

RADAR: a rigorously annotated database of A-to-I RNA editing

Gokul Ramaswami* and Jin Billy Li*

Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Received August 1, 2013; Revised September 27, 2013; Accepted October 3, 2013

ABSTRACT

We present RADAR—a rigorously annotated database of A-to-I RNA editing (available at <http://RNAedit.com>). The identification of A-to-I RNA editing sites has been dramatically accelerated in the past few years by high-throughput RNA sequencing studies. RADAR includes a comprehensive collection of A-to-I RNA editing sites identified in humans (*Homo sapiens*), mice (*Mus musculus*) and flies (*Drosophila melanogaster*), together with extensive manually curated annotations for each editing site. RADAR also includes an expandable listing of tissue-specific editing levels for each editing site, which will facilitate the assignment of biological functions to specific editing sites.

INTRODUCTION

RNA editing is the post- or co-transcriptional modification of RNA nucleotides from their genome-encoded sequence. The most common type of editing in metazoans is the deamination of adenosine into inosine (A-to-I) catalyzed by the adenosine deaminase acting on RNA (ADAR) family of enzymes (1). ADAR enzymes bind double-stranded regions of RNA molecules and deaminate adenosine into inosine, which is subsequently recognized as guanosine by the cellular machinery. ADARs perform critical functions in the nervous system (2), and knockout of ADARs in mice causes lethality (1).

Historically, the identification of A-to-I editing sites has been dependent on the sequencing technologies available at the time. When DNA sequencing technologies were first being developed and automated, the identification of editing sites was slow and often occurred serendipitously. The development and growth of nucleotide databases facilitated the identification of additional editing sites. In recent years, the advent of high-throughput RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) has enabled transcriptome-wide identification of RNA editing sites and has greatly accelerated the discovery of A-to-I editing sites.

The major challenges in the field are to understand how RNA editing is regulated and to assign biological functions to specific editing sites. Currently, the widely used database of A-to-I editing sites is the database of RNA editing (DARNED) (<http://darned.ucc.ie>) (3). Although DARNED is a centralized repository for the location of A-to-I editing sites in the transcriptome, it contains few manually curated annotations and does not contain any information at all about the dynamic regulation of editing sites. RNA editing is tightly regulated in a spatiotemporal manner (4), and to elucidate the function of a particular editing site, it will be vital to analyze tissue-specific editing levels. We designed a rigorously annotated database of A-to-I RNA editing (RADAR) with this goal in mind. First and foremost, RADAR is an updated repository of A-to-I editing sites in humans, mice and flies. We included detailed manually curated annotations for each editing site as described later (see Database Features). In addition, for each editing site, we included a catalog of tissue-specific editing levels from published RNA-seq datasets. As further RNA-seq studies are published, the number of identified editing sites as well as the catalog of tissue-specific editing levels will be continuously updated to facilitate a deeper understanding of how RNA editing is dynamically regulated.

Data collection

We collected a list of A-to-I editing sites in humans, mice and flies after performing a literature search. The first mammalian A-to-I editing sites were identified as amino acid recoding modifications in glutamate and serotonin receptors in the nervous system (5–7). As nucleotide sequences began to be deposited in expressed sequence tag (EST) databases, these resources were mined to identify additional A-to-I editing sites, focusing on editing events that changed amino acid sequences (8–12). EST database mining also demonstrated that A-to-I editing is quite prevalent in human Alu repeats (13,14). Additionally, a biochemical method to identify inosine in RNA molecules was developed by Sakurai *et al.* (15) and used to identify ~5000 editing sites.

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +1 650 723 9619; Fax: +1 650 725 1534; Email: gokulr@stanford.edu
Correspondence may also be addressed to Jin Billy Li. Tel: +1 650 725 5120; Fax: +1 650 725 1534; Email: jin.billy.li@stanford.edu

The vast majority of A-to-I editing sites have been identified in the past 2 years using high-throughput RNA-seq technologies. In humans, we first applied high-throughput sequencing to study A-to-I RNA editing by using a combination of targeted capture with padlock probes and high-throughput sequencing to identify several hundred editing sites (16). This success was followed by efforts to identify RNA editing sites in an unbiased transcriptome-wide manner by comparing sequence differences between matched RNA and DNA sequencing of a single individual. The first of these efforts (17) was controversial in that it claimed to provide evidence to support RNA editing of all 12 possible mismatch types, but further analyses (18–22) demonstrated that these non-canonical editing mismatches were false positives. Subsequent studies by us and others (23–26) developed meticulous computational pipelines to accurately identify A-to-I editing sites from matched RNA and DNA sequencing of human cell lines while minimizing technical artifacts from sequencing or read mapping errors. More recently, we developed a method to identify RNA editing sites using RNA-seq data alone by comparing transcriptome variants between different individuals (27). We used this method to identify A-to-I editing sites using RNA-seq data from human primary tissues whose genome sequencing data were not available (27). In total, at the time of first release, RADAR contains information describing 1 379 403 human A-to-I RNA editing sites.

