurane was used for maintenance. e breath rate was monitored throughout the MRI experiments using a respiratory probe. e tumors were positioned at the isocenter of the magnet for optimal shimming. To eliminate signal interference of B₀ field inhomogeneity, the B_0 map was shimmed prior to the experiments with the following parameters: TR = 40 ms, TE = array, slice thickness = 4 mm, $FOV = 25 \times 25$ mm, matrix size = 64×64 , flip angle = 15° , averages = 12. A high-resolution T2-weighted axial slice crossing the center of the tumors was acquired with TR = 4000 ms, TE = 10 ms, slice thickness = 2 mm, FOV = 30×30 mm, matrix size = 128×128 , segments/ETL = 16/8, Kzero = 4. For in vivo CEST imaging, the parameters were as follows: TR = 6000 ms, TE = 27.63 ms, slice thickness = 4 mm, FOV = 25×25 mm, matrix size = 64×64 , ETL = 64, Kzero = 32, shots = 1, repetitions = 1, averages = 1, dummy scans = 7, with 122 frequency o sets unevenly distributed from -6 to 6 ppm relative to the resonance of water. e total scanning duration was 793 s.

Image processing and data analysis

All CEST image processing and data analysis were performed using custom-written scripts in MATLAB (Mathworks, Natick, MA, USA, R2011b). e Water Saturation Shift Reference (WASSR) method was used to correct for B_0 field inhomogeneity [40]. Regions of interest were drawn manually based on the T2-weighted images covering the entire tumor. Saturation transfer e - ciency (ST %) was measured by magnetization transfer ratio (MTRasym), which was defined by the following expression:

MTRasym =
$$(S - \Delta \omega - S + \Delta \omega)/S_0$$
,

where S sat (+) and S sat (-) are the signal intensities obtained by saturating at the frequency of downfield and up field from the water proton resonance frequency. S₀ is the water signal intensity in the absence of the saturation pulse. e MTRasym data were tested using paired *t*-tests between pre-injection and post-injection scans, and the other statistical significance data were analyzed using a standard analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Statistical evaluations were performed using GraphPad Prism software with a significance level of p < 0.05.

Results and discussion

In vitro CEST imaging of AM

e amine protons on AM generated a CEST e ect with selective saturation at 2.75 ppm (Fig. 1). To our knowledge, this represents the first demonstration of this CEST e ect of AM. Figure 1b, d show that the CEST signal of AM was concentration-dependent with the ST% increasing from 3.03% (10 mM) to 19.58% (100 mM). Similarly, the CEST signal of AM was also pH-dependent with the ST% increasing from 5.93% at pH 7.2 to 13.36% at pH 7.8 (Fig. 1c, e); thus, the optimal pH was determined to be 7.8. is is consistent with a previous study showing



that amide proton exchange is base-catalyzed [41].

e observed CEST spectrum depends on the imaging parameters as well as on the underlying tissue microenvierefore, we optimized the CEST ronment [35, 39, 40]. parameters, including pulse duration and saturation power of the irradiation RF pulse on a phantom. ese results demonstrated that the CEST e ect increased as the saturation power and saturation time increased within a certain range (Fig. 1f, g). e optimal saturation power and time of AM peaked at 3.0 μ T and 4 s, respectively (Additional file 1: Figure S1). Balaban and co-workers have only been able to detect small molecules at 50-100 mM concentrations [42, 43]. However, after optimization, we could directly detect small molecules (AM) at much lower concentrations (10 mM) under a high magnetic field of 7.0 T. Nevertheless, this concentration is still too high for clinical applications. NPs have been shown to enhance CEST sensitivity since they possess a large amount of exchangeable protons [44]. Hence, adopting a nanotechnology approach would allow for incorporating a large number of AM into a well-defined nanostructure to improve the CEST sensitivity.

Synthesis and characterization of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX

e detailed structure of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX is shown in Additional file 1: Figure S2. Uniform PEGb-P(AM-*co*-AN) NPs were synthesized using a selfassemblage method, and nuclear magnetic spectra of PEG-PAM-PAN indicated that the self-assembly was successful (Fig. 2a). Based on PEG-b-P(AM-*co*-AN), a new



characterized by DLS

nanomedicine, PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX, was fabricated by the nano-precipitation method. TEM images showed that both the PEG-PAM-PAN and PEG-PAM-PAN@ DOX NPs were well-dispersed, spherically shaped particles, which were stable in water and did not form aggregates owing to their core-shell structure (Additional file 1: Figure S3). Ultraviolet spectrophotometry, taking the DOX concentration as the horizontal coordinate and absorbance value as the vertical coordinate (Fig. 2b), demonstrated a good linear relationship with the regression equation Y = 0.02117 * X - 0.0423 ($R^2 = 0.9998$) (Additional file 1: Figure S4). is linear regression equation was then used to calculate the amount of DOX loaded in the NPs. DLS was performed to characterize the particle size distribution of PEG-PAM-PAN and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX NPs, demonstrating an average particle diameter of 113.4 nm (PDI = 0.241) and 127.2 nm (PDI = 0.152), respectively (Fig. 2c, d).

