BMJ Paediatrics Open

Development and testing of a videogame intervention for symptom management among 8–18 years old children with cancer: a study protocol

Sehrish Sajjad [1] Raisa B Gul,[2] Saleem Sayani,[3] Zehra Fadoo,[4] Ahmed N Abbasi,[5] Rubina Barolia[1]

¹School of Nursing and Midwifery, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan
²College of Nursing, Shifa Tameer-e-Millat University, Islamabad, Pakistan
³Digital Health Resource Centre, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan
⁴Department of Oncology, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan
⁵Radiation Oncology, The Aga Khan University, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan

Correspondence to
Mrs Sehrish Sajjad; sehrish.sajjad@aku.edu

ABSTRACT

Introduction Evidence shows that cancer treatment-related symptoms could be managed effectively in 8–18 years old children through Digital Health Interventions (DHIs), consequently improving their health-related quality of life (HRQOL). However, limited research is available about digitally mediated educative health interventions for children with cancer from lower-middle income countries like Pakistan. This study aims to develop a videogame intervention for children with cancer and test the clinical efficacy of the videogame concerning HRQOL and cancer treatment-related symptoms. Moreover, the following feasibility outcomes will be recorded: acceptability, appropriateness, cost, feasibility and intervention fidelity.

Methods and analysis An exploratory sequential mixed methods design is used in this study. In the first phase of the study, we interviewed 28 participants (14 child–parent dyads) and assessed their symptom experiences affecting children's HRQOL. Moreover, their preferences for the development of the videogame were also elicited. Based on the findings from relevant literature and the interviews, we developed the videogame in collaboration with clinical and digital experts in the study's second phase. In the third phase of the study, a pilot randomised controlled trial will be conducted at a Tertiary Care Hospital in Karachi, Pakistan. There will be two groups: the intervention group and the control group. The intervention group children will receive the videogame application for 8 weeks, during which symptom management strategies will be taught to them. Children in the attention control group will receive weekly WhatsApp messages on healthy behaviours.

The primary outcome will be the HRQOL of children, and the secondary outcome will be cancer symptoms frequency and distress. These outcomes will be assessed preintervention and 8 weeks post intervention. The feasibility outcomes will be assessed quantitatively and qualitatively through a questionnaire, videogame dashboard, interviews with a subset of intervention group child–parent dyads and a focus group discussion with nurses and doctors, post intervention, respectively.

Ethics and dissemination The study has been approved by the Ethics Review Committee of the Aga Khan University (2022-6833-21251). Data are accessible only to the research team in a secure form. The findings will be disseminated through publications.

WHAT IS ALREADY KNOWN ON THIS TOPIC
⇒ Evidence from high-income countries (HICs) shows that optimum symptom management in children with cancer through digital interventions significantly improves their health-related quality of life.
⇒ Among several interventions, videogame-based interventions have demonstrated efficacy in the HICs.

WHAT THIS STUDY HOPES TO ADD
⇒ The study will add to the scientific knowledge on the clinical efficacy and feasibility of the videogame intervention on children with cancer from a lower-middle income country Pakistan.
⇒ A mixed methods approach will help understand the research problem and the best possible practical solutions.

HOW THIS STUDY MIGHT AFFECT RESEARCH, PRACTICE OR POLICY
⇒ If the intervention efficacy is established, it will improve the healthcare practice through a digitally mediated intervention.
⇒ It will enhance nurses' role in educating and promoting innovative strategies for symptom management among children with cancer.

INTRODUCTION
Approximately 400 000 children aged up to 19 years are estimated to be diagnosed with cancer annually worldwide.[1] With the global rise in the incidence of childhood cancer worldwide, lower-middle income countries (LMICs), including Pakistan, suffer a disproportionate burden.[2 3] The National Cancer Registry reveals that approximately 17 457 children are diagnosed with cancer in Pakistan yearly.[4] Global evidence suggests that 8 out of 10 children may get completely cured of childhood cancer on receiving evidence-based, accessible treatment and support

services.[5] Although cancer treatment is complex and lengthy, children respond better to treatment than adults and reach survivorship.[5] Early identification and treatment of childhood cancer through multimodality therapies is critical for better survival. Chemotherapy and radiation are the most common treatment modalities for childhood cancers.[5] However, these therapies result in severe cancer treatment-related symptoms, compromising children's daily functioning and health-related quality of life (HRQOL).[6 7]