In mice, Neeman *et al.* (28) identified clustered RNA editing sites from EST databases, and Danecek *et al.* (29) identified RNA editing sites using matched RNA and DNA sequencing data from brain tissues of 15 inbred mouse lines. In flies, Graveley *et al.* (30) identified RNA editing sites using RNA sequencing data from the modENCODE consortium, Rodriguez *et al.* (31) identified RNA editing sites using sequencing of nascent RNA transcripts and we (27) identified RNA editing sites using a comparative transcriptome method between three different *Drosophila* species. In total, at the time of first release, RADAR contains information describing 8108 mouse and 2698 fly A-to-I RNA editing sites.

Database features

The genomic coordinates for all editing sites were first mapped onto the latest genome assemblies (human–hg19, mouse–mm9 and fly–dm3) using the liftOver tool from the University of California, Santa Cruz (UCSC) genome browser (32). For each editing site, we manually curated annotations, which consist of the genome assembly strand, associated gene, functional region within the gene (coding sequence, untranslated region, intron), associated repetitive element, conservation of editing to other species and the reference study in which the site was first identified.

We designed a user-friendly web interface to query the database. The search page is displayed in Figure 1. Users must choose a species (human, mouse or fly) to search within. Users can filter their desired search using any combination of the listed annotations consisting of location in

genome, gene, genic location (non-synonymous, synonymous, 5'-UTR, 3'-UTR, non-coding RNA, intronic, intergenic), repetitive element (Alu, repetitive non-Alu, nonrepetitive) and editing conservation (chimpanzee, rhesus and/or mouse for human editing sites and human for mouse editing sites). To facilitate more detailed searches, we have made the entire database contents available as flat files on the Download web page.

An example results page is displayed in Figure 2. The search parameters are repeated across the top of the page. Information about each editing site is displayed in a single row consisting of nine columns: chromosome, position, gene, strand, genic region, repetitive element, conservation, reference and editing levels. Clicking on the 'position' column will direct the user to this location in UCSC genome browser displaying the overlapping gene annotations, genomic nucleotide conservation, overlapping SNP database entries and overlapping repetitive elements. Clicking on an organism under the conservation column will direct the user to the UCSC genome browser location of the conserved editing site in the selected organism. Clicking on the reference column will direct the user to the PubMed abstract for the selected study. Users can download their search results as a tab-delimited text file by clicking on the 'Download results' button. A more detailed explanation of the results page can be found on the Tutorial web page.

Tissue-specific editing levels from RNA-seq data (23,25–27,29–31) are available by clicking on the 'link' in the 'editing levels' column. The information from a single experiment is displayed in each row, which consists of four columns: link to the PubMed abstract for that study, tissue studied, sequencing coverage and editing level. At the time of first release, RADAR contains 1 343 464 human, 7272 mouse and 3155 fly tissue-specific editing level measurements of 975 734 human, 7272 mouse and 2698 fly editing sites, respectively.

Database architecture and web interface

RADAR was built using the Django web framework coupled with a backend MySQL database. The web page was published using an Apache server hosted by Amazon Web Services. RADAR is freely accessible at <http://RNAedit.com>.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The recent boom in A-to-I editing site identification has necessitated the development of RNA editing databases to help elucidate the biological functions of specific editing sites. The major advantages of RADAR over DARNED are the comprehensive compilation of A-to-I editing sites, the curation of extensive annotations and the gathering of tissue-specific editing level measurements for each editing site. RADAR contains ~1.4 million human editing sites, which is a substantial increase over the ~600 000 editing sites in DARNED. Furthermore, RADAR allows users to search for specific subsets of editing sites using any combination of five annotations: genomic location, gene, genic location, repetitive elements and/or editing conservation,

Search for A-to-I RNA editing sites(hg19/mm9/dm3):

Species:

Chromosome: Start: End:

Gene Name:

Genic location: ☒ All ☐ Nonsynonymous ☐ Synonymous ☐ 5'UTR ☐ 3'UTR ☐ ncRNA ☐ Intronic ☐ Intergenic

Repetitive Element: ☒ All ☐ Alu ☐ Repetitive non-Alu ☐ Nonrepetitive

Editing Conservation: ☐ Human ☐ Chimpanzee ☐ Rhesus ☐ Mouse

Figure 1. RADAR search page. Users can search for A-to-I editing sites in humans, mice or flies by any combination of the provided annotations: genomic location, gene, genic location, repetitive element overlap and editing conservation.

