



## **University of Dundee**

# Carbohydrate quality and human health

Reynolds, Andrew; Mann, Jim; Cummings, John; Winter, Nicola; Mete, Evelyn; Te Morenga, Lisa

Published in: Lancet

DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31809-9

*Publication date:* 2019

Licence: CC BY-NC-ND

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

*Citation for published version (APA):* Reynolds, A., Mann, J., Cummings, J., Winter, N., Mete, E., & Te Morenga, L. (2019). Carbohydrate quality and human health: a series of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. *Lancet*, *393*(10170), 434-445. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31809-9

#### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Carbohydrate quality and human health: a series of systematic reviews and meta
- 2 analyses
- 3 Andrew Reynolds, Jim Mann, John Cummings, Nicola Winter, Evelyn Mete, and Lisa Te Morenga.
- 4
- 5 Department of Medicine, University of Otago, Dunedin, Otago, New Zealand (Andrew Reynolds PhD,
- 6 Professor Jim Mann DM)
- 7 Department of Human Nutrition, University of Otago, Dunedin, Otago, New Zealand (Andrew Reynolds PhD,
- 8 Professor Jim Mann DM, Nicola Winter MDiet, Evelyn Mete MDiet, Lisa Te Morenga PhD)
- 9 Riddet Centre of Research Excellence, New Zealand. (Professor Jim Mann, DM, Lisa Te Morenga, PhD)
- 10 School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, Scotland (Emeritus Professor John Cummings MD)
- 11 Edgar National Centre for Diabetes and Obesity Research, University of Otago, New Zealand (Andrew
- 12 Reynolds PhD, Professor Jim Mann DM, Lisa Te Morenga PhD)
- 13 Healthier Lives National Science Challenge, New Zealand (Professor Jim Mann DM)
- 14
- 15 Corresponding author:
- 16 Professor Jim Mann
- 17 Department of Medicine
- 18 University of Otago
- 19 PO Box 56
- 20 Dunedin Otago 9016
- 21 NEW ZEALAND
- 22 E: jim.mann@otago.ac.nz
- 23 P: +64 (0)21 678 925
- 24

#### 25 Summary

Background Previous systematic reviews and meta analyses explaining the relationship between carbohydrate quality and health have usually examined a single marker and a limited number of clinical outcomes. We have considered the impact of carbohydrate quality as measured by intakes of dietary fibre, whole grains or pulses, and dietary glycaemic index or glycaemic load on non-communicable disease (NCD) incidence, mortality, and risk factors to more precisely quantify the predictive potential of the markers, to determine which are most useful, and to establish an evidence base for quantitative recommendations for intakes of dietary fibre.

32

33 **Methods** Prospective studies published prior to April 2017 and randomised controlled trials published prior to 34 February 2018, which reported on indicators of carbohydrate quality and NCD incidence, mortality and risk 35 factors were systematically reviewed and meta analysed. Studies were identified by searches in PubMed, Ovid 36 Medline, Embase, and the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials and by hand searching of previous 37 publications. Searches, data extraction, and bias assessment were duplicated independently. Robustness of 38 pooled estimates from random effects models was considered with sensitivity analyses, meta regression, dose 39 response testing, and subgroup analyses. The GRADE approach was used to assess quality of evidence.

40

41 Findings 135 million person years of data from prospective studies and 58 clinical trials with a total of 4,635 42 adult participants were included in the analyses. Observational data suggest a 15-30% decrease in all-cause and 43 cardiovascular related mortality, and incidence of coronary heart disease, stroke, type 2 diabetes, and colorectal 44 cancer when comparing the highest dietary fibre consumers with the lowest. Clinical trials show significantly 45 lower body weight, systolic blood pressure and total cholesterol when comparing higher with lower intakes. 46 Risk reduction associated with a range of critical outcomes was greatest when daily intake of dietary fibre was 47 between 25-29 grams. Dose response curves suggested that higher intakes may confer even greater benefit with 48 regard to protection against cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes and colorectal and breast cancer. 49 Comparable findings for wholegrain intake were observed. Smaller or no risk reductions were found with the 50 observational data when comparing the effects of diets characterised by low rather than higher glycaemic index 51 or load. Overall the certainty of evidence regarding the relationships between carbohydrate quality and critical 52 outcomes was graded as moderate for dietary fibre, low to moderate for whole grains, and low to very-low for 53 glycaemic index. Data relating to other dietary exposures was limited.

54

**Interpretation** The complementary findings from prospective studies and clinical trials relating to the reduction in mortality, NCD incidence, and their risk factors associated with relatively high intakes of dietary fibre and whole grains as well as striking dose response evidence indicate that the relationships may be causal. Benefit to individuals and populations may be expected from implementation of dietary recommendations to increase dietary fibre intake and to replace refined grains with whole grains.

60

61 **Funding** This research was supported by funding from: the Health Research Council of New Zealand; World

62 Health Organization; Riddet Centre of Research Excellence; Healthier Lives National Science Challenge;

63 Department of Medicine, University of Otago; and the Otago Southland Diabetes Research Trust.

#### 64 **Research in Context**

#### 65 Evidence before this study

66 Carbohydrate-containing foods consisting principally of sugars, starches and dietary fibre (non starch 67 polysaccharide) provide the major source of energy worldwide. The role of free sugars as a determinant of 68 adverse health outcomes has been clarified and clear guidelines relating to their restriction issued. Dietary fibre 69 and some starches are associated with health benefits and dietary guidelines typically encourage regular 70 consumption of vegetables, cereals, pulses and whole fruit which are rich sources of these and other health 71 promoting nutrients. However, previous systematic reviews and meta analyses examining the relationship 72 between starches and dietary fibre, and health outcomes have usually examined a single indicator of 73 carbohydrate quality and a limited number of disease outcomes. Thus it has not been possible to determine the 74 extent to which the predictive potential of these indicators applies across the spectrum of non-communicable 75 disease (NCDs) nor which are most useful in nutrition guidelines or when recommending food choices. 76 Quantitative recommendations relating to dietary fibre have not had a strong evidence base.