Several studies suggest that children with cancer below 18 years of age report similar treatment-related symptoms affecting physiological and psychosocial domains of their HRQOL.[8 9] Common physiological problems include pain, fatigue, Gastrointerinal-related symptoms, hair loss and infection.[9–11] Commonly reported psychosocial symptoms include anxiety, depression and isolation from friends.[9–11] Children's school attendance and academic progress are also affected during their treatment, which has future implications for them.[12]

Suboptimal symptom management negatively affects children's physiological and psychological health, cognitive functions, academic performance, social relationships and overall HRQOL and well-being.[12 13] Additionally, poor symptom management can have implications for delayed or abandoned treatment, poor treatment tolerance, unnecessary frequent emergency visits and poor psychological outcomes.[13–15] Therefore, education and support about symptom management are critical to optimising children's HRQOL and preventing symptom worsening.[7 16]

During the prolonged trajectory of childhood cancer, the responsibility of treatment-related symptom management keeps switching from healthcare providers in the hospital to the children and their parents at home. Effective symptom management at home leads to better symptom outcomes, treatment adherence, less morbidity, fewer rehospitalisations and improved HRQOL among children.[17 18] Studies have shown that children who actively participate in learning about self-management of their symptoms understand their disease well, have better preparedness to manage their symptoms and contribute to more informed health decisions.[19 20] Developmental psychology theories highlight that children learn best when engaged in activity and play.[21–23] Digital technologies such as interactive mobile apps, virtual reality, and videogames allow children to engage in their learning. For these reasons, healthcare providers have started using digital devices in children with asthma, diabetes, cancer and other chronic health conditions to educate them about symptom monitoring and management.[17 24]

Studies from high-income countries have shown that implementing Digital Health Interventions (DHIs) has significantly improved the HRQOL,[18 25 26] knowledge,[20] self-efficacy[20] and has decreased pain and fatigue,[18] anxiety and depression[27] in children with cancer. Among various DHIs, videogames have emerged as one of the promising modes of education to help children learn


Figure 1 Symptom management theory.[28]

symptom management. The evidence regarding the efficacy of DHIs, especially from LMICs, is still limited, and more studies are recommended to determine the efficacy of these interventions on the health outcomes of children with cancer. To our knowledge, no similar study has been conducted in Pakistan. The aim of this study, therefore, is to develop a videogame intervention for children (age 8–18 years) with cancer and test its clinical efficacy concerning HRQOL and cancer treatment-related symptoms in children, and also to observe the feasibility of the intervention in terms of acceptability, appropriateness, cost and intervention fidelity.

SYMPTOM MANAGEMENT THEORY (SMT)

As shown in figure 1, the SMT comprises three interrelated concepts: (1) symptom experience, (2) symptom management strategies and (3) symptom status outcomes. Symptom experience explains the perception of change in a patient's usual health status. Symptom management strategies are approaches used to prevent, delay or lessen the symptom experience. Symptom outcomes are measurable outcomes, such as improvement in the HRQOL or recovery from illness, assessed preimplementation and post implementation of a symptom strategy.[28]

In accordance with the SMT, in the first phase of the study, we identified the experience of symptoms from child–parent dyads and symptom management strategies from them and the relevant literature. The findings informed the development of the videogame in the second phase of the study. In the third phase of the study, we plan to teach symptom management to children through a videogame intervention and assess their HRQOL and symptom frequency and distress.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS
Design

As shown in figure 2,[29] this study employs a mixed methods design (qual → QUAN) having three phases:

Figure 2 Study design.[29]

1. Phase I—need assessment.
2. Phase II—videogame intervention development.
3. Phase III—videogame intervention testing.

Study phase I—need assessment

In phase I of the study, a qualitative descriptive exploratory design was used to understand the experiences and perceptions of children with cancer and their parents regarding children's HRQOL, treatment-related symptoms, management strategies and preferences informing the development of the videogame. In-depth interviews were conducted with 28 participants (14 child–parent dyads). Participants elicited strategies for the management of the following symptoms and healthy behaviours to be translated into the videogame: pain, fever, mucositis, nausea, vomiting, hair loss, missing school, throat irritation, constipation, staying physically active, keeping balance between rest and activity, knowing alarming signs, preventing infection, eating healthy, fighting sickness and maintaining psychological and spiritual health.