In vitro CEST imaging of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX

As mentioned above, the magnitude of the CEST signal depends on the number of exchangeable protons [22, 32]. Detection of a small-molecule compound is therefore generally only possible at high concentrations; however, drugs are not typically present at such high concentrations in vivo. erefore, it has been necessary to label these compounds with NPs endowed with many exchangeable protons.

e Z-spectra in Fig. 3b show a noticeable saturation transfer e ect for PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX at 0.5 ppm, which represents a distinct shift di erent from that of the monomer AM. P(AM-co-AN) is a temperature-responsive polymer with an upper critical solution temperature (UCST) [45]. When the temperature is lower than the UCST, the interaction between P(AM-co-AN) and H₂O is reduced and P(AM-co-AN) is almost insoluble in water. CEST imaging is based on H⁺ exchange between the detection compound and water: therefore, the interaction between P(AM-co-AN) and water may a ect the chemical shift of AM on PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX in CEST e temperature in our experiment was lower imaging. that the UCST of PEG-b-P(AM-co-AN), which could explain why PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX was detected at 0.5 ppm during CEST imaging.

For the in vitro experiment, we adjusted the pH of the solutions to 5.7, 6.5, 7.3, and 8.0 to observe the CEST e ect of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX (Fig. 3c). e CEST signal decreased at a pH of 5.7 (~3.42%) and 8.0 (~0.57%).



Fig. 3 In vitro CEST imaging and 2-spectra of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX. a Cartoon depicting PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX NPs; b 2-spectra of PEG-PAM-PAN@ DOX showed that the CEST e ects were at approximately 0.5 ppm; c CEST imaging of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX at di erent pH; d CEST imaging of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX at di erent saturation time (s) and that these increased with the saturation time; e CEST imaging of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX at di erent saturation power (µT) and the peaked at 1.5 µT

erefore, a weak acid (6.5 pH, ~8.67%) or neutral pH (7.3 pH, ~9.15%) was more suitable to observe the CEST signal of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX. In addition, the CEST e ect of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX increased as the saturation power or time increased, which was consistent with findings of a previous study [46]. Nevertheless, the CEST e ect could not be improved further when the saturation power was above a certain threshold (Fig. 3e). e duration of the saturation pulse was also critical for an optimal CEST e ect (Fig. 3d). e CEST e ect was positively correlated with the saturation time, and the peak was observed at 5 s (Additional file 1: Figure S5). A long saturation time can be advantageous for in vivo CEST by minimizing the saturation power [47, 48]. us. based on experiments on a phantom, the optimal saturation power $(1.5 \ \mu T)$ and long saturation time $(5 \ s)$ were selected for the subsequent in vivo CEST imaging experiments.

Toxicity and uptake studies

e cytotoxicity of PEG-PAM-PAN, free DOX, and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX was evaluated by the typical MTT assay and determination of the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of chemotherapeutic drugs [49]. As shown in Fig. 4a, PEG-PAM-PAN did not show toxicity to the cells even at an extremely high concentration (200 µg/mL), demonstrating the good biocompatibility of these NPs for delivery applications. e viability of MDA-MB-231 breast cells decreased with increasing concentration of the NPs, indicating a dose-dependent e ect (Fig. 4b). e IC50 value of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX was much lower than that of free DOX (mean ~ 0.62 μ g/ mL vs. ~ 5 μ g/mL), indicating that encapsulation of DOX in NPs improved the cytotoxic action of the drug. Cellular uptake of the NPs was evaluated to understand this mechanism based on confocal microscopy observations (Fig. 4c). In the free DOX group, purple fluorescence (overlap of DAPI and DOX) was observed in the MDA-MB-231 nuclei 2 h after treatment, and nuclei with stronger purple fluorescence were observed after 24 h of treatment. For the PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX group, NPs were more e ciently uptaken by the cells, which were evident in the cytoplasm and nucleus with stronger purple fluorescence after 24 h than detected in the free DOX-treated group. ese results suggest that the encapsulation of DOX into NPs enhances drug delivery to the cells and increases its cytotoxic e ect. us, an NP formulation could be used to reduce the systemic toxicity of traditional chemotherapy.