77

### 78 Added value of this study

79 We have undertaken systematic reviews and meta analyses of prospective studies and clinical trials that have 80 reported on the relationship between the most widely studied indicators of carbohydrate quality (dietary fibre, 81 whole grains or pulses, dietary glycaemic index or glycaemic load) and mortality and incidence of a wide range 82 of NCDs and their risk factors. Parallel consideration of prospective studies and clinical trials has enabled an 83 exploration of the extent to which changes in cardiometabolic risk factors associated with altering intake of 84 dietary carbohydrate align with the effect of carbohydrate quality on disease risk observed in the prospective 85 studies. Dose response curves were generated and the benefits from different amounts of total dietary fibre were 86 calculated. The approach recommended by the GRADE Working Group has been used to assess the quality of 87 evidence and the magnitude and importance of the observed associations which influence the confidence in 88 nutrition recommendations.

89

#### 90 Implications of all the available evidence

91 The complementary findings from prospective studies and clinical trials, which show that higher intakes of 92 dietary fibre or whole grains are related to a reduction in the risk of a wide range of NCDs and their risk factors, 93 provide convincing evidence for nutrition recommendations to replace refined grains with whole grains and 94 increase dietary fibre to at least 25-29g per day, with additional benefits likely to accrue with greater intakes. In 95 the light of current evidence, dietary glycaemic index or glycaemic load may be less useful as overall measures

96 of carbohydrate quality than dietary fibre and wholegrain content.

#### 97 Introduction

98 Prior to the mid twentieth century carbohydrates were principally regarded as an energy source and nutrition 99 recommendations suggested that carbohydrates should contribute the energy deficit remaining after intakes of 100 fat and protein had been specified. From the mid 1950s there was increasing awareness of the potential of 101 "sugar" (principally sucrose) to increase the risk of dental caries and in the 1960s Yudkin<sup>1</sup> popularised the view 102 that sugar was a major contributing cause of obesity, type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease, an opinion 103 shared by Cleave and Campbell who described these and other chronic conditions as saccharine diseases.<sup>2</sup> A 104 substantial body of experimental, epidemiological and clinical trial data has accumulated since these early 105 observations and based on extensive systematic reviews and meta analyses, the World Health Organization 106 (WHO) has recently issued a strong recommendation, based on the association between free sugars and dental 107 caries and obesity, for individuals to reduce intake to less than 10% total energy and a conditional 108 recommendation suggesting that even greater benefit may accrue if intakes are below 5%.<sup>3</sup> Comparable 109 recommendations have been made by national governments and professional organisations worldwide.

110

111 It is more than half a century since Burkitt, Trowell and Painter, based largely on epidemiological observations 112 in Africa, suggested that processing of cereal based foods (grains) with removal of what came to be called 113 dietary fibre, rather than excessive intakes of sugar, were key determinants of both cardiometabolic and large 114 bowel diseases.<sup>4,5</sup> Nevertheless, until relatively recently rather less attention has been given to starches and 115 dietary fibre, the other major components of dietary carbohydrate. While nutrition guidelines issued by many 116 governments and professional organisations encourage increased consumption of vegetables, fruit and whole 117 grains, there are fewer quantitative guidelines for sources and intakes of dietary fibre and starch. We report here 118 on a series of systematic reviews and meta analyses on indicators of carbohydrate quality and non-119 communicable disease (NCD) incidence, mortality, and risk factors. The research was commissioned by WHO 120 to inform the development of updated recommendations regarding carbohydrate intake.

121

#### 122 Methods

We followed reporting standards for systematic reviews and meta analyses.<sup>6</sup> Literature searches, identification of eligible studies, data extraction and bias assessment were undertaken independently by at least two researchers, with discrepancies resolved with an additional reviewer.

126

### 127 **PICO tables and eligibility criteria**

128 PICO tables (Appendix A) were agreed by the WHO Nutrition Guidance Expert Advisory Group (NUGAG).

129 We report here on markers of carbohydrate quality that have been measured in an appreciable number of studies

130 and trials (dietary fibre, dietary glycaemic index or glycaemic load, and wholegrain intake) and outcomes

131 specified in the PICO tables. For prospective studies critical outcomes included all-cause, coronary heart disease

132 (CHD) and stroke mortality and incidence of CHD, stroke, type 2 diabetes, and colorectal cancer. Important

- 133 outcomes included cardiovascular disease (CVD) incidence and mortality and incidence of adiposity-related
- 134 cancers (breast, endometrial, oesophageal, and prostate). Prospective studies which included only cohorts with
- 135 specified pre-existing conditions were excluded.
- 136

137 For clinical trials we have reported on adiposity, fasting glucose, fasting insulin, insulin sensitivity, HbA1c,

- 138 triglycerides, cholesterol, and blood pressure. We included parallel and crossover randomised clinical trials of at
- 139 least four weeks duration that reported on higher compared with lower intakes of the dietary markers. Eligible
- 140 trials could include diets with test foods provided, dietary advice, ad libitum diets or controlled feeding trials on
- 141 free living individuals. Weight loss trials and trials involving provision of dietary fibre supplements in the forms
- 142 of powders were excluded. Comparison diets were required to be matched for macronutrient composition and
- 143 lifestyle modifications such as exercise.
- 144

145 Participants of eligible trials were adults and children free from acute or chronic disease but could include those 146 with prediabetes, mild-moderate hypercholesterolemia, mild-moderate hypertension or metabolic syndrome. 147 Trials including people on medications known to effect outcomes of interest or who were pregnant or in 148 situations where regular eating habits were likely to change e.g. those suffering from eating disorders or who 149 were breast feeding were excluded.

150

#### 151 Literature search

152 Prospective observational studies were initially identified from systematic reviews and meta analyses that 153 reported associations between carbohydrate intake or one of the specified measures of carbohydrate quality, and 154 one or more of the key outcome measures. These systematic reviews were found through online searches using 155 Ovid Medline, Embase, PubMed, Web of Science, and Scopus. This strategy was augmented by searches with 156 low-risk-of-bias search terms for individual prospective studies and run up to the end of April 2017 to ensure 157 identification of relevant published studies. No date or language restrictions were applied. A validation of the 158 search procedure is provided in Appendix A.