Study phase II—videogame intervention development

During phase II of the study, a multidisciplinary team comprising children with cancer, parents, clinical experts (oncology nurses and physicians) and digital design specialists (videogame developers and information technologists) collaborated in an iterative design process for the videogame. A series of brainstorming sessions employed an iterative methodology to refine the game's content, visual design and narrative flow (storyboard). On development completion, user acceptance testing (UAT) was conducted with the child participants, parents and research team members. This UAT phase served to identify and rectify any software bugs or usability issues within the videogame.

Features of the videogame

As shown in figure 3, in the game, a player (study participant) enters the game and selects language (English or Urdu), gender (boy or girl), and environment (city or town). After selecting the avatar, the game interface


Figure 3 The videogame interface.

Table 1 The videogame levels, symptoms and concerns, and details about the game

Levels	Symptom or health concern	Symptom management strategies/ behaviours (game activity)	Game mechanism*	Feedback/important message for children
Level 1	Mucositis	Perform oral care by toothbrushing and protect oral cavity.	The player clicks on all the steps of toothbrushing and tongue cleaning on the game avatar to score coins.	1. Brush your teeth with a soft brush two times a day. 2. Avoid brushing teeth when platelet counts are low.
Level 2	Risk for infection	Identify alarming signs of worsening symptoms and inform the doctor or nurse.	The player bursts all the balloons one by one. On popping each balloon, a text message shows one alarming sign of infection.	Know these alarming signs. If you have them, tell your doctor and nurse immediately and visit the hospital.
Level 3	Risk for infection and constipation	Perform hygiene care regularly.	The player clicks on several steps of maintaining good hygiene (bathing, brushing teeth, shampooing, hand washing, passing stool daily, cutting nails, using sunscreen and wearing a scarf or hat).	1. Keep yourself clean to maintain a healthy body. 2. If you are constipated, talk to your doctor and nurse.
Level 4	Pain	To relieve pain, take analgesics on time and perform deep breathing exercises at least 3–4 times a day.	The player takes the prescribed analgesics and performs deep breathing exercises.	Take the medicine as prescribed by your doctor and do deep breathing exercises.
Level 5	Fever	Take antipyretics as prescribed by the doctor to get relief from fever.	The player clicks on the steps of taking temperature, identifying fever, taking medicine as prescribed, rechecking temperature after an hour, informing the doctor if fever persists and going to the hospital if needed.	Talk to your doctor if your fever persists and go to the hospital.
Level 6	GI-related symptoms	Differentiate between healthy and unhealthy food options which are appropriate during sickness to stay healthy.	The player identifies healthy food options and collect them in a basket to score coins.	Eat healthy food and avoid unhealthy food.
Level 7	Hair loss	Accept that hair fall will occur and protect the scalp by covering it.	The player wears different head gears (hats, scarves, hair extensions). The player learns about hair fall and return of hair after completion of treatment.	1. Protect your scalp. 2. Hairfall is temporary, and hair will return after treatment is completed.
Level 8	Fighting sickness	Identify measures that can be taken when feel sick and practice them.	The player bursts all the balloons one by one. On popping each balloon, a text message shows one measure to take when the child falls sick. The player must read and learn the measures.	Practice the measures taught to you when you feel sick.
Level 9	Mucositis	Gargles should be performed as prescribed by the doctor to prevent oral mucositis.	The player clicks on all the steps to gargle with the prescribed mouthwash. The player must read the message about adhering to this behaviour in a timely manner to prevent oral mucositis.	Gargle with the prescribed solution as advised by your doctor to prevent mucositis.
Level 10	Missing school	Perform a visual puzzle activity to find differences between two images. Keep your mind engaged, learn observation, attention to detail, and critical thinking skills, and do not miss school. Also, paint a few images for fun.	The Mascot advises the player to do activities at his school and some puzzles to remember fun at school. The player finds differences between the two images and paints several pictures.	When you miss your school and school activities, do some learning and fun activities at home.