1 UCSC Genome Browser on Human Feb. 2009 (GRCh37/hg19) Assembly

3 Settings: Abstract

Regulation of serotonin-2C receptor G-protein coupling by RNA editing.

Burns CM, Chu H, Rueter SM, Hutchinson LK, Canton H, Sanders-Bush E, Emerson BB. Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, Tennessee 37232-6600, USA.

Abstract

The neurotransmitter serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine, 5-HT) elicits a wide array of physiological effects by binding to several receptor subtypes. The 5-HT2 family of receptors belongs to a large group of seven-transmembrane-spanning G-protein-coupled receptors and includes three receptor subtypes (5-HT2A, 5-HT2B) and 5-HT(2C) which are linked to phospholipase C, promoting the hydrolysis of membrane phospholipids and a subsequent increase in the intracellular levels of inositol phosphates and diacylglycerol. Here we show that transcripts encoding the 2C subtype of serotonin receptor (5-HT(2C)R) undergo RNA editing events in which genomically encoded adenosine residues are converted to inosines by the action of double-stranded RNA adenosine deaminase(s). Sequence analysis of complementary DNA isolates from dissected brain regions have indicated the tissue-specific expression of seven major 5-HT(2C) receptor isoforms encoded by eleven distinct RNA species. Editing of 5-HT(2C)R messenger RNAs alters the amino-acid coding potential of the predicted second intracellular loop of the receptor and can lead to a 10-15-fold reduction in the efficacy of the interaction between receptors and their G proteins. These observations indicate that RNA editing is a new mechanism for regulating serotonergic signal transduction and suggest that this post-transcriptional modification may be critical for modulating the different cellular functions that are mediated by other members of the G-protein-coupled receptor superfamily.

Comment in

RNA editing. Message change for a fat controller. [Nature. 1997]

PMID: 9153397 [PubMed - indexed for MEDLINE]

You searched for: human htr2c nonsynonymous

Found 5 sites:

Chromosome	Position	Gene	Strand	Genic region	Repetitive Element	Conservation	Reference	Editing Levels
chrX	114082682	HTR2C	+	Nonsyn Ile->Val	Nonrepetitive	Chimpanzee Rhesus Mouse	Burns et al. 1997	Link
chrX	114082684	HTR2C	+	Nonsyn Ile->Met	Nonrepetitive	Rhesus Mouse	Burns et al. 1997	Link
chrX	114082688	HTR2C	+	Nonsyn Asn->Asp	Nonrepetitive		Niswender et al. 1998	N/A
chrX	114082689	HTR2C	+	Nonsyn Asn->Ser	Nonrepetitive	Chimpanzee Mouse	Burns et al. 1997	Link
chrX	114082694	HTR2C	+	Nonsyn Ile->Val	Nonrepetitive	Chimpanzee Rhesus Mouse	Burns et al. 1997	Link

2 UCSC Genome Browser on Mouse July 2007 (NCBI37/mm9) Assembly

4 RNA editing site: chrX:114082682 in human

Found 2 datapoints:

Reference	Tissue	Sequencing Coverage	Editing level (%)
Ramaswami et al 2013	Illumina Bodymap 4		75
Ramaswami et al 2013	Brain	17	88.2

Figure 2. Example of a RADAR search result. A search of human non-synonymous editing sites in the HTR2C gene is displayed. Hyperlinks exist in the following four columns: position, conservation, reference and editing levels. (1) Clicking on the position column will direct the user to the location of the editing site in the UCSC browser. (2) Clicking on a species name in the conservation column will direct the user to the location of the conserved editing site in the UCSC browser. (3) Clicking on the reference column will direct the user to the PubMed abstract for the study that identified the editing site. (4) Clicking on the editing level column will direct the user to tissue-specific editing level measurements for the editing site.

whereas DARNED searches are restricted to sequence context or any combination of three annotations: genomic location, gene and genic location. Finally, the catalog of tissue-specific editing levels will help shed light on which biological contexts each editing site may be involved in. The major advantages of DARNED over RADAR are implementation of sequence-based searches, dbSNP identifiers and links to Wikipedia annotations. We are open to implementing similar features in RADAR if so requested by users.