159

160 For clinical trials, highly sensitive Cochrane search strategies were used to identify trials examining the effects 161 of carbohydrate intakes on obesity, blood pressure, and cardiometabolic risk factors. OVID Medline, Embase, 162 Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 163 (CDSR), and Food Science and Technology Abstracts (FSTA) databases were searched for trials published up

- 164 to February 2018. Hand searching of references of systematic reviews, prospective studies, and clinical trials
- 165 were completed to identify any studies that may have been missed. Search strategies are shown in Appendix A.
- 166

#### 167 Study selection

- 168 Reviewers identified eligible studies by screening titles, abstracts and where appropriate full texts of articles.

#### 169 Where there were multiple publications from the same cohort, we used data for the longest follow-up period.

170

#### 171 **Data extraction**

172 Data were extracted using pre-tested forms.<sup>7</sup> For prospective studies the most adjusted values for effect size

- 173 were extracted, where that value did not also specifically include adjustment for other carbohydrates. For
- 174 clinical trials involving multiple interventions we extracted data from all relevant interventions. For crossover
- 175 trials involving multiple interventions we extracted data only from the most relevant intervention and either the
- 176 control group or the most relevant comparator intervention.

177

#### 178 Risk of bias assessment

We used the ROBIS assessment tool<sup>8</sup> to assess systematic reviews and meta analyses for quality and risk of
bias, and the Newcastle Ottawa Scale (NOS)<sup>9</sup> to assess risk of bias of each prospective study. For clinical trials
we used Cochrane criteria.<sup>10</sup>

182

#### 183 Data analysis

184 For prospective studies we pooled the reported odds ratios or risk ratios with the DerSimonian and Laird 185 random effects model<sup>11</sup> in a high quantile versus low quantile analysis. When individual studies reported results 186 separately by sex, we first combined these effect size estimates with a fixed effects model before including them 187 within the pooled estimate. When eligible studies were based on and reported combined results from multiple 188 cohort studies we extracted results for each cohort to include in the meta-analysis. Prospective studies reporting 189 incidence or mortality were analysed separately. Where data were reported in a suitable format, we considered 190 dose response relationships with the Greenland and Longnecker method<sup>12</sup> assuming linearity with a two-stage 191 dose response random-effects analysis. The average or mid-point of each defined quantile was used for the dose 192 amount. Where the quantile dose range was open-ended, half the range of the adjacent quantile was used to 193 determine the average intake. We used 30g to represent one serve of whole grains when a value for weight was 194 not stated.<sup>13</sup> Non-linear dose-response was assessed using restricted cubic splines with three knots at 10%, 50%, 195 and 90% of distribution combined with multivariate meta analyses.<sup>14</sup> We imputed the number of cases per 196 quantile from the RR value when necessary. Linear and spline (with 95%CI) models are shown with each data 197 point overlaid as circles. Circle size indicates the weighting of each data point with bigger circles indicating 198 greater influence. Absolute risk values were calculated with GRADE Pro software.<sup>15</sup>

199

To help establish optimal intakes of dietary fibre we considered the dose-response curves for total dietary fibre intake and critical health outcomes. We also compared the lowest consumers of dietary fibre with those consuming between 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, and 35-39 grams of fibre per day with a random effects model. When studies reported more than one quantile of data within the pre-specified intake ranges, we first combined these quantiles with a fixed effects model before including them within the pooled estimate. We did this to measure the number of critical outcomes where an improvement in relative risk was observed in the higher intake categories.

207

208 For clinical trials high-versus-low analyses were undertaken with generic inverse models and random-effects. 209 For outcomes that could be measured by different units, reported effects were presented as standardised mean 210 differences. For studies reporting multiple follow-ups over time, the most recent, appropriately reported 211 published data were used in the meta analyses. When crossover (paired data) studies did not report the mean 212 difference between treatments and its standard error or other relevant statistics, end of treatment values were 213 analysed as independent samples. Subgroup analyses by fibre amount or principal starch source were conducted 214 when there were enough studies for subgroupings including more than one trial. For example high fibre 215 interventions (0-25, 25-30, 30-35, >35 g/day) were considered to determine whether there were threshold effects 216 or a possible dose response.

- 217
- 218 For all analyses heterogeneity was assessed with the  $I^2$  statistic, <sup>16</sup> and the Cochrane Q test.<sup>17</sup> Sensitivity analyses 219 were conducted when a  $l^2$  statistic was found to be more than 50% or a p for heterogeneity of <0.10. Publication 220 bias was assessed with Egger's and Begg's tests,<sup>18</sup> and the trim and fill method.<sup>19</sup> The effect of each individual 221 study's findings was considered with an influence analysis. For prospective studies analyses excluding those 222 that scored less than six out of a possible nine with the NOS were conducted. If there was still unexplained 223 heterogeneity we considered the impact of small studies reporting less than 200 cases or less than 2000 224 participants. For clinical trials, analyses excluding trials with a high risk of bias for at least one criterion were 225 conducted to examine the influence of potential bias on outcomes. Meta regression analyses further examined 226 effects of potential explanatory factors including trial design (crossover or parallel), study or trial duration, 227 global region, differences in fibre intake achieved, source of fibre or starch and nutrition status of participants. 228 Analyses were performed using the Cochrane Collaboration software and Stata statistical software.<sup>20,21</sup>
- 229

We used GRADE<sup>22</sup> protocols, to judge the quality of the body of evidence as either high, moderate, low, or very-low. More detail on this approach is provided in Appendix A. Quality of the evidence was assessed by the research team and revised if required after discussion with the NUGAG Subgroup on Diet and Health.