Continued

Table 1 Continued

Levels	Symptom or health concern	Symptom management strategies/ behaviours (game activity)	Game mechanism*	Feedback/important message for children
Level 11	Nausea, vomiting and anorexia	Take antiemetics as prescribed and take small frequent meals to deal with nausea, vomiting and anorexia.	The mascot advises the player to take antiemetics as prescribed and eat small, frequent meals. Several healthy eating options appear on the table one by one. The player chooses between them through a 'yes' or 'no'.	Take the medicine as prescribed and eat a small, frequent meal every 2–4 hours in a day, choosing healthy food options.
Level 12	Throat Irritation	Identify and eat soothing food items to decrease oral ulcers and throat irritation.	The avatar has oral ulcers and an irritating throat. Several soothing and soft food options appear before the player. S/he can choose from them and eat to soothe their mouth. The player selects a 'yes' for the food option s/he likes.	You have several soothing and soft food options to soothe your irritating throat. Select whichever food item you like and eat it.
Level 13	Psychological symptoms	Try various activities such as yoga, spending time in the garden, engaging in activities you enjoy, communicating with your friends, and practising meditation and prayer to gain inner strength.	The mascot asks the player to do some yoga exercises, observe things around, talk to friends and meditate and pray. The player performs these steps one by one and scores coins.	Do yoga and deep breathing to keep your mind relaxed. If you miss friends, call them. Meditate and pray.
Level 14	Fatigue	Maintain a balance between exercise/ play and rest not to get fatigued.	The player clicks on several icons to help the avatar do exercises like walking, running, jumping and hopping to score coins. The avatar returns home and sleeps when tired.	Maintain a balance between exercise/play and rest not to get fatigued.

*The scoring and reward mechanism comprises coins, claps, celebration animation and messages of applaud upon activity completion.

opens, and a Mascot (a gender-neutral cartoon character—bunny) welcomes the child. The Mascot provides the player with necessary instructions about the gameplay.

There are 14 levels in the videogame. The child plays each level, which targets one symptom for which the child is taught symptom management or health behaviour. Moreover, feedback messages also pop up on the videogame screen to highlight the significance of the action performed. With that, the player progresses to the next level. Each day, the player can unlock two to three game levels. The game content is the same for all children; however, few variations are kept for younger (8–12 years old) and older children (13–18 years old). For example, some game levels provide more lifelines and hints to younger children. The videogame is autolocked at 30 min for younger children to avoid exceeding the screen time limit. Younger children can play two game levels daily, whereas older children can play three. While older children can navigate the game icons, a tutorial about the gameplay is provided to younger children. Table 1 presents the game levels, symptoms and concerns, and details about game levels.

Study phase III—intervention testing (Pilot-RCT)
There are two objectives of this phase.
1. To determine the efficacy of the videogame intervention on the HRQOL and cancer symptoms frequency and distress of children with cancer in the intervention group as compared with the children in the attention control group at preintervention and 8 weeks post intervention.
2. To determine the intervention feasibility outcomes: acceptability, appropriateness, cost, feasibility and fidelity.

Design
A pilot randomised controlled trial (Pilot-RCT) with an attention control will be used to attain the set objectives.

Study setting
The study is conducted at the Aga Khan University Hospital's (AKUH) inpatient and outpatient chemotherapy and radiation therapy units. The AKUH is a not-for-profit, resource-intensive, tertiary care and Joint Commission International accredited university hospital recognised for providing high-quality, compassionate care for several specialty services. The oncology department offers

specialised cancer services.[30] There is one inpatient clinical area for chemotherapy and two outpatient areas for radiation and chemotherapy for children. In the inpatient area, there are separate beds assigned for children for chemotherapy.[30]

Study population

Children having cancer and receiving chemotherapy or radiation are the study population.

Inclusion criteria

▶ Children aged 8–18 years.
▶ Diagnosed with any type and stage of cancer within 6 months.
▶ Can comprehend Urdu or English language.
▶ Have access to an Android smartphone/tablet for at least 30 min/day.

Exclusion criteria

▶ Critically ill children.
▶ Receiving palliative treatment.

▶ Have any diagnosed sight, hearing, cognitive impairment or upper limb deformity.
▶ If already playing any videogame with similar content.
  The eligibility criterion was selected based on existing literature indicating poor HRQOL in children across all age groups and cancer types and stages.[9 31 32] Additionally, as this is an educational videogame, it will be provided to all children over 8 years old experiencing cancer symptoms to educate them about symptom management. Narrowing the inclusion criteria could limit the generalisability of the study's findings. Randomisation will address the distribution of demographic and clinical variables in both groups.