We anticipate that the continued development of high-throughput sequencing technologies will result in numerous new investigations into A-to-I editing in various physiological and pathological contexts. Recent evidence has already linked dysfunction of A-to-I editing with a myriad of human diseases such as cancer (33) and autoimmune disorders (34). As more data are generated and included, RADAR will provide a centralized repository providing information on the locations and dynamic regulation of A-to-I editing sites in the transcriptome of metazoans.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank Jung-Ki Yoon for assistance with data collection and Tricia Deng for assistance with web page styling. They are grateful to colleagues in the RNA editing community and members of the Li Lab for helpful suggestions.

FUNDING

Stanford Genome Training Program and Stanford Graduate Fellowship (to G.R.). The U.S. National Institutes of Health [GM102484 to J.B.L.]. Funding for open access charge: National Institutes of Health.

Conflict of interest statement. None declared.

REFERENCES

- Nishikura, K. (2010) Functions and regulation of RNA editing by ADAR deaminases. *Ann. Rev. Biochem.*, **79**, 321–349.
- Rosenthal, J.J. and Seeburg, P.H. (2012) A-to-I RNA editing: effects on proteins key to neural excitability. *Neuron*, **74**, 432–439.
- Kiran, A.M., O'Mahony, J.J., Sanjeev, K. and Baranov, P.V. (2013) Darned in 2013: inclusion of model organisms and linking with Wikipedia. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **41**, D258–D261.
- Wahlstedt, H., Daniel, C., Enstero, M. and Ohman, M. (2009) Large-scale mRNA sequencing determines global regulation of RNA editing during brain development. *Genome Res.*, **19**, 978–986.
- Barbon, A. and Barlati, S. (2000) Genomic organization, proposed alternative splicing mechanisms, and RNA editing structure of GRIK1. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.*, **88**, 236–239.
- Burns, C.M., Chu, H., Rueter, S.M., Hutchinson, L.K., Canton, H., Sanders-Bush, E. and Emeson, R.B. (1997) Regulation of serotonin-2C receptor G-protein coupling by RNA editing. *Nature*, **387**, 303–308.
- Sommer, B., Kohler, M., Sprengel, R. and Seeburg, P.H. (1991) RNA editing in brain controls a determinant of ion flow in glutamate-gated channels. *Cell*, **67**, 11–19.
- Bhalla, T., Rosenthal, J.J., Holmgren, M. and Reenan, R. (2004) Control of human potassium channel inactivation by editing of a small mRNA hairpin. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, **11**, 950–956.
- Clutterbuck, D.R., Leroy, A., O'Connell, M.A. and Semple, C.A. (2005) A bioinformatic screen for novel A-I RNA editing sites reveals recoding editing in BC10. *Bioinformatics*, **21**, 2590–2595.
- Gommans, W.M., Tatalias, N.E., Sie, C.P., Dupuis, D., Vendetti, N., Smith, L., Kaushal, R. and Maas, S. (2008) Screening of human SNP database identifies recoding sites of A-to-I RNA editing. *RNA*, **14**, 2074–2085.
- Levanon, E.Y., Hallegger, M., Kinar, Y., Shemesh, R., Djinnovic-Carugo, K., Rechavi, G., Jantsch, M.F. and Eisenberg, E. (2005) Evolutionarily conserved human targets of adenosine to inosine RNA editing. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **33**, 1162–1168.
- Ohlson, J., Pedersen, J.S., Haussler, D. and Ohman, M. (2007) Editing modifies the GABA(A) receptor subunit alpha3. *RNA*, **13**, 698–703.
- Carmi, S., Borukhov, I. and Levanon, E.Y. (2011) Identification of widespread ultra-edited human RNAs. *PLoS Genet.*, **7**, e1002317.
- Levanon, E.Y., Eisenberg, E., Yelin, R., Nemzer, S., Hallegger, M., Shemesh, R., Fligelman, Z.Y., Shoshan, A., Pollock, S.R., Szttybel, D. et al. (2004) Systematic identification of abundant A-to-I editing sites in the human transcriptome. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **22**, 1001–1005.
- Sakurai, M., Yano, T., Kawabata, H., Ueda, H. and Suzuki, T. (2010) Inosine cyanoethylation identifies A-to-I RNA editing sites in the human transcriptome. *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, **6**, 733–740.