233

## 234 Role of the funding source

With the exception of WHO, the funders of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis,
data interpretation, or writing the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study
and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

238

## 239 Results

Data from 185 publications of prospective studies involving just under 135 million person years and 58 clinical trials with a total of 4,635 adult participants were included in the meta analyses. A flow chart of identified studies is shown in Figure 1, with details of these studies in Supplement 10. Critical outcome data for total fibre, wholegrain intake, and dietary glycaemic index are summarised in Tables 1-3 and shown in full in Appendices B-D for observational studies and Supplements 1 and 2 for trials. Dose response data are shown in Figures 2-4 and the supplementary material. Summary forest plots from clinical trial data are shown in Figure 5. Data and GRADE tables relating to all other indicators and outcomes are in Supplement 1-9.

247

## 248 Dietary fibre

The observational data in Table 1 show that higher intakes of total dietary fibre are associated with a 15-31% reduction in the risk of specified critical outcomes. For all-cause mortality and coronary heart disease incidence this translates into 13 fewer deaths (95%CI 8 to 18) and 6 fewer cases of CHD (95%CI 4 to 7) per 1000 participants over the duration of the studies. Sensitivity analyses of the tested associations did not change the direction or significance of any observed result. The quality of evidence contributing to the meta analyses of the cohort studies was, with the exception of the data relating to stroke, considered to be moderate.

- 256 Figure 2 shows dose response relationships for total fibre intake and total mortality, incidence of coronary heart
- disease, type 2 diabetes and colorectal cancer, many of which are linear with no sign of a plateau within the
- available data. When comparing the lowest fibre intakes with pre-specified ranges the greatest benefits were
- observed for those consuming 25-29g per day (improvement in 6 of the 7 critical outcomes), more so than those
- 260 consuming between 15-19g per day (improvement in 3 of the 7 critical outcomes), or 20-24g per day
- 261 (improvement in 4 of the 7 critical outcomes). These analyses are shown in full in Appendix B.
- 262

Mean differences between higher versus lower fibre intakes for a range of cardiometabolic risk factors are shown in Table 1 and the summary forest plots in Figure 5a. Dose response or threshold effects could not be determined from the clinical trial data. The quality of evidence contributing to the meta analyses of the trial data relating to body weight is high and total cholesterol and systolic blood pressure moderate because of unexplained heterogeneity between the trials.

268

Broadly similar effects were apparent in both the prospective studies and clinical trials, when examining fibre from different food groups or fibre described as soluble or insoluble, though limited data were available, other than for cereal fibre, the largest contributor to total dietary fibre (Supplements 1-9).

272

#### 273 Whole grains

274 Cohort data showing the relationship between whole grains and the effect of increasing wholegrain intake on 275 critical outcomes are shown in Table 2. Higher intakes of whole grains were associated with a 13-33% reduction 276 in the risk. For all-cause mortality and coronary heart disease incidence this translates into 26 fewer deaths 277 (95%CI 14 to 39) and 7 fewer cases (95%CI 3 to 10) per 1000 participants over the duration of the studies. 278 Sensitivity analyses did not typically change the direction or significance of any pooled effect. The quality of 279 evidence relating to colorectal cancer incidence is moderate, whilst for other critical outcomes it is low due to 280 high heterogeneity not fully explained by sensitivity analysis. Dose response curves showing clear associations 281 with increasing wholegrain intake and all-cause mortality or risk of coronary heart disease, type 2 diabetes, and 282 colorectal cancer incidence are shown in Figure 3. Mean differences in cardiometabolic risk factors between 283 higher and lower wholegrain consumption are shown in Table 2 and summary forest plots in Figure 5b. 284 Evidence relating to body weight, cholesterol, and blood pressure is graded as moderate, downgraded due to 285 unexplained heterogeneity.

286

#### 287 Glycaemic index

288 Cohort data showing the relationship between dietary glycaemic index and the effect of decreasing the dietary 289 glycaemic index on critical outcomes as demonstrated in the trials are shown in Table 3, dose responses are 290 shown in Figure 4, and summary forest plot in Figure 5c. Data relating to the cohort studies which examined the 291 effects of glycaemic load are presented in Supplement 3.

292

An 11% (95%CI 3% to 18%) relative risk reduction of type 2 diabetes was observed for those consuming low glycaemic index diets. However sensitivity analysis due to high heterogeneity attenuated the relative risk reduction to 5% (95%CI 13% less to 4% more). Stroke mortality was lower amongst those consuming lower 296 glycaemic index diets. The prospective studies generated evidence that is graded as low or very-low quality as a 297 result of high risk of bias, imprecision, and inconsistencies. Key outcome markers from the clinical trials on 298 decreasing the glycaemic index of a diet are shown in forest plots in Figure 5c. Trial data were usually of 299 moderate quality.

300

#### 301 Discussion

302 Higher intakes of total dietary fibre or whole grains result in reduced incidence and mortality from several 303 NCDs. Less useful markers of carbohydrate quality are glycaemic index, glycaemic load, and sources of dietary 304 fibre where inconsistent findings or insufficient data provide low or very low quality evidence. In randomised 305 trials higher intakes of dietary fibre reduce body weight, lower blood cholesterol and systolic blood pressure. 306 These findings are supported by cohort studies that report reduced risk of coronary heart disease incidence and 307 mortality and diabetes incidence. The consistency between the trial and prospective study results together with 308 the dose response relationships are evidence that the effect on cardiometabolic diseases are likely to be causal 309 and not a consequence of confounding. In addition, prospective studies show striking reductions in and dose 310 response relationships with all-cause mortality, total cancer deaths, total cardiovascular disease, stroke 311 incidence, and colorectal, breast, and oesophageal cancer. For several of these outcomes the dose response is 312 linear. These findings together with the comparisons of clinical outcomes amongst those with different intakes 313 of dietary fibre suggest that individual adult intakes of total dietary fibre should be no less than 25 to 29 grams 314 per day with additional benefits likely to accrue with higher intakes. Population intakes in this range are 315 reported in some countries, but the majority consume less than 20 grams a day.<sup>23</sup> Broadly similar trends were 316 apparent in the prospective studies that examined cereal fibre, typically the largest contributor to total dietary 317 fibre. Limited data were available regarding specific sources (legume, fruit, vegetable) or subcategories 318 ('soluble', 'insoluble', or extracted) of dietary fibre.