Sample size and sampling technique

The sample size was calculated for the primary outcome of HRQOL. Keeping the study power at 80%, significance level at 5%, maximum SD of 18.6[18] and true difference value of 13.5 points,[17] a sample of 31 patients per group is desired for this study. Adjusting for 10% attrition, the final sample size is 35 patients per study group. For a pilot


Figure 4 Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials flow diagram. HRQOL=, health-related quality of life.

Table 2 Study outcomes, tools and data ascertainment time points

Outcome	Instrument|description	Data collection timepoint
Clinical efficacy outcomes		
Primary outcome—HRQOL	Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Generic Core Scale (PedsQL 4.0).[37] ► It comprises 23 items and has 4 dimensions (physical, emotional, social and school functioning) ► Have a 5-point Likert response scale (0=never to 4=almost always a problem). ► A higher score=better HRQOL. ► Adequate construct and predictive validity and reliability values of >0.8 have been reported in multiple studies. ► The scales specific for 8–12 and 13–18 years old children will be used in this study.	Preintervention, and 8 weeks post intervention
	Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Cancer Module (PedsQL 3.0).[37] ► It comprises 27 items and has 8 domains about cancer symptoms. ► Have a 5-point Likert scale (0=never to 4=almost always a problem). ► A higher score=better HRQOL. ► Sufficient construct validity and reliability values of >0.8 in all domains have been reported in multiple studies. ► The scales specific for 8–12 and 13–18 years old children will be used in this study.	Preintervention, and 8 weeks post intervention
Secondary outcome—cancer symptoms frequency and distress	Memorial Symptom Assessment Scale Short Form (MSAS-SF).[38] ► It comprises 32 items and has a 5-point Likert Scale (0=not at all to 4=very much). ► A higher score=more distress and higher frequency. ► Sufficient convergent and criterion validity measures and internal consistency reliability values ranging from 0.76 to 0.87 have been reported in earlier studies.	Preintervention and 8 weeks post intervention
Feasibility outcomes		

Continued

Table 2 Continued

Outcome	Instrument|description	Data collection timepoint
Acceptability and appropriateness	Acceptability E-scale[39] will be used to determine the acceptability and appropriateness of the intervention in the study population.	Eight weeks post intervention
	In-depth interviews will be conducted with 7–8 child–parent dyads of the intervention group to obtain insights into their experiences regarding the intervention (appropriateness, satisfaction, learning, challenges and recommendations).	
	One focus group discussion (FGD) will be conducted with 5–6 oncologists and nurses to identify the challenges in implementing the intervention in the clinical setting.	
Cost	The cost of the intervention development will be reported in Pakistani rupees.	
Feasibility	The number and proportions will be reported for: ► Participant recruitment. ► Participant refusals. ► Lost to follow-up participants, with reasons.	
Fidelity	Data on children's progress in the videogame will be retrieved from the dashboard. The following data will be reported: ► Total game score ► Number and reason for contacting the RA by children in both groups	

HRQOL, health-related quality of life; RA, research associate.

trial, a sample size of 35 participants per study group is considered adequate.[33] Children will be selected using a non-probability purposive sampling technique.

Participant recruitment
A research associate (RA) will screen potential study participants using the patients' list available at the inpatient and outpatient oncology units and through communication with the staff nurses. The RA will assess the child for eligibility and explain the study to them and will approach the child in the presence of the parent. The RA will explain the study and random allocation to any study group. The child's written assent and parent's consent will be obtained if they agree to participate.

Randomisation, blinding and allocation concealment
Children will be randomised in a 1:1 ratio to the intervention or attention control group. Using a computer-generated randomisation scheme, a random block of 4 and 6 will be used. A person, not a research team member, will generate the randomisation list and prepare sealed and opaque envelopes, ensuring allocation concealment.

The RA will open the envelope in front of the child/parent. Further steps would follow according to the child's assignment. The study will be non-blinded as group assignments cannot be hidden from the participants and research team members in a behavioural intervention.[34]

Intervention group
Children in the intervention group will receive the videogame for 8 weeks. The RA will provide instructions about the videogame. S/he will call the child and parent every week to ensure participant adherence in the study and to inquire if they have any questions related to the videogame content or worsening of symptoms and will answer in consultation with the clinical expert (oncologist in the research team). A call log of the conversation will be maintained.

