

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE LABORATORY

AIM 239

February 1972

HAKMEM

by

M. Beeler

R.W. Gosper

R. Schroepel

This report describes research done at the Artificial Intelligence Laboratory of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology. Support for the Laboratory's artificial intelligence research is provided in part by the Advanced Research Projects Agency of the Department of Defense under Office of Naval Research contract N00014-70-A-0362-0002.

Compiled with the hope that a record of the
random things people do around here can save
some duplication of effort -- except for fun.

page 1

Here is some little known data which may be of interest to
computer hackers. The items and examples are so sketchy that to
decipher them may require more sincerity and curiosity than a
non-hacker can muster. Doubtless, little of this is new, but
nowadays it's hard to tell. So we must be content to give you an
insight, or save you some cycles, and to welcome further
contributions of items, new or used.

The classification of items into sections is even more illogical
than necessary. This is because later elaborations tend to shift
perspective on many items, and this elaboration will (hopefully)
continue after publication, since this text is retained in
"machinable" form. We forgive in advance anyone deterred by this
wretched typography.

People referred to are
from the A. I. Lab:

Marvin Minsky	Rich Schroeppel
Bill Gosper	Michael Speciner
Michael Beeler	Gerald Sussman
John Roe	Joe Cohen
Richard Stallman	David Waltz
Jerry Freiberg	David Silver

once at the A. I. Lab but now elsewhere:

Jan Kok	William Henneman
Rici Liknaitzky	George Mitchell
Peter Samson	Stuart Nelson
Roger Banks	Rollo Silver
Mike Paterson	

at Digital Equipment Corporation:

Jud Lenard	Dave Plumer
Ben Gurley (deceased)	Steve Root

elsewhere at M.I.T.:

Gene Salamin	PDP-1 hackers
Eric Jensen	Frances Yao
Edward Fredkin	

once at M.I.T., but now elsewhere:

Jackson Wright	Steve Brown
Malcolm Rayfield	

in France:

Marco Schutzenberger	Henry Cohen
----------------------	-------------

at Computer Corporation of America: Bill Mann

at BBN: Robert Clements

CAVEATS:

page 2

Some of this material is very inside -- many readers will have to excuse cryptic references.

The label "PROBLEM" does not always mean exercise;
if no solution is given, it means we couldn't solve it.
If you solve a problem in here, let us know.

Unless otherwise stated, all computer programs are in PDP-6/10 assembly language.

CONTENTS, HAKMEM 140

3	GEOMETRY, ALGEBRA, CALCULUS
6	RECURRENCE RELATIONS
10	BOOLEAN ALGEBRA
12	RANDOM NUMBERS
13	NUMBER THEORY, PRIMES, PROBABILITY
25	AUTOMATA THEORY
26	GAMES
30	PROPOSED COMPUTER PROGRAMS
36	CONTINUED FRACTIONS
45	GROUP THEORY
45	SET THEORY
46	QUATERNIONS
48	POLYOMINOS, ETC.
51	TOPOLOGY
54	SERIES
61	FLows AND ITERATED FUNCTIONS
67	PI
72	PROGRAMMING HACKS
82	PROGRAMMING ALGORITHMS, HEURISTICS
87	HARDWARE

Page	Figure	
19, 20	1a, 1b	Binary integers radix $i-1$, $i+1$.
21	2	Radix $i-1$ fraction parts (Knuth).
31, 32	3a, 3b	Squared square, rectangle.
34	4	Square, hexagon dissection.
50	5	Hexiamond solutions.
58, 59	6a, 6b	"clock hands" series.
62	7	Binary numbers radix -2 .
66	8	"C" curves.
84	9	Incremental curve drawing.

ITEM 1 (Schroeppel):

$(1/3)!$ and $(2/3)!$ are interexpressible.

$(1/4)!$ and $(3/4)!$ are interexpressible.

Thus these two pairs are of dimensionality one.

$(1/10)!$ and $(2/10)!$ are sufficient to express $(N/10)!$ for all N .

$(1/12)!$ and $(2/12)!$ are sufficient to express $(N/12)!$ for all N .

$(1/3)!$ and $(1/4)!$ are sufficient to express $(N/12)!$ for all N .

Thus the three cases above are of dimensionality two.

PROBLEM: Find some order to this dimensionality business.

The reflection and multiplication formulas:

$$Z!(-Z)! = \pi Z / \sin \pi Z$$

$$(2\pi)^{(N-1)/2} \frac{-NZ-1/2}{N} (NZ)! = Z!(Z-1/N)!(Z-2/N)! \dots (Z-(N-1)/N)!$$

ITEM 2 (Jan Kok):

PROBLEM: Given a regular n -gon with all diagonals drawn, how many regions are there? In particular, how many triple (or N -tuple) concurrences of diagonals are there?

ITEM 3 (Schroeppel):

Regarding convergence of Newton's method for quadratic equations: Draw the perpendicular bisector of the line connecting the two roots. Points on either side converge to the closest root.

On the line:

1 they do not converge

2 there is a dense set of points which involve division by zero

3 there is a dense set of points which loop, but roundoff error propagates so all loops are unstable

4 being on the line is also unstable (if the roots are imaginary and you are on the real axis, you may be doing exact computation of the imaginary part (0), hence stay on the line. Example:

$$x^2 + 1 = 0, \quad x_0 = \text{random real floating point number.})$$

ITEM 4 (Schroeppel):

page 4

By Matlab, the discriminant of $X^4 + FX^3 + GX^2 + HX + I$ is
(as the discriminant of $AX^2 + BX + C$ is $B^2 - 4AC$):

$$\begin{aligned} & - 27 H^4 + 18 FGH^3 - 4 F^3 H^3 - 4 G^3 H^2 + F^2 G^2 H^2 \\ & + I * [144 GH^2 - 6 F^2 H^2 - 80 FG^2 H \\ & \quad + 18 F^3 GH + 16 G^4 - 4 F^2 G^3] \\ & + I^2 * [- 192 FH - 128 G^2 + 144 F^2 G - 27 F^4] \\ & - 256 I^3 \end{aligned}$$

ITEM 5:

In general, the discriminant of an n-th degree polynomial is
 $\prod_{i < j} (\text{ROOT}_i - \text{ROOT}_j)^2 = \text{square of determinant whose } i, j \text{ element}$

is ROOT_i^{i-1} . (The discriminant is the lowest degree symmetric
function of the roots which is 0 when any two are equal.)

ITEM 6 (Schroeppel):

If A is the first symmetric function of N variables

$$= X + Y + Z + \dots$$

and B is the second symmetric function of N variables

$$= XY + XZ + \dots + YZ + \dots$$

(B = sum of pairs), then $X^2 + Y^2 + Z^2 + \dots = A^2 - 2B$.

$$X^3 + Y^3 + Z^3 + \dots = A^3 - 3AB + 3C.$$

$$X^4 + Y^4 + Z^4 + \dots = A^4 - 4A^2B + 2B^2 + 4AC - 4D.$$

ITEM 7 (Gosper):

If $f(I; X, Y, \dots)$ is the Ith symmetric function on N variables,

$$f(I; X, Y, \dots) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } I > N \\ 1 & \text{if } I = 0 \\ X * f(I-1; Y, Z, \dots) + f(I; Y, Z, \dots) & \text{(N-1 variables)} \end{cases}$$

The generating function is simply

$$\sum_{I=0}^N f(I; X, Y, Z, \dots) * S^I = (1+XS)(1+YS)(1+ZS) \dots$$

ITEM 8 (Schroeppel):

page 5

Solutions to $F(X) = X^3 - 3BX^2 + CX + D = 0$ are

$$B - K * \sqrt[3]{\frac{F(B)}{2}} + \sqrt{\left[\frac{F(B)}{2}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{F'(B)}{3}\right]^3}$$

$$- K^2 * \sqrt[3]{\frac{F(B)}{2}} + \sqrt{\left[\frac{F(B)}{2}\right]^2 + \left[\frac{F'(B)}{3}\right]^3}$$

where K is one of the three cuberoots of 1:
1, $(-1+\sqrt{-3})/2$, $(-1-\sqrt{-3})/2$.

ITEM 9 (Schroeppel & Salamin):

If $X^4 + BX^2 + CX + D = 0$, then $2X = \sqrt{Z1} + \sqrt{Z2} + \sqrt{Z3}$

$Z1, Z2, Z3$ are roots of $Z^3 + 2BZ^2 + (B^2 - 4D)Z - C^2 = 0$.

The choices of square roots must satisfy $(\sqrt{Z1})(\sqrt{Z2})(\sqrt{Z3}) = -C$.

ITEM 10 (Salamin):

An easy solution of $-4X^3 + 3X - a = 0$ is $X = \sin((\arcsin a)/3)$.

In a similar manner, the general quintic can be solved exactly by use of the elliptic modular function and its inverse.

See Davis: Intro. to Nonlinear Differential and Integral Equations (Dover), p. 172. Unfortunately, there exists ≥ 1 typo, since his eqs. (7) and (13) are inconsistent.

ITEM 11 (Salamin):

The following operations generate one-to-one conformal mappings of Euclidean N-space onto itself.

- 1) Translate N-space.
- 2) Expand N-space about one of its points.
- 3) Stereographically project N-space onto an N-sphere, rotate the sphere, then project back onto N-space.

PROBLEMS:

Show that all such conformal maps are generated by these operations for any N. If the one-to-one and onto conditions are removed, then for $N=2$, conformal maps can be obtained by analytic functions. Show that for $N>2$, no new conformal maps exist.

RECURRENCE RELATIONS

ITEM 12 (Gosper & Salamin):

"the Fast Fibonacci Transform" (motivation for next item)

Define multiplication on ordered pairs

$$(A,B)(C,D)=(AC+AD+BC,AC+BD).$$

This is just $(AX+B)*(CX+D) \bmod X^2-X-1$, and so is associative, etc. We note $(A,B)(1,0)=(A+B,A)$, which is the Fibonacci

iteration. Thus, $(1,0)^N = (FIB(N), FIB(N-1))$, which can be computed in $\log N$ steps by repeated squaring, for instance. $FIB(15)$ is best computed using $N = 16$, thus pushing the minimal binary addition chain counterexample to 30 (Liknaitzky). (See Knuth vol. 2, p 398.) By the last formula,

$$(1,0)^{-1} = (FIB(-1), FIB(-2)) = (1, -1),$$

which, as a multiplier, backs up one Fibonacci step (further complicating the addition chain question). Observing that

$$(1,0)^0 = (FIB(0), FIB(-1)) = (0, 1)$$

= the (multiplicative) identity, equate it with scalar 1. Define addition and scalar multiplication as with ordinary vectors.

$$(A,B)^{-1} = (-A, A+B)/(B^2+AB-A^2),$$

so we can compute rational functions when the denominator isn't zero. Now, by using power series and Newton's method, we can

compute fractional Fibonacci, and even $e^{(X,Y)}$ and $\log(X,Y)$. If we start with $(1,0)$ and square iteratively, the ratio will converge to the larger root of x^2-x-1 (= the golden ratio) about as rapidly as with Newton's method.

This method generalizes for other polynomial roots, being an improvement of the method of Bernoulli and Whittaker (Rektorys, Survey of Applicable Math., p 1172). For the general second order recurrence, $F(N+1) = XF(N) + YF(N-1)$, we have the multiplication rule: $(A,B)(C,D) = (AD+BC+XAC, BD+YAC)$.

$$\text{Inverse: } (A,B)^{-1} = (-A, XA+B)/(B^2+XAB-YA^2).$$

Two for the price of one: $(F(1), YF(0))(1,0)^N = (F(N+1), YF(N))$.

LINEAR RECURRENCE RELATIONS

$$\text{Recurrence relation: } A_k = C_{n-1} A_{k-1} + \dots + C_0 A_{k-n} \quad (1)$$

with $A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}$ given as initial values.

Consider the algebra with basis vectors

$$X^0, X^1, X^2, \dots, X^{n-1}$$

$$\text{and the identification } X^n = C_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \dots + C_0 X^0. \quad (2)$$

$$\text{Thus if } U, V, W \text{ are vectors and } W = UV, \text{ then componentwise} \quad (3)$$

$$W_i = \sum_{j,k} T_{ijk} U_j V_k,$$

where the T 's depend only on the C 's. The following simple

procedure yields A_k : express the vector X^k as a linear combination of the basis vectors, then set $X^m = A^m$ ($0 \leq m < n$).

Computation of X^k can be done by $k-n+1$ applications of (2) or by computing the T 's in (3) and then applying (3) $O(\log k)$ times.

PROOF: If $0 \leq k < n$, X^k is already a basis vector, so we get A^k .

Suppose the procedure works for $k < L$. $X^L = X^n X^{L-n}$

$$= (C_{n-1} X^{n-1} + \dots + C_0) X^{L-n}$$

$$= C_{n-1} X^{L-1} + \dots + C_0 X^{L-n}$$

The procedure evaluates each X^m to A^m , so X^L evaluates to

$$C_{n-1} A^{L-1} + \dots + C_0 A^{L-n} = A^L. \quad \text{QED}$$

The same procedure will work for negative k using

$$X^{-1} = (X^{n-1} - C_{n-1} X^{n-2} - \dots - C_0) / C_{n-1}, \quad (4)$$

the unique vector which when multiplied by X yields X^0 .

Let (2) be $F(X)=0$ and V be the algebra constructed above. Then V is a field iff $F(X)$ is irreducible in the field of the coefficients of V .

PROOF: Note that an element P of V is zero iff $P(X)=0 \bmod F(X)$. If $G(X)H(X)=F(X)$, $\text{DEG } G, H < \text{DEG } F$, then the product of two non-zero elements is zero and so V can't be a field.

Let P be an arbitrary non-zero element of V .
 $\text{DEG}(\text{GCD}(P, F)) \leq \text{DEG } P < \text{DEG } F$.
 If $F(X)$ is irreducible, then $\text{GCD}(P, F)=1$, so there exist $Q(X), R(X)$ such that $Q(X)P(X) + R(X)F(X) = 1$.
 Then $Q(X)P(X) \equiv 1 \bmod F(X)$. Since P has an inverse, V is a field.

ITEM 14 (Gosper & Salamin):

Yet another way to rapidly evaluate recurrences is to observe that if $F(N+1) = X \cdot F(N) + Y \cdot F(N-1)$, then $F(N+2) = (X^2 + 2Y) \cdot F(N) - Y^2 \cdot F(N-2)$.

This rate doubling formula can be applied iteratively to compute the N th term in about $\log N$ steps, e.g., to get the 69th term given terms 1 and 2, we form 1, 2, 3, 5, 9, 13, 21, 37, 69. This sequence is computed from right to left by iteratively subtracting half the largest possible power of 2. This is sufficient to guarantee that some term further left will differ from the left one by that same (halved) power of 2; e.g., 5, ..., 21, 37 have a common difference of 2^4 , so that term 37 can be found from term 5 and term 21 using the fourth application of the rate doubling formula.

The rate tripling formula is $F(N+3) = (X^3 + 3XY) \cdot F(N) + Y^3 \cdot F(N-3)$.

For the K -tupling formula: $F(N+K) = P(K) \cdot F(N) + Q(K) \cdot F(N-K)$

$P(K+1) = X \cdot P(K) + Y \cdot P(K-1)$ (the same recurrence as F)

$Q(K+1) = -Y \cdot Q(K)$

$P(1) = X$ $Q(1) = Y$

$P(0) = 2$ $Q(0) = -1$

$Q(K) = -(-Y)^K$

$P(K) = 2(-Y)^{K/2} \cdot T(K; X/\sqrt{-4Y})$ where $T(K; X)$ is the K th Chebychev polynomial $= \cos(K \arccos X)$

If $A(I)$, $B(I)$, and $C(I)$ obey the same second order recurrence,

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ I & I \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ I \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ J & J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} C \\ J \end{bmatrix} \quad (I)$$

is independent of I and J , provided the inverse exists. (This is true even if coefficients are not constant, since any two independent sequences form a basis.)

Plugging in F and P as defined above, we get an expression for the Nth term of the general second order recurrence in terms of P(N) and P(N+1):

$$\begin{bmatrix} P(N) & P(N+1) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} P(0) & P(1) \\ P(1) & P(2) \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} F(0) \\ F(1) \end{bmatrix} = F(N).$$

Setting $X = Y = 1$, we get $FIB(N) = (2P(N+1) - P(N))/5$, which is a complex but otherwise square root free closed form. ($\sqrt{-4} = 2i$)

With constant coefficients, the invariance (I) implies:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & A \\ P+I & P+J \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & A \\ Q+I+K & Q+J+K \\ A & A \\ Q+I+L & Q+J+L \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A \\ R+K \\ A \\ R+L \end{bmatrix} = \begin{matrix} A \\ P-Q+R \end{matrix}$$

These matrix relations generalize directly for Nth order recurrences.

ITEM 15 (Chebychev):

The Nth Chebychev polynomial $T(N) = T(N;x) = \cos(N \arccos x)$.

$T(0) = 1$, $T(1) = x$, $T(N+1) = 2x T(N) - T(N-1)$.

$T(N;T(M))$ clearly $= T(NM)$. $x^N - 2^{1-N} T(N)$, whose degree is $N-2$,

is the polynomial of degree $< N$ which stays closest to x^N in the interval $(-1,1)$, deviating by at most 2^{1-N} at the $N+1$ places where $x = \cos(K\pi/N)$, $K=0,1,\dots,N$.

Generating function: $\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} T(N) S^N = (1-xS)/(1-2xS+S^2)$.

First order (nonlinear) recurrence:

$$T(N+1) = xT(N) - \sqrt{(1-x^2)(1-T(N)^2)}.$$

$$(T(N+1), -T(N)) = (T(1), -T(0)) (1, 0)^N,$$

$$\text{where } (A,B)(C,D) = (AD+BC+2xAC, BD-AC).$$

ITEM 16:

$$\tan(n \arctan x) = \frac{1}{i} * \frac{(1+ix)^n - (1-ix)^n}{(1+ix)^n + (1-ix)^n}$$

ITEM 17 (Schroeppel):

Problem: Synthesize a given logic function or set of functions using the minimum number of two-input AND gates. NOT gates are assumed free. Feedback is not allowed. The given functions are allowed to have X (don't care) entries for some values of the variables. P XOR Q requires three AND gates. MAJORITY(P,Q,R) requires 4 AND gates. "PQRS is a prime number" seems to need seven gates. The hope is that the best Boolean networks for functions might lead to the best algorithms.

ITEM 18 (Speciner):

number of monotonic increasing Boolean
 functions of N variables

N		
0	2	(T, F)
1	3	(T, F, P)
2	6	(T, F, P, Q, P AND Q, P OR Q)
3	20	
4	168	= 8 * 3 * 7
5	7581	= 3 * 7 * 19 ²
6	7,828,354	= 2 * 359 * 10903 (Ouch!)

N from 0 to 4 suggest that a formula should exist, but 5 and 6 are discouraging. A difficult generalization: Given two partial orderings, find the number of maps from one to the other that are compatible with the ordering. A related puzzle: A partition of N is a finite string of non-increasing integers that add up to N. Thus 7 3 3 2 1 1 1 is a partition of 18. Sometimes an infinite string of zeros is extended to the right, filling a half-line. The number of partitions of N, P(N), is a fairly well understood function.

The generating function is
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(n) x^n = 1 / \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-x^k) .$$

A planar partition is like a partition, but the entries are in a two-dimensional array (the first quadrant) instead of a string. Entries must be non-increasing in both the x and y directions. A planar partition of 34 would be:

```

1
3 1
3 2 2 1
7 6 4 3 1

```

Zeros fill out the unused portion of the quadrant. The number of planar partitions of n, PL(n), is not a very well understood function.

The generating function is
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} PL(n) x^n = 1 / \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1-x^k)^k .$$

No simple proof of the generating function is known. Similarly, one can define cubic partitions with entries in the first octant, but no one has been able to discover the generating function. Some counts for cubic partitions and a discussion appear in Knuth, Math. Comp. 1970 or so.

ITEM 19 (Schroeppel):

page 11

The 2-NOTs problem: Synthesize a black box which computes NOT-A, NOT-B, and NOT-C from A, B, and C, using an arbitrary number of ANDs and ORs, but only 2 NOTs.

Clue: (Stop! Perhaps you would like to work on this awhile.)

Lemma: Functions synthesizable with one NOT are those where the image of any upward path (through variable space) has at most one decrease (that is, from T to F).

ITEM 20 (Roger Banks):

A Venn diagram for N variables where the shape representing each variable is convex can be made by superimposing successive M-gons ($M = 2, 4, 8, \dots$), every other side of which has been pushed out to the circumscribing circle. If you object to superimposed boundaries, you may shrink the nested M-gons a very slight amount which depends on N.

ITEM 21 (Schroeppel & Waltz):

PROBLEM: Cover the Execuport character raster completely with the minimum number of characters. The three characters I, H and # works. Using capital letters only, the five characters B, I, M, V and X is a minimal solution. Find a general method of solving such problems.

ITEM 22 (Gosper):

PROBLEM: Given several binary numbers, how can one find a mask with a minimal number of 1 bits, which when AND-ed with each of the original numbers preserves their distinctness from each other? What about permuting bit positions for minimum numerical spread, then taking the low several bits?

ITEM 23 (Schroeppel):

$(A \text{ AND } B) + (A \text{ OR } B) = A + B = (A \text{ XOR } B) + 2(A \text{ AND } B).$

ITEM 24 (Minsky):

There exists a convex figure n congruent copies of which, for any n , form a Venn diagram of 2^n regions.

RANDOM NUMBERS

page 12

ITEM 25 (Schroeppel):

Random number generators, such as Rollo Silver's favorite, which use SHIFTS and XORs, and give as values only some part of their internal state, can be inverted. Also, the outputs may often be used to obtain their total internal state.

For example, 2 consecutive values from Rollo's suffice to allow prediction of its entire future. Rollo's is:

```
RANDOM: MOVE A,HI      ;register A gets loaded with "high" word
        MOVE B,LO      ;register B gets loaded with "low" word
        MOVEM A,LO      ;register A gets stored in "low" word
        LSHC A,35.      ;shift the 72-bit register AB left 35
        XORB A,HI      ;bitwise exclusive-or of A and HI
                        replaces both
```

This suggests a susceptibility to analysis of mechanical code machines.

See LOOP DETECTOR item in FLOWS AND ITERATED FUNCTIONS section.

ITEM 26 (? via Salamin):

A mathematically exact method of generating a Gaussian distribution from a uniform distribution: let x be uniform on $[0,1]$ and y uniform on $[0,2\pi]$, x and y independent. Calculate $r = \sqrt{-\log x}$. Then $r \cos y$ and $r \sin y$ are two independent Gaussian distributed random numbers.