Although the cytotoxicity experiments showed that PEG-PAM-PAN is nontoxic to cells, it was necessary to investigate the potential in vivo toxicity for clinical application. After intravenous injection of PEG-PAM-PAN at 10 mg/kg, no noticeable behavioral abnormality was observed in the mice. ere was also no significant difference in the body weight between the mice of group 1 and group 3, indicating that PEG-PAM-PAN was not toxic (Additional file 1: Figure S6). Indicators of liver function (ALT, AST, ALP, and TP) (Fig. 5a, b) and kidney function (CRE, BUN, and UA) (Fig. 5c) were well within the normal ranges for all three groups, which indicated no significant hepatic or kidney dysfunction induced by PEG-PAM-PAN. Similarly, various vital hematology parameters (Fig. 5d-i) including red blood cells, white blood cells, platelets, hemoglobin, mean platelet volume, mean corpuscular volume, mean corpuscular hemoglobin concentration, hematocrit, red blood cell distribution width variation coe cient, and red blood cell distribution width standard deviation, exhibited no significant variation in comparison with those of the control group. Finally, H&E staining of di erent organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung, and kidney) indicated that PEG-PAM-PAN does not have any appreciable adverse e ect on these tissues (Additional file 1: Figure S7). In summary, these in vivo results validated that PEG-PAM-PAN has excellent biocompatibility and can serve as a promising drug nanocarrier.

In vivo CEST imaging of PEG-PAM-PAN @DOX

In recent years, significant progress has been made in the design of novel molecular MRI probes, although very few of them have been applied in vivo to date [28]. Moreover, research on imaging approaches that can both noninvasively monitor the drug distribution and evaluate therapeutic features in vivo is limited. Owing to their small size and large number of exchangeable protons, NPs can enter the extracellular space of a tumor via the wellknown EPR e ect, thereby rendering a higher signal-tonoise ratio (SNR) in tumors [50, 51]. ese properties can therefore be exploited for CEST imaging to monitor the accumulation of a nanomedicine at predetermined time intervals in vivo. Pre-injection CEST images were acquired as background for baseline referencing. Compared to the pre-injection baseline images, the CEST signal of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX contrast (at 0.5 ppm) slightly increased and accumulated in the tumors. e average relative MTRasym determined post-injection 2 h was significantly higher than that measured pre-injection $(n=8, 2.17\pm0.88\% \text{ vs. } 0.09\pm0.75\%, p<0.01)$ (Fig. 6e). No significant di erence was found in the relative MTRasym at 0.5 ppm in the muscle, indicating that the increase of CEST contrast is specific to the enhanced accumulation of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX in tumors (Fig. 6d).

Previous studies [48, 52] have shown that smallmolecule agents often have rapid uptake and clearance in both pathologic and normal tissues. us, the typical time window for detection is 30 min after



administration. In our study, the nanomedicine showed a prolonged detection window of 1 h to 2.5 h after administration (Fig. 6a–c). e plasma half-life of the nanomedicine was most likely prolonged because the hydrophilic ends are not easily recognized by the defense system. In addition, the complexity of the tumor microenvironment (such as the highly heterogeneous vascular anatomy, low extracellular pH, and slow and variable blood flow) might also have contributed to the marked variation in particle delivery [53, 54]. e magnitude of a CEST signal is directly correlated with the saturation power applied during a CEST MRI experiment. In our study, increasing the power produced a larger CEST signal, which facilitated signal detection. Unfortunately, using a higher saturation power not only increases the magnetization transfer (MT) signal dramatically but also increases the likelihood of reaching specific absorption rate (SAR) safety limits [55, 56]. erefore, a relatively lower saturation power may reduce the e ects of MT and is preferred in a clinical setting with regard



to safety concerns. e highest MTRasym values were obtained using a relatively low saturation power (1.5 μ T), which is a safe level for future in vivo studies. ese results are consistent with an earlier optimization report on the CEST quantification technique, which indicated that the selectivity of saturation could be improved using a low saturation power of 0.5–6 μ T, and saturation could reach a steady state using a long duration of 1-5 s [47, 48, 57]. In addition, the CEST e ect and SNR can be enhanced at higher field strengths [58]. Several studies have shown that there is a fourfold reduction in the variance of the observed CEST or MT e ect compared to previous results obtained at 3.0 T [55, 58]. us, based on our initial experiences, we performed CEST imaging of the breast tumors in vivo at 7.0 T.