Attention control group
The attention control group will be selected to ensure that the study outcomes are not attributable to the unequal attention given to the intervention group.[35] Children will

Table 3 Plan of data analysis

The intention-to-treat (ITT) principle of analysis will be used in this study	
Clinical efficacy outcomes	
Types of analysis	**Tests**
Descriptive analysis	
Continuous variables (eg: age, time since diagnosis)	Means with SD (symmetrical distribution) or median with IQRs (asymmetrical distribution) as appropriate
Categorical data (eg: gender, type of cancer, education status)	Frequencies with proportions
Associations	
Associations between independent variables and the dependent variable of HRQOL	Regression analysis based on data distribution. We will retain variables in the final model based on statistical and clinical significance.
Group differences	
Between-group differences for HRQOL and cancer symptoms frequency and distress	Independent samples t-test/ Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate
Within-group differences	Paired t-test/Wilcoxon signed-rank test as appropriate
Estimate of intervention efficacy	Intervention effect size using Cohen's d
Feasibility outcomes	
Acceptability and appropriateness	▶ Mean (SD) or median (IQR) of quantitative variables. ▶ Thematic analysis of qualitative findings.
Cost	Cost of the videogame development
Feasibility and fidelity	▶ Frequencies with proportions for categorical variables. ▶ Thematic analysis of qualitative findings.

HRQOL, health-related quality of life.

receive weekly WhatsApp messages on healthy behaviours based on the National Cancer Institute's guidelines for childhood cancer. The RA will call the child and parent weekly to inquire about the child's health, and a call log of the conversation will be maintained.

Figure 4 presents the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) flow diagram.

Study outcomes
Primary outcome—HRQOL
The HRQOL of children with cancer will be assessed using the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Generic Core Scale (PedsQL 4.0) and Cancer Module (PedsQL 3.0).

The PedsQL 4.0, already available in Urdu, is provided by the MAPI Research Trust. We conducted the Urdu translation of PedsQL 3.0 following MAPI Research Trust guidelines, and it has been approved for use in this study. The Content Validity Index for PedsQL 3.0 is 0.70 for reliability and 0.74 for clarity. The approved Urdu versions of both tools will be used in the study. The tools will be pretested on 10% of the sample (n=7 children). Their data will be excluded from the analysis. The post-hoc reliability measures of the tools in our sample will be reported.

Secondary outcome—cancer symptoms frequency and distress
The cancer symptoms frequency and distress will be assessed using the Memorial Symptom Assessment Scale Short Form.

Feasibility outcomes
The following feasibility outcomes will be assessed: intervention acceptability, appropriateness, cost, feasibility and fidelity.

Data collection
The primary and secondary outcomes will be assessed at preintervention (Baseline) and 8 weeks post intervention (Endline) using quantitative tools. The feasibility outcomes will be assessed 8 weeks post intervention using quantitative and qualitative measures. Moreover, participants' sociodemographic information will be obtained via a checklist.

Table 2 presents the study outcomes, data collection tools and data ascertainment time points.

Data management
The data will be entered in the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, double-entered, secured and managed by the research team.

Data analysis plan
The intention-to-treat (ITT) principle of analysis will be used to preserve the benefits of randomisation. Analysis conducted through ITT will also reflect effectiveness of the intervention in praxis. Depending on the data distribution, continuous variables will be reported as means and SD or medians and IQRs as appropriate. The categorical variables will be reported as frequencies and proportions. The intervention efficacy will be reported using Cohen's d. The associations between independent and dependent variables will be conducted through regression analysis. The group differences will be calculated through independent samples t-test/Mann-Whitney U test as appropriate. A subgroup analysis will be performed to compare sociodemographic and clinical variables and their impact

on children's HRQOL. No interim analysis is planned. The details are summarised in table 3.

Study rigour

The CONSORT extension guidelines[36] will be used to report the process and findings of the study.

Patient and public involvement

The parents, children with cancer, doctors and nurses were involved in developing the interview guide for the study phase I. The PedsQL 3.0 was translated into Urdu, and parents and children were involved in assessing the items' readability and comprehension. The videogame was developed with input from parents, children, doctors, nurses and digital health experts.