ITEM 27 (Salamin):

PROBLEM: Generate random unit vectors in N -space uniform on the unit sphere. SOLUTION: Generate N Gaussian random numbers and normalize to unit length.

ITEM 28 (Schroeppel):

After about 40 minutes of run time to verify the absence of any non-trivial factors less than 2^{35} , the 125th Mersenne number, $2^{125} - 1$, was factored on Tuesday, January 5, 1971, in 371 seconds run time as follows: $2^{125} - 1 = 31 * 601 * 1801 * 26\ 90898\ 06001 * 4710\ 88316\ 88795\ 06001$. John Brillhart at the University of Arizona had already done this. M137 was factored on Friday, July 9, 1971 in about 50 hours of computer time: $2^{137} - 1 = 32032\ 21559\ 64964\ 35569 * 54\ 39042\ 18360\ 02042\ 90159$.

ITEM 29 (Schroeppel):

For a random number X, the probability of its largest prime factor being (1) greater than $\sqrt{X}$ is $\ln 2$. (2) less than $\sqrt{X}$ is about 4.86%. This suggests that similar probabilities are independent of X; for instance, the probability that the largest prime factor of X is less than $2\sqrt{X}$ may be a fraction independent of the size of X.

RELEVANT DATA:

([] denote the expected value of adjacent entries.)

RANGE	COUNT	CUMULATIVE SUM OF COUNT
10^{12} TO 10^6	7198 [6944]	10018
10^6 TO 10^4	2466	2820
10^4 TO 10^3	354	402 [487] 2.4
10^3 TO 252	40	48 ;252 = 10
252 TO 100	7	8 1.7
100 TO 52	1	1 ;52 = 10
51 TO 1	0	0

where:

"COUNT" is the number of numbers between $10^{12} + 1$ and $10^{12} + 10018$ whose largest prime factor is in "RANGE". The number of primes in $10^{12} + 1$ to $10^{12} + 10018$ is 335; the prime number theorem predicts 363 in this range. This is relevant to Knuth's discussion of Legendre's factoring method, vol. 2, p. 351-354.

ITEM 30 (Schroeppel):

Twin primes:

$$166,666,666,667 = (10^{12} + 2)/6$$

$$166,666,666,669$$

The number 166,666,666,666,667 is prime, but

$$166,666,666,666,669 \text{ is not.}$$

The primes which bracket 10^{12} are $10^{12} + 39$ and $10^{12} - 11$.

The primes which bracket 10^{15} are $10^{15} + 37$ and $10^{15} - 11$.

The number 23,333,333,333 is prime.

Various primes, using $T = 10^{12}$, are:

$$40T + 1, 62.5T + 1, 200T - 3, 500T - 1, 500T - 7.$$

ITEM 31 (Schroeppel): page 14
 Ramanujan's problem of solutions to $2^N - 7 = x^2$ was searched
 to about $N = 10^{40}$; only his solutions ($N = 3, 4, 5, 7, 15$) were
 found. It has recently been proven that these are the only ones.
 Another Ramanujan problem: Find all solutions of $n! + 1 = x^2$.

ITEM 32 (Schroeppel):

Take a random real number and raise it to large powers; we expect
 the fraction part to be uniformly distributed. Some exceptions:

- 1 -- $\phi = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$
- 2 -- all $-1 < X < 1$
- 3 -- $\sqrt{2}$ (half are integers, other half
are probably uniformly distributed)
- 4 -- $1 + \sqrt{2}$ -- Proof:

$$(1 + \sqrt{2})^N + (1 - \sqrt{2})^N = \text{integer (by induction);}$$

the $(1 - \sqrt{2})^N$ goes to zero.

- 5 -- $2 + \sqrt{2}$ -- similar to $1 + \sqrt{2}$
- 6 -- any algebraic number whose conjugates
are all inside the unit circle

Now, $3 + \sqrt{2}$ is suspicious; it looks non-uniform, and seems to
 have a cluster point at zero. PROBLEM: Is it non-uniform?

ITEM 33 (Schroeppel):

Numbers whose right digit can be repeatedly removed and
 they are still prime: CONJECTURE: There are a finite
 number of them in any radix. In decimal there are 51,
 the longest being 1,979,339,333 and 1,979,339,339.

ITEM 34 (Schroeppel):

PROBLEM: Can every positive integer be expressed
 in terms of 3 and the operations factorial and

integer square root? E.g., $5 = \sqrt{\sqrt{3}!!}$.

ITEM 35 (Schroeppel):

Take as many numbers as possible from 1 to N such that no 3 are
 in arithmetic progression. CONJECTURE: As $N \rightarrow \infty$, the
 density of such sets approaches zero, probably like $N^{-(\ln 2)/(\ln 3)}$.

XX.XX is a known solution for $N = 5$

XX.XX....XX.XX is a known solution for $N = 14$

Conjecture that XX.XX just keeps getting copied. If the
 $(\ln 2)/(\ln 3)$

N can be proved, it follows that there are
 infinitely many primes P_1, P_2, P_3 in arithmetic progression,

since primes are much more common than $N^{-(\ln 2)/(\ln 3)}$.

ITEM 36 (Schroeppel):

PROBLEM: How many squares have no zeros
 in their decimal expression? Ternary?

ITEM 37 (Gosper):

page 15

The number of n digit strings base B in which all B digits occur at least once is just the B th forward difference at 0 of the n th powers of 0, 1, E.g., for $n = 4$:

```

0      1      16      81      256      625
  1      15      65      175      369
    14      50      110      194
      36      60      84
        24      24
          0

```

so there are 14 ($= 2^4 - 2$) such 4-bit strings, 36 such 4-digit ternary strings, 24 ($= 4!$) such quaternary, and 0 for all higher bases. 27 ($= 10e?$) random decimal digits are required before it is more likely than not that every digit has occurred; with 50 digits the likelihood is 95%.

ITEM 38 (Fredkin):

By the binomial theorem, the b th forward difference at 0 of

the 0, 1, 2, ... powers of n is $(n-1)^b$. E.g., for $n = 4$:

```

1      4      16      64      256
  3      12      48      192
    9      36      144
      27      108
        81

```

In fact, any straight line with rational slope through such an array will always go through a geometric sequence with common

ratio of the form $n^{\frac{a}{b}}$ ($n-1$). In the above, east by southeast knight's moves give the powers of 12: 1, 12, 144,

ITEM 39 (Schutzenberger):

PROBLEM: Using N digits, construct a string of digits which at no time has any segment appearing consecutively twice.

$N = 2 \rightarrow$ finite maximum string

$N = 10 \rightarrow$ known infinite

Determine maximum string length for $N = 3$.

SUB-PROBLEM: How many sequences exist of any particular length?

ITEM 40 (Gosper):

The variance of a pseudo-Gaussian distributed random variable made by adding T independent, uniformly distributed random integer variables which range from 0 to $N-1$, inclusive, is $T((N^2 - 1)/12)$.

ITEM 41 (Salamini):

page 16

There are exactly 23000 primes less than 2^{18} .

ITEM 42 (Gosper):

To show that

$$\sum_{L=0}^N (\text{BINOMIAL } N+L \ L) * (X^{N+1} (1-X)^L + (1-X)^{N+1} X^L) = 1$$

set N to 20 and observe that it is the probability that one or the other player wins at pingpong. (X = probability of first player gaining one point, L = loser's score, deuce rule irrelevant.) If this seems silly, try more conventional methods. PROBLEM: If somehow you determine A should spot B 6 points for their probabilities of winning to be equal, and B should spot C 9 points, how much should A give C?

ITEM 43 (Schroeppel):

Let (A,B,C,...) be the multinomial coefficient

$(A+B+C,...)!/A!B!C!...$

This is equal modulo the prime p to

$(A_0,B_0,C_0,...)(A_1,B_1,C_1,...)(A_2,B_2,C_2,...)...$

where A_j is the j th from the right digit of A base p.

Thus $(\text{BINOMIAL } A+B \ A) \bmod 2$ is 0 iff (AND A B) is not.

The exponent of the largest power of p which divides (A,B,C,...) is equal to the sum of all the carries when the base p expressions for A, B, C, ... are added up.

ITEM 44 (Gosper):

Recurrences for multinomial coefficients:

$(A,B,C,...) = (A+B,C,...)(A,B) = (A+B+C,...)(A,B,C) = ...$

PROBLEM 45 (Gosper):

Take a unit step at some heading (angle).

Double the angle, step again. Redouble, step, etc.

For what initial heading angles is your locus bounded?

PARTIAL ANSWER (Schroeppel, Gosper): When the initial angle is a rational multiple of π , it seems that your locus is bounded (in fact, eventually periodic) iff the denominator contains as a factor the square of an odd prime other than 1093 and 3511, which must occur at least cubed. (This is related to the fact that 1093 and 3511 are the only known primes satisfying

$$2^p \equiv 2 \pmod{p^2}$$
). But a denominator of $171 = 9 * 19$ never loops, probably because 9 divides $\phi(19)$. Similarly for 9009 and 2525. Can someone construct an irrational multiple of π with a bounded locus? Do such angles form a set of measure zero in the reals, even though the "measure" in the rationals is about .155? About .155 = the fraction of rationals with denominators containing odd primes squared = $1 - \prod \text{over odd primes of } 1 - 1/P(P+1)$. This product = .84533064 $\pm$ a smidgen, and is not, alas, $\sqrt{\pi/2} \text{ ARCFERF}(1/4) = .84534756$. This errs by 16 times the correction factor one expects for 1093 and 3511, and is not even salvaged by the hypothesis that all primes > a million satisfy the congruence. It might, however, be salvaged by quantities like 171.

ITEM 46 (Schroeppel):

page 17

The most probable suit distribution in bridge hands is 4-4-3-2, as compared to 4-3-3-3, which is the most evenly distributed. This is because the world likes to have unequal numbers: a thermodynamic effect saying things will not be in the state of lowest energy, but in the state of lowest disordered energy.

ITEM 47 (Beeler):

The Fibonacci series modulo P has been studied. This series has a cycle length L and within this cycle has sub-cycles which are bounded by zero members.

The length of powers of primes seems to be
power-1

$$L = (\text{length of prime}) * \text{prime}$$

The length of products of powers of primes seems to be

$$L = \text{least common multiple of lengths of powers of primes which are factors.}$$

There can be only 1, 2 or 4 sub-cycles in the cycle of a prime.

Primes with 1 sub-cycle seem to have lengths

$$L = (\text{prime} - 1)/N, N \text{ covering all integers.}$$

Primes with 2 sub-cycles seem to have lengths

$$L = (\text{prime} - (-1)) / M, M \text{ covering all integers except of form } 10K + 5.$$

Primes with 4 sub-cycles seem to always be of form $4K + 1$, and seem to have lengths

$$L = 2(\text{prime} + 1)/R \text{ or } (\text{prime} - 1)/S, \\ R \text{ covering all integers of form } 10K + 1, 3, 7 \text{ or } 9; \\ S \text{ covering all integers.}$$

At Schroeppel's suggestion, the primes have been separated mod 40, which usually determines their number of sub-cycles:

PRIME mod 40	SUB-CYCLES
1, 9	usually 2, occasionally 1 or 4 (about equally)
3, 7, 23, 27	2
11, 19, 31, 39	1
13, 17, 33, 37	4
21, 29	1 or 4 (about equally)
2 (only 2)	1
5 (only 5)	4

Attention was directed to primes which are 1 or 9 mod 40 but have 1 or 4 subcycles. $25X^2 + 16Y^2$ seems to express those which are 9 mod 40; $(10X \pm 1)^2 + 400Y^2$ seems to express those which are 1 mod 40. PROBLEM: Can some of the "seems" above be proved? Also, can a general test be made which will predict exact length for any number?

ITEM 48 (Gosper, Schroeppel):

A point of the 2 dimensional lattice is called visible iff its coordinates are relatively prime. The invisible 2 by 2 square with smallest X has its near corner on (14,20). (I.e., (14,20), (15,20), (14,21), and (15,21) are all invisible.) The corresponding 3 by 3 is at (104,6200). By the Chinese remainder theorem, there exist invisible sets of every finite shape. Excellent reference: Amer. Math. Monthly, May '71, p487.

ITEM 49:

page 18

There is a unique "magic hexagon" of side 3:

3	17	18				First discovered by Clifford W. Adams,
19	7	1	11			who worked on the problem from 1910.
16	2	5	6	9		In 1957, he found a solution.
12	4	8	14			(See Aug. 1963 Sci. Am., Math. Games.)
10	13	15				Other length sides are impossible.

ITEM 50 (Schroeppel):

There is no magic cube of order 4.

Proof: Let $K (= 130)$ be the sum of a row.

Lemma 1: In a magic square of order

four, the sum of the corners is K .

Proof: Add together each edge of the square and the two diagonals. This covers the square entirely, and each corner twice again. This adds to $6K$, so twice the corner sum is $2K$.

Lemma 2: In a magic cube of order 4, the sum of any two corners connected by an edge of the cube is $K/2$.

Proof: Call the corners a and b . Let c, d and e, f be the corners of any two edges of the cube parallel to ab . Then $abcd, abef$, and $cdef$ are all the corners of magic squares. So $a+b+c+d + a+b+e+f + c+d+e+f = 3K$; $a+b+c+d+e+f = 3K/2$; $a+b = K/2$.

Proof of magic cube impossibility: Consider a corner x .

There are three corners connected by an edge to x .

Each must have value $K/2 - x$. QED

ITEM 51 (Schroeppel):

By similar reasoning, the center of an order 5 magic cube must be $63 = K/5$. COROLLARY: There is no magic tesseract of order 5.

ITEM 52 (Salamin):

The probability that two random integers are relatively prime is $6/\pi^2$. PSEUDO-PROOF: Let X be the probability. Let S be the set of points in the integer lattice whose coordinates are relatively prime, so that S occupies a fraction X of the lattice points. Let $S(D)$ be the set of points whose coordinates have a GCD of D . $S(D)$ is S expanded by a factor of D from the origin. So $S(D)$ occupies a fraction X/D^2 of the lattice, or the probability that two random integers have a GCD of D is X/D^2 . If D unequals D' , then $S(D)$ intersect $S(D')$ is empty, and union of all $S(D)$ is the entire lattice. Therefore $X \cdot (1/1^2 + 1/2^2 + 1/3^2 + \dots) = 1$, so $X = 6/\pi^2$. This argument is not rigorous, but can be made so.

ITEM 53 (Salamin):

The probability that N numbers will lack a P th power common divisor is $1/\zeta(NP)$.

ITEM 54 (Salamin & Gosper):

The probability that a random rational number has an even denominator is $1/3$.

ITEM 55 (Schroeppel): GAUSSIAN INTEGERS

See following illustrations; also PI section.




Figure 1(a). This diagram is to substantiate the claim that every Gaussian integer has a unique bit combination. Running through bit combinations 0, 1, 10, 11, ..., the diagram is a map of values, radix $i-1$. The origin is circled; the dot is at the 127th combination ($1111111 = 2 + 5i$), which is merely the last point drawn.




Figure 1(b). As 1(a), but radix $i+1$. Large circle is origin. Dashes indicate continuity of curve at confusing places. Dotted curve is with an infinity of ones to the left (big dot = $\dots 1111 = i$). The solid and dotted curves are symmetrical about the point marked with a small circle.




Figure 2. Similar to 1(a), but showing fraction parts as well. Reprinted by special permission from Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, Volume 2, Seminumerical Algorithms, 1969, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.

ITEM 56 (Beeler):

page 22

The "length" of an N-digit decimal number is defined as the number of times one must iteratively form the product of its digits until one obtains a one-digit product (see Technology Review Puzzle Corner, December 1969 and April 1970). For various N, the following shows the maximum "length", as well as how many distinct numbers (permutation groups of N digits) there are:

N	MAX L	DISTINCT
2	4	54
3	5	219
4	6	714
5	7	2,001
6	7	5,004
7	8	11,439
8	9	24,309
9	9	48,619
10	10	92,377
11	10	167,959
12	10	293,929

Also, for N = 10, 11 and 12, a tendency for there to be many fewer numbers of "length" = 7 is noted. Other than this, the frequency of numbers of any given N, through N = 12, decreases with increasing "length". CONJECTURE (Schroeppel): No $L > 10$.

ITEM 57 (Beeler, Gosper):

There is at least one zero in the decimal expression of each power of 2 between $2^{86} = 77,371,252,455,336,267,181,195,264$ and $2^{307,39014}$, where the program was stopped. If digits of such powers were random, the probability that there is another zeroless power would be about $1/10^{411816}$. Assuming there aren't any then raises the question:

How many final nonzero digits can a power of two have?

ANSWER (Schroeppel): Arbitrarily many. If we look at the last n digits of consecutive powers of 2, we see:

a) None end in zero.

b) After the nth, they are all multiples of 2^n .

c) They get into a loop of length $4 * 5^{n-1}$.
(Because 2 is a primitive root of powers of 5.)

But there are only $4 * 5^{n-1}$ multiples of 2^n which don't end with zero and are $< 10^n$, so we will see them all. In particular, we will see the one composed entirely of 1's and 2's, which ends ...111121112111112112122112.

ITEM 58 (Fredkin):

page 23

$$3^3 + 4^3 + 5^3 = 6^3.$$

ITEM 59 (Schroeppel):

91038 90995 89338 00226 07743 74008 17871 09376² =
82880 83126 51085 58711 66119 71699 91017 17324
91038 90995 89338 00226 07743 74008 17871 09376

ITEM 60 (Beeler):

If S = the sum of all integers which exactly divide N, including 1 and N, then "perfect numbers" are S = 2 N; the first three numbers which are S = 3 N are:

$$120 = 2^3 * 3 * 5 = 1111000 \text{ base } 2$$

$$672 = 2^5 * 3 * 7 = 1010100000 \text{ base } 2$$

$$523,776 = 2^9 * 3 * 11 * 31 = 11111111110000000000 \text{ base } 2$$

ITEM 61 (Root):

Consider iteratively forming the sum of the factors (including 1 but not N) of a number N. This process may loop; "perfect numbers" are those whose loop is one member, N. For example, N = 28 = 1 + 2 + 4 + 7 + 14. An example of a two-member loop is:

$$\text{sum of factors of } 220 = 284$$

$$\text{sum of factors of } 284 = 220$$

Two-member loops are called "amicable pairs."

A program to search for loops of length > 2, all of whose members are < 6,600,000,000 found the known loops of length 5 (lowest member is 12496) and 28 (lowest member is 14316), but also 13 loops of 4 members (lowest member is given):

$$1,264,460 = 2^2 * 5 * 17 * 3,719$$

$$2,115,324 = 2^2 * 3^2 * 67 * 877$$

$$2,784,580 = 2^2 * 5 * 29 * 4,801$$

$$4,938,136 = 2^3 * 7 * 109 * 809$$

$$7,169,104 = 2^4 * 17 * 26,357$$

$$18,048,976 = 2^4 * 11 * 102,551$$

$$18,656,380 = 2^2 * 5 * 932,819$$

$$46,722,700 = 2^2 * 5^2 * 47 * 9,941$$

$$81,128,632 = 2^3 * 13 * 19 * 41,057$$

$$174,277,820 = 2^2 * 5 * 29 * 487 * 617$$

$$209,524,210 = 2 * 5 * 7 * 19 * 263 * 599$$

$$330,003,580 = 2^2 * 5 * 16,500,179$$

$$498,215,416 = 2^3 * 19 * 47 * 69,739$$

ITEM 62 (Speciner):

page 24

The first four perfect numbers are 6, 28, 496, 8128.

Two-member loops (amicable pairs) are:

220 ↔ 284
1184 ↔ 1210
2620 ↔ 2924
5020 ↔ 5564
6232 ↔ 6368
10744 ↔ 10856
12285 ↔ 14595
17296 ↔ 18416
63020 ↔ 76084
66928 ↔ 66992
67095 ↔ 71145
69615 ↔ 87633
79750 ↔ 88730
100485 ↔ 124155
122265 ↔ 139815
122368 ↔ 123152
141644 ↔ 153176
142310 ↔ 168730
171856 ↔ 176336
176272 ↔ 180848
185368 ↔ 203432
196724 ↔ 202444

(Exhaustive to smaller member ≤ 196724 and larger member $< 2^{35}$.)

A prime decade is where $N+1$, $N+3$, $N+7$ and $N+9$ are all prime.

The first occurrence of two prime decades with the theoretical minimum separation is $N = 1006300$ and $N = 1006330$. The 335th prime decade is $N = 2342770$. There are 172400 primes < 2342770 .

ITEM 63 (Schroeppel, etc.):

The joys of 239 are as follows:

$\pi = 16 \arctan(1/5) - 4 \arctan(1/239)$,
which is related to the fact that $2 * 13^4 - 1 = 239^2$,
which is why 239/169 is an approximant (the 7th) of $\sqrt{2}$.
 $\arctan(1/239) = \arctan(1/70) - \arctan(1/99)$
 $= \arctan(1/408) + \arctan(1/577)$

239 needs 4 squares (the maximum) to express it.

239 needs 9 cubes (the maximum, shared only with 23) to express it.

239 needs 19 fourth powers (the maximum) to express it.

(Although 239 doesn't need the maximum number of fifth powers.)

$1/239 = .00418410041841\dots$, which is related to the fact that

$1,111,111 = 239 * 4,649$.

The 239th Mersenne number, $2^{239} - 1$, is known composite,
but no factors are known.

239 = 11101111 base 2.

239 = 22212 base 3.

239 = 3233 base 4.

There are 239 primes < 1500 .

K239 is Mozart's only work for 2 orchestras.

Guess what memo this is.

And 239 is prime, of course.

ITEM 64 (Schroeppel):

A 2-counter machine, given N in one of the counters, cannot generate 2^N . Proven Saturday, Sept. 26, 1970. (Independently rediscovered by Frances Yao). But (Minsky, Liknaitzky), given N , it can generate 2^N . (A 2-counter machine has a fixed, finite program containing only the instructions "ADD 1", "SUBTRACT 1", "JUMP IF NOT ZERO", which refer to either of two unlimited counters. Such machines are known universal, but (due to the above) they must have specially encoded inputs.)

ITEM 65 (Schroeppel):

What effort is required to compute $\pi(X)$, the number of primes $< X$? Shanks and Brillhart claim about $X^{.7}$.

ITEM 66 (Gosper):

See space-filling curve machine item in TOPOLOGY section.

GAMES

ITEM 67 (Schroeppel):

Regarding "poker coins" game, whose rules are:

1 a player throws N coins;

he then puts one or more aside and rethrows the rest

2 this throwing is repeated until he no longer has any to throw

3 highest score (dice) or maximum number of heads (coins) wins

For poker coins, the optimal strategy, with N coins thrown, is:

Z = number of zeros (tails)

if Z = 0, quit

if Z = 1, throw the zero

if $1 < Z < N$, save one one, throw the other N-1 coins

if Z = N, save a zero, throw the other N-1 coins

The optimal strategy for poker dice is hairier.