319

320 The results for wholegrain foods reflect those for dietary fibre. Prospective studies showed a reduction in all-321 cause mortality, coronary heart disease, cancer deaths, and incidence of type 2 diabetes. As with dietary fibre 322 the observed reductions in risk are considerable, typically around 20% with significant dose response 323 relationships. The randomised controlled trials involving an increase in the amount of whole grains showed 324 improvements in body weight and lipids. The similar protective effects of higher intakes of wholegrain foods 325 and of dietary fibre suggest that the beneficial effects of whole grains may be due to their typically high dietary 326 fibre content. The GRADE criteria categorise the evidence linking most clinical outcomes with dietary fibre as 327 moderate, and with whole grains as low quality.

328

Dietary starch can be divided into several categories<sup>24</sup> although rarely are measurements made of these individual components in either prospective studies or randomised controlled trials. However the glycaemic index of starch-containing foods or the overall glycaemic load of meals or diets including starchy foods provide measures of starch quality and are widely reported. We have found that diets with a lower overall glycaemic index appear to be associated with a reduced risk of stroke and type 2 diabetes. However the risk estimates, other than for stroke mortality, are modest when compared with those for dietary fibre and following sensitivity analyses were reduced and associated with confidence intervals which included 1. The findings from 336 prospective studies of glycaemic load are inconsistent. The results from trials show no consistent benefits on the 337 clinical outcomes when changing the glycaemic index of a diet.

338

339 A major strength of the present study is that it has related key markers of carbohydrate quality to total mortality 340 and mortality and incidence of the major nutrition-related non-communicable diseases and that prospective 341 studies have been considered alongside randomised controlled trials. Other reviews and meta analyses have 342 reported on a single indicator of carbohydrate quality and one or more outcomes. Our approach has enabled us 343 to use these indicators of carbohydrate quality to provide a stronger justification than had previously been 344 available for a quantitative recommendation relating to dietary fibre intake. That the evidence for the 345 associations between the quality markers and outcomes was most frequently rated as 'moderate' or 'low' rather 346 than 'high' may be regarded as a limitation. However this is an inevitable consequence of the use of GRADE 347 criteria for assessment which typically require evidence from randomised controlled trials with disease 348 endpoints in order to be rated as being of 'high'. Furthermore when using the GRADE approach downgrading 349 frequently occurs as a consequence of unexplained heterogeneity amongst the results of the different studies, 350 even when all trend in a similar direction. This may be a consequence of studies being carried out in diverse 351 populations or as a result of different methods of measuring dietary intake. With regard to the associations we 352 have reported here between dietary fibre and whole grains and a wide range of clinical outcomes, the 353 consistency of the findings, the striking dose response relationships and the substantial body of mechanistic 354 evidence all contribute to the totality of evidence and increases our confidence in the findings.

355

356 Our findings are broadly comparable with other reviews and meta analyses that have reported on the association between dietary fibre and whole grains and one or more disease outcomes.<sup>25-28</sup> However there is less consistency 357 358 in our findings than in earlier reports with regard to the potential benefit of low glycaemic index or glycaemic 359 load diets. Three systematic reviews have shown a reduced incidence of type 2 diabetes associated with the 360 consumption of diets of lower glycaemic index or glycaemic load,<sup>29-31</sup> though the effect was modest when 361 compared with the protective effect of total dietary fibre or wholegrains. In the present study sensitivity analyses 362 due to high heterogeneity reduced risk reduction and confidence intervals included 1. A review of prospective 363 studies by Turati et al.<sup>32</sup> suggested a small but significant increase in colorectal cancer incidence associated with 364 high glycaemic index or glycaemic load. This finding was subject to high unexplained heterogeneity and 365 included retrospective case-control studies which may be subject to dietary recall bias. Other studies have 366 reported a lower incidence of stroke and CHD amongst those consuming low glycaemic index or glycaemic load 367 diets,<sup>29,33-36</sup> whilst we found a reduced risk of stroke only. We were unable to confirm an effect of low 368 glycaemic index or glycaemic load diets on haemoglobin  $A1_c$  or blood cholesterol which have been reported in 369 many short and medium term trials. However we excluded trials which involved only people with diabetes or 370 marked hyperlipidaemia who were the participants in the majority of trials reporting reduction in these 371 important risk indicators. Our study does not exclude the value of these indicators of carbohydrate quality in this 372 clinical context.

373

Whole grains offer a useful means of increasing dietary fibre intake and reducing risk of NCDs. However fruit and vegetables are also important contributors to dietary fibre intake. We did not specifically explore the 376 relationship between fruit and vegetable consumption and NCDs given the recent systematic review and meta 377 analyses by Aune et al.<sup>37</sup> They report risk reductions of around 10% per 200g fruit and vegetables combined for 378 CHD, stroke and total mortality and smaller but still significant reductions for total cardiovascular disease and 379 cancer. Appreciable dose response effects were apparent for most outcomes up to 800g/day. Inverse associations 380 were observed between the intake of apples, pears, citrus fruits, green leafy vegetables, cruciferous vegetables 381 and salad and cardiovascular disease and all-cause mortality. Intake of green yellow vegetables and cruciferous 382 vegetables were inversely associated with total cancer risk In addition to fibre, fruits and vegetables contain 383 many other nutrients that are potentially protective and confer some risk reduction.

384

385 The benefits of fibre reported in the present and other papers are supported by over 100 years of research into its 386 chemistry, physical properties, physiology and metabolic effects.<sup>23,38-40</sup> Fibre containing foods must be chewed 387 before passing through the stomach and into small bowel where they affect satiety, glucose and insulin 388 responses and lipid absorption. Whilst more recent systematic reviews have shown only small effects on 389 appetite, satiety or blood lipids<sup>41,42</sup> these studies have been conducted largely using defined fibre supplements 390 rather than whole foods. Whole foods that require chewing and retain much of their structure in the gut are more 391 likely to increase satiety through a variety of mechanisms leading to weight loss and to modulation of 392 carbohydrate and lipid metabolism. In the large bowel fibre is almost completely broken down by the resident 393 microflora in a series of anaerobic reactions known as fermentation.<sup>43</sup> The gut microbiota play a number of 394 important roles in human health including protecting against pathogens, development of the gut immune system, 395 vitamin synthesis, metabolism of xenobiotics and may be involved in complex gut-brain communication. 396 However the principal function of the microbiome is digestion of fibre and other carbohydrates that escape 397 breakdown in the small bowel and it is the availability of fibre in the diet that dominates the metabolism of the 398 gut microbiome and leads to protection from conditions such as colorectal cancer.<sup>44,45</sup> This coming together of 399 the epidemiological and experimental work on fibre allows conclusions to be drawn that increased fibre intakes 400 should result in improvements in population health.