It has been reported that NPs (100-200 nm) allow for achieving 24-fold higher accumulation of therapeutic drugs [59, 60]. Moreover, nanocarriers of an appropriate size (e.g. ~50-200 nm) are more likely to accumulate in tumor areas [9]. In our study, the size of the NPs increased from 113.4 to 127.2 nm after embedding DOX, which was still very suitable for drug delivery. e use of an exogenous CEST agent has an advantage of acquiring CEST MRI images both before and after administration of the agent so that the di erence between the images can isolate the CEST e ect from that of the agent [48]. In addition, NPs can be cleared through biodegradation. erefore, CEST MRI of the breast holds good promise as a new biomarker to evaluate the e ects of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX treatment owing to its ability of noninvasively detecting changes at the cellular level. is technology may further play a key role in understanding breast tumor progression and response to treatment.

Chemotherapeutic e cacy of PEG-PAM-PAN @DOX for breast cancer

According to the in vivo CEST imaging results, PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX was speculated to have an antitumor e ect in tumor-bearing mice. As shown in Fig. 7a, the gross morphology of the free DOX group and NPs group showed a notable therapeutic e ect compared to that of the control group. However, PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX and free DOX appeared to have the same e ect of inhibiting the tumor in terms of gross morphology. In theory, PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX could be more e ective than free DOX at the same dose. However, upon injection of even the largest doses of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX in mice, the amount of DOX could not reach the same level as obtained with free DOX. is may be a technical limitation of our experiment. Indeed, the need to improve the drug-loading capacity is a widespread challenge of nanomedicine at present. us, further study (such as the use of alternative loading approaches or other small organic agents) for increasing the drug-loading capacity is needed [61, 62]. H&E staining further demonstrated cell necrosis and apoptosis in the tumor tissue after treatment, indicating the e ective tumor-suppressing capacity of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX. Furthermore, immunostaining for



Ki67 and CK5/6, as common staining methods for clinical pathological analysis, indicated less proliferative cells but more apoptotic cells in both the PEG-PAM-PAN@ DOX group and the free DOX group compared with those of the control group (Fig. 7b). In addition, there was no obvious loss of body weight of the mice in the control group and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX group, whereas notable body weight reduction was observed in the free DOX group (Fig. 7c). is decrease in body weight was attributed to the known toxicity of DOX in mice. However, this toxicity was reduced with administration of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX NPs. us, PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX NPs may be potentially superior nanocarriers for cancer therapy.

e relative tumor volumes of the free DOX group and PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX group were lower than those of the control group, demonstrating a notable therapeutic e ect (n=4; p < 0.05), and there was no significant

di erence in the relative tumor volume between the experimental groups (n=4; p>0.05) (Fig. 7d). Collectively, these results indirectly demonstrate that the nanomedicine could improve the therapeutic e ect at the same dose applied in free form with reduced side e ects.

Conclusions

It is feasible to synthesize the novel nanomedicine PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX with CEST e ects owing to its self-assembling nature. e synthesis of this biodegradable nanomedicine was e ective and straightforward.

e cytotoxicity and in vivo toxicity assessment results validated that PEG-PAM-PAN has excellent biocompatibility and can serve as a promising broad-spectrum drug nanocarrier to load a variety of hydrophobic small-molecule drugs on its core for tumor chemotherapy. e CEST MRI results showed that, compared



to traditional drug detection in windows (30 min), PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX NPs could prolong the drug exposure time to enhance chemotherapeutic e cacy. Moreover, both in vitro and in vivo experiments proved that PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX can be used not only in CEST imaging at 7.0 T to reflect the pH and to monitor drug accumulation in tumors, but also in cancer therapy. It is particularly relevant for the early evaluation of e cacy and subsequently establishing tailored individualized treatments. erefore, nanomedicine with CEST imaging to reflect the characterization of tumors and therapeutic functions has great potential medical application.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information accompanies this paper at https://doi. org/10.1186/s12951-019-0557-0.

Additional le 1 CEST imaging of AM at di erent saturation power and time: structure of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX; TEM imaging; linear regression equation was calculated from the absorptance of di erent DOX concentration; the relationship between di erent saturation power/time and CEST ratio% of PEG-PAM-PAN@DOX; the body weight of mice; H&E staining (Figures S1–S6).