ITEM 68 (Schroeppel):

PROBLEM: Solve Blackout, a game as follows: Two players alternate placing X's on a rectangular grid. No two X's may appear adjacent along a side or across the diagonal at a corner. The last X wins. Some theory: The "indicator" for a position is: make all possible moves from the given position.

Evaluate the indicator of each of these successor positions.

The indicator of the first position is the smallest number which is not the indicator of a successor position. The indicator of the null position is 0. The second player wins iff the indicator is 0. Example of calculating an indicator for the 3×3 board:

There are 3 distinct moves possible -- corner, side, center.

Playing in the center leaves the null position, indicator 0.

Playing on the side leaves a 1×3 line, indicator 2. Playing in the corner leaves a 3×3 L, indicator 3. The smallest number not appearing in our list is 1, so the indicator of a 3×3

square is 1. For two boards (not touching) played simultaneously, the indicator is the XOR of the indicators for the separate boards. For any position, the indicator is $\leq$ the maximum game length.

PROBLEM: Find some non-exponential way to compute the indicator of a given position. For lines, a period of 34 is entered after the line is about 80 long. For Ls: if one leg is held fixed, the indicator (as a function of the other leg) seems to become periodic with period 34. The time to enter the period becomes greater as the fixed leg increases.

On an odd $\times$ odd board, the 1st player wins.

On a $4 \times N$ board, the 2nd player wins.

On a 6×6 board, the 1st player wins by playing at the center of one quarter.

This indicator analysis is similar for many other take-away games, such as Nim.

ITEM 69:

Berlekamp of Bell Labs has done the 9 squares (16 dots) Dots game; the 2nd player wins.

ITEM 70:

page 27

A neat chess problem, swiped from "Chess for Fun and Chess for Blood", by Edward Lasker: white: pawns at QN3 and KN7, knight at QN4, bishop at KB7, king at QB2; black: pawn at QN3, king at QR6. White mates in three moves.

ITEM 71 (Beeler):

There is only one distinct solution to the commercial "Instant Insanity" colored-faces cubes puzzle, which is how it comes packed. (Independently discovered by Dave Plumer.) Mike Paterson has discovered a clever way to solve the puzzle.

ITEM 72 (Beeler):

A window-dice game is as follows:

- 1 The player starts with each of nine windows open, showing the digits 1 - 9.
 - 2 Roll two dice.
 - 3 Cover up any digits whose sum is the sum on the dice.
 - 4 Iterate throwing and closing windows until the equality of sums is impossible.
 - 5 Your score is the total of closed windows (highest wins).
- An optimum strategy has been tabulated. Usually it is best to take the largest digits possible, but not always; it also depends critically on the remaining numbers.

ITEM 73 (Beeler):

Sim is a game where two players alternately draw lines connecting six dots. The first person to form a triangle in his color loses. The second player can always win, and whether his first move connects with the first player's first move doesn't matter; from there on, however, the strategy branches to a relatively gruesome degree.

PROBLEM: 6 dots is minimum to ensure no stalemate with 2 players; how many dots are required with 3 players?

ITEM 74 (Beeler):

The 4×4 game of Nim, also known as Tactix, is a win for the second player, who on his first move can reply center-symmetrically unless the first player's first move was B1 and B2 (analyzed on RLE PDP-1).

ITEM 75 (Gosper, Brown, Rayfield):

page 28

A 1963 PDP-1 computer program gave us some interesting data on the traditional game of peg solitaire (33 holes in a plus shape).

```

      A B C
      D E F
    G H I J K L M
    N P Q . S T U
    V W X Y Z 1 2
      3 4 5
      6 7 8

```

From the starting position, complement the board. This is the ending position. Now from the starting position, make one move, then complement the board. This is a position one move from a win. By induction, you can win from the complement of any position you can reach. Thus every successful game has a dual game whose positions are the complements of the original ones. This debunks the heuristic of emptying the arms of the plus first and then cleaning up the middle, because there are just as many dual games which empty out the middle first and then the arms! The program found one counterintuitive win which at one point left the center nine empty but had ten in the arms.

```

      . B .
      D E .
    . . . . .
    . P . . . T U
    V W . . . . .
      . 4 .
      . 7 .

```

By dualizing and permuting a solution from the folklore, we found a similar winning position with 20.
(T Q 4 R 1 L J H W Y M J) leaves:

```

      A B C
      D E F
    G H . . . L .
    N . . . . . U
    V W . . . 1 2
      3 . 5
      6 7 8

```

then (8 V A C/B 2 6 G M F/K S 8 1 Y V 3 Q A H E).

Another useful observation is that the pegs and their original hole positions fall into four equivalence classes in which they stay throughout the game. Thus the four pegs which can reach the center on the first move are the only ones that ever can. Similarly, the peg jumped over on the last move must be in one of the two classes of eight members which get reduced on the first move. The program's main heuristic was to reduce the larger classes first.

```

      a b a
      c d c
    a b a b a b a
    c d c . c d c
    a b a b a b a
      c d c
      a b a

```

With its heuristics disabled, the program simply scanned lexicographically (left to right in the inner loop, then top to bottom) for a peg which could move. At one point, there is a peg which can move two ways; it chose west. Twelve moves from the end it stopped and went into an exhaustive tree search, in which it found two basically different wins. (Try it yourself.)

```

      . . .
      . . .
    . . . . K . .
    . . Q . . . .
    . . X Y Z 1 2
      3 4 5
      6 7 8

```

ITEM 76 (Beeler):

Triangular Hi-Q (or peg solitaire) is 15 pegs in a triangle. One peg is removed, and thereafter pegs jump others, which are removed. With pegs numbered 1 at the top, 2 and 3 in the next row, etc.,

REMOVE CAN END WITH ONLY THE PEG

```

1      1, 7 = 10, 13
2      2, 6, 11, 14
4      3 = 12, 4, 9, 15
5      13

```

Removing only one, no way exists to get to either 1 + 11 + 15 (tips) or 4 + 6 + 13 (centers of sides). Starting with peg 1 removed, 3,016 positions are attainable (not turning board); the sum of ways to get to each of these is 10,306. An example is: remove peg 1, then jump as follows: 6, 13, 10, 1, 2, 11, 14/13, 6, 12/13, 15, 7/4, 13, 4; leaving peg 1.

 PROPOSED COMPUTER PROGRAMS, IN ORDER OF
 INCREASING RUNNING TIME (Schroeppel)

page 30

PROBLEM 77: Count the polyominoes up to, say, order 20.
 From Applied Combinatorial Mathematics, pages 201 and 213:

ORDER E. H. NOT ENCLOSING HOLES

1	1	1
2	1	1
3	2	2
4	5	5
5	12	12
6	35	35
7	108	107
8	369	363
9	1285	1248
10	4655	4271
11	17073	
12	63600	
13	238591	
14	901971	
15	3426576	
16	13079255	
17	50107911	
18	192622052	

The order 13 through 18 data is from Computers in Number Theory, 1971, Atkin & Birch, ed., Academic Press, which has not been independently checked. It also gives bounds $3.72 < \text{limit as } N \text{ goes to infinity of } N\text{th root of number of polyominoes of order } N \text{ (including those enclosing holes)} < 4.5$. Also an asymptotic formula for the number of polyominoes:

$N^{-.98 \pm .02} \cdot 4.06 \cdot (N^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\text{constant}}$. Polyominoes may be constructed in 3-space (Soma-like pieces) or higher dimensions; a curious thought is into how many dimensions does the average, say, 20-omino extend?

PROBLEM 78: Solve "minichess", chess played on a 5×5 board where each side has lost the king's rook, knight, bishop, and 3 pawns, and the opponents are shoved closer together (1 empty row intervening, no double pawn moves).

PROBLEM 79: Solve the tiger puzzle, a sliding block puzzle mentioned in Scientific American February 1964, pages 122 - 130.

PROBLEM 80: Find smallest squared square (a square composed entirely of smaller, unequal squares). Smallest known has 24 small squares (Martin Gardner's Scientific American Book, vol. 2, page 206). See also the following two illustrations. Recently, someone constructed a squared rectangle with sides in the ratio 1:2. It contains 1353 squares.




Figure 3(a). The smallest known (in 1961, and yet today as far as we know) squared square. Reprinted by special permission from Martin Gardner, The Second Scientific American Book of Mathematical Puzzles and Diversions, 1961, Simon and Schuster, New York, New York.




Figure 3(b). A squared rectangle found by Schroeppe using "String Handling Interpretive Translator," a string processing language written by Samson. Sides are $884808 = 2^3 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 12289$ and $752225 = 5^2 \cdot 30089$; semiperimeter is $1637033 = 419 \cdot 3907$. This has 28 squares, which is more than most published squared rectangles.

PROBLEM 81: Count the magic squares of order 5. There are about 320 million, not counting rotations and reflections.

PROBLEM 82: List (that is, count) the semigroups of 7 elements; also, the groups of 256 elements (estimated: 11000).

PROBLEM 83 (Gosper): Compute the integer-valued step function $F(R)$, $0 < R < 1$, the number of circles of radius R which fit into a unit circle. F skips the value 6, and probably 18. How many and how big are the gaps in the range of F ? What happens in n dimensions (including $n = \text{infinity}$)?

PROBLEM 84: Solve pentominos on an 8×8 checkerboard game(s).

Rules:

- 1 The checkerboard is for aid in orienting only; black and white are the same.
- 2 The two players may each have a full complement of 12 pentominos, or they may "choose up" their half of one set.
- 3 Players alternate placing pentominos on the board. Pentominos must not overlap.
- 4 The last player to place a pentomino wins.

PROBLEM 85: With regard to dissection theorems, the following are known: a triangle into a square, 4 pieces (proven minimal); a pentagon into a square, 6 pieces (best known) etc. ("Geometric Dissections" by Harry Lindgreen, Scientific American November 1961). A program can probably check the known dissections for minimality! See following illustration, for example.




Figure 4. A surprising square $\leftrightarrow$ hexagon dissection, adapted from page 164 of the November, 1961 issue of Scientific American, which see for further diagrams and discussion.

PROBLEM 86: Find the number of domino coverings for various objects. For example, an asymptotic formula is known for rectangles; also, on a square board, if side mod 4 = 0, coverings appears to be a square; on a square board, if side mod 4 = 2, coverings appears to be twice a square. See Applied Comb. Math., chap. 4.4-4.6, p. 105 - 121. Article by E. W. Montroll.

PROBLEM 87: Analyze giveaway chess, which is as follows:

- 1 captures must be made,
although you can choose which capture to make
- 2 pawns must be promoted to queens
- 3 king is just another piece
- 4 player to give away all pieces first wins

PROBLEM 88: Analyze "escalation chess", where white gets 1 move, black 2, white 3, etc. If a player is in check, he must get out of check on his first move. He may not move into check. Taking your opponent's king is verboten, but you can pile up triple checks, etc. A player is checkmated if he can't get his king out of check on his first move.

PROBLEM 89: In the game "4 pawns", black has 4 pawns, a king, and two moves to white's one. Prove the pawns win. The object in this game is to capture the king. Black is allowed to move through check.

PROBLEM 90: Solve Scarne's game, "Teeko," which is played on a 5×5 board by two players who alternate placing, one at a time, their 4 counters each, after which the counters are moved around (including diagonally). 4 in a row or square wins.

PROBLEM 91: Solve "five-in-a-row" on an infinite board.

PROBLEM 92: Solve Tic-Tac-Toe on a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ board. The consensus is a win for the first player, but it's unproven. The first player wins on $4 \times 4 \times 4 \times 4$.

PROBLEM 93: Solve checkers. There are about 10^{12} positions. (Computing time currently estimated (Schroeppel) at 1 year).

Programs below this line are considered unfeasible.

PROBLEM 94: Solve Hex on large boards (11 to 23 on a side); through order 7 have been analyzed by hand. There is a proof that in games where having an extra move can never (repeat: never) hurt you, the worst the first player can be forced to do is draw. Thus, with Hex, in which there is no draw, the first player can always win.

PROBLEM 95: Solve chess. There are about 10^{40} possible positions; in most of them, one side is hopelessly lost.

PROBLEM 96: Solve Go. About 10^{170} positions.

ITEM 97 (Schroeppel):

Simple proofs that certain continued fractions are $\sqrt{2}$, $\sqrt{3}$, etc.

Proof for $\sqrt{2}$:

$$X = [1, 2, 2, 2, \dots]$$

$$(X-1)(X+1) = [0, 2, 2, 2, \dots] * [2, 2, 2, 2, \dots] = 1$$

$$X^2 - 1 = 1$$

$$X = \sqrt{2}$$

Proof for $\sqrt{3}$:

$$Y = [1, 1, 2, 1, 2, \dots]$$

$$(Y+1)(Y-1) = [2, 1, 2, 1, 2, \dots] * [0, 1, 2, 1, 2, \dots]$$

$$= 2 * [1, 2, 1, 2, 1, \dots] * [0, 1, 2, 1, 2, \dots] = 2$$

$$Y^2 - 1 = 2$$

$$Y = \sqrt{3}$$

Similar proofs exist for $\sqrt{5}$ and $\sqrt{6}$; but $\sqrt{7}$ is hairy.

ITEM 98 (Schroeppel):

The continued fraction expansion of the positive minimum of the factorial function (about 0.46) is

[0, 2, 6, 63, 135, 1, 1, 1, 1, 4, 1, 43, ...].

ITEM 99 (Schroeppel):

The value of a continued fraction with partial quotients increasing in arithmetic progression is

$$[A+D, A+2D, A+3D, \dots] = \frac{I_{A/D}^{(2/D)}}{I_{1+(A/D)}^{(2/D)}}$$

where the I's are Bessel functions.

$$\text{A special case is } [1, 2, 3, 4, \dots] = \frac{I_0^{(2)}}{I_1^{(2)}}.$$

ITEM 100 (Perron):

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (1 + 1/A_k) =$$

$$1 + \frac{1}{A_1} - \frac{(A_1 + 1)A_1}{A_1 + A_2 + 1} - \frac{(A_2 + 1)A_2}{A_2 + A_3 + 1} - \dots - \frac{(A_{n-1} + 1)A_{n-1}}{A_{n-1} + A_n + 1}.$$

ITEM 101A (Gosper):

page 37

On the theory that continued fractions are underused, probably because of their unfamiliarity, I offer the following propaganda session on the relative merits of continued fractions versus other numerical representations. For a good cram course in continued fractions, see Knuth, volume 2, page 316. (In what follows, "regular" means that all numerators are 1, and any radix can be read in place of decimal.)

0) π is 3. But not really 3, more like $3 + 1/7$. But not really 7, more like $7 + 1/15$. But not really 15, So the regular continued fraction for π is written 3 7 15 1 292 1 1

1) The continued fractions for rational numbers always come out even, and rather quickly. Thus, the number of inches per meter is exactly $100/2.54$ or 39 2 1 2 2 1 4. The corresponding decimal fraction 39.3700787... has period 42, making it almost impossible to tell if the number is rational. (But if our data are ALL rational, the ordered pair 5000/127 is even more concise.)

2) Quadratic surds, which are of course inexpressible as rationals, are generally unrecognizable in decimal. Their continued fractions, on the other hand, are periodic. Nth roots of e^2 , ratios of Bessel functions, and ratios of linear functions of these all have regular continued fractions formed by interleaving one or more arithmetic sequences. These special properties will show up regardless of number base. You might recognize 5.436563... as $2e$, but even Schroeppel might not notice

that $6.1102966796...$ was $(4e^{2/3} - 2)/(e^{2/3} - 1)$ until he wrote it as 6 9 15 21 27 33

The familiar transcendental functions of rational arguments also have simple continued fractions, but these are generally not regular and cannot be reconstructed from numerical values by a simple algorithm, since nonregular representations aren't unique. The point is, however, that numbers like e , π , $\sqrt{2}$, $\sin .5$, $\sqrt{7} \arctan \sqrt{7}$, etc. can be expressed to unlimited precision by simple programs which produce the terms on demand.

3) If we define a rational approximation to be "best" if it comes closer than any other rational with such a small denominator, then continued fractions give the complete set of best rational approximations to the value which they represent. That is, if you truncate a (regular) continued fraction at any point, then the resulting rational number is a best approximation. Furthermore, this remains true if the last term of this approximation is replaced by any smaller positive integer other than 1. All best approximations can be generated in this manner, in order of increasing denominators (or numerators). For example, the approximants to $\pi = 3\ 7\ 15\ 1\ 292\ \dots$ are:

page 38

3: 1/1, 2/1, 3/1
 7: (4/1), 7/2, 10/3, 13/4, 16/5, 19/6, 22/7
 15: (25/8), 47/15, 69/22, 91/29, 113/36, ... 311/99, 333/106
 1: 355/113

Note that they are all automatically in lowest terms. The size of a denominator is greater than the product of the terms involved and less than the product of the numbers 1 greater than the terms. The approximations are low if the number of terms is odd, high if it's even. (Note that if a 1 ends a continued fraction, it should be added in to the previous term. Thus, to "round off" a continued fraction after a certain term, add in the next term iff it is ± 1 . In the above, 4/1 and 25/8 correspond to termination with a 1 and are not "best"; 355/113 is "best" because the corresponding term really should be 1.) The error is smaller than 1 over the product of the denominator squared and the first neglected term, so that the total number of digits (numerator and denominator) is usually slightly smaller than with equally accurate decimal fractions. 355/113 is good to 7.5 places instead of 5.5, due to the unusually large term (292) which follows.

4) Numerical comparison of continued fractions is slightly harder than in decimal, but much easier than with rationals -- just invert the decision as to which is larger whenever the first discrepant terms are even-numbered. Contrast this with the problem of comparing the rationals 113/36 and 355/113.

5) Regular continued fractions are in 1 to 1 correspondence with the real numbers, unlike decimal ($.5 = .49999\dots$) or rationals ($2/3 = 6/9$, $\sqrt{6} = ?$). Even infinity has a continued fraction, namely, the empty one! (Minus and plus infinity are the same in continued fraction notation.)

6) Each representation favors certain operations. Decimal favors multiplication by powers of 10. Rationals favor reciprocation, as do continued fractions. To reciprocate a regular continued fraction, add (or if possible, delete) an initial 0 term. To negate, negate all the terms, optionally observing that $-a, -b, -c, -d \dots = -a-1, 1, b-1, c, d \dots$.

7) The strongest argument for positional (e.g., decimal or floating) representation for non-integers is that arithmetic is easy. Rational number arithmetic often loses because numerators and denominators grow so large as to require icky multiprecision. Algorithms for arithmetic on continued fractions seem generally unknown. The next items describe how to arithmetically combine continued fractions to produce new ones, one term at a time.

Unfortunately, the effort required to perform these operations manually is several times that for decimal, but the rewards for machine implementation are considerable (which can also be said of floating point). Specifically, these rewards will be seen to be: unlimited significance arithmetic without multiprecision multiplication or division, built in error analysis, immorally easy computation of algebraic functions, no unnecessary computations, no discarding of information (as with roundoff and truncation), reversibility of computations, and the terms of the answer start to come out right away and continue to do so until shut off.

ITEM 101B (Gosper):

Continued Fraction Arithmetic

Continued fractions let us perform numerical calculations a little at a time without ever introducing any error, such as roundoff or truncation. As if this weren't enough, the calculations provide automatic error analysis, and obviate most forms of successive approximation. This means we can start with an arithmetic expression like $\sqrt{3/\pi^2 + e} / (\tanh \sqrt{5} - \sin 69)$ and immediately begin to produce the value as a sequence of continued fraction terms (or even decimal digits, if we should be so reactionary), limited only by time and storage. If there are quantities in the expression which are known only approximately, the calculation can provide error bounds on the answer as well as identify the quantity that limited the significance.

All this is possible because each operation (+, /, -, $\sqrt{\quad}$) in the arithmetic expression requests terms from the continued fractions of its operands only when necessary, and consequently produces terms of its own value as soon as possible. Numbers like π and e and functions like $\sin$ and $\tanh$ have continued fraction terms in simple sequences which can be produced by short programs. Imprecise quantities can also be programs which deliver terms until they run out of confidence, whereupon they initiate special action. By then, the last guaranteeable term of the overall expression will have already been produced.

We see then that no calculation is performed unnecessarily, so that, for example, a subexpression which happened to be multiplied by zero would never be evaluated. Also, an operation detecting a deficiency in two or more of its operands provides a natural mechanism for allocating multiprocessor resources, should you have some.

Here are the algorithms for the elementary arithmetic operations on continued fractions.

page 40

Let x be a continued fraction $p_0 + q_0 / (p_1 + q_1 / (\dots = p_0 + q_0 / x'$ where x' is again a continued fraction and the p 's and q 's are integers. We shall call a $(p \ q)$ pair a "term" of the continued fraction for x . Often, only the p 's are mentioned, in which case the q 's are implicitly all 1, and x is called a "regular" continued fraction.

Instead of a list of p 's and q 's, let x be a computer subroutine which produces its next p and q each time it is called. Thus on its first usage x will "output" p_0 and q_0 and, in effect, change itself into x' . Similarly, let y be another procedurally represented continued fraction $r_0 + s_0 / y'$. Our problem will be solved if we can write such subroutines for $z(x,y) = x+y, x-y, xy$, and x/y . When called upon to output a term of z , the subroutine might in turn call for (or "input") terms from x and y until it is satisfied that the unread portions of x and y cannot affect the pending term of z . Then it would output this term and change itself into z' , so that it could produce the next term next time. Unfortunately, when we try to do this, our expressions quickly complicate. Let us preempt this complication by computing instead the more general function

$$z(x,y) = (axy+bx+cy+d)/(exy+fx+gy+h)$$

(or $(a \ b \ c \ d)/(e \ f \ g \ h)$ for short) where a through h are integer variables whose initial values we are free to choose. Various choices express

addition: $x+y = (0 \ 1 \ 1 \ 0)/(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)$,
subtraction: $x-y = (0 \ 1 \ -1 \ 0)/(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)$,
multiplication: $xy = (1 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0)/(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1)$, and
division: $x/y = (0 \ 1 \ 0 \ 0)/(0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0)$.

As we shall see, the process of inputting terms of x and y and outputting terms of z will reduce to replacing the eight integers a through h with linear combinations of each other.