Ethics and dissemination

The study has received ethical approval from the Ethics Review Committee of the study setting (2022-6833-21251). The study PI (first author) will train the research staff on the study and ethics. Our research team has two paediatric clinical oncologists who will help identify children at risk in critical stages and manage them as necessary. Written informed assent and parental consent are being obtained from children and their parents for participation in the interviews, UAT, Pilot-RCT and postintervention interviews. Written informed consent will also be obtained from the healthcare providers before the focus group discussion (FGD). Participants are being explained about their withdrawal from the study without any ramifications. Principles of confidentiality, privacy and anonymity will be maintained by providing unique identification numbers and pseudonyms to the participants for the questionnaires, FGDs and interviews, respectively. Any adverse events or changes to the protocol will be communicated to the ERC. The study data will be encrypted and remain in the custody of the research team members only. Moreover, only aggregated study findings will be disseminated through publications.

ORCID iD
Sehrish Sajjad http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3889-0507

REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Childhood cancer awareness month. 2023. Available: https://www.iarc.who.int/featured-news/childhood-cancer-awareness-month-2023/#:~:text=Worldwide%2C%20an%20estimated%20400%20000%2C%20retinoblastoma%2C%20or%20kidney%20tumours
2. Bhakta N, Force LM, Allemani C, *et al*. Childhood cancer burden: a review of global estimates. *Lancet Oncol* 2019;20:e42–53.
3. Ward ZJ, Yeh JM, Bhakta N, *et al*. Estimating the total incidence of global childhood cancer: a simulation-based analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2019;20:483–93.
4. Ikram A, Pervez S, Khadim MT, *et al*. National Cancer Registry of Pakistan: first Comprehensive Report of Cancer Statistics 2015-2019. *J Coll Physicians Surg Pak* 2023;33:625–32.
5. World Health Organization. CureAll framework: WHO global initiative for childhood cancer. increasing access, advancing quality, saving lives. 2021. Available: https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/347370/9789240025271-eng.pdf?sequence=1
6. Amarsheda SB, Bhise AR. Association of Fatigue, Quality of Life and Functional Capacity in Breast Cancer Patients Receiving Adjuvant Chemotherapy. *Asian Pac J Cancer Care* 2021;6:59–64.
7. Salvetti M de G, Donato SCT, Machado CSP, *et al*. Psychoeducational Nursing Intervention for Symptom Management in Cancer Patients: a Randomized Clinical Trial. *Asia Pac J Oncol Nurs* 2021;8:156–63.
8. Cook S, Vettese E, Tomlinson GA. Feasibility of a randomized controlled trial of symptom screening and feedback to healthcare providers compared with standard of care using the SPARK platform. *Supp Care Cancer* 2020;28:2729–34.
9. Dupuis LL, Cook S, Robinson PD. Optimizing symptom control in children and adolescents with cancer. *Pediatr Res* 2019;86:573–8.
10. Linder LA, Al-Qaaydeh S, Donaldson G. Symptom Characteristics Among Hospitalized Children and Adolescents With Cancer. *Cancer Nurs* 2018;41:23–32.
11. Ramsey WA, Heidelberg RE, Gilbert AM, *et al*. eHealth and mHealth interventions in pediatric cancer: a systematic review of interventions across the cancer continuum. *Psychooncol* 2020;29:17–37.
12. Beeler D, Paré-Blagoev EJ, Jacobson LA, *et al*. Educating Childhood Cancer Survivors: a Qualitative Analysis of Parents Mobilizing Social and Cultural Capital. *J Cancer Educ* 2021;36:819–25.
13. Fardell JE, Wakefield CE, De Abreu Lourenco R, *et al*. Long-term health-related quality of life in young childhood cancer survivors and their parents. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2021;68:e29398.
14. National Cancer Institute. Late effects of treatment for childhood cancer (pdq®)–health professional version. 2023. Available: https://www.cancer.gov/types/childhood-cancers/late-effects-hp-pdq
15. Skeens MA, Cullen P, Stanek J, *et al*. Perspectives of Childhood Cancer Symptom-Related Distress: Results of the State of the Science Survey. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs* 2019;36:287–93.
16. Wilson CM, Mooney K. Advancing Oncology Nursing Practice Through the Adoption of Patient Monitoring Digital Tools. *Semin Oncol Nurs* 2020;36:151087.
17. Fazelniya Z, Najafi M, Moafi A, *et al*. The Impact of an Interactive Computer Game on the Quality of Life of Children Undergoing Chemotherapy. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res* 2017;22:431–5.
18. Jibb LA, Stevens BJ, Nathan PC, *et al*. Implementation and preliminary effectiveness of a real-time pain management smartphone app for adolescents with cancer: a multicenter pilot clinical study. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2017;64:.