Abbreviations

MTT: methyl thiazolyl tetrazolium; AM: acrylamide; MTRasym: asymmetry in the magnetization transfer ratio; CEST: chemical exchange saturation transfer; CBC: complete blood count; DOX: doxorubicin; DMEM: Dulbeccos modified Eagle's medium; DLS: dynamic light scattering; PBS: phosphate-bu ered saline; DAPI: 4-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; IC50: half-maximal inhibitory concentration; H&E: hematoxylin and eosin; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; PEG: polyethylene glycol; RF: radiofrequency; ST%: saturation transfer e ciency.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Second A liated Hospital of Shantou University Medical College, Department of Pathology and Research Center of Translational Medicine for support.

Authors' contributions

YJ, CW and RW conceived and designed the project. YJ, CW, BH and YC performed the experiments. JZ and WH for helping us in animal model preparation. DN for helping us in nanotechnology. ZS and YL performed the CEST data analysis. The manuscript was written by YJ and CW. RW, GL and JG critically revised the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant no. 31870981), Grant for Key Disciplinary Project of Clinical Medicine under the Guangdong High-level University Development Program (Grant no. 002-18119101), and the Natural Science Foundation of Guangdong Province (Grant no. 2017A030313718).

Availability of data and materials

All datasets generated for this study are included in the manuscript and its additional files.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All animal experiments were approved by the Animal Experimental Ethics Committee of Shantou University Medical College (Approval ID: SUMC2019-179).

Consent for publication

All authors agree to publish this manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹ Department of Radiology, Second A liated Hospital, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou 515041, People's Republic of China. ² Shanghai Key Laboratory of Advanced Polymeric Materials, School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Meilong Road, Shanghai 200237, People's Republic of China. ³ Department of General Surgery, Second A liated Hospital, Shantou University Medical College, Shantou 515041, People's Republic of China. ⁴ Departments of Radiology and Medical Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI 53705, USA.

Received: 9 October 2019 Accepted: 10 December 2019 Published online: 17 December 2019

References

- Chamberlain FE, Jones RL, Chawla SP. Aldoxorubicin in soft tissue sarcomas. Future Oncol. 2019;15(13):1429–35.
- Paz M, Gomes ALJ, Islam MT, Tabrez S, Jabir NR, Alam MZ, Machado KC, de Alencar M, Machado KC, Ali ES, et al. Assessment of chemotherapy on various biochemical markers in breast cancer patients. J Cell Biochem. 2018;119(3):2923–8.
- Zhong Y, Meng F, Deng C, Mao X, Zhong Z. Targeted inhibition of human hematological cancers in vivo by doxorubicin encapsulated in smart lipoic acid-crosslinked hyaluronic acid nanoparticles. Drug Deliv. 2017;24(1):1482–90.
- Gu Z, Gao D, Al-Zubaydi F, Li S, Singh Y, Rivera K, Holloway J, Szekely Z, Love S, Sinko PJ. The e ect of size and polymer architecture of doxorubicin-poly(ethylene) glycol conjugate nanocarriers on breast duct retention, potency and toxicity. Eur J Pharm Sci. 2018;121:118–25.
- Jin X, Zou B, Luo L, Zhong C, Zhang P, Cheng H, Guo Y, Gou M. Codelivery of thioridazine and doxorubicin using nanoparticles for e ective breast cancer therapy. Int J Nanomed. 2016;11:4545–52.
- Patra JK, Das G, Fraceto LF, Campos EVR, Rodriguez-Torres MdP, Acosta-Torres LS, Diaz-Torres LA, Grillo R, Swamy MK, Sharma S, et al. Nano based drug delivery systems: recent developments and future prospects. J Nanobiotechnol. 2018;16(1):71.
- Piktel E, Niemirowicz K, W tek M, Wollny T, Deptula P, Bucki R. Recent insights in nanotechnology-based drugs and formulations designed for e ective anti-cancer therapy. J Nanobiotechnol. 2016;14(1):39.
- Liang X, Gao J, Jiang L, Luo J, Jing L, Li X, Jin Y, Dai Z. Nanohybrid liposomal cerasomes with good physiological stability and rapid temperature responsiveness for high intensity focused ultrasound triggered local chemotherapy of cancer. ACS Nano. 2015;9(2):1280–93.
- Chan KW, Yu T, Qiao Y, Liu Q, Yang M, Patel H, Liu G, Kinzler KW, Vogelstein B, Bulte JW, et al. A diaCEST MRI approach for monitoring liposomal accumulation in tumors. J Control Release. 2014;180:51–9.
- Yin W, Kimbrough CW, Gomez-Gutierrez JG, Burns CT, Chuong P, Grizzle WE, McNally LR. Tumor specific liposomes improve detection of pancreatic adenocarcinoma in vivo using optoacoustic tomography. J Nanobiotechnol. 2015;13(1):90.
- Eetezadi S, Ekdawi SN, Allen C. The challenges facing block copolymer micelles for cancer therapy: in vivo barriers and clinical translation. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2015;91:7–22.