When z inputs a term of x , z becomes a new function of x' . To see how this happens, substitute $p + q/x'$ for every occurrence of x in the expression for $z(x,y)$, then multiply numerator and denominator through by x' :

$$z(x',y) = (pa+c \ pb+d \ qa \ qb)/(pe+g \ pf+h \ qe \ qf).$$

If x was rational and has run out of terms,
it has in effect become infinite:

page 41

$$z(\infty, y) = (0 \ 0 \ a \ b) / (0 \ 0 \ e \ f)$$

If instead we input a term of y by substituting
 $r + s/y'$ for every occurrence of y:

$$z(x, y') = (ra+b \ sa \ rc+d \ sc) / (re+f \ se \ rg+h \ sg).$$

If y runs out of terms:

$$z(x, \infty) = (0 \ a \ 0 \ c) / (0 \ e \ 0 \ g)$$

To output the term $(t \ u)$, so that $z = t + u/z'$
(i.e., $z' = u/(z-t)$):

$$z'(x, y) = (ue \ uf \ ug \ uh) / (a-te \ b-tf \ c-tg \ d-th).$$

Thus this basic eight variable form is preserved by all
three operations, which can be performed in any order
since they represent independent substitutions.

For simplicity, let us assume that z will output in standard
form, that is, every $u = 1$ (regular) and every output term
 $t \geq 1$ except perhaps the first. This means that z' will always
exceed 1 and thus $0 \leq u/z' < 1$, so that the integer $t = z - u/z'$
must = $[z]$, the greatest integer $\leq z$.

Since z generally varies with x and y, it should not output
unless $[z]$ is constant for the range of possible x and y. We can
easily compute the range of z given the ranges of x and y if we
represent each range by the endpoints of an interval (in either
order), along with a bit indicating Inside or Outside. Thus if z
is in standard form, we can say that z will always be (Inside $1 \ \infty$)
(or (Outside $-\infty \ 1$)) after the first term. If z were to always
output its nearest integer instead of its greatest, then none of
the terms after the first would be 1, although they would
probably vary in sign. In this case, z would be (Outside $-2 \ 2$).

Now hold y fixed and examine the behavior of z with x. If x is
(Inside a b) then z is (Inside $z(a) \ z(b)$) unless the denominator
of z changes sign between a and b (i.e., z has its pole in this
interval), whereupon z is (Outside $z(a) \ z(b)$). Symmetrically,
when x is (Outside a b) then z is (Outside $z(a) \ z(b)$) unless the
signs of the denominators of $z(a)$ and $z(b)$ differ, whereupon z is
(Inside $z(a) \ z(b)$). This argument still holds with x and y
interchanged.

Now suppose that with y fixed at one of its endpoints, x constrains z (Inside 1 2), and at y 's other extreme, $z(x)$ is (Outside 0 3). Suppose further that at the two extremes of x , $z(y)$ is (Inside 1 3) and (Outside 0 2). Then $z(x,y)$ is (Outside 0 1), the union of the four ranges. (Outside 0 2) is the widest, indicating that z will probably get more information from a term of y than a term of x . (Topology hackers should recognize this Inside-Outside nonsense as ordinary intervals in toroidal space. The clue is that both plus and minus infinity are denoted by the empty continued fraction.)

Due to the basically monotonic behavior of z , we can guarantee that the actual range of z will be the union of these four ranges, and that this range will be Inside or Outside some interval. If it is (Inside $z_1 z_2$) and $[z_1] = [z_2]$, z can output the term $t = [z_1]$. Otherwise, z must input a term from x or y , whichever was associated with the widest of the four ranges of z . (Outside narrowness) is wider than (Outside wideness) is wider than (Inside wideness) is wider than (Inside narrowness).

Evaluating z on these endpoints may be facilitated by keeping estimates for the integer variables in floating point.

Even if z doesn't produce a term, narrowing the range of possible z will still help in computing the range of a function of z , especially if z gets stuck trying to output the last term of a rational number resulting from irrational x and y . (There is no way to guarantee that x or y won't eventually deviate, whereupon z would egest a gigantic term.)

z can produce its value as decimal digits by multiplying by 10 instead of reciprocating, after outputting $t = [z]$:

$$z'(x,y) = (10(a-te) \cdot 10(b-tf) \cdot 10(c-tg) \cdot 10(d-th)) / (e f g h).$$

Strange to say, it is not serious if z for some reason outputs the terms 7 5 1 when it should have produced 6 9. As soon as permitted, it will simply recant with 0 -1 -5 and continue with the correction -1 9. The sequence 7 5 1 0 -1 -5 -1 9 is equivalent to 6 9 because $b \ 0 \ c$ is the same as $b+c$. In order to undo these computations, z violates the condition (Outside -1 1) when it is 0 -1 -5 This condition is obeyed by nearly all convergent continued fractions after their first term, and its violation will very probably cause further retractions among the functions dependent upon z .

This computation reversal trick is also handy for mechanizing and denoting imprecise quantities. Instead of $2.997930 \pm .000003$, we have 2 1 481 0 2, meaning between 2 1 481 and 2 1 483. Similarly, 137 26 0 1 replaces $137.0373 \pm .0006$.

Successive approximations methods benefit considerably from not requesting terms until needed. Consider Newton's method for algebraic roots. We expect successive approximations to have about twice as many correct terms each time. Since the production of these terms cannot be aided by reading incorrect terms, the additional correct terms must be produced before the bad ones of the previous approximation are used. But this means that there is no need to read in the bad ones at all. By feeding back the output terms in place of the approximation, we get the correct answer directly! (69% of the credit for this goes to Schroepfel.)

The basic eight variable form exemplified above by $z(x,y)$ is not the only form preserved by continued fraction term transactions. We need only four variables and a single interval check to compute $z(x) = (ax+b)/(cx+d)$, the homographic function of one argument. On the other hand, $z(w,x,y)$ (linear in all three arguments) requires sixteen variables and a twelve way interval check. Each of these forms can be solved for x in terms of z etc. to get a function of the same form. This is not true of

$$z(x) = (ax^2+bx+c)/(dx^2+ex+f),$$

for example, even though this form is also preserved. This form is not guaranteed monotone, thus theoretically invalidating the interval check algorithm, but it hardly ever errs. Even if it did, it would quickly correct itself anyway. This form is not only more economical than $z(x,x)$, it is essential for the success of the Newton's method feedback trick, which must know when two variables are really the same one.

By choosing the eight coefficients a through h properly, it should be possible to rewrite arithmetic expressions as compositions of considerably fewer of these forms than one for each $+$, $-$, $*$, and $/$. The reader is invited to investigate the problem of trying to find minimal representations. Depending on the metric for minimality, the question can be complicated by allowing higher powers of x and y . If the highest powers of x , y , z , ... in an invariant form are i , j , k , ..., then the number of integer variables required for the coefficients (mostly because of all of the cross terms) is $2(i+1)(j+1)(k+1)\dots$.

It is awkward in this system to evaluate transcendental functions of irrational arguments. The problem is that you may need any number of continued fraction (or series) terms which, instead of being numbers, are symbolic functions of x , some infinite continued fraction. My suggestion is to represent each symbolic term of the function by a subroutine which is a function of x and the next term, with this next term really a dummy until actually called upon for output, whereupon it replaces itself with a full fledged term subroutine which in turn refers to x and a new dummy.

Sad to say, the integer variables in these algorithms do not usually shrink on outputs as much as they grow on inputs. Fortunately, the operations for input and output only require (besides addition) multiplication by terms which are almost invariably small. (I have not seen a term exceed 20776 except in specially constructed numbers.) It is fairly safe, then, to declare any function which has gotten (Outside -2^{35} 2^{35}) to be infinite, thus terminating its continued fraction. Better still, note that the term 20776 is equivalent to the terms 20000 0 700 0 70 0 6, i.e., a very large term can be transmitted piecewise. Although this is just thinly disguised multiprecision multiplication, that first piece of the term will probably satisfy its recipient for quite some time.

In some special cases, the integer variables will become periodic rather than large, especially when all but one of the arguments to a function have terminated. Then, we have the form $z(x) = (ax+b)/(cx+d)$, known as a homographic function. If $ad-bc$ is ± 1 , then a, b, c, d will eventually become 1, 0, 0, 1, whereupon z will output the terms of x unmodified. Periodicity will also occur when x is a Hurwitz number, i.e., when the terms of x are the values of one or more polynomials evaluated on consecutive integers and then interleaved. Both $1/69$, $\sqrt{105}$, and e are Hurwitz numbers whose polynomials are linear or constant. Hurwitzness is preserved by homographic functions. If one can show that π is not a Hurwitz number, one confirms the long standing conjectures that $e\pi$, $e+\pi$, e/π , etc. are all irrational.

If z, x , and y are all regular, then it generally won't be possible to reduce z by finding a GCD of a through h which is > 1 . However, it has been determined empirically that much reduction is often possible in other cases. This reduction is almost always by a divisor of an input or output term numerator (or 10 if output is decimal digits) and can be facilitated by keeping certain of the integer variables around modulo these quantities.

ITEM 101C (Gosper):

Problem: Given an interval, find in it the rational number with smallest numerator and denominator.

Solution: Express the endpoints as continued fractions. Find the first term where they differ and add 1 to the lesser term, unless it's last. Discard the terms to the right. What's left is the continued fraction for the "smallest" rational in the interval. (If one fraction terminates but matches the other as far as it goes, append an infinity and proceed as above.)

 GROUP THEORY

page 45

ITEM 102 (Schroeppel):

As opposed to the usual formulation of a group, where you are given

- 1 there exists an I such that $A * I = I * A = A$, and
- 2 for all A, B and C , $(A * B) * C = A * (B * C)$, and
- 3 for each A there exists an $\bar{A}$ such that

$$A * \bar{A} = \bar{A} * A = I, \text{ and}$$

- 4 sometimes you are given that I and $\bar{A}$ are unique.

If instead you are given $A * I = A$ and $A * \bar{A} = I$, then the above rules can be derived. But if you are given $A * I = A$ and $\bar{A} * A = I$, then something very much like a group, but not necessarily a group, results. For example, every element is duplicated.

ITEM 103 (Gosper):

The Hamiltonian paths through the $N!$ permutations of N objects using only SWAP (swap any specific pair) and ROTATE (1 position) are as follows:

N	PATHS + DISTINCT REVERSES
2	2 + 0, namely: S, R
3	2 + 1, namely: SRRSR, RRSRR
4	3 + 3, namely:
	SRR RSR SRR RSR RRS RSR RSR RR
	RSR SRR RSR RRS RSR RRS RSR RR
	SRR RSR RRS RRS RSR RRS RRR SR

PROBLEM: A questionable program said there are none for $N = 5$; is this so?

ITEM 104 (Schroeppel):

Any permutation on 72 bits can be coded with a routine containing only the PDP-6/10 instructions "ROT" and "ROTC".

 SET THEORY

ITEM 105 (Komolgoroff, maybe?):

Given a set of real numbers, how many sets can you get using only closure and complement? Answer: 14.

 QUATERNIONS

ITEM 107 (Salamon):

A quaternion is a 4-tuple which can be regarded as a scalar plus a vector. Quaternions add linearly and multiply (non-commutatively) by

$$(S_1 + V_1)(S_2 + V_2) = S_1 S_2 - V_1 \cdot V_2 + S_1 V_2 + V_1 S_2 + V_1 \times V_2$$

where

S=scalar part, V=vector part, .=dot product, x=cross product.

If $Q = S + V = (Q_0, Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$, then $S = Q_0$, $V = (Q_1, Q_2, Q_3)$.

Define conjugation by $(S + V)^* = S - V$. The (absolute value)² of a quaternion is $Q_0^2 + Q_1^2 + Q_2^2 + Q_3^2 = Q Q^* = Q^* Q$.

The non-zero quaternions form a group under multiplication with $(1, 0, 0, 0) = 1$ as identity and $1/Q = Q^*/(Q^* Q)$. The unit quaternions, which lie on a 3-sphere embedded in 4-space, form a subgroup. The mapping $F(Q) = PQ$ (P a unit quaternion) is a rigid rotation in 4-space. This can be verified by expressing PQ as a 4×4 matrix times the 4-vector Q , and then noting that the matrix is orthogonal. $F(Q)$ restricted to the unit quaternions is a rigid rotation of the 3-sphere, and because this mapping is a group translation, it has no fixed point.

We can define a dot product of quaternions as the dot product of 4-vectors. Then $Q_1 \cdot Q_2 = 0$ iff Q_1 is perpendicular to Q_2 . Let N be a unit vector. To each unit quaternion $Q = S + V$, attach the quaternion $NQ = -N \cdot V + N S + N \times V$. Then it is seen that $(NQ) \cdot (NQ) = N \cdot N = 1$ and $(NQ) \cdot Q = 0$. Geometrically this means that NQ is a continuous unit 4-vector field tangent to the 3-sphere. No such tangent vector field exists for the ordinary 2-sphere. Clearly the 1-sphere has such a vector field.
 PROBLEM: For which N -spheres does a continuous unit tangent vector field exist?

Let W be a vector (quaternion with zero scalar part) and $Q = S + V$. Then $Q W Q^* = (S^2 + V \cdot V)W + 2 S V \times W + 2 V(V \cdot W)$. Let N be a unit vector and Q the unit quaternion $Q = \pm(\cos(\theta/2) + N \sin(\theta/2))$. Then $Q W Q^* = (\cos \theta)W + (\sin \theta)(N \times W) + (1 - \cos \theta)N(N \cdot W)$, which is W rotated thru angle θ about N . If Q thus induces rotation R , then $Q_1 Q_2$ induces rotation $R_1 R_2$. So the projective 3-sphere ($+Q$ and $-Q$ identified) is isomorphic to the rotation group (3×3 orthogonal matrices). Projectiveness is unavoidable since a 2π rotation about any axis changes $Q = 1$ continuously into $Q = -1$.

Let U be a neighborhood of the identity in the rotation group (ordinary 3 dimensional rotations) and U_1 the corresponding set of unit quaternions in the neighborhood of 1. If a rotation R carries U into U' , then a quaternion corresponding to R carries U_1 into U'_1 . But quaternion multiplication is a rigid rotation of the 3-sphere, so U_1 and U'_1 have equal volume. This shows that in the quaternion representation of the rotation group, the Haar measure is the Lebesgue measure on the 3-sphere.

Every rotation is a rotation by some angle θ about some axis. If rotations are chosen "uniformly", what is the probability distribution of θ ? By the above, we choose points uniformly on the 3-sphere (or hemisphere since it is really projective). Going into polar coordinates, one finds $P(\theta) = (2/\pi) (\sin \theta/2)^2$, $0 < \theta < \pi$. In particular, the expected value of θ is $\pi/2 + 2/\pi$.

Quaternions form a convenient 4-parameter representation of rotations, since composition of rotations is done by quaternion multiplication. In contrast, 3-parameter representations like Euler angles or (roll, pitch, yaw) require trigonometry for composition, and orthogonal matrices are 9-parameter. In space guidance systems under development at D-lab, the attitude of the spacecraft is stored in the guidance computer as a quaternion.

 POLYOMINOS, ETC.

page 48

ITEM 108:
 See the PROPOSED COMPUTER PROGRAMS section
 for counts of polyominoes of orders < 19.

ITEM 109 (Schroeppel):
 Tessellating the plane with polyominoes:
 Through all hexominoes, the plane can be tessellated with
 each piece (without even flipping any over). All but the
 four heptominoes below can tessellate the plane, again without
 being flipped over. Thus, flipping does not buy you anything
 through order 7. (There are 108 heptominoes).

H	H	HHH	H	H
HHHHH	H H	HHHH	HHHH	
	HH	H	H	
		H	H	

ITEM 110 (Schroeppel):
 PROBLEM: What rectangles are coverable
 by various polyominoes? For example,

XX can cover rectangles which are $3N \times M$,
 X except if $N = 1$, then M must be even.

YYYY can be shown by coloring to cover only rectangles
 having at least one side divisible by four.

ITEM 111 (Schroeppel):
 PROBLEM: Find a necessary and sufficient condition for
 an arbitrary shape in the plane to be domino coverable.

ITEM 112 (Beeler):
 "Iamonds" are made of equilateral triangles, like diamonds.
 "(Poly-)ominoes" are made of squares, like dominos.
 "Hexafrobs" are made of hexagons.
 "Soma-like" pieces are made of cubes.
 See also "Polyiamonds," Math. Games, Sci. Am., December 1964.
 Left and right 3-dimensional forms are counted as distinct.

ORDER	IAMONDS	OMINOS	HEXA'S	SOMA-LIKE
1	1	1	1	1
2	1	1	1	1
3	1	2	3	2
4	3	5	7	8
5	4	12	22	29
6	12	35		
7	24			
8	66			
9	160			
10	448			

Polyominoes of order 1, 2 and 3 cannot form a rectangle. Orders 4 and 6 can be shown to form no rectangles by a checkerboard coloring. Order 5 has several boards and its solutions are documented (Communications of the ACM, October 1965):

BOARD DISTINCT SOLUTIONS

3 x 20 2

4 x 15 368

5 x 12 1010

6 x 10 2339 (verified)

two 5 x 6 -- 2

8 x 8 with 2 x 2 hole in center -- 65

CONJECTURE (Schroepel): If the omios of a given order form rectangles of different shapes, the rectangle which is more nearly square will have more solutions.

Order-4 hexafrob boards and solution counts:

side 7 triangle -- no solutions

parallelogram, base 7, side 4 -- 9 distinct solutions

e.g., A A A A B C C

D E B B C F C

D E E B F G G

D D E F F G G

Order-6 iamond boards and solution counts (see illustration):

side 9 triangle with inverted side 3 triangle

in center removed -- no solutions

trapezoid, side 6, bases 3 and 3+6 -- no solutions

two triangles of side 6 -- no solutions

trapezoid, side 4, bases 7 and 7+4

-- 76 distinct solutions

parallelogram, base 6, side 6 -- 156 distinct solutions

parallelogram, base 4, side 9 -- 37 distinct solutions

parallelogram, base 3, side 12 -- no solutions

triangle of side 9 with triangles of side 1, 2 and 2

removed from its corners (a commercial puzzle)

-- 5885 distinct solutions

With Soma-like pieces, orders 1, 2 and 3 do not have interesting boxes. Order 4 has 1390 distinct solutions for a 2 x 4 x 4 box. 1124 of these have the four-in-a-row on an edge; the remaining 266 have that piece internal. 320 solutions are due to variations of ten distinct solutions decomposable into two 2 x 2 x 4 boxes. A Soma-like 2 x 4 x 4 solution:

AAAA BBIH

BCCC BHHC

DDDE FGGE

FDGE FFGE

The commercial Soma has 240 distinct solutions; the booklet which comes with it says this was found years ago on a 7094. Verified by both Beeler and Clements.




Figure 5. Some hexiamond solutions.

ITEM 113:

Although not new (cf Coxeter, Introduction to Geometry, 1st ed. p393), the following coloring number (chromatic number) may be useful to have around:

$$N = \lceil [(7 + \sqrt{48H + 1})/2] \rceil$$

where N is the number of colors required to color any map on an object which has H holes (note: proof not valid for H = 0).

For example:

A donut (holes = 1) requires 7 colors to color maps on it.

A 17-hole frob requires 17 colors.

An 18-hole frob requires 18 colors.

ITEM 114 (Schroeppel):

A most regular 7-coloring of the torus can be made by tiling the plane with the following repeating pattern of hexagons of 7 colors:

```

A A      C C      E E
A A A    C C C    E E E
  A A  F F  C C  A A  E E
    F F F      A A A
  B B  F F  D D  A A  F F
B B B    D D D    F F F
  B B  G G  D D  B B  F F
    G G G      B B B
  C C  G G  E E  B B  G G
C C C    E E E    G G G
  C C  A A  E E  C C  G G
    A A A      C C C
  D D  A A  F F  C C  A A
D D D    F F F    A A A
  D D  B B  F F  D D  A A
    B B B      D D D
  E E  B B  G G  D D  B B
E E E    G G G    B B B
  E E  C C  G G  E E  B B
    C C C      E E E
      C C      E E

```

Draw an area 7 unit cell parallelogram by connecting, say, the center B's in each of the four

B B

B B B

B B .

Finally, join the opposite sides of the parallelogram to form a torus in the usual (Spacewar) fashion. QUESTION (Gosper): is there a toroidal heptahedron corresponding to this?

ITEM 115 (Gosper):

page 52

A spacefilling curve is a continuous map $T \rightarrow X(T), Y(T)$, usually from the unit interval onto the unit square, often presented as the limit of a sequence of curves made by iteratively quadrisecting the unit square. Each member of the sequence is then 4 copies of its predecessor, connected in the shape of an inverted V, with the first member being a V which connects 0,0 to 1,0. The limiting map, $X(T)$ and $Y(T)$, can be computed instead by a simple, finite-state machine having 4 inputs (digits of T base 4), 4 outputs (one bit of X and one bit of Y), and 4 states (2 bits) of memory (the number modulo 2 of 0's and 3's seen in T).

Let T , X , and Y be written in binary as:

$T = .A \ B \ A \ B \ A \ B \ \dots$ $X = .X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ X \ \dots$ $Y = .Y \ Y \ Y \ Y \ Y \ Y \ \dots$
 1 1 2 2 3 3 1 2 3 4 5 6 1 2 3 4 5 6

ALGORITHM S:

```

      C ← 0 ;# of 0's mod 4
      0

      C ← 0 ;# of 3's mod 4
      1

S1:   X ← AI XOR CI ;Ith bit of X
      NOT BI

      Y ← XI XOR BI ;Ith bit of Y

      C0 ← C0 XOR (NOT AI AND NOT BI) ;count 00's

      C1 ← C1 XOR (AI AND BI) ;count 11's

GO S1

```

OLD						NEW	
C	C	A	B	X	Y	C	C
0	1	I	I	I	I	0	1
0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0
0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1
0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0
1	0	1	0	1	1	1	0
1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1
1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1
1	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1
1	1	1	1	0	1	1	0

This is the complete
state transition table.

To carry out either the forward or reverse map, label a set of columns as in the table above. Fill in whichever you know of AB or XY, with consecutive rows corresponding to consecutive I's. Put 0 0 in the top position of the OLD CC column. Exactly one row of the above table will match the row you have written so far. Fill in the rest of the row. Copy the NEW CC entry to the OLD CC column in the next row. Again, only one row of the state table will match, and so forth. For example, the map $5/6 \rightarrow (1/2, 1/2)$ (really $.11010101... \rightarrow (.1000... , .0111...)$):

OLD						NEW	
C	C	A	B	X	Y	C	C
0	1	I	I	I	I	0	1
0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.
= 5/6		1/2	1/2	1/2			

We note that since this is a one-to-one map on bit strings, it is not a one-to-one map on real numbers. For instance, there are 2 ways to write $1/2$, $.1000...$ and $.0111...$, and thus 4 ways to write $(1/2, 1/2)$, giving 3 distinct inverses, $1/6$, $1/2$, and $5/6$. Since the algorithm is finite state, X and Y are rational iff T is, e.g., $898/4369 \rightarrow (1/5, 1/3)$. The parity number, (see SERIES section) and $1-(\text{parity number})$ are the only reals satisfying $X(T)=T$, $Y(T)=1$. This is related to the fact that they have no 0's and 3's base 4, and along with 0, $1/2$, and $1=.111...$, are the only numbers preserved by the deletion of their even numbered bit positions.