19 Gilljam BM, Arvidsson S, Nygren JM, *et al*. Promoting participation in healthcare situations for children with JIA: a grounded theory study. *Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being* 2016;11:30518.

20 Kato PM, Cole SW, Bradlyn AS, *et al*. A video game improves behavioral outcomes in adolescents and young adults with cancer: a randomized trial. *Pediatrics* 2008;122:e305–17.

21 Erikson EH. *Toys and Reasons: stages in the Ritualization of Experience*. WW Norton & Company, 1977.

22 Giovanelli A, Ozer EM, Dahl RE. Leveraging Technology to Improve Health in Adolescence: a Developmental Science Perspective. *J Adolesc Health* 2020;67:S7–13.

23 Piaget J, Play D. *Imitation in Childhood*. 1962.

24 Charlier N, Zupancic N, Fieuws S, *et al*. Serious games for improving knowledge and self-management in young people with chronic conditions: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2016;23:230–9.

25 Jones JK, Kamani SA, Bush PJ, *et al*. Development and evaluation of an educational interactive CD-ROM for teens with cancer. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2010;55:512–9.

26 Pappot H, Assam Taarnhøj G, Elsbernd A, *et al*. Health-Related Quality of Life Before and After Use of a Smartphone App for Adolescents and Young Adults With Cancer: pre-Post Interventional Study. *JMIR Mhealth Uhealth* 2019;7:e13829.

27 Li WHC, Chung JOK, Ho EKY. The effectiveness of therapeutic play, using virtual reality computer games, in promoting the psychological well-being of children hospitalised with cancer. *J Clin Nurs* 2011;20:2135–43.

28 Linder L. Analysis of the UCSF Symptom Management Theory: implications for pediatric oncology nursing. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs* 2010;27:316–24.

29 Creswell JW, Poth CN. *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: choosing among Five Approaches*. Sage publications, 2016.

30 The aga khan university hospital. 2024. Available: https://hospitals.aku.edu/pakistan/AboutUs/Pages/default.aspx [Accessed 10 Jan 2024].

31 Birnie KA, Kulandaivelu Y, Jibb L. Usability Testing of an Interactive Virtual Reality Distraction Intervention to Reduce Procedural Pain in Children and Adolescents With Cancer [Formula: see text]. *J Pediatr Oncol Nurs* 2018;35:406–16.

32 Tomlinson D, Baggott C, Dix D, *et al*. Severely bothersome fatigue in children and adolescents with cancer and hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. *Supp Care Cancer* 2019;27:2665–71.

33 Teare MD, Dimairo M, Shephard N, *et al*. Sample size requirements to estimate key design parameters from external pilot randomised controlled trials: a simulation study. *Trials* 2014;15:1–13.

34 Abrahams HJG, Gielissen MFM, Goedendorp MM. A randomized controlled trial of web-based cognitive behavioral therapy for severely fatigued breast cancer survivors (CHANGE-study): study protocol. *BMC Cancer* 2015;15:765.

35 LaFave SE, Granbom M, Cudjoe TKM. Attention control group activities and perceived benefit in a trial of a behavioral intervention for older adults. *Res Nurs Health* 2019;42:476–82.

36 Eldridge SM, Chan CL, Campbell MJ, *et al*. CONSORT 2010 statement: extension to randomised pilot and feasibility trials. *BMJ* 2016;355:i5239.

37 Varni JW, Burwinkle TM, Katz ER, *et al*. The PedsQL in pediatric cancer: reliability and validity of the Pediatric Quality of Life Inventory Generic Core Scales, Multidimensional Fatigue Scale, and Cancer Module. *Cancer* 2002;94:2090–106.

38 Chang VT, Hwang SS, Feuerman M, *et al*. The memorial symptom assessment scale short form (MSAS-SF) validity and reliability. *Cancer* 2000;89:1162–71. Available: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-015-1787-7

39 Tariman JD, Berry DL, Halpenny B, *et al*. Validation and testing of the Acceptability E-scale for web-based patient-reported outcomes in cancer care. *Appl Nurs Res* 2011;24:53–8.