- Li, J.B., Levanon, E.Y., Yoon, J.K., Aach, J., Xie, B., Leproust, E., Zhang, K., Gao, Y. and Church, G.M. (2009) Genome-wide identification of human RNA editing sites by parallel DNA capturing and sequencing. *Science*, **324**, 1210–1213.
- Li, M., Wang, I.X., Li, Y., Bruzel, A., Richards, A.L., Toung, J.M. and Cheung, V.G. (2011) Widespread RNA and DNA sequence differences in the human transcriptome. *Science*, **333**, 53–58.
- Kleinman, C.L. and Majewski, J. (2012) Comment on “Widespread RNA and DNA sequence differences in the human transcriptome”. *Science*, **335**, 1302; author reply 1302.
- Lin, W., Piskol, R., Tan, M.H. and Li, J.B. (2012) Comment on “Widespread RNA and DNA sequence differences in the human transcriptome”. *Science*, **335**, 1302; author reply 1302.
- Pickrell, J.K., Gilad, Y. and Pritchard, J.K. (2012) Comment on “Widespread RNA and DNA sequence differences in the human transcriptome”. *Science*, **335**, 1302; author reply 1302.
- Piskol, R., Peng, Z., Wang, J. and Li, J.B. (2013) Lack of evidence for existence of noncanonical RNA editing. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **31**, 19–20.
- Schrider, D.R., Gout, J.F. and Hahn, M.W. (2011) Very few RNA and DNA sequence differences in the human transcriptome. *PLoS One*, **6**, e25842.
- Bahn, J.H., Lee, J.H., Li, G., Greer, C., Peng, G. and Xiao, X. (2012) Accurate identification of A-to-I RNA editing in human by transcriptome sequencing. *Genome Res.*, **22**, 142–150.
- Kleinman, C.L., Adoue, V. and Majewski, J. (2012) RNA editing of protein sequences: a rare event in human transcriptomes. *RNA*, **18**, 1586–1596.
- Peng, Z., Cheng, Y., Tan, B.C., Kang, L., Tian, Z., Zhu, Y., Zhang, W., Liang, Y., Hu, X., Tan, X. et al. (2012) Comprehensive analysis of RNA-Seq data reveals extensive RNA editing in a human transcriptome. *Nat. Biotechnol.*, **30**, 253–260.
- Ramaswami, G., Lin, W., Piskol, R., Tan, M.H., Davis, C. and Li, J.B. (2012) Accurate identification of human Alu and non-Alu RNA editing sites. *Nat. Methods*, **9**, 579–581.
- Ramaswami, G., Zhang, R., Piskol, R., Keegan, L.P., Deng, P., O'Connell, M.A. and Li, J.B. (2013) Identifying RNA editing sites using RNA sequencing data alone. *Nat. Methods*, **10**, 128–132.
- Neeman, Y., Levanon, E.Y., Jantsch, M.F. and Eisenberg, E. (2006) RNA editing level in the mouse is determined by the genomic repeat repertoire. *RNA*, **12**, 1802–1809.
- Danecek, P., Nellaker, C., McIntyre, R.E., Buendia-Buendia, J.E., Bumpstead, S., Ponting, C.P., Flint, J., Durbin, R., Keane, T.M. and Adams, D.J. (2012) High levels of RNA-editing site conservation amongst 15 laboratory mouse strains. *Genome Biol.*, **13**, 26.
- Graveley, B.R., Brooks, A.N., Carlson, J.W., Duff, M.O., Landolin, J.M., Yang, L., Artieri, C.G., van Baren, M.J., Boley, N., Booth, B.W. et al. (2011) The developmental transcriptome of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nature*, **471**, 473–479.

31. Rodriguez,J., Menet,J.S. and Rosbash,M. (2012) Nascent-seq indicates widespread cotranscriptional RNA editing in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Cell*, **47**, 27–37.
32. Meyer,L.R., Zweig,A.S., Hinrichs,A.S., Karolchik,D., Kuhn,R.M., Wong,M., Sloan,C.A., Rosenbloom,K.R., Roe,G., Rhead,B. *et al.* (2013) The UCSC Genome Browser database: extensions and updates 2013. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **41**, D64–69.
33. Chen,L., Li,Y., Lin,C.H., Chan,T.H., Chow,R.K., Song,Y., Liu,M., Yuan,Y.F., Fu,L., Kong,K.L. *et al.* (2013) Recoding RNA editing of AZIN1 predisposes to hepatocellular carcinoma. *Nat. Med.*, **19**, 209–216.
34. Rice,G.I., Kasher,P.R., Forte,G.M., Mannion,N.M., Greenwood,S.M., Szynkiewicz,M., Dickerson,J.E., Bhaskar,S.S., Zampini,M., Briggs,T.A. *et al.* (2012) Mutations in ADAR1 cause Aicardi-Goutieres syndrome associated with a type I interferon signature. *Nat. Genet.*, **44**, 1243–1248.