Page 13 of 14

- 12. He L, Lai H, Chen T. Dual-function nanosystem for synergetic cancer chemo-/radiotherapy through ROS-mediated signaling pathways. Biomaterials. 2015;51:30–42.
- Asghar MR, Anwar MT, Naveed A, Zhang J. A review on inorganic nanoparticles modified composite membranes for lithium–ion batteries: recent progress and prospects. Membranes. 2019;9(7):78.
- Gimenez C, de la Torre C, Gorbe M, Aznar E, Sancenon F, Murguia JR, Martinez-Manez R, Marcos MD, Amoros P. Gated mesoporous silica nanoparticles for the controlled delivery of drugs in cancer cells. Langmuir. 2015;31(12):3753–62.
- Gao Z, Ma T, Zhao E, Docter D, Yang W, Stauber RH, Gao M. Small is smarter: nano MRI contrast agents-advantages and recent achievements. Small. 2016;12(5):556–76.
- 16. Greish K. Enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) e ect for anticancer nanomedicine drug targeting. Methods Mol Biol. 2010;624:25–37.
- Yorulmaz Avsar S, Kyropoulou M, Di Leone S, Schoenenberger CA, Meier WP, Palivan CG. Biomolecules turn self-assembling amphiphilic block co-polymer platforms into biomimetic interfaces. Front Chem. 2018;6:645.
- Ren WH, Chang J, Yan CH, Qian XM, Long LX, He B, Yuan XB, Kang CS, Betbeder D, Sheng J, et al. Development of transferrin functionalized poly(ethylene glycol)/poly(lactic acid) amphiphilic block copolymeric micelles as a potential delivery system targeting brain glioma. J Mater Sci Mater Med. 2010;21(9):2673–81.
- Jiang L, Gao ZM, Ye L, Zhang AY, Feng ZG. A pH-sensitive nano drug delivery system of doxorubicin-conjugated amphiphilic polyrotaxanebased block copolymers. Biomater Sci. 2013;1(12):1282–91.
- Allen C, Han J, Yu Y, Maysinger D, Eisenberg A. Polycaprolactone-bpoly(ethylene oxide) copolymer micelles as a delivery vehicle for dihydrotestosterone. J Control Release. 2000;63(3):275–86.
- Pasut G, Veronese FM. State of the art in PEGylation: the great versatility achieved after forty years of research. J Control Release. 2012;161(2):461–72.
- Ni D, Shen Z, Zhang J, Zhang C, Wu R, Liu J, Yi M, Wang J, Yao Z, Bu W, et al. Integrating anatomic and functional dual-mode magnetic resonance imaging: design and applicability of a bifunctional contrast agent. ACS Nano. 2016;10(3):3783–90.
- Losurdo L, Basile TMA, Fanizzi A, Bellotti R, Bottigli U, Carbonara R, Dentamaro R, Diacono D, Didonna V, Lombardi A, et al. A gradientbased approach for breast DCE-MRI analysis. Biomed Res Int. 2018;2018:9032408.
- Longo DL, Bartoli A, Consolino L, Bardini P, Arena F, Schwaiger M, Aime S. In vivo imaging of tumor metabolism and acidosis by combining PET and MRI-CEST pH imaging. Cancer Res. 2016;76(22):6463–70.
- Cochet A, David S, Moodie K, Drummond E, Dutu G, MacManus M, Chua B, Hicks RJ. The utility of 18 F-FDG PET/CT for suspected recurrent breast cancer: impact and prognostic stratification. Cancer Imaging. 2014;14:13.
- Kerschbaumer J, Pinggera D, Steiger R, Rietzler A, Wohrer A, Riedmann M, Grams AE, Thome C, Freyschlag CF. Results of phosphorus magnetic resonance spectroscopy for brain metastases correlate with histopathologic results. World Neurosurg. 2019;127:e172–e178178.
- Li H, Xu H, Zhang Y, Guan J, Zhang J, Xu C, Shen Z, Xiao B, Liang C, Chen K, et al. Di erential neurometabolite alterations in brains of medicationfree individuals with bipolar disorder and those with unipolar depression: a two-dimensional proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy study. Bipolar Disord. 2016;18(7):583–90.
- Bonnet CS, Toth E. Smart MR imaging agents relevant to potential neurologic applications. Am J Neuroradiol. 2010;31(3):401–9.
- Klein J, Lam WW, Czarnota GJ, Stanisz GJ. Chemical exchange saturation transfer MRI to assess cell death in breast cancer xenografts at 7T. Oncotarget. 2018;9(59):31490–501.
- Zhuang Z, Shen Z, Chen Y, Dai Z, Zhang X, Mao Y, Zhang B, Zeng H, Chen P, Wu R. Mapping the changes of glutamate using glutamate chemical exchange saturation transfer (GluCEST) technique in a traumatic brain injury model: a longitudinal pilot study. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2019;10(1):649–57.
- Sun PZ, Longo DL, Hu W, Xiao G, Wu R. Quantification of iopamidol multi-site chemical exchange properties for ratiometric chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) imaging of pH. Phys Med Biol. 2014;59(16):4493–504.