401

402 While we have not considered the evidence regarding total carbohydrate intake, epidemiological evidence and 403 relatively long term clinical trials<sup>46</sup> suggest that a wide range of intakes is acceptable, a finding which is 404 endorsed by authoritative dietary guidelines.<sup>47</sup> Our study contributes to the growing body of evidence that 405 carbohydrate quality rather than quantity determines major health outcomes. Translating these findings 406 regarding dietary fibre and whole grains into dietary advice for individuals and populations should be 407 accompanied by a caveat. Dietary fibre as defined by Codex Alimentarius is naturally occurring in foods, but 408 may be extracted from foods or synthesised and added into manufactured foods. The large body of literature 409 which contributed to this and other systematic reviews and meta analyses relate principally to fibre rich foods 410 since most of the studies were undertaken before synthetic and extracted fibre were widely used. The concept of 411 wholegrain foods has also changed appreciably. Wholegrain foods are required to have a nutrient composition 412 similar to that of the original grain, without regard to the degree of processing. Many breakfast cereals and other 413 manufactured "whole grain" products are more highly processed than in the past. There is limited, but quite 414 striking evidence that increased processing of whole grains can result in a deterioration of a several biomarkers 415 of cardiometabolic disease.<sup>48</sup> As these are relatively recent developments there is no epidemiological evidence

416 of the consequences of these changes in the food supply on clinical outcomes and mortality. Until such evidence

- 417 is available it seems appropriate that dietary advice should emphasise the benefits of naturally occurring dietary
- 418 fibre in whole grains, vegetables and fruits that have been minimally processed.
- 419

420 There is a considerable body of evidence relating to the adverse consequences of high intakes of sugar 421 sweetened beverages and strong recommendations to reduce the intake of free sugars.<sup>3</sup> Our findings based on a 422 series of systematic reviews and meta analyses provide strong evidence for the importance of including advice 423 regarding the nature and source of other carbohydrates in dietary guidelines aimed at reducing the risk of NCDs. 424 Diets high in total dietary fibre especially from whole grains and, on the basis of another recent systematic 425 review,<sup>36</sup> vegetables and fruits, are associated with a significant reduction in a range of NCDs when compared 426 with lower dietary fibre and refined rather than whole grain intakes. The types of studies we have considered did 427 not identify risks associated with dietary fibre. However high intakes may be associated with deleterious effects 428 in populations with borderline iron/mineral status, amongst whom very high whole grain intakes may further 429 compromise iron status.<sup>49</sup> High intakes of dietary fibre and whole grains are more clearly associated with good 430 health outcomes than measures of glycaemic index or glycaemic load. Whilst glycaemic index provides a 431 measure of the glycaemic potential of the carbohydrate content of foods, some low glycaemic index foods may 432 have other attributes, which are not health promoting. Foods containing added fructose or sucrose and 433 composite foods containing both saturated fat and carbohydrate (e.g. confectionary products) may have a low 434 glycaemic index.<sup>50</sup> Our complementary findings from randomised controlled trials and prospective studies 435 together with the dose response effects, supported by much experimental work, are evidence that diets 436 characterised by a low content of dietary fibre contribute to the cause of a number of NCDs and that benefit will 437 accrue from implementation of quantitative recommendations regarding dietary fibre intake. Intakes in the range 438 of 25 to 29g daily are optimal whilst the dose response data show that amounts greater than 30g per day confer 439 additional benefits.

440

#### 441 **Contributors**

442 AR was responsible for the systematic reviews and meta analyses of prospective studies, wrote the first draft of 443 the manuscript, was involved with the interpretation of results, and approved the submission of the final 444 manuscript. LTM was responsible for the systematic reviews and meta analyses of clinical trials, was involved 445 with the interpretation of results, and approved the submission of the final manuscript. JC was involved with the 446 interpretation of results, and approved the submission of the final manuscript. NW was involved with the 447 systematic reviews and meta analyses of clinical trials and approved the submission of the final manuscript. EM 448 was involved with the systematic reviews and meta analyses of prospective studies and approved the submission 449 of the final manuscript. JM was involved with the interpretation of results, had full access to all the data in the 450 study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

451

#### 452 **Declarations of interest**

- 453 We declare no competing interests
- 454
- 455 Acknowledgments

4

- 456 This research was supported by funding from: the Health Research Council of New Zealand; World Health
- 457 Organization; Riddet Centre of Research Excellence; Healthier Lives National Science Challenge; Department
- 458 of Medicine, University of Otago; and the Otago Southland Diabetes Research Trust.
- 459