 SERIES

page 54

ITEM 116 (Schroeppel & Gosper):

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{N!N!}{(2N)!} = \frac{4}{3} + \frac{2\pi}{9\sqrt{3}}. \quad \text{PROBLEM: Evaluate in closed form}$$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{N!N!N!}{(3N)!}, \text{ which } = \int_0^1 (P + Q \arccos(R)) dT, \text{ where}$$

$$P = \frac{2(8 + 7T^2 - 7T^3)}{(4 - T^2 + T^3)^2}$$

and

$$Q = \frac{4(T - T^2)(5 + T^2 - T^3)}{(4 - T^2 + T^3)^2 \sqrt{(4 - T^2 + T^3)(1 - T)}}$$

and

$$R = 1 - \frac{T^2 - T^3}{2}.$$

ITEM 117 (Henry Cohen):

$$Y = -\ln X + X - \frac{X^2}{2 \cdot 2!} + \frac{X^3}{3 \cdot 3!} - \frac{X^4}{4 \cdot 4!} \dots + \text{ERROR}$$

Where ERROR is of the order of $(e^{-X})/X$.

ITEM 118 (Schroeppel): -Y

Differentiate $Ye^{-X} = X$ to get $Y + YXY' - XY' = 0$. Substitute for Y a power series in X with coefficients to be determined. One observes the curious identity:

$$\sum_{J=1}^N (\text{BINOMIAL } N \ J) J^{J-1} (N-J)^{N-J} = N \quad (0^0=1)$$

$$\text{and thus } Y(X) = \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \frac{N^{N-1}}{N!} X^N$$

ITEM 119 (Schroeppel):

PROBLEM: Can someone square some series for π to give

$$\frac{\pi^2}{6} = \frac{1}{1^2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots = \sum \frac{1}{N^2} ?$$

ITEM 120 (Euler):

page 55

The series accelerating transformation
(Abramowitz & Stegun, sec. 3.6.27)

$$A_0 - A_1 + A_2 - \dots = \sum_{K=0}^{\infty} (-1)^K (\Delta^K A_0) / 2^K$$

$$\text{(where } (\Delta^K A_0) = \sum_{M=0}^K (-1)^{K-M} (\text{BINOMIAL } K \ M) A_M \text{ = Kth forward difference on } A_0 \text{ when applied to } A_0 \text{)}$$

forward difference on A_0) when applied to

$$\pi/4 = 1 - \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{2^5} - \dots \text{ gives } \pi/4 = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^N}{(2N+1)!}$$

Applied to the formula for γ in Amer. Math. Monthly

$$\text{(vol. 76, \#3, Mar69 p273)} = \sum_{T=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{T-1} T!}{2^{T-1} (\log_2 T)!}$$

([] mean integer part of) we get

$$\sum_{K=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{K-1} K!}{2^{K-1} (\log_2 K)!} = \sum_{J=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\text{BINOMIAL } 2^J \ J)}$$

(Gosper) which converges fast enough for a few hundred digits.
The array of reciprocals of the terms follows, with powers of 2 factored out to the left from all members of each row.

4				1				
8				1		3		
16				1		5		6
32			1		9	15	10	
64		1		17	45	35	15	
128	1		33	153	165	70	21	
256	1	65	561	969	495	126	28	

The next to left diagonal is 2^{N+1} ; the perpendicular one 3rd from the right is 1, $*9/1=9$, $*10/2=45$, $*11/3=165$, $*12/4=495$.

ITEM 121 (Gosper):

Consider the triangular array:

				1				
			1		1			
		1		4		1		
	1		11		11		1	
	1	26		66		26		1
	1	57	302		302		57	1

This bears an interesting relationship to Pascal's triangle.
The 302 in the 4th southeast diagonal and the 3rd southwest one
= $4*26 + 3*66$. Note that rows then sum to factorials rather
than powers of 2. If the nth row of the triangle is dotted with
any n consecutive elements of (either) n+1st diagonal of Pascal's
triangle, we get the nth Bernoulli polynomial: for $n=5$,
 $1(6,i) + 26(6,i+1) + 66(6,i+2) + 26(6,i+3) + 1(6,i+4) =$
sum of 5th powers of 1 thru $i+5$, where $(j,i) = \text{BINOMIAL } (j+i \ j)$.

$$\text{The "parity number"} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^N} (\text{parity of } N) \cdot 2^{-N}$$

where the parity of N is the sum of the bits of N mod 2. The parity number's value is .4124540336401075977..., or, for hexadecimal freaks, .6996966996696996... . It can be written (base 2) in stages by taking the previous stage, complementing, and appending to the previous stage:

.0
 .01
 .0110
 .01101001
 .0110100110010110
 .01101001100101101001... radix 2

$$\text{i.e., stage } 0 = 0 \quad \text{stage } N+1 = \text{stage } N + \frac{(1 - 2^{-N}) - \text{stage } N}{2^{-N}}$$

$$\text{If } \begin{aligned} \text{NUM } 0 &= 0, \text{ DEN } 0 = 2 \\ \text{NUM } N+1 &= ((\text{NUM } N) + 1) \cdot ((\text{DEN } N) - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{DEN } N+1 = (\text{DEN } N)^2 = 2^{N+1}$$

$$\text{then } \frac{\text{NUM } N+1}{\text{DEN } N+1} = \text{stage } N+1 = (\text{stage } N + 2^{-N}) \cdot (1 - 2^{-N})$$

Or, faster, by substituting in the string at any stage:

the string itself for zeros, and
 the complement of the string for ones.

It is claimed (perhaps proven by Thue?)
 that the parity number is transcendental.

Its regular continued fraction begins: 0 2 2 2 1 4 3 5 2 1 4 2 1
 5 44 1 4 1 2 4 1 1 1 5 14 1 50 15 5 1 1 1 4 2 1 4 1 43 1 4 1 2 1
 3 16 1 2 1 2 1 50 1 2 424 1 2 5 2 1 1 1 5 5 2 22 5 1 1 1 1274 3 5
 2 1 1 1 4 1 1 15 154 7 2 1 2 2 1 2 1 1 50 1 4 1 2 867374 1 1 1 5
 5 1 1 6 1 2 7 2 1650 23 3 1 1 1 2 5 3 84 1 1 1 1284 ... and seems
 to continue with sporadic large terms in suspicious patterns.
 A non-regular fraction is

$$1/(3 - 1/(2 - 1/(4 - 3/(16 - 15/(256 - 255/(65536 - 65535/$$

$$\dots \frac{2^N}{2^N} - (2^N - 1)/(\dots)$$

This fraction converges much more rapidly than the regular one,

its N th approximant being $\frac{1 + \text{NUM } N}{1 + \text{DEN } N}$, which is, in fact,
 an approximant of the regular fraction, roughly the 2^N th.

In addition, $4 * (\text{parity number}) =$

page 57

$$2 - \frac{1}{2} * \frac{3}{4} * \frac{15}{16} * \frac{255}{256} * \frac{65535}{65536} * \dots$$

This gives still another non-regular fraction per the product conversion item in the CONTINUED FRACTION section.

For another property of the parity number, see the spacefilling curve item in the TOPOLOGY section.

ITEM 123 (Schroeppel, Gosper, Salamin):

Consider the image of the circle $|z| = 1$ under the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{2^n} . \quad \text{This is physically analogous to a series of}$$

clock hands placed end to end. The first hand rotates around the center (0,0) at some rate. The next hand is half as long and rotates around the end of the first hand at twice this rate. The third hand rotates around the end of the second at four times this rate; etc. It would seem that the end of the "last" hand (really there are infinitely many) would sweep through space very fast, tracing out an (infinitely) long curve in the time the first hand rotates once. The hands shrink, however, because of the 2^n in the denominator. Thus it is unclear whether the speed of the "last" hand is really infinite; or, whether the curve's arc length is really infinite.

Also, it is a visually interesting curve, as are $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\text{FIB}(n)}$,

and $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{\text{FIB}(n)}$. Gosper has programmed the one mentioned

first, which makes an intriguing display pattern. See following illustrations. If you write a program to display this, be sure to allow easy changing of:

- (1) z and $\bar{z}$ on alternate terms (alternate hands rotate in opposite directions),
 - (2) negation of alternate terms (alternate hands initially point in opposite directions), and
 - (3) how many terms are used in the computation,
- since these cause fascinating variations in the resulting curve.




Figure 6(a). Image of circles $|z| = 1/2, 3/4, 7/8, 1$ under the
function $f(z) = \sum \frac{z^n}{n!}$.




Figure 6(b). Image of circles $|z| = 1/8, 2/8, \dots, 8/8$ under

the function $f(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{n^2}$.

Both plots by Salamin on the RLE PDP-1.

ITEM 124 (Schroeppel):

page 60

Consider

$$\sum \frac{1}{N^2} = \sum \left[\frac{1}{N-1/2} - \frac{1}{N+1/2} \right] + \sum \left[\frac{1}{N^2} - \frac{1}{N^2-1/4} \right] = 2 - \sum \frac{1}{(4N^2-1) \cdot N^2}.$$

Take the last sum and re-apply this transformation.

This may be a winner for computing the original sum.

For example, the next iteration gives

$$\frac{31}{18} - \sum \frac{1}{N^2(4N^2-1)(25N^4+5N^2+9)}$$

where the denominator also =

$$N^2(2N+1)(2N-1)(5N^2+5N+3)(5N^2-5N+3).$$

ITEM 125 (Polya):

CONJECTURE: If a function has a power series with integer coefficients and radius of convergence 1, then either the function is rational or the unit circle is a natural boundary.

Reference: Polya, Mathematics and Plausible Reasoning, volume 2, page 46.

ITEM 126 (Schroeppel):

An analytic flow for Newton's method square root:

Define F(X) by $\frac{X^2+K}{2X}$; then

$$F(F(F(\dots(X)))) = \sqrt{K} \frac{(X + \sqrt{K})^{\frac{N}{2}} + (X - \sqrt{K})^{\frac{N}{2}}}{(X + \sqrt{K})^{\frac{N}{2}} - (X - \sqrt{K})^{\frac{N}{2}}}$$

[N times]

which = $\sqrt{K} (\coth \frac{N}{2} (\operatorname{arccoth} X/\sqrt{K}))$

ITEM 127 (Schroeppel):

P and Q are polynomials in X; when does $P(Q(X)) = Q(P(X))$?

(That is, P composed with Q = Q composed with P.)

Known solutions are:

- 1 Various linear things.
- 2 X to different powers, sometimes multiplied by roots of 1.
- 3 P and Q are each another polynomial R composed with itself different numbers of times.
- 4 Solutions arising out of the flow of X^2-2 , as follows:
 suppose $X = Y + 1/Y$
 then $Y^N + Y^{-N}$ can be written as a polynomial in X
 for example,
 $P =$ the expression for squares = X^2-2 (N = 2)
 and $Q =$ the expression for cubes = X^3-3X (N = 3)
- 5 Replace X by Y-A, then add A to the original constants in both P and Q. For example, $P = X^2$ and $Q = X^3$,
 then $P = 1+(Y-1)^2 = Y^2-2Y+2$ and $Q = 1+(Y-1)^3$,
 then $P(Q) = 1+(Y-1)^6 = Q(P)$.
 Similarly, replacing X with $AY+B$ works.
- 6 There are no more through degrees 3 and 4 (checked with Matlab); but are there any more at all?




Figure 7. ITEM 128 (Schroeppel):
 A map of the process $n \rightarrow \text{binary string} \rightarrow \text{interpret as radix } -2$,
 iterated. To convert a number to base -2:
 $(n + \dots 101010) \text{ XOR } (\dots 101010)$ (reversible).

ITEM 129 (Schroeppel):

page 63

PROBLEM: Given $F(X)$ as a power series in X with constant term = 0, write the flow power series.

FLOW sub ZERO = X

FLOW sub ONE = $F(X)$

FLOW sub TWO = $F(F(X))$

etc.

NOTE (Gosper): If we remove the restriction that F has a power series, the functions that satisfy an equation of the form $F(F(X)) = \sin X$ can be put into one-to-one correspondence with the set of all functions.

ITEM 130 (Salamin):

P

N

N

P

If $F(X) = X^N$, the P -th flow is X^{N^P} , which has a branch point if N is non-integer. Under the hypotheses of the previous problem, it is possible to find the power series coefficients for P rational, but there is no guarantee the series will converge.

PROBLEM: Is the flow interpolation unique? If it is not, what extra conditions are necessary to make it unique for natural

N

cases like X^N ?

ITEM 131 (Schroeppel):

Taking any two numbers A and B , finding their arithmetic mean and their geometric mean, and using these means as a new A and B , this process, when repeated, will approach a limit which can be expressed in terms of elliptic integrals. (See PI section.)

ITEM 132 (Gosper): LOOP DETECTOR

page 64

If a function F maps a finite set into itself, then its flow must always be cyclic. If F is one step of a pseudorandom number generator, or the CDR operation on a self referent list, or any function where it is easy to supply former values as arguments, then there are easy ways to detect looping of the flow (Knuth, The Art of Computer Programming, volume 2, Seminumerical Algorithms, sec. 3.1, prob. 7, page 7). If, however, the process of iterated application of the function is inexorable, (i.e., there is no easy way to switch arguments to the function), then the following algorithm will detect repetition before the third occurrence of any value.

Set aside a table $TAB(J)$, $0 \leq J \leq \log_2$ (largest possible period). Let C = the number of times F has been applied, initially 0. Compare each new value of F for equality with those table entries which contain old values of F . These will be the first S entries, where S is the number of times C can be right shifted before becoming 0. No match means F hasn't been looping very long, so increment C and store this latest value of F into $TAB(J)$, where J is the number of trailing zero bits in the binary of C . (The first 16 values of J are: 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 3, 0, 1, 0, 2, 0, 1, 0, 4, ...; Eric Jensen calls this the RULER function.) A match with entry E means the loop length is 1 more than the low $E+2$ bits of $C - 2^{E+1}$.

ITEM 133 (Schroeppel, Gosper, Henneman & Banks) (from Dana Scott?): The " $3N+1$ problem" is iteratively replacing N by $N/2$ if N is even or by $3N+1$ if N is odd. Known loops for N to fall into are:

1 the zero loop, $0 \rightarrow 0$

2 a positive loop, $4 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 4$

3 three negative loops

(equivalent to the $3N-1$ problem with positive N)

$-2 \rightarrow -1 \rightarrow -2$

$-5 \rightarrow -7 \rightarrow -10 \rightarrow -5$

$-17 \rightarrow -25 \rightarrow -37 \rightarrow -55 \rightarrow -82 \rightarrow -41 \rightarrow$

$-61 \rightarrow -91 \rightarrow -136 \rightarrow -68 \rightarrow -34 \rightarrow -17$

In the range $-10^8 < N < 6 * 10^7$, all N fall into the above loops. Are there any other loops? Does N ever diverge to infinity?

ITEM 134 (Schroeppel, Gosper):

Let N be iteratively replaced by (FLATSIZE (LONGHAND N)), the number of letters in N written longhand (e.g., $69 \rightarrow$ SIXTY NINE $\rightarrow 9$ (10 counting blanks)). The process invariably loops at 4 = FOUR.

The "C" Curve

A brilliant archeologist is photographing a strange drawing on the wall of a cave. He holds the camera upright for some shots, moves it, and turns it 90 degrees for the rest. When he sees his prints he is amazed to find one of them apparently taken with the camera turned 45 degrees. After a moment's reflection, he correctly concludes that it is merely a double exposure. What was the drawing?

Answer: It is a cousin to both the dragon and snowflake curves (and arose as a bug in a spacefilling curve). It can be constructed as follows. Start with a line segment. Replace it with the two legs of the isosceles right triangle of which it is hypotenuse. Repeat this for the two new segments, always bulging outward in the same direction. We now have four segments forming half a square, with the middle two segments collinear. Replacing these four segments with eight and then sixteen, we find the middle two segments superimposed. As the process continues, the curve crosses itself more and more often, eventually taking on the shape of a wildly curly letter C which forms the envelope of a myriad of epicyclic octagons.

A faster way to approach the same limiting curve is to substitute

the curve itself for each of its 2^n segments, starting with a 90 degree "<".

Yet another way to construct it is to iteratively connect opposite ends of two copies at a 90 degree angle. (The archeologist did this with his double exposure.) If we reduce the scale by $\sqrt{2}$ each time, the distance between the endpoints stays the same. If the initial line segment is red and there is some other blue shape elsewhere in the picture, the iteration will simultaneously proliferate and shrink the blue shapes, until they are all piled up along the red "C". Thus, no matter what you start with, you eventually get something that looks like the "C" curve.

There are other pictures besides the C curve which are preserved by this process, but they are of infinite size. You can get them by starting with anything and running the iteration backwards as well as forwards, superimposing all the results. A backward step consists of rotating the two copies in directions opposite those in the forward step and stretching by $\sqrt{2}$ instead of shrinking. David Silver has sketched an arrangement of mirrors which might do this to a real scene.




Figure 8. Two orders of the "C" curve.

PI

ITEM 136: GAUSSIAN INTEGERS (For use by next item.)

Reference: Hardy and Wright, Theory of Numbers. The Gaussian integers are $x+iy$ where x and y are integers. Unique factorization holds, except for powers of i , and the Gaussian primes are (1) $a+bi$ if a^2+b^2 is prime and (2) integer primes that $\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. If $N(x+iy) = x^2+y^2$, then $N(uv) = N(u)N(v)$. If p is prime and $p \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $p = a^2+b^2$ has exactly one solution. If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, then $p = a^2+b^2$ has no solution. To factor $x+iy$ into Gaussian primes, first factor $N(x+iy)$.

- (A) If 2 divides $N(x+iy)$, then $1+i$ and $1-i$ divide $x+iy$. Either factor may be used since $i(i-1) = i+1$.
- (B) If $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ divides $N(x+iy)$, then p divides $x+iy$.
- (C) If $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ divides $N(x+iy)$ and $p = a^2+b^2$, then $a+ib$ or $b+ia = i(a-ib)$ divides $x+iy$. If both do, then p divides $x+iy$.

ITEM 137 (Salamin): GENERATION OF ARCTANGENT FORMULAS FOR π

$$n_1 \operatorname{atan}(y_1/x_1) + n_2 \operatorname{atan}(y_2/x_2) + \dots$$

$$= n_1 \arg(x_1+iy_1) + n_2 \arg(x_2+iy_2) + \dots$$

If each $x+iy$ is factored and the n 's chosen so all prime factors except $1+i$ cancel out, the right hand side is a multiple K of $\pi/4$. Some care is needed because of the multiple valuedness of $\arg$. Then, if $K = 0$, we get an arctangent identity, otherwise we get a π formula. In the special case of $\operatorname{atan}(1/x)$, factorization of $x+i$ is needed. Then case (B) above can't occur, and in case (C), $a+ib$ and $a-ib$ can't both divide $x+i$.

Example:

$$\begin{aligned} 8^2+1 &= 13 \times 5 \\ 18^2+1 &= 13 \times 5^2 \\ 57^2+1 &= 13 \times 5^3 \times 2 \end{aligned}$$

From this we get the factorization

$$\begin{aligned} 8+i &= (3+2i)(2-i) \\ 18+i &= (3-2i)(2-i)^2 i \\ 57+i &= (3-2i)(2+i)^3 (1-i) \end{aligned}$$

Since we only care about the phase, multiplication by a positive real number may be ignored below.

$$\begin{aligned} &\overset{a}{(8+i)} \overset{b}{(18+i)} \overset{c}{(57+i)} = \\ &\quad \overset{a-b-c}{(3+2i)} \overset{-a-2b+3c}{(2+i)} \overset{c}{(1-i)} \overset{b}{i} \end{aligned}$$

We require $a-b-c = 0$ and $-a-2b+3c = 0$, which has the minimal non-trivial solution $a = 5$, $b = 2$, $c = 3$. Then we have

$$(8+i)^5 (18+i)^2 (57+i)^3 = (1-i)^3 i^2$$

Taking the phase of both sides, we get

$$5 \operatorname{atan}(1/8) + 2 \operatorname{atan}(1/18) + 3 \operatorname{atan}(1/57) = \pi/4.$$

π formulas:

page 68

$$\begin{aligned}\pi/4 &= \text{atan}(1/2) + \text{atan}(1/3) \\ \pi/4 &= 2 \text{atan}(1/3) + \text{atan}(1/7) \\ \pi/4 &= 4 \text{atan}(1/5) - \text{atan}(1/239) \\ \pi/4 &= 2 \text{atan}(1/4) + \text{atan}(1/7) + 2 \text{atan}(1/13) \\ \pi/4 &= 3 \text{atan}(1/4) + \text{atan}(1/13) - \text{atan}(1/38) \\ \pi/4 &= 4 \text{atan}(1/5) - \text{atan}(1/70) + \text{atan}(1/99) \\ \pi/4 &= 5 \text{atan}(1/8) + 2 \text{atan}(1/18) + 3 \text{atan}(1/57) \\ \pi/2 &= 7 \text{atan}(1/4) - 5 \text{atan}(1/32) + 3 \text{atan}(1/132) - 4 \text{atan}(1/378)\end{aligned}$$

This last angle has been measured against the International Standard Platinum-Iridium Right Angle and certified adequate for any purpose of the U. S. Government, when used in conjunction with a conscientiously applied program of oral hygiene and regular professional care.

$$\begin{aligned}\pi/4 &= 7 \text{atan}(1/9) + \text{atan}(1/32) - 2 \text{atan}(1/132) - 2 \text{atan}(1/378) \\ \pi/4 &= 7 \text{atan}(1/13) + 8 \text{atan}(1/32) - 2 \text{atan}(1/132) + 5 \text{atan}(1/378)\end{aligned}$$

There are many easily found arctangent identities. Some are:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{atan}(1/31) &= \text{atan}(1/57) + \text{atan}(1/68) \\ &= \text{atan}(1/44) + \text{atan}(1/105) \\ \text{atan}(1/50) &= \text{atan}(1/91) + \text{atan}(1/111) \\ \text{atan}(1/239) &= \text{atan}(1/70) - \text{atan}(1/99) \\ &= \text{atan}(1/408) + \text{atan}(1/577) \\ \text{atan}(1/2441) &= \text{atan}(1/1164) - \text{atan}(1/2225) \\ &= \text{atan}(1/4774) + \text{atan}(1/4995) \\ \text{atan}(1/32) &= \text{atan}(1/38) + \text{atan}(1/132) - \text{atan}(1/378) \\ &= 2 \text{atan}(1/73) + \text{atan}(1/239) - \text{atan}(1/2943)\end{aligned}$$

Infinite sets of arctangent identities:

$$\text{atan}(1/n) - \text{atan}(1/(n+1)) = \text{atan}(1/(n^2+n+1))$$

$$\text{Let } x_0 = 1, y_0 = 0, x_n = x_{n-1} + 2y_{n-1}, y_n = x_{n-1} + y_{n-1}.$$

x_n/y_n are the continued fraction approximants to $\sqrt{2}$.

$$\text{atan}(1/y_{2n}) + \text{atan}(1/x_{2n}) = \text{atan}(1/x_{2n-1})$$

$$\text{atan}(1/y_{2n}) - \text{atan}(1/x_{2n}) = \text{atan}(1/x_{2n+1})$$

ITEM 138 (Gosper):

$$\pi = 28 \arctan(3/79) + 20 \arctan(29/278)$$

$$\pi = 48 \arctan(3/79) + 20 \arctan(1457/22049)$$

Which isn't too interesting except that it means that $(79+3i)^{48} (22049+1457i)^{20}$ is a negative real number.