- 32. Castelli DD, Terreno E, Longo D, Aime S. Nanoparticle-based chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) agents. NMR Biomed. 2013:26(7):839-49
- 33. Farashishiko A, Slack JR, Botta M, Woods M. ParaCEST Agents Encapsulated in Reverse Nano-Assembled Capsules (RACs): how slow molecular tumbling can quench CEST contrast. Front Chem. 2018;6:96.
- 34. Dula AN, Arlinghaus LR, Dortch RD, Dewey BE, Whisenant JG, Ayers GD, Yankeelov TE, Smith SA. Amide proton transfer imaging of the breast at 3 T: establishing reproducibility and possible feasibility assessing chemotherapy response. Magn Reson Med. 2013;70(1):216-24.
- 35. Chan KW, Jiang L, Cheng M, Wijnen JP, Liu G, Huang P, van Zijl PC, McMahon MT, Glunde K. CEST-MRI detects metabolite levels altered by breast cancer cell aggressiveness and chemotherapy response. NMR Biomed. 2016;29(6):806-16.
- 36. Choi J, Kim K, Kim T, Liu G, Bar-Shir A, Hyeon T, McMahon MT, Bulte JW, Fisher JP, Gilad AA. Multimodal imaging of sustained drug release from 3-D poly(propylene fumarate) (PPF) sca olds. J Control Release. 2011;156(2):239-45.
- Wu RH, Longo DL, Aime S, Sun PZ. Quantitative description of radiof-37. requency (RF) power-based ratiometric chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) pH imaging. NMR Biomed. 2015;28(5):555-65.
- 38. Yang CJ, Wang Q, Ding W. Recent progress in the imaging detection of enzyme activities in vivo. Rsc Adv. 2019;9(44):25285-302
- 39. Sun PZ, Lu J, Wu Y, Xiao G, Wu R. Evaluation of the dependence of CEST-EPI measurement on repetition time, RF irradiation duty cycle and imaging flip angle for enhanced pH sensitivity. Phys Med Biol. 2013:58(17):N229-240
- 40. Tang X, Dai Z, Xiao G, Yan G, Shen Z, Zhang T, Zhang G, Zhuang Z, Shen Y, Zhang Z, et al. Nuclear overhauser enhancement-mediated magnetization transfer imaging in glioma with di erent progression at 7 T. ACS Chem Neurosci. 2017;8(1):60-6.
- Hancu I, Dixon WT, Woods M, Vinogradov E, Sherry AD, Lenkinski RE. CEST 41 and PARACEST MR contrast agents. Acta Radiol. 2010;51(8):910-23
- Ward KM, Aletras AH, Balaban RS. A new class of contrast agents for MRI 42. based on proton chemical exchange dependent saturation transfer (CEST). J Magn Reson. 2000;143(1):79-877.
- 43. Go eney N, Bulte JW, Duyn J, Bryant LH Jr, van Zijl PC. Sensitive NMR detection of cationic-polymer-based gene delivery systems using saturation transfer via proton exchange. J Am Chem Soc. 2001;123(35):8628-9.
- 44. Mao X, Xu J, Cui H. Functional nanoparticles for magnetic resonance imaging. Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol. 2016;8(6):814-41.
- 45. Li W, Huang L, Ying X, Jian Y, Hong Y, Hu F, Du Y. Antitumor drug delivery modulated by a polymeric micelle with an upper critical solution temperature. Angew Chem Int Ed Engl. 2015;54(10):3126-31.
- Li AX, Wojciechowski F, Suchy M, Jones CK, Hudson RH, Menon RS, 46. Bartha R. A sensitive PARACEST contrast agent for temperature MRI: Eu3+-DOTAM-glycine (Gly)-phenylalanine (Phe). Magn Reson Med. 2008;59(2):374-81
- 47. Goldenberg JM, Pagel MD. Assessments of tumor metabolism with CEST MRI. NMR Biomed. 2019;32(10):e3943.
- 48 Jones KM, Pollard AC, Pagel MD. Clinical applications of chemical exchange saturation transfer (CEST) MRI. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2018;47(1):11-27.