#### 460 **References**

- 461 1. Yudkin J. Pure, white and deadly: The problem of sugar. London, England: Davis-Poynter Ltd 1972.
- 462 2. Cleave T, Campbell G, Painter N. Diabetes, Coronary Thrombosis and Saccharine Disease, John
  463 Wright & Sons, Ltd. Bristol; 1966.
- 464 3. World Health Organization. Guideline: sugars intake for adults and children: WHO; 2015.
- 465 4. Cummings JH, Engineer A. Denis Burkitt and the origins of the dietary fibre hypothesis. *Nutrition*466 *Research Reviews* 2017: 1-15.
- 467 5. Trowell H, Burkitt D. Refined carbohydrate foods and disease: concluding considerations. Elsevier;
  468 1975: 333-45.
- 469 6. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, Group P. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews
  470 and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009; 6(7): e1000097.
- 471 7. Te Morenga L, Mallard S, Mann J. Dietary sugars and body weight: systematic review and meta472 analyses of randomised controlled trials and cohort studies. *BMJ* 2013; **346**.
- Whiting P, Savović J, Higgins JP, et al. ROBIS: a new tool to assess risk of bias in systematic reviews
  was developed. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology* 2016; **69**: 225-34.
- Wells G, Shea B, O'connell D, et al. The Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS) for assessing the quality of
  nonrandomised studies in meta-analyses. Ottawa: Ottawa Hospital Research Institute; 2011. oxford.
  asp; 2011.
- 478 10. Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of
  479 bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* 2011; **343**: d5928.
- 480 11. DerSimonian R, Laird N. Meta-analysis in clinical trials. *Controlled Clinical Trials* 1986; **7**(3): 177-88.
- 481 12. Greenland S, Longnecker MP. Methods for trend estimation from summarized dose-response data,
  482 with applications to meta-analysis. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1992; 135(11): 1301-9.
- 483 13. Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, et al. Dietary fibre, whole grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic
  484 review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *Bmj* 2011; **343**: d6617.
- 485 14. Orsini N, Bellocco R, Greenland S. Generalized least squares for trend estimation of summarized dose486 response data. *Stata Journal* 2006; 6(1): 40.
- 487 15. GRADEpro GDT. GRADEpro Guideline Development Tool [Software]. McMaster University, 2015
  488 (developed by Evidence Prime, Inc.). 2015.
- 489 16. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *BMJ*490 2003; **327**(7414): 557.
- 491 17. Cochrane Working Group. The combination of estimates from different experiments. *Biometrics* 1954;
  492 10(1): 101- 29.

# 493 18. Egger M, Smith GD, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical 494 test. *BMJ* 1997; **315**(7109): 629-34.

- 495 19. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot–based method of testing and adjusting for
  496 publication bias in meta-analysis. *Biometrics* 2000; 56(2): 455-63.
- 497 20. StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station. TX: StataCorp LP; 2017.
- 498 21. Higgins J, Green S. Corchrane Reviewers' Handbook 5.1. 0 [updated March 2011], Review Manager
  499 (RevMan) Version; 2011.
- 500 22. Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE, et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence
  501 and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 2008; **336**(7650): 924.
- 502 23. Stephen AM, Champ MM-J, Cloran SJ, et al. Dietary fibre in Europe: current state of knowledge on
  503 definitions, sources, recommendations, intakes and relationships to health. *Nutrition Research Reviews*504 2017; **30**(2): 149-90.
- 505 24. Englyst HN, Kingman S, Cummings JH. Classification and measurement of nutritionally important
  506 starch fractions. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 1992; 46: S33-50.
- 507 25. Aune D, Keum N, Giovannucci E, et al. Whole grain consumption and risk of cardiovascular disease,
  508 cancer, and all cause and cause specific mortality: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis
  509 of prospective studies. *BMJ* 2016; **353**: i2716.
- Aune D, Chan DS, Lau R, et al. Dietary fibre, whole grains, and risk of colorectal cancer: systematic
  review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. *BMJ* 2011; 343: d6617.
- 512 27. Ye EQ, Chacko SA, Chou EL, Kugizaki M, Liu S. Greater Whole-Grain Intake Is Associated with
  513 Lower Risk of Type 2 Diabetes, Cardiovascular Disease, and Weight Gain. *The Journal of Nutrition*514 2012; 142(7): 1304-13.
- 515 28. Liu L, Wang S, Liu J. Fiber consumption and all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortalities: A
  516 systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 2015;
  517 59(1): 139-46.
- 518 29. Barclay AW, Petocz P, McMillan-Price J, et al. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and chronic disease
  519 risk—a meta-analysis of observational studies. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2008;
  520 87(3): 627-37.
- 521 30. Dong J-Y, Zhang L, Zhang Y-H, Qin L-Q. Dietary glycaemic index and glycaemic load in relation to
  522 the risk of type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *British Journal of Nutrition*523 2011; 106(11): 1649-54.
- 524 31. Bhupathiraju SN, Tobias DK, Malik VS, et al. Glycemic index, glycemic load, and risk of type 2
  525 diabetes: results from 3 large US cohorts and an updated meta-analysis-. *The American Journal of*526 *Clinical Nutrition* 2014; **100**(1): 218-32.
- 52732.Turati F, Galeone C, Gandini S, et al. High glycemic index and glycemic load are associated with528moderately increased cancer risk. *Molecular Nutrition & Food Research* 2015; **59**(7): 1384-94.
- 529 33. Cai X, Wang C, Wang S, et al. Carbohydrate intake, glycemic index, glycemic load, and stroke: a
  530 meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health* 2015; 27(5): 486-96.
- 531 34. Fan J, Song Y, Wang Y, Hui R, Zhang W. Dietary glycemic index, glycemic load, and risk of coronary
  beart disease, stroke, and stroke mortality: a systematic review with meta-analysis. *PloS One* 2012;
  533 7(12): e52182.