$4/\pi =$

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^N (1123 + 21460 N) (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2N-1)) (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (4N-1))}{(882^{2N+1}) (32^N) (N!)^3}$$

This series gives about 6 decimal places accuracy per term.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{8}\pi} = \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{(1103 + 26390 N) (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2N-1)) (1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (4N-1))}{(99^{4N+2}) (32^N) (N!)^3}$$

This series gives about 8 decimal places accuracy per term.

For other π series, see Ramanujan's paper "Modular Equations and Approximations to Pi" in Quarterly Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 45, page 350 (1914). For more goodies, see "Collected Papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan", Cambridge U. Press (1927).

ITEM 140:

Counting the initial 3 as the zeroth, the 431st denominator in the regular continued fraction for π is 20776. (Choong, Daykin & Rathbone, Math. of Computation 25 (1971) p. 387).

(Gosper) In the first 26491 terms of π , the only other 5 digit terms are the 15543rd = 19055 and the 23398th = 19308. (Computed from 35570 terms of the (nonregular) fraction for $4 \arctan 1$.)

ITEM 141:

The fraction part of $10^{760}\pi$ begins: .49999998...

ITEM 142 (Salamin):

Some super-fast convergents to π if one already has a super-fast computation of trig functions.

$$\begin{aligned} X \text{ approx } \pi: & \quad X \leftarrow X + \sin X, \quad \epsilon \leftarrow \epsilon^3/6 \\ & \quad X \leftarrow X - \tan X, \quad \epsilon \leftarrow -\epsilon^3/3 \\ X \text{ approx } \pi/2: & \quad X \leftarrow X + \cos X, \quad \epsilon \leftarrow \epsilon^3/6 \\ & \quad X \leftarrow X + \cot X, \quad \epsilon \leftarrow -\epsilon^3/3 \end{aligned}$$

ITEM 143 (Salamin):

page 70

Computation of elliptic integrals, log, and π .

REFERENCES:

Whittaker & Watson, Modern Analysis, chap. 22

Abramowitz & Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions,
sect. 17.3, 17.6

1. ELLIPTIC INTEGRALS

Define elliptic integrals:

$$K(m) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1-t^2)(1-mt^2)}} dt$$

$$K'(m) = K(1-m)$$

If A_0 and B_0 are given, and

$$A_{n+1} = \text{arithmetic mean of } A_n \text{ and } B_n$$

$$B_{n+1} = \text{geometric mean of } A_n \text{ and } B_n$$

then define

$$\text{AGM}(A_0, B_0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} A_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n$$

This is called the arithmetic-geometric mean.
Quadratic convergence rate:

$$A_{n+1} - B_{n+1} = (A_n - B_n)^2 / 8A_{n+1}$$

It is known that

$$K'(x^2) \text{AGM}(1, x) = \pi/2 \quad [\text{see A\&S}].$$

This gives a super fast method of computing elliptic integrals. It is easy to compute $\text{AGM}(1, x)$ for x in the complex plane cut from zero to infinity along the negative real axis. So $K'(m)$ can be computed for $-2\pi < \arg(m) < 2\pi$, which covers the complex m -plane twice. Handling the phase when taking square roots will permit exploration of more of the Riemann surface.

2. LOGARITHMS

page 71

For small m ,

$$K(m) = (\pi/2) (1 + m/4 + O(m^2))$$

$$\frac{-\pi(K'(m)/K(m))}{e} = (m/16) (1 + m/2 + O(m^2))$$

Solve for $K'(m)$ and let $m = 16/x^2$,

$$K'(16/x^2) = \log x + (4/x^2) (\log x - 1) + O(\log x/x^4).$$

For x sufficiently large,

$$\log x = K'(16/x^2) = \pi/(2 \operatorname{AGM}(1, 4/x)).$$

Requiring a given number of bits accuracy in $\log x$ is equivalent to requiring

$$|(K'(16/x^2) - \log x)/\log x| < \epsilon$$

this becomes

$$|(4/x^2) (1 - 1/\log x)| < |4/x^2| < \epsilon$$

$$|x| > 2/\sqrt{\epsilon}.$$

x can be complex. If $|x|$ is not too close to 1, x can be brought into range by reciprocating or repeated squaring.

3. π
Let $x = e^n$, then

$$\pi = 2^n \operatorname{AGM}(1, 4e^{-n}).$$

Suppose $\epsilon = 10$ to the minus a billion.

Then the above equation for π is valid when $n > 1.15$ billion.

e^{-n} is calculated by starting with $1/e$ and squaring k times.

Thus $n = 2^k$. $2^{30} = 1.07$ billion and $2^{31} = 2.15$ billion, so $k = 30$ gives 0.93 billion places accuracy and $k = 31$ gives 1.86 billion places.

ITEM 144 (Schroeppel):

In the above, instead of $x = e^n$, use $x = 2^n$ and $x = e^{*2^n}$. Then simultaneous equations can be solved to give both π and $\log 2$. This avoids having to square e , but requires two AGM's, and therefore takes longer.

 PROGRAMMING HACKS

page 72

WARNING: Numbers in this section are octal
 (and occasionally binary) unless followed by a decimal point.
 105=69.. (And 105.=69 hexadecimal.)

ITEM 145 (Gosper):

Proving that short programs are neither trivial nor
 exhausted yet, there is the following:

```
0/      TLCA 1,1(1)
1/      see below
2/      ROT 1,9
3/      JRST 0
```

This is a display hack (that is, it makes pretty patterns) with
 the low 9 bits = Y and the 9 next higher = X; also, it makes
 interesting, related noises with a stereo amplifier hooked to
 the X and Y signals. Recommended variations include:

CHANGE:	GOOD INITIAL CONTENTS OF 1:
none	377767,,377767; 757777,,757757; etc.
TLC 1,2(1)	373777,,0; 300000,,0
TLC 1,3(1)	-2,, -2; -5,, -1; -6,, -1
ROT 1,1	7,,7; A0000B,,A0000B
ROTC 1,11	;Can't use TLCA over data.
AOJA 1,0	

ITEM 146:

Another simple display program: ("munching squares")

It is thought that this was discovered by
 Jackson Wright on the RLE PDP-1 circa 1962.

```
DATAI 2
ADDB 1,2
ROTC 2,-22
XOR 1,2
JRST .-4
```

2=X, 3=Y. Try things like 1001002 in data switches. This also
 does interesting things with operations other than XOR,
 and rotations other than -22. (Try IOR; AND; TSC; FADR; FDV(!);
 ROT -14, -9, -20, ...)

ITEM 147 (Schroeppel):

Munching squares is just views of the graph $Y = X \text{ XOR } T$
 for consecutive values of $T = \text{time}$.

ITEM 148 (Cohen, Beeler):

A modification to munching squares which
 reveals them in frozen states through
 opening and closing curtains: insert FADR 2,1 before the XOR.

Try data switches =

```
4000,,4      1000,,2002      2000,,4      0,,1002
(Notation: <left half>,,<right half>)
```

Also try the FADR after the XOR, switches = 1001,,1.

ITEM 149 (Minsky):

page 73

Here is an elegant way to draw almost circles on a point-plotting display. CIRCLE ALGORITHM:

$$\text{NEW } X = \text{OLD } X - \epsilon * \text{OLD } Y$$

$$\text{NEW } Y = \text{OLD } Y + \epsilon * \text{NEW } X$$

This makes a very round ellipse centered at the origin with its size determined by the initial point. ϵ determines the angular velocity of the circulating point, and slightly affects the eccentricity. If ϵ is a power of 2, then we don't even need multiplication, let alone square roots, sines, and cosines! The "circle" will be perfectly stable because the points soon become periodic.

The circle algorithm was invented by mistake when I tried to save one register in a display hack! Ben Gurley had an amazing display hack using only about six or seven instructions, and it was a great wonder. But it was basically line-oriented. It occurred to me that it would be exciting to have curves, and I was trying to get a curve display hack with minimal instructions.

ITEM 150 (Schroeppel):

PROBLEM: Although the reason for the circle algorithm's stability is unclear, what is the number of distinct sets of radii? (Note: algorithm is invertible, so all points have predecessors.)

ITEM 151 (Gosper):

Separating X from Y in the above recurrence,

$$X(N+1) = (2-\epsilon^2)*X(N) - X(N-1)$$

$$Y(N+1) = (2-\epsilon^2)*Y(N) - Y(N-1).$$

These are just the Chebychev recurrence with $\cos \theta$ (the angular increment) $= 1-\epsilon^2/2$. Thus $X(N)$ and $Y(N)$ are expressible in the form $R \cos(N \theta + \phi)$. The ϕ 's and R for $X(N)$ and $Y(N)$ can be found from $N=0,1$. The ϕ 's will differ by less than $\pi/2$ so that the curve is not really a circle. The algorithm is useful nevertheless, because it needs no sine or square root function, even to get started.

$X(N)$ and $Y(N)$ are also expressible in closed form in the algebra of ordered pairs described under linear recurrences, but they lack the remarkable numerical stability of the "simultaneous" form of the recurrence.

ITEM 152 (Salamin):

With exact arithmetic, the circle algorithm is stable iff $|\epsilon| < 2$. In this case, all points lie on the ellipse

$$X^2 - \epsilon X Y + Y^2 = \text{constant},$$

where the constant is determined by the initial point.

This ellipse has its major axis at 45 degrees (if $\epsilon > 0$) or 135 degrees (if $\epsilon < 0$) and has eccentricity

$$\sqrt{\epsilon/(1 + \epsilon/2)}.$$

ITEM 153 (Minsky):

page 74

To portray a 3-dimensional solid on a 2-dimensional display, we can use a single circle algorithm to compute orbits for the corners to follow. The (positive or negative) radius of each orbit is determined by the distance (forward or backward) from some origin to that corner. The solid will appear to wobble rigidly about the origin, instead of simply rotating.

ITEM 154 (Gosper):

The myth that any given programming language is machine independent is easily exploded by computing the sum of powers of 2.

If the result loops with period = 1 with sign +,
you are on a sign-magnitude machine.

If the result loops with period = 1 at -1,
you are on a twos-complement machine.

If the result loops with period > 1, including the beginning,
you are on a ones-complement machine.

If the result loops with period > 1, not including the beginning,
your machine isn't binary -- the pattern should tell you the base.

If you run out of memory, you are on a string or Bignum system.

If arithmetic overflow is a fatal error, some fascist pig with a read-only mind is trying to enforce machine independence. But the very ability to trap overflow is machine dependent.

By this strategy, consider the universe, or, more precisely, algebra:

let X = the sum of many powers of two = ...111111
now add X to itself; X + X = ...111110
thus, 2X = X - 1 so X = -1
therefore algebra is run on a machine (the universe)
which is twos-complement.

ITEM 155 (Liknaitzky):

To subtract the right half of an accumulator from the left (as in restarting an AOBJN counter): IMUL A,[377777,,1]

ITEM 156 (Mitchell):

To make an AOBJN pointer when the origin is fixed and the length is a variable in A:

HRLOI A,-1(A)
EQVI A,ORIGIN

ITEM 157 (Freiberg):

If instead, A is a pointer to the last word

HRLOI A,-ORIGIN(A)
EQVI A,ORIGIN

Slightly faster: change the HRLOIs to MOVSI and the EQVI addresses to -ORIGIN-1. These two routines are clearly adjustable for BLKOs and other fenceposts.

A miniature (recursive) sine and cosine routine follows.

```

COS:   FADR A,[1.57079632679] ; $\pi/2$ 
SIN:   MOVN B,A               ;argument in A
       CAMG B,[.00017]       ; $\leq \sqrt{3} / 2^{13}$ 
       POPJ P,                ;sin X = X, within 27. bits
       FDVRI A,(-3.0)
       PUSHJ P,SIN            ;sin -X/3
       FMPR B,B
       FSC B,2
       FADRI B,(-3.0)
       FMPRB A,B              ;sin X = 4(sin -X/3)3-3(sin -X/3)
       POPJ P,                ;sin in A, sin or |sin| in B
;|sin| in B occurs when angle is smaller than end test

```

Changing both -3.0's to +3.0's gives sinh:

$\sinh X = 3 \sinh X/3 + 4 (\sinh X/3)^3$.

Changing the first -3.0 to a +9.0, then inserting PUSHJ P,..+1 after PUSHJ P,SIN gains about 20% in speed and uses half the pushdown space (< 5 levels in the first 4 quadrants). PUSHJ P,..+1 is a nice way to have something happen twice.

Other useful angle multiplying formulas are

$\tanh X = (2 \tanh X/2) / (1 + (\tanh X/2)^2)$

$\tan X = (2 \tan X/2) / (1 - (\tan X/2)^2)$, if infinity is handled correctly. For cos and cosh, one can use

$\cos X = 1 - 2 (\sin X/2)^2$, $\cosh X = 1 + 2 (\sinh X/2)^2$.

X

In general, to compute functions like e^X , $\cos X$, elliptic functions, etc. by iterated application of double and triple argument formulas, it is necessary to subtract out the constant in the Taylor series and transform the range reduction formula accordingly. Thus:

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 F(X) = \cos(X) - 1 & F(2X) = 2F*(F+2) & F(\epsilon) = -\epsilon^2/2 \\
 G(X) = e^X - 1 & G(2X) = G*(G+2) & G(\epsilon) = \epsilon
 \end{array}$$

This is to prevent the destruction of the information in the range-reduced argument by the addition of a quantity near 1 upon the success of the ϵ test. The addition of such a quantity in the actual recurrences is OK since the information is restored by the multiply. In fact, a cheap and dirty test for $F(\epsilon)$ sufficiently small is to see if the addition step has no effect. People lucky enough to have a square root instruction can get natural log by iterating $X \leftarrow X/(\sqrt{1+X} + 1)$ until $1+X = 1$.

(number of iterations)

Then multiply by 2
would work.

. Here, a LSH or FSC

ITEM 159 (Gosper, Schroepfel):
(Numbers herein are decimal.)

page 76

The correct epsilon test in such functions as the foregoing SIN are generally the largest argument for which addition of the second term has no effect on the first. In SIN, the first term is x and the second is $-x^3/6$, so the answer is roughly the x which makes the ratio of those terms $1/2^{27}$; so $x = \sqrt[3]{3} / 2^{13}$. But this is not exact, since the precise cutoff is where the neglected term is the power of 2 whose 1 bit coincides with the first neglected (28th) bit of the fraction. Thus, $x^3/6 = 1/2^{27} * 1/2^{13}$, so $x = \sqrt[3]{3} / 2^{13}$.

ITEM 160 (Gosper):

Here is a way to get log base 2. A and B are consecutive.
Call by PUSHJ P,LOG2 with a floating point argument in A.

```
LOG2:  LSHC A,-33
        MOVSI C,-201(A)
        TLC C,211000      ;Speciner's bum
        MOVEI A,200       ;exponent and sign sentinel
LOGL:  LSH B,-9
REPEAT 7, FMPL B,B        ;moby flunderflo
        LSH B,2
        LSHC A,7
        SOJG A,LOGL       ;fails on 4th try
        LSH A,-1
        FADR A,C
        POPJ P,           ;answer in A
```

Basically, you just square seven times and use the low seven bits of the exponent as the next seven bits of the log.

ITEM 161 (Gosper):

To swap the contents of two locations in memory:

```
EXCH A,LOC1
EXCH A,LOC2
EXCH A,LOC1
```

Note: LOC1 must not equal LOC2! If this can happen, use MOVE-EXCH-MOVEM, clobbering A.

ITEM 162 (Gosper):

To swap two bits in an accumulator:

```
TRCE A,BITS
TRCE A,BITS
TRCE A,BITS
```

Note (Nelson): last TRCE never skips, and used to be a TRC, but TRCE is less forgettable. Also, use TLCE or TDCE if the bits are not in the right half.

ITEM 163 (Sussman):

To exchange two variables in LISP without using a third variable:

```
(SETQ X (PROG2 0 Y (SETQ Y X)))
```

ITEM 164 (Samson):

To take MAX in A of two byte pointers

(where A and B are consecutive accumulators):

```
ROTC A,6
CAMG A,B
EXCH A,B
ROTC A,-6
```

ITEM 165 (Freiberg):

A byte pointer can be converted to a character address $< 2^{10}$ by MULI A,<# bytes/word> followed by SUBI B,1-<# b/w>(A).

To get full word character address, use SUB into a magic table.

ITEM 166 (Gosper, Liknaitzky):

To rotate three consecutive accumulators N < 37. places:

```
ROTC A,N
ROT B,-N
ROTC B,N
```

Thus M AC's can be ROTC'ed in 2M-3 instructions.

(Stallman): For 73. > N > 35.:

```
ROTC A,N-36.
EXCH A,C
ROT B,36.-N
ROTC A,N-72.
```

ITEM 167 (Gosper, Freiberg):

page 78

;B gets 7 bit character in A with even parity

IMUL A,[2010040201] ;5 adjacent copies

AND A,[21042104377] ;every 4th bit of left 4 copies + right copy

IDIVI A,17+7 ;casting out 15.'s in hexadecimal shifted 7

;odd parity on 7 bits (Schroeppel)

IMUL A,[10040201] ;4 adjacent copies

IOR A,[7555555400] ;leaves every 3rd bit+offset+right copy

IDIVI A,9+7 ;powers of 2^3 are $\pm 1 \bmod 9$

;changing 7555555400 to 27555555400 gives even parity

;if A is a 9 bit quantity, B gets number of 1's (Schroeppel)

IMUL A,[1001001001] ;4 copies

AND A,[42104210421] ;every 4th bit

IDIVI A,17 ;casting out 15.'s in hexadecimal

;if A is 6 bit quantity, B gets 6 bits reversed (Schroeppel)

IMUL A,[2020202] ;4 copies shifted

AND A,[104422010] ;where bits coincide with reverse repeated base 2^8

IDIVI A,377 ;casting out 2^8-1 's

;reverse 7 bits (Schroeppel)

IMUL A,[10004002001] ;4 copies sep by 000's base 2 (may set arith. o'flow)

AND A,[210210210010] ;where bits coincide with reverse repeated base 2^8

IDIVI A,377 ;casting out 377's

;reverse 8 bits (Schroeppel)

MUL A,[100200401002] ;5 copies in A and B

AND B,[20420420020] ;where bits coincide with reverse repeated base 2^{10}

ANDI A,41 ;"

DIVI A,1777 ;casting out $2^{10}-1$'s

ITEM 168 (PDP-1 hackers):

page 79

```
foo,    lat      /DATAI switches
        adm a     /ADDB
        and (707070
        adm b
        iot 14    /output AC sign bit to a music flip-flop
        jmp foo
```

Makes startling chords, arpeggios, and slides, with just the sign of the AC. This translates to the PDP-6 (roughly) as:

```
FOO:    DATAI 2
        ADDB 1,2
        AND 2,[707070707070]    ;or 171717171717, 363636363636, 454545454545, ...
        ADDB 2,3
        LDB 0,[360600,,2]
        JRST FOO
```

Listen to the square waves from the low bits of 0.

ITEM 169 (in order of one-ups-manship: Gosper, Mann, Lenard, [Root and Mann]):
To count the ones in a PDP-6/10 word:

```
LDB B,[014300,,A]    ;or MOVE B,A then LSH B,-1
AND B,[333333,,333333]
SUB A,B
LSH B,-1
AND B,[333333,,333333]
SUBB A,B    ;each octal digit is replaced by number of 1's in it
LSH B,-3
ADD A,B
AND A,[070707,,070707]
IDIVI A,77    ;casting out 63.'s
```

These ten instructions, with constants extended, would work on word lengths up to 62.; eleven suffice up to 254..

Useful strings of non-digits and zeros can arise when carefully chosen negative numbers are fed to unsuspecting decimal print routines. Different sets arise from different methods of character-to-digit conversion.

Example (Gosper):

```
DPT:    IDIVI F,12
        HRLM G,(P)      ;tuck remainder on pushdown list
        SKIPE F
        PUSHJ P,DPT
        LDB G,[220600,,(P)] ;retrieve low 6 bits of remainder
        TRCE G,"0      ;convert digit to character
        SETOM CCT      ;that was no digit!

TYO:    .IOT TYOCHN,G   ;or DATAO or IDPB ...
        AOS G,CCT
        POPJ P,
```

This is the standard recursive decimal print of the positive number in F, but with a LDB instead of a HLRZ. It falls into the typeout routine which returns in G the number of characters since the last carriage return. When called with a -36., DPT types carriage return, line feed, and resets CCT, the character position counter.

ITEM 171 (Gosper):

Since integer division can never produce a larger quotient than dividend, doubling the dividend and divisor beforehand will distinguish division by zero from division by 1 or anything else, in situations where division by zero does nothing.

ITEM 172 (Gosper):

The fundamental operation for building list structure, called CONS, is defined to: find a free cell in memory, store the argument in it, remove it from the set of free cells, return a pointer to it, and call the garbage collector when the set is empty. This can be done in two instructions:

```
CONS:   EXCH A,[EXCH A,[...[PUSHJ P,GC]...]]
        EXCH A,CONS
```

Of course, the address-linked chain of EXCH's indicated by the nested brackets is concocted by the garbage collector. This method has the additional advantage of not constraining an accumulator for the free storage pointer.

```
UNCONS: HRLI A,(EXCH A,)
        EXCH A,CONS
        EXCH A,@CONS
```

Returns cell addressed by A to free storage list;
returns former cell contents in A.

ITEM 173 (Gosper):

page 81

The incantation to fix a floating number is usually

```
MULI A,400          ;exponent to A, fraction to A+1
TSC A,A ;1's complement magnitude of excess 200 exponent
ASH A+1,-200-27.-8(A) ;answer in A+1
```

If number is known positive, you can omit the TSC.