- 2019;11:27759-217666. 50. Kalyane D, Raval N, Maheshwari R, Tambe V, Kalia K, Tekade RK. Employ-ment of enhanced permeability and retention e ect (EPR): Nanoparticlebased precision tools for targeting of nerapeutic and diagnostic agent in cancer. Mater Sci Eng C Mater Biol Appl. 2019;98:1252–76.
 51. Zhou Y, Kopecek J. Biological rationale for the design of polymeric anticancer nanomedicines. J Drug Target. 2013;21(1):1–26.
- 52. Barnes SL, Whisenant JG, Loveless ME, Yankeelov TE. Practical dynamic contrast enhanced MRI in small animal models of cancer: data acquisi-tion, data analysis, and interpretation. Pharmaceutics. 2012;4(3):442–78.
- 53. Li Y, Qiao Y, Chen H, Bai R, Staedtke V, Han Z, Xu J, Chan KWY, Yadav N, Bulte JWM, et al. Characterization of tumor vascular permeability using natural dextrans and CEST MRI. Magn Reson Med. 2018;79(2):1001–9. 54. Danhier F, Feron O, Preat V. To exploit the tumor microenvironment:
- passive and active tumor targeting of hanocarriers for anti-cancer drug delivery. J Control Release. 2010;148(2:135–46. 55. Zaric O, Farr A, Poblador Rodriguez E, Mynarik V, Bogner W, Gruber S,
- Asservanis E, Singer CF, Trattnig S. 7T CEST MRI: a potential imaging tool for the assessment of tumor grade and cell proliferation in breast cancer. Magn Reson Imaging. 2019;59:77-87
- 56. Daryaei I, Jones KM, Pagel MD. Detection of DT-diaphorase enzyme with
- a ParaCEST MRI contrast agent. Chemistry. 2017;23(27):6514–7.
 57. Wada T, Togao O, Tokunaga C, Funatsu R, Kobayashi K, Nakamura Y. E ect of the saturation pulse duration on chemical exchange saturation transfer in amide proton transfer MR imaging: a phantom study. Radiol Phys Technol. 2016;9(1):15-21
- 58. Klomp DW, Dula AN, Arlinghaus LR, Italiaander M, Dortch RD, Zu Z, Williams JM, Gochberg DF, Luijten PR, Gole JC, et al. Amide proton transfer imaging of the human breast at 7T: development and reproducibility. NMR Biomed. 2013;26(10):1271-7.
- 59. Sano K, Nakajima T, Choyke PL, Kobayashi H. Markedly enhanced permeability and retention e ects induced by photo-immunotherapy of tumors. ACS Nano. 2013;7(1):717–24.
- Zhang L, Martins AF, Mai Y, Zhao P, Furk AM, Clavijo Jordan MV, Zhang 60. S, Chen W, Wu Y, Sherry AD. Imaging extracellular lactate in vitro and in vivo using CEST MRI and a paramagnetic shift reagent. Chemistry. 2017;23(8):1752-6.
- 61. Wu CY, Wang SG, Zhao JL, Liu YY, Zheng YT, Luo Y, Ye CQ, Huang MX, Chen HR. Biodegradable Fe(III)@WS2-PVP nanocapsules for redox reaction and TME-enhanced nanocatalytic, photothermal, and chemotherapy. Adv Funct Mater. 2019;29(26):1901722.
- 62. Liu YY, Xi YX, Zhao JL, Zhao JY, Li JC, Huang GQ, Li JQ, Fang F, Gu LL, Wang SG. Preparation of therapeutic-laden konjac hydrogel for tumor combination therapy. Chem Eng J. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.122048.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional a liations.

to submit your research? Choos

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/subn nissions