On the PDP-10

```
UFA A,[±233000,,]    ;not in PDP-6 repertoire
TLC A+1,233000 ;if those bits really bother you
```

When you know the sign of A, and $|A| < 2^{26}$, you can

```
FAD A,[±233400,,]    ;or FADR for rounded fix!
TLC A,233400 ;if those bits are relevant
```

where the sign of the constant must match A's.

This works on both machines and doesn't involve A+1.

On the 10, FADRI saves a cycle and a constant, and rounds.

ITEM 174 (Gosper, Nelson):

21963283741. = 243507216435 is a fixed point of the float function on the PDP-6/10, i.e., it is the only positive number whose floating point representation equals its fixed.

ITEM 175 (Gosper):

To get the next higher number (in A) with the same number of 1 bits: (A, B, C, D do not have to be consecutive)

```
MOVE B,A
MOVN C,B
AND C,B
ADD A,C
MOVE D,A
XOR D,B
LSH D,-2
IDIVM D,C
IOR A,C
```

ITEM 176 (Gosper):

The "banana phenomenon" was encountered when processing a character string by taking the last 3 letters typed out, searching for a random occurrence of that sequence in the text, taking the letter following that occurrence, typing it out, and iterating. This ensures that every 4-letter string output occurs in the original. The program typed BANANANANANANANA.... We note an ambiguity in the phrase, "the Nth occurrence of." In one sense, there are five 00's in 0000000000; in another, there are nine. The editing program TECO finds five. Thus it finds only the first ANA in BANANA, and is thus obligated to type N next. By Murphy's Law, there is but one NAN, thus forcing A, and thus a loop. An option to find overlapped instances would be useful, although it would require backing up N-1 characters before seeking the next N character string.

ITEM 177 (Gosper): DRAWING CURVES INCREMENTALLY

Certain plotters and displays are constrained to approximate curves by a sequence of king-moves between points on a lattice.

Many curves and contours are definable by $F(X,Y) = 0$ with F changing sign on opposite sides of the curve. The following algorithm will draw most such curves more accurately than polygonal approximations and more easily than techniques which search for a "next" X and Y just one move away.

We observe that a good choice of lattice points is just those for which F , when evaluated on one of them, has opposite sign and smaller magnitude than on one or more of its four immediate neighbors.† This tends to choose the nearer endpoint of each graph paper line segment which the curve crosses, if near the curve F is monotone with distance from the curve.

First, divide the curve into arcs within which the curve's tangent lies within one 45 degree semiquadrant. We can show that for reasonable F , only two different increments (say north and northwest) are needed to visit the desired points.

Thus, we will be changing one coordinate (incrementing Y) every step, and we have only to check whether changing the other (decrementing X) will reduce the magnitude of F . (If F increases with Y , $F(X,Y+1) > -F(X-1,Y+1)$ means decrement X .) F can often be manipulated so that the inequality simplifies and so that F is easily computed incrementally from X and Y .

As an example, page 83
the following computes the first semiquadrant of the circle

$$F = X^2 + Y^2 - R^2 = 0.$$

```
C0:      F ← 0, Y ← 0, X ← R
C1:      F ← F+2Y+1, Y ← Y+1
C2:      if† F ≥ X, F ← F-2X+1, X ← X-1
C3:      if Y < X-1, go to C1
C4:      (Link to next arc) if Y = X-1, Y ← Y+1, X ← X-1
```

This can be bummed by maintaining $Z = 2Y+1$ instead of Y . Symmetry may be used to compute all eight semiquadrants at once, or the loop may be closed at C2 and C3 with two PUSHJ's to provide the palindrome of decisions for the first quadrant. There is an expression for the number of steps per quadrant, but it has a three-way conditional dependent upon the midpoint geometry. Knowing this value, however, we can replace C3 and C4 with a simple loop count and an odd-even test for C4.

The loop must be top-tested (C3 before C1) if the "circle" $R = 1$, with four diagonal segments, is possible.

All this suggests that displays might be designed with an increment mode which accepts bit strings along with declarations of the form: "0 means north, 1 means northwest". 1100 (or 0011) will not occur with a curve of limited curvature; thus, it could be used as an escape code, but this would be an annoying restriction.

See the following illustration of circles drawn this way.

† In case of a tie, i.e., F has equal magnitudes with opposite signs on adjacent points, do not choose both points but rather have some arbitrary yet consistent preference for, say, the outer one. The problem can't arise for C2 in the example because the inequality $F \geq X$ is really $F > -(F-2X+1)$ or $F > X-.5$.




Figure 9. A sequence of circles drawn with the incremental curve drawing algorithm.

ITEM 178 (Schroeppel, Salamin):

page 85

Suppose Y satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$P(X)Y^{(N)} + \dots + Q(X)Y = R(X)$$

where $P, \dots, Q$, and R are polynomials in X

(for example, Bessel's equation, $X^2Y'' + XY' + (X^2 - N^2)Y = 0$)

and A is an algebraic number. Then $Y(A)$ can be evaluated to N places in time proportional to $N(\ln N)^3$.

X

Further, e and $\ln X$ or any elementary function can be evaluated to N places in $N(\ln N)^2$ for X a real number. If $F(X)$ can be evaluated in such time, so can the inverse of $F(X)$ (by Newton's method), and the first derivative of $F(X)$. Also, $\zeta(3)$ and γ can be done in $N(\ln N)^3$.

ITEM 179 (Gosper):

A program which searches a character string for a given substring can always be written by iterating the sequence fetch-compare-transfer (ILDB-CAIE-JRST on the PDP6/10) once for each character in the sought string. The destinations of the transfers (address fields of the JRST's) must, however, be computed as functions of the sought string.

Let

```
0 1 2 3 4
S A S S Y
0 1 0 2 2
```

stand for the program

```
T0:      ILDB C,A           ;C gets next char from pointer in A
T1:      CAIE C,"S          ;skip if it's an S
          JRST T0           ;loop back on failure
          ILDB C,A          ;next
T2:      CAIE C,"A          ;skip if A
          JRST T1           ;could be an S
          ILDB C,A
T3:      CAIE C,"S          ;S, A, non S, so start over
          JRST T0           ;next
          ILDB C,A
T4:      CAIE C,"S          ;could be SAS.ASSY
          JRST T2           ;could be SASS.ASSY
          ILDB C,A
          CAIE C,"Y
          JRST T2           ;could be SASS.ASSY
;found SASSY
```

In other words, a number > 0 in the top row is a location in the program where the corresponding letter of the middle row is compared with a character of the input string. If it differs, the number in the bottom row indicates the location where comparison is to resume. If it matches, the next character of the middle row is compared with the next character of the input string.

Let J be a number in the top row and K be the number below J, so that TK is the address field of the Jth JRST. For each J = 1, 2, ... we compute K(J) as follows: K(1) = 0. Let P be a counter, initially 0. For each succeeding J, increment P. If the Pth letter = the Jth, K(J) = K(P). Otherwise, K(J) = P, and P is reset to 0. (P(J) is the largest number such that the first P characters match the last P characters in the first J characters of the sought string.)

J=	0 1		0 1 2 3 4 5
	M I S S I S S I P P I		I S S I S S I P P I
K(J)=	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		0 1 1 0 1 1 0 5 1 0
	0 1 2 3		0 1 2 3
	C O C A C O L A		S A S S A F R A S
	0 1 0 2 0 1 3 1		0 1 0 2 1 3 1 1 0

To generalize this method to search for N strings at once, we produce a program of ILDB-CAIE-JRST's for each of the sought strings, omitting the initial ILDB from all but the first. We must compute the destination of the Jth JRST in the Ith program, TKM(I,J), which is the location of the Kth compare in the Mth program.

It might be reasonable to compile such an instruction sequence whenever a search is initiated, since alternative schemes usually require saving or backing up the character pointer.

ITEM 180 (Gosper):

A problem which may arise in machine processing of visual information is the identification of corners on a noisy boundary of a polygon. Assume you have a broken line. If it is a closed loop, find the vertex furthest from the centroid (or any place). Open the loop by making this place both endpoints and calling it a corner. We define the corner of a broken line segment to be the point the sum of whose distances from the endpoints is maximal. This will divide the segment in two, allowing us to proceed recursively, until our corner isn't much cornerier than the others along the line.

The perpendicular distance which the vector C lies from the line connecting vectors A and B is just

$$\frac{(C - A) \times (B - A)}{2 |A - B|},$$

but maximizing this can lose on very pointy V's.
The distance sum hack can lose on very squashed Z's.

HARDWARE

page 87

ITEM 181 (Gosper):

A bug you might try to avoid when designing floating point hardware, relating to excess-200, 1's complement exponent, 2's complement fraction convention:

1) An advantage is that negation and numerical comparison can be accomplished with the same instructions for both fixed and floating point numbers.

2) A disadvantage is that the termination of the normalization process is ambiguous. Normally, when the sign bit unequals the highest bit of fraction, the number is normalized. A special case arises with

negated powers of two. (That is, $-(2^n)$, not (2^{-n}) .)
Then the fraction is 400,,0 and the sign is - also.

This means it is necessary to check whether shifting left one more bit will bring in a one:

if it brings in a zero, you will over-normalize

if it brings in a one, you should do it

If you should but don't, rounding will un-normalize, and when you then re-normalize, the normalizing amount will be doubled, so you will be off by 2 smidgens (that is, the next to low order bit). Note that rounding can over-normalize as well as un-normalize, so you can't just stop normalization after rounding.

You might check this in your PDP-6/10. For example, combine 201400,,0+ δ with minus 200777,,777777+2 δ . For $0 \leq \delta \leq 7777$, the correct FMP result is minus 200777,,777776, and the correct FMPR result is minus 200777,,777777.

Over-normalized negative powers of 2 work in compares and most floating arithmetic. They lose with MOVN and as dividends. Unnormalized floating operands win completely on the PDP-10, except as divisors and dividends, the latter suffering truncation error.

ITEM 182 (Roe): VOLTAGE REGULATORS

page 88


Fairchild is now supplying positive voltage regulators costing about 2 dollars in lots of 1 (for example, the uA7805 for +5 volts).

ITEM 183 (Roe): CURRENT MIRRORS

The CA3083 (and CA3084) transistor arrays can be used to make neat current mirrors. (A current mirror supplies a current on one wire equal to that drawn from a second wire.)

ITEM 184 (Roe): ONE-SHOT

A dual MOS D-type flip-flop (such as the CD4013AE) can be used to make a one-shot as follows:



ITEM 185 (Roe): OSCILLATORS

Everyone has their own favorite oscillator circuits; here are some we like.

I crystal, overtone, transistor






II crystal, fundamental, transistor (drives at least 1 TTL load)


III crystal, fundamental, CMOS, low frequency (drives 1 TTL load; at 5.4 volts and no load, draws 330 microamperes; with a 165 KHz, 32 pf crystal, varies about 10 Hz per volt of V_{cc})

IV crystal, fundamental, IC (a favorite of Nelson's, but be careful and lucky or it may oscillate at a frequency determined by the crystal holder capacitance and not by the crystal; note similarity to non-crystal oscillator V)

V not crystal controlled; for comparison with IV


VI The following blocking oscillator is quite uncritical of component values, with the exception that the turns ratio be such that -V_b (see graph) not exceed B_{Vebo} (about 5 volts for silicon transistors).







ITEM 186 (Roe): FM RADIO LINK

In work on education at our lab, we built a motorized "turtle" controlled by computer commands in the child-oriented language "Logo." The following is a transmitter designed as a radio link between the computer and turtle. Input (modulation) is either 0 or +12 volts; output is about 88MHz. Use a commercial FM tuner as receiver. Note: this transmitter is ILLEGAL no matter what; part 15 low power rule only allows if duty is less than about 1 second per 15 minutes. Don't worry about it unless you interfere with broadcast stations.



When the chess program written at our lab is playing in a chess tournament, a human attendant at the tournament moves the pieces, punches the clock, and communicates with the program via a portable terminal coupled to a telephone line. It is desirable that the program know when its chess clock is running, even though the attendant may not notice immediately that the opponent has made his move and punched the clock. Therefore we built a clock holder with a microswitch to sense the clock state. The following is a 10 mw transmitter whose input is the microswitch and whose output goes onto the phone line. It switches between two frequencies, about 320 and 470 Hz. Also shown is the receiver. Input should be at least 100 mv rms (threshold is 20 mv and overload is above 68 volts) with peak to peak signal to noise ratio greater than 4:1. As we all know, connections to phone lines are illegal unless made through a data coupler supplied by TPC (The Phone Company).




page 92

$V_{\text{velocity}} = V_{\text{feedback}} = k_1 V_{\text{motor}} - k_2 I_{\text{motor}}$

The diagram shows a control system for a motor. A control voltage V_{control} is applied to the inverting input of an op-amp (741). The non-inverting input is grounded. The output of the op-amp is connected to the motor through a resistor labeled k_1 . The motor's output is connected to a feedback network consisting of a resistor and a capacitor in parallel. This network is connected to the inverting input of a second op-amp (741). The non-inverting input of the second op-amp is grounded. The output of the second op-amp is connected back to the inverting input of the first op-amp through a resistor labeled k_2 . A note indicates that k_2 adjusts the mechanical resistance, with an arrow pointing up for 'less' and down for 'more'.

I




II

ITEM 189 (Roe): OPTICAL COUPLER


page 93

When two circuits are at potentials differing by a few hundred volts but wish to communicate with each other, one solution is to use an optical coupler. These employ a light-emitting device placed close to a light-sensitive device. Diodes make very fast-responding sensors, but the signal from a light-sensitive transistor is much stronger. Shown is a compromise, using a transistor as a diode, with associated cleverness to get the delay (from input to output) down from 10 microseconds to 1.



ITEM 190 (Roe): PHOTOCATHODE CURRENT OSCILLATOR

In our fourth computer-interfaced image sensing device, TVD (really a vidisector, not a TV), the photocathode sits at several thousand volts negative. Nevertheless, one wishes to sense the current it draws, since overcurrent should shut down the photocathode voltage to avoid damage to the photocathode. The following circuit draws no more than 400 microamperes at 10 volts (at 20 KHz out; about 200 microamperes at 10 KHz) and couples the current information out as the frequency sent to T2, whose coils are wound on opposite sides of a ceramic ferrite.




TVD, mentioned above, uses a very carefully designed printed circuit amplifier to supply current to its magnetic deflection coils. Except for the notes with the diagram, we submit it without further explanation or cautions.

Notes:

- 1 Except where noted, resistors 10%, 1/4 watt.
- 2 Capacitances in microfarads/volts; electrolytics aluminum.
- 3 Diodes 1N4727, 1N4154, 1N4009 etc.; stored charge no more than 80 picocoulombs at 1 milliamperere forward current.
- 4 1D103 = GE thermistor mounted at center of main heat sink.
- 5 220J = Analog Devices chopper amplifier.
- 6 * = temperature protection circuit (overttemperature cutout).
- 7 Q2, Q3, Q4, Q5, Q6, Q12, Q13, Q14, Q15, Q16 mounted on one 1 Centigrade degree per watt heat sink (e.g. Wakefield 621K 1/2 inch in front of Rotron Muffin fan). Case temperature about 70 degrees C max. Ground heat sink and insulate transistors.
- 8 All transistors Motorola.
- 9 All zeners 1 watt.
- 10 VE48X = Varo; could be two 2 A 50 PIV fast recovery.
- 11 Output capacitance about 800 pf; damping R about 150 ohms for critical damping.
- 12 Slews from + (or -) 2 A to - (or +) 2 A in 4 microseconds; dE/dt at hot side of deflection coil is about a billion v/sec.
- 13 Layout is critical, as with most fast high-gain circuits.
 - A By-passing and lead inductance: Short wide strips (or, better, a ground plane) should be used for ground bus, and ceramic capacitors with leads as short as practicable used for bypassing. Best bypass capacitor is Allen-Bradley CL series.
 - B Ground loops: reference ground (triangles) and power ground must be interconnected only at the cold side of the sense resistor; take care to avoid stray current through the cold side of the signal input.
 - C In general, the device should be constructed like a 144 MHz transmitter to avoid its becomming one.
- 14 The 100 pf stabilizing capacitor may want to be higher to decrease hunting and ringing, which could improve settling time more than the reduced gain-bandwidth would increase it.

Q1, Q12, Q13	MPS-U01
Q11, Q2, Q3	MPS-U51
Q4, Q5, Q6	2N5194
Q14, Q15, Q16	2N5191
Q7	MPS-U02
Q17	MPS-U52
Q8, Q19	2N3906
Q9, Q18	2N3904



INDEX

{cross reference}

(explanation)

Compiled by Rich Schroeppel and Hilarie Orman.

0 {zero} 43 68	18 83	algebraic
.8453 45	20-omino 77	functions 101
1 {one} 22 57 107	23 63	number 32 101 178
125 158 159 167	28 61	algorithm 17 101 115
169 171 173 175	30 12	132 149-153
177 181	34 68	176-180 fig9
1st 49 60 62 115 132	40 47	alternating series
159	63 51	120
1st order 6 15	72 bits 25 104	amazing 149
1:2 80	120 60	ambiguity 176 181
2 {two} 14 23 31 32	130 50	American Math.
35 43 45 47 48 57	220 62	Monthly 48 120
60 63 97 120-123	239 63	amicable number 61
137 144 149 152	256 82	62
154 159 160	284 62	analysis 25 143
2-dimensional 153	292 101	analytic function 11
2-NOTS 19	355 101	126
n	672 60	analyze game 68 74
2 20 24 64	1093 45	87 88 94
3 {three} 35 49 68	3511 45	AND 17-19 22 23 43
97 107 116 127	7094 112	115
3rd 123 132 163	23000 41	angle 45 107 137 158
3-dimensional 112	2 ¹⁸ 41	angular velocity 149
153	2 ⁸⁶ 57	151
3-space 77	Abramowitz 120 143	annoying 177
3N+1 133	accelerating 120 124	answer 45 57 105
4 47 50 89 92 115	accumulator 155 162	AOBJN 155 156
127 134 136	164 166 172	appear 39 153
four-in-a-row 112	Adams, Clifford 49	append 101 122
4th power 6 14 63	add 18 40 43 50	Applied
4-letter 176	addl 64	Combinatorial
4-space 107	addition 101 158 159	Math. 77 86
4-vector 107	chain 12	approximant,
4.86% 29	additional 172	continued
5 47 51 57 61 78	address 157 165 172	fraction 63 101
81 90 103	179	122 137
five-in-a-row 91	adjacent 68 167 177	approximation 101
5th power 63 121	advantage 101 172	arbitrary 19 111 177
6 73 83 141	181	arc 123 177
7 31 82 97 113 114	AGM 131 143 144	arccos 14 15 116
160	aleph-two 129	arccoth 126
7-coloring 114	algebra 13 151 154	arcerf 45
9 72 141		archaeologist 135
14 105		arcsin 10

arctan 16 63 101 137 138 140	board 68 76 78 84 90-92 94 112	closed form 10 14 116 118 131 151 177 180
area 114	Boolean 17-24	closest 3 15
arg, complex number 137	boundary 20 125 180	closure 105
argument 132 158-160 172	bounded 45 47 77	clue 19 101
arithmetic 101	box 112	cluster point 32
expression 101	bracket 30	code 25
-geometric mean {AGM}	branch point 130 143	coefficient 13 14 43 44 101 118 125 130
mean 131 143	bridge 46	Cohen, Joe 117 148
series 14 35 99 101	Brillhart, John 28 65	coin 67
arpeggios 168	broken line 180	color 71 73 110-114
array 18 38 120 121	Brown, Steve 75	common divisor 53
Art of Computer Programming {Knuth}	bug 45 135 181	Communications of the ACM 112
aspect ratio 80 112	bum 160 177	commutative 101 127
associative 12	byte 164 165	comparison 101 181
asymptotic 77 86	C curve 135 fig8	compile 179
automatic 101	calculate 26 68 143	complement 75 105 122 173 181
axis 3 107 143 152	carriage return 170	complex number 14 55 fig1a fig1b fig2 123 fig6a fig6b 136-138 143
backing up 176 179	carry 43 115	complicate 101
banananana 176	casting out 167 169	composition of functions 101 107 127
Banks, Roger 20 133	CDR 132	computation 12-14 65 68 83 101 115 123 140 142 153 154 158 177 179
base {radix}	center 51 68 76 112 114 123 149	Computers in Number Theory 77
basis vector 13 14	-symmetrically 74	concurrences 2
Berlekamp, Elwyn 69	centroid 180	condition 11 101 111 130
Bernoulli 12 121	certified 137	conformal map 11
Bessel functions 99 101 178	changing 123 158 167	conjecture {problem} 33 35 56 101 112 125
best 17 72	character 21 134 165 167 170 176 179	conjugate 32 107 123
bignum 101 154	cheap 158	conjunction 137
billion 143	Chebychev 14 15 151	connected 50 73 114 115 180
binary 12 22 60 63 115 122 128 fig7 132 145 154	checkerboard 84 112	CONS 172
binomial 38 42 43 116 118 120 121	checkers 93	consecutive 25 121 160 164 166 175
bisector 3	chess 70 78 87 88 95	constant 14 50 51 127 129 152 158 169 173
bishop 70 78	Chess for Fun and Chess for Blood 70	
bit 22 25 37 55 fig1a fig1b fig2 101 104 115 122 128 fig7 132 143 145 159 160 162 167 168 170 173 175 177 181	Chinese remainder theorem 48	
black 70 84 88 89	Choong 140	
black box 19	chord 168	
blackout 68	chromatic number 113	
	circle 20 32 83 123 fig6a fig6b 125 149-153 177 fig9	
	circumscribing 20	
	claim 65 122	
	Clements, Robert 112	
	clever 71	
	clock 123 fig6a fig6b	

constrain 101 172	curve 55 figla figlb	dissection 85 fig4
177	fig2 66 115 122	distance 153 177 180
construct 39 45 80	123 135 fig8 149	distinct 22 56 68 71
135	151 177 fig9	103 112 115 150
continued fraction	cycle 47 132 173	171
97-101 122 137	data 29 75 77	distribution 26 32
140	Daykin 140	40 46 107
arithmetic 101	decimal 33 36 37 56	diverge 133
continuous 107 115	57 101 139 145	divide into parts
contours 177	159 170	177 180
converge 3 12 120	decomposable 112	division 101 181
122 124 125 130	decrease 19 56	by zero 3 171
142 143	degree (polynomial)	divisor 43 53 60 101
convergent 101	5 13 15 127	110 136
convex 20 24	(angle) 152 177	domino 86 111 112
coordinate 48 52 107	delta 120 181	don't care 17
177	denominator 12 45 54	dot product 107 121
copy 24 35 115 122	101 122-124 140	dots 69 73
167	dense set 3	double 14 45 78 158
corner 48 50 68 112	density 35	171 181
153 180	dependent 13	dragon curve 135
corollary 51	derivative 178	draw (game) 73 94
correct 159	determinant 5 101	(picture) 55 figla
correction 101	determine 39 42 47	figlb fig2 114
cosh 158	118 149 152 153	149 177 fig9
cosine 14 15 26 107	deuce rule 42	dual 75
142 149 151 158	diagonal 2 50 68 90	e 12 37 101 117 118
cotangent 142	120 121 177	143 144 158 178
coth 126	diamonds 112	edge 50 112
count 18 29 77 81 82	dice 67 72	egest 101
108 112 115 169	difference 14	elegant 149
177	forward 37 38 120	element 82 102 121
counter 64 155 170	differential	elementary function
179	equation 178	178
counter (token) 90	differentiate 118	ellipse 149 152
counterexample 12	digit 33 37 39 43 56	elliptic function 10
counterintuitive 75	57 72 101 115 120	131 143 158
cover 21 50 72 86	140 141 169 170	empirically 101
110 111	dimension	empty 52 78 101 172
Coxeter 113	two 48 107 153	endpoint 101 177 180
cross product 107	three 18 107 112	energy 46
180	153	epsilon 149 152 159
cube (box) 71 112	four 107	equal 132 161 174
(power) 6 45 58 63	n 77 83	equation 3 8 9 178
magic 50 51	of vector space 1	equilateral 112
root 8 159	direction 45 123	equivalence class 75
cubic equation 8 10	dirty 158	error 3 45 101 117
partition 18	disadvantage 181	154 181
polynomial 127	discover 18 49 64 71	bounds 101
curious 59 77 118	146 149	escalation 88
curtains 148	discriminant 4 5	escape code 177
	disordered 46	estimates 82 93 101
	display 123 145 146	Euler 107 120
	149 153 177	

evaluate 13 14 68	fixed point	Gaussian integer 55
116 177 178	number 173 174 181	figla figlb 136
even 54 115 133 167	of a function 174	137
177	flatsize 134	GCD 52 101
exact {closed form}	flip-flop 168	generalize 14 18 21
10 26 41 159	floating point 3 101	47
arithmetic 3 152	160 173 174 181	generate 11 26 27 64
example 61 68 76 85	flow 25 126 127 129	101 137
86 101 102 110	130 132	generating function
113 124 127 137	FMP 181	7 15 18
170 177 178 181	foo 168	geometric
exception 32 45 47	forced win 94	dissections 85
138 181	form {closed} 38 47	geometric mean 131
excess-200 173 181	56 73 112 114 178	143
exchange 163	formula 1 12 14 18	geometric series 38
exciting 149	77 86 120 137 158	geometry 2 177
Execuport 21	forward difference	Geometry,
exist 11 14 18 39 48	37 38 120	Introduction to
76 97 102 107	found 49 61 112 137	113
expected value 29	179	gigantic 101
107	fraction {continued}	giveaway chess 87
exponent 43 160 173	32 122 159 173	Go 96
181	181	golden ratio 12 32
express 1 13 34 47	fractional fibonacci	goodies 139
63 131	12	government 137
expression 14 36 43	fractional part 55	graph 147 177
57 127 177	fig2 141	greater 175
extend 77	Fredkin, Edward 38	greatest integer 34
extra move 94	58	101 120
factor 28 29 45 47	free 172	group 56 82 102-104
52 61 63 120 136	Freiberg, Jerry 157	107
137	165 167	grow 101
factorial 1 31 34 43	frob 113	gruesome 73
98 103 116 117	FSC 158	guidance 107
121 123 139	function 5-7 10-12	Gurley, Ben 149
fascinating 123	15 17-19 25 68 83	hack 145 149 180
fascist 154	98 99 118 123	hackers 168
fast 120 142 143	fig6a fig6b 125	hairy 67 97
fatal error 154	129 131 132 142	half 32 123
favorite 25	143 151 158 159	half-line 18
feedback 17 101	174 178 179	halfword 148 155 162
fencepost 157	future 25	Hamiltonian path 103
Fermat 106	game 42 46 67-76 78	hand 46 94 123 fig6a
fetch 179	84 87-96 112	fig6b
Fibonacci 12 14 47	gamma 117 120 178	Handbook of Math.
123	gaps 83	Functions 143
field 13 107	garbage collector	handicap 42
find 21 22 31 68 80	172	hardware 181-191
86 111	Gardner, Martin 49	Hardy, G. H. 136
fine structure	80 fig3a 112	hemisphere 107
constant 101	gates 17	Henneman, Bill 133
finite 33 39 132	Gaussian	heptahedron 114
finite-state 115	distribution 26	heptomino 109
fit 83	27 40	heuristic 75 176-180

hex 94
 hexadecimal 122 145 167
 hexafrobs 112
 hexagon 49 85 fig4 112 114
 hexiamond 112 fig5
 hexomino 109
 Hi-Q 76
 high order bit 181
 hole 77 112 113
 homographic 101
 how many? 39 57 77
 how much? 42
 Hurwitz numbers 101
 iamonds 112 fig5
 identify 101
 identity (equation) 42 101 118 137
 identity (group) 12 101 102 107
 illustration 55
 fig1a fig1b fig2 80 fig3a fig3b 85
 fig4 112 fig5 123
 fig6a fig6b 128
 fig7 135 fig8 177
 fig9
 image 19 123 fig6a fig6b
 imaginary axis 3
 impossible 49 50 64 72 112
 imprecise 101
 increase 18 56 68 99
 increment 132 151 177 fig9 179
 independent
 variables 26 29 40 101
 independent vectors 14
 independently 64 71 77
 indicator 68
 induction 32 75
 inexorable 132
 infinite 35 39 91 101 123 135
 infinity 83 133
 information 158 180
 input 17 64 101 115 170 179
 Instant Insanity 71
 instruction 64 104 149 158 166 169 172 179 181
 integer 18 32 34 40 47 52 60 83 101 120 125 130 136 171
 integral 116 143
 interesting 112 121 123 138 145 146
 interexpressible 1
 international 137
 interpolation 130
 interval 15 101 115
 intriguing 123
 Introduction to Geometry 113
 invariant 101
 inverse 12-14 25 112 115 118 150 178
 invisible 48
 iridium 137
 irrational 45 101
 irreducible 13
 isomorphic 107
 isosceles triangle 135
 iterate 12 14 25 56 61 72 115 124 132-135 158 176 179
 itself 122 132
 Jensen, Eric 132 170
 joys 63
 jump 64 76
 king 70 78 87 88 89
 king-moves 177
 knight 38 70 78
 known 39 45 61 63 64 80 fig3a 85 86 127 133 143 173
 Knuth, Donald 29 55 fig2 101 132
 Kok, Jan 2
 Komolgoroff 105
 L 68
 language 80 fig3b 154
 large 32 94 122
 larger 62 171
 largest 14 29 43 72 132 159 179
 Lasker, Edward 70
 last 106 123 157 176 179
 last digit 57
 last move 68 84
 lattice 48 52 68 177
 least common multiple 47
 left 112 181
 Legendre 29
 lemma 19 50
 Lenard, Jud 169
 length 27 39 47 49 56 57 61 68 123 132 156 169
 lexicographic 75
 Liknaitzky, Rici 64 155 166
 limit 35 77 115 131 142 143
 Lindgreen, Harry 85
 line 18 38 68 73 93 170 177 180
 line-oriented 149
 linear 101
 linear combination 13 127 151
 linked 172 177
 Lisp 163
 list 68 82 132 170 172
 listen 168
 location, memory 161 172 179
 locus 45
 log 12-14 26 29 35 117 143 144 158 160 178
 log2 120 132 160
 logic 17-24
 long 68 123 132
 longest 33
 longhand 134
 loop 3 25 57 61 62 101 132-134 154 176 177 179 180
 lose 180 181
 low 22 25 145 160 168 170 181
 lowest 5 46
 lowest terms 101
 LSH 25 158
 machine 25 64 66 101 115 180

machine independent 154
 magic 49-51 81 165
 magnitude 123 154
 173 177 180
 majority 17
 Mann, Bill 169
 map 11 18 107 113
 115 128 fig7 132
 mask 22
 match 101 115 132
 173 179
 Math. and Plausible Reasoning 125
 Math. of Computation 140
 Matlab 4 127
 matrix 14 107
 maximum 35 39 56 63
 67 68 164 180
 mean 131 143
 measure 45 107 137
 member 47 61 62 115
 120
 memory 115 154 161
 172
 Mersenne 28 63
 method 3 12 21 26 29
 42 126 143 170
 172 178 179
 metric 101
 midpoint 177
 minichess 78
 minimal 12 21 22 85
 137 149
 minimal representation 101
 minimum 17 21 62 73
 98
 Minsky, Marvin 64
 149 153
 mirror 135
 mistake 149
 Mitchell, George 156
 mode 177
 modern analysis 143
 modular function, elliptic 10 139
 modulus 12 13 43 45
 47 86 101 122 136
 167
 monotonic 18 101 177
 Montroll, E. W. 86
 more 37 112 122
 most 46 fig3b 95 114
 move {game} 25
 MOVN 181
 Mozart, Wolfgang 63
 multinomial coefficient 43 44
 multiple 45 57
 multiple valued 137
 multiplication 12
 101 107 137 149
 multiplication formula 1 14 158
 multiply perfect number 60
 multiprecision {bignum}
 munching squares 146-148
 Murphy 176
 music 168
 myth 154
 n-space 11 27
 n-sphere 11 107
 narrowness 101
 natural boundary 125
 130
 nearer 177
 nearest integer 101
 necessary 111 130
 negation 101 123 181
 negative 133 138 143
 153 170
 negative radix 128
 fig7
 neglected term 159
 neighborhood 107 177
 Nelson, Stuart 162
 174
 never 94 162 171
 new 149
 Newton's method 3 12
 126 178
 next 120 123 160
 Nim 68 74
 no 18 50 51 63 76
 107 112 127 132
 noise 145 180
 non-commutatively 107
 non-digits 170
 non-exponential 68
 non-integer 130
 non-regular continued fraction 122 140
 non-trivial 28 137
 145
 non-uniform distribution 32
 nonlinear recurrence 15
 nonzero 13 57 107
 norm 136
 normalize 27 181
 not 17 19 68 113 115
 161 172
 noted 56
 nth 5 7 14 15 37 38
 43 57 101 115 120
 121 130 176
 numerators 101
 numerical 22 151 181
 representations 101
 n 118
 occurrence 62
 octagons 135
 octal 145 169
 octant 18
 odd 45 68 133 167
 odd-even 177
 offset 167
 omino {polyomino}
 one {1} 67 101 122
 169 181
 one-digit 56
 one-to-one 11 101
 115
 one-ups-manship 169
 ones-complement 154
 only 45 47 57 63 64
 71 76 174
 onto mapping 11 115
 opening 148
 operand 101 181
 operation 11 34 101
 132 146 172
 opponent 78 88
 optimal 67 72
 option 176
 OR 18 19 23 25
 orbit 153
 orchestras 63

order 12 14 15 50 51	physically 123	precision 101
77 81 94 108 112	pi 45 52 55 63 101	predecessor 115 150
117 169 181	107 116 119 120	prediction 25 29 47
ordered pairs 12 151	124 137-139 143	preempt 101
ordering, partial 18	pi(x) 65	preserve 22 115
origin 52 149 153	pingpong 42	previous 122 130
156	pitch 107	prime 17 29 30 33 35
original 22 124	PL(n) 18	41 43 45 47 48 52
orthogonal matrix	place 139 166 178	62 65 136 137
107	180	primitive root 57
outer 177	placing 68 84 90	print, decimal 170
output 25 101 115	planar partition 18	probability 29 37 42
168 176	plane 52 109 111 114	52-54 57 107
overflow 154 167	143	probable, most 46
overlap 84 176	platinum 137	probably 32 35 83 85
packed 71	player 42 67-69	problem 1 2 11 17 19
pair 12 61 62 101	72-74 84 87 88 90	21 22 27 31 32 34
103 151	92 94	36 39 42 47 49 68
palindrome 177	plotter 123 fig6a	70 73 101 103 107
parallel 50	fig6b 149 177	110 111 116 119
parallelogram 112	Plumer, Dave 71	123 127 129 130
114	plus 23 75	133 150 177 180
parameter 107	point 32 42 48 52	procedurally 101
parity 115 122 167	107 123 130 145	procedure 13
partition 18	149 150 152 160	product 13 45 47 56
Pascal's triangle	174 177 180 181	107 122
121	pointer 156 157 164	PROG2 163
Paterson, Mike 71	165 172 179	program 57 61 64 75
path 19 103	poker dice 67	77-96 101 103 123
pattern 114 122 123	polar 107	137 145-180
145 154	pole 101	progression 35 99
pawn 70 78 87 89	Polya, George 125	project 11
PDP-1 74 75 123	polygon 2 20 180	projective 107
fig6a fig6b 146	polygonal 177	promoted pawn 87
168	polyiamond 112 fig5	proof 13 18 32 50 52
PDP-6/10 104 168	polynomial $\{x^2\}$ 5 12	94 97 106 113
169 173 174 179	14 15 101 121 127	propoganda 101
181	178	prove 89
peg solitaire 75 76	polyomino 77 108-110	proved 31 35 47 64
pentagon 85	112	85 122
pentomino 84	position 22 68 76 93	pseudorandom 132
perfect number 60-62	95 96 103 115 170	pushdown 158 170
period 68 101 132	positive 34 98 133	PUSHJ 158 177
154	137 153 170 173	puzzle (games) 56 71
periodic 45 101 149	174	79 112
permutation 22 56 75	possible 68 72 130	puzzle (question) 18
103 104	132	Pythagoras, Joe 58
perpendicular 3 107	power 12 14 32 37 38	quadrant 18 158 177
120 180	43 47 53 57 63	quadratic 3 143
Perron 100	101 118 120 121	quadrillion 30
phase 137 143	125 127 129 130	quadrisection 115
phenomenon 176	149 154 159 167	Quarterly Journal of
phi function 45	181	Pure and Applied
phrase 176	power, large 32	Math. 139

quartic equation 4 9	recursive 158 170	ruler function 132
quaternary 37	rediscovered 64	salvaged 45
quaternion 107	redouble 45	Samson, Peter fig3h
queens 87	reduce 101	164
question 57 114	references 48 49 56	satisfying 9 45 115
quintic equation 10	70 77 79 80 85 86	129 178
quotient 99 171	100 105 112 113	scalar 12 107
radius 83 125 150	120 125 136 139	scale {stretch}
153	140 143	Scarne, John 90
radix {binary,	reflection 1 81	Schutzenberger,
ternary,	region 2 20 24	Marco 39
quarternary,	register 25 149	Scientific American
octal, decimal,	regular 101	49 79 80 85 fig4
hexadecimal,	Rektorys 12	112
negative,	related 45 63 145	Scientific American
complex} 33 37 43	relation 13 14 121	Book of Puzzles
55 fig1a fig1b	relatively prime 48	80 fig3a
fig2 63 101 115	52	score 42 67 72
122 128 fig7 154	relevant 173	Scott, Dana 133
Ramanujan 31 139	remarkable 151	search 31 61 176 177
random number	remove digits 33	179
generator 25 132	repeated 12 67 131	seems 47 68
random number 25-27	132 143 167	segment 39 177 180
29 32 37 52 54 57	repeating pattern	self, map into 132
random variable 40	114	self-referent list
random vector 27	replace 127 133 134	132
range 40 83 101 133	169 177	semigroups 82
158	representation 107	semiquadrant 177
range-reduced 143	representing 20	sentinel 160
158	required 37 113	separating 151
rapidly 14 122	restored 158	separation, minimal
raster 21	reverse bits 167	62
rate 14 143	reverse paths 103	sequence 14 38 39
Rathbone 140	Riemann surface 143	115 176 177 179
ratio 38 80 101 159	right angle 137	series 12 47 115-125
rational function 12	rightmost digit 14	129 130 139 158
101 125	33	set 3 17 35 45 48 52
rational number 38	rigid rotation 107	84 105 107 115
45 54 101 130	roll 72 107	132 137 150 170
Rayfield, Malcolm	rook 78	172
135	root 3 5 8 9 12 14	SETQ 163
re-normalize 181	34 57 77 101 126	Shanks, Daniel 65
reactionary 101	127 143 149 151	shape 20 48 111 112
read-only 154	158	115
real number 3 32 45	Root, Steve 61 169	shift 25 132 167 181
105 115 137 138	ROT 104	short program 101
143 178	rotate 11 103 107	145
recant 101	123 135 153 166	show 42 72 107 110
reciprocal 101 120	rotation 81 107 146	112
recognize 101	roundoff 3 101 173	shrink 20 101 123
rectangle 68 80	181	135
fig3b 86 110 112	row 50 78 90 91 112	
recurrence 12-16 44	115 120 121 179	
151 158	rule 12 42 67 84 102	

side 20 49 68 76 78
 80 fig3b 86 94 95
 110 112 114 137
 177
 sigma 116 118 120
 123 124
 sign 154 160 168 173
 177 181
 sign-magnitude 154
 signals 145
 Silver, David 135
 Silver, Rollo 25
 Sim 73
 similar 51 68 97
 similarity 127
 simple 18 97 115 146
 simplify 177
 simultaneous 68 144
 151
 sine 10 26 101 107
 129 142 149 151
 158 159
 single 153
 sinh 158
 size 29 149
 skip 83 162 179
 sliding block puzzle
 79
 slope 38
 small 80 101 106 158
 smaller 62 158
 smallest 48 68 80
 fig3a
 smidgen 45 181
 snowflake curve 135
 solid 153
 solitaire 76
 solution 8-10 21 27
 31 35 49 71 112
 fig5 127 136 137
 solve 10 21 68 71 78
 79 84 90-96 143
 144
 Soma 112
 soma-like 77 112
 space 11 19 27 77
 107 123 158
 spacecraft 107
 spacefilling curve
 66 115 122 135
 spacewar 114
 special 64 137 181
 Speciner, Michael 18
 62 160
 speed 123 158
 speed of light 101
 sphere 11 27 107
 sporadic 122
 square (rectangle)
 48 68 69 80 fig3a
 fig3b 85 fig4 86
 90 112 115
 square root 9 34 97
 101 126 137 143
 152 158 159
 sqrt(2) 32
 sqrt(3) 116
 square (exponent) 5
 6 12 14 31 36 45
 47 59 63 80 119
 124 127 144 169
 square, magic 50 147
 square, munching 146
 148
 square waves 168
 squashed 180
 stability 150 151
 stable 149 152
 stalemate 73
 Stallman, Richard
 166
 standard form 101
 starting 76
 state 25 46 115 148
 Stegun 120 143
 step 135
 step function 83
 step (walk) 45
 step (number
 required) 12 14
 stereo 145
 stereographically 11
 stop! 19
 stopped 57
 store 132 172
 straight 38
 strategy 67 72 73
 154
 stretch 11 52 135
 127
 string 18 37 39 122
 128 fig7 154 170
 176 177 179
 String fig3b
 sub-cycles 47
 sub-problem 39
 subexpression 101
 subgroup 107
 subroutine 101
 substitute 101 122
 substring 179
 subtract 64 101 155
 158
 successive
 approximations 101
 successor 68
 suit 46
 sum 29 43 50 60 61
 72 76 121-124
 fig6a fig6b 154
 180
 super-fast 142 143
 superimposing 20 135
 surface 143
 Survey of Applicable
 Math. 12
 susceptibility 25
 suspicious 32 122
 Sussman, Gerald 163
 swap 103 161 162
 sweep 123
 switch 132 146 148
 168
 symbolic functions
 101
 symmetric function
 5-7
 symmetry fig1b 101
 synthesize 17 19
 system 107 154
 table 115 132 165
 tabulated 72
 Tactix 74
 take-away games 68
 tangent 16 107 142
 158 177
 tanh 101 158
 Taylor series 158
 Technology Review 56
 Teco 176
 Teeko 90
 term 14 34 101 120
 122 123 129 139
 140 159
 term, last 101
 terminate 101
 termination 181
 ternary 36 37
 tessellating 109
 tesseract 51
 test 47 158 159 177
 text 176

theorem 29 38 48 85	two {2} 52 57 61 62	Venn diagram 20 24
106	68 72 73 84 89 90	verboden 88
theory 68 77	162 163 172 177	verified 77 107 112
Theory of Numbers	180 181	verify 28 85
136	two counter 64	vertex 180
thermodynamic 46	two-dimensional 18	views 147
three {3} 60 70 166	two-member 61 62	visible 48
Thue 122	twos-complement 154	visual information
tic-tac-toe 91 92	181	180
112	typeout 170	volume 107
Tiger Puzzle 79	typical 77	Waltz, Dave 21
time 28 65 68 93 123	typo 10 101	Watson 143
144 147 160 178	unclear 123 150	waves 168
top-tested 177	underflow 160	white {chess,
toroidal space 101	unequal 46 52 80 181	checkers} 70 84
114	unfeasible 93	88 89
total 25 72	uniform 26 27 32	Whittaker 12 143
tracing 123	uniformly 32 40 107	win 42 67-69 72-75
trailing 132	union 52 101	84 87 89 90 92 94
transcendental	unique 13 49 55	window-dice 72
functions 101	figla figlb fig2	wobble 153
transcendental	101 102 130 136	wonder, great 149
number 101 122	unit {sphere,	word (computer) 25
transfer {jump} 179	interval, circle,	157 165 169
transform 12 158	quaternion, cell,	Wright, E. M. 136
transformation 120	square} 27 32 83	Wright, Jackson 146
124	107 114 115 123	x^2-2 127
translate 11 107 127	universal 64	x^2-x-1 12
168	universe 154	XOR 17 23 25 68 115
trap 154	unknown 101	147
trapezoid 112	unnormalized 181	Yao, Frances 64
TRCE 162	unproven 92	yaw 107
tree search 75	unstable 3	year 93
triangle 73 75 85	upward 19	zero digit 18 36 57
112	useful 151 176	122 132 170 181
triangle, Pascal's	valid 113 143	zero element 13 47
121	value 13 17 25 29 50	107
trick 101	99 107 122 132	zero loop 133
trillion 29 30	147 177	zero of polynomial 5
trinomial	variable 6 7 17-20	zero (number) 32 35
coefficient 116	40 156 163	45 64 101 143
triple 14 88 158	variance 40	zero, division by 3
truncation error 101	variations 112 123	171
181	145	zeroless 57
twice 39 50 86 123	vector 12 13 27 107	zeta function 53 119
158	180	124 178
twin primes 30	velocity 149	