- Mirrahimi A, de Souza RJ, Chiavaroli L, et al. Associations of glycemic index and load with coronary
  heart disease events: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective cohorts. *Journal of the American Heart Association* 2012; 1(5): e000752.
- 537 36. Rossi M, Turati F, Lagiou P, Trichopoulos D, La Vecchia C, Trichopoulou A. Relation of dietary
  538 glycemic load with ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke: a cohort study in Greece and a meta-analysis.
  539 *European Journal of Nutrition* 2015; 54(2): 215-22.
- Aune D, Giovannucci E, Boffetta P, et al. Fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of cardiovascular
  disease, total cancer and all-cause mortality—a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of
  prospective studies. *International Journal of Epidemiology* 2017; 46(3): 1029-56.
- 543 38. McCance R, Lawrence R. The carbohydrate content of foods. Medical Research Council Special
  544 Report Series No 135. London, Her Majesty's Stationery Office; 1929.
- 545 39. Cummings JH. Dietary fibre. *Gut* 1973; **14**(1): 69.
- 546 40. Spiller GA. CRC handbook of dietary fiber in human nutrition: CRC Press; 2001.
- 547 41. Clark MJ, Slavin JL. The effect of fiber on satiety and food intake: a systematic review. *Journal of the*548 *American College of Nutrition* 2013; **32**(3): 200-11.
- 549 42. Wanders AJ, van den Borne JJ, de Graaf C, et al. Effects of dietary fibre on subjective appetite, energy
  550 intake and body weight: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Obesity Reviews* 2011;
  551 12(9): 724-39.
- 552 43. Stephen AM, Cummings JH. Mechanism of action of dietary fibre in the human colon. *Nature* 1980;
  553 284(5753): 283.
- Elia M, Cummings JH. Physiological aspects of energy metabolism and gastrointestinal effects of
  carbohydrates. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2007; 61(S1): S40.
- 556 45. Shanahan F, van Sinderen D, O'toole PW, Stanton C. Feeding the microbiota: transducer of nutrient
  557 signals for the host. *Gut* 2017: gutjnl-2017-313872.
- 46. Gardner CD, Trepanowski JF, Del Gobbo LC, et al. Effect of Low-Fat vs Low-Carbohydrate Diet on
  12-Month Weight Loss in Overweight Adults and the Association With Genotype Pattern or Insulin
  Secretion: The DIETFITS Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA* 2018; **319**(7): 667-79.
- 561 47. European Food Safety Authority (EFSA). Dietary reference values for nutrients: Summary report.
  562 EFSA 2017.
- Järvi AE, Karlström BE, Granfeldt YE, Björck IE, Asp N-G, Vessby B. Improved glycemic control
  and lipid profile and normalized fibrinolytic activity on a low-glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetic
  patients. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22(1): 10-8.
- 49. Hunt JR. Bioavailability of iron, zinc, and other trace minerals from vegetarian diets. *The American*567 *Journal of Clinical Nutrition* 2003; **78**(3): 633S-9S.
- 568 50. Atkinson FS, Foster-Powell K, Brand-Miller JC. International tables of glycemic index and glycemic
  569 load values: 2008. *Diabetes Care* 2008; **31**(12): 2281-3.
- 570

- 571 Figure 1 Flow chart indicating the process by which eligible prospective studies and randomised
- 572 controlled trials were identified



573 574

Onterme	Number	T	Number of cases or	Person years or	Eff4 (050/ CI)	GRADE	
Outcome	of studies	Type of study	N in intervention	N of controls	Effect size (95%C1)	quality	
All-cause mortality	10	observational	80,139	12.3 million PY	RR 0.85 (0.79 to 0.91)	Moderate	
CHD mortality	10	observational         7,243         6.9 million PY         RR 0.69 (0.60 to 0.81		RR 0.69 (0.60 to 0.81)*	Moderate		
CHD incidence	9	observational	7,155	2.7 million PY	RR 0.76 (0.69 to 0.83)	Moderate	
Stroke mortality	2	observational	1,103	1.3 million PY	RR 0.80 (0.56 to 1.14)	Very low	
Stroke incidence	9	observational	13,134	4.6 million PY	RR 0.78 (0.69 to 0.88)**	Low	
Cancer mortality	5	observational	29,593	11.2 million PY	RR 0.87 (0.79 to 0.95)	Moderate	
Type 2 diabetes incidence	17	observational	48,468	6.9 million PY	RR 0.84 (0.78 to 0.90)	Moderate	
Colorectal cancer incidence	22	observational	22,920	16.9 million PY	RR 0.84 (0.78 to 0.89)	Moderate	
	27	randomized trials	1,294	1201	MD 0.37 lower	High	
Change in body weight (kg)		Tanuonniseu titais		1201	(0.63  kg lower to  0.11  kg lower)	nigii	
Changes in $IIh \wedge 1_{\mathcal{A}}(0/)$	6	non-domico d tuiolo	101	190	SMD 0.35 lower	Low	
Change in HDATC (%)	0	randomised triais	191	189	(0.73  lower to  0.03  higher)	Low	
Change in total shelestored (mmol/L)	36	randomized trials	1 922	1 671	MD 0.15 lower**	Modorato	
Change in total cholesterol (mmol/L)		Tanuonniseu uriais	1,632	1,071	(0.22  lower to  0.07  lower)	Widderate	
Change in systolic blood pressure	15	non-domicod tuiolo	1.064	088	MD 1.27 lower**	Madarata	
(mm Hg)	15	randomised trials	1,004	700	(2.50  lower to  0.04  lower)	woderate	

### 575 Table 1: Effects of higher compared with lower intakes of total dietary fibre on critical outcomes

576 PY person years, RR relative risk, MD mean difference, SMD standardised mean difference

577 \* Egger's test for bias p = 0.0040. Trim and fill analysis did not change the direction or significance of the pooled estimate.

578 \*\* The high heterogeneity of the pooled effect size (>50%) is unexplained by sensitivity analyses.

579

580 Detailed justification for the GRADE quality of evidence is given in Appendix B for observational studies and Supplement 1 for trials.

Outcome	N of studies	Type of study	Number of cases or N in intervention	Person years or N of controls	Effect size (95%CI)	GRADE quality	
All-cause mortality	9	observational	99,224	10.7 million PY	RR 0.81 (0.72 to 0.90)*	Low	
CHD mortality	2	observational	1,588	2.0 million PY	RR 0.66 (0.56 to 0.77)	Low	
CHD incidence	6	observational	7,697	2.8 million PY	RR 0.80 (0.70 to 0.91)*	Low	
Stroke mortality	2	observational	694	2.0 million PY	RR 0.74 (0.58 to 0.94)	Low	
Stroke incidence	3	observational	1,247	1.1 million PY	RR 0.86 (0.61 to 1.21)	Very low	
Cancer mortality	5	observational	32,727	10.1 million PY	RR 0.84 (0.76 to 0.92)*	Low	
Type 2 diabetes incidence	8	observational	14,686	3.9 million PY	RR 0.67 (0.58 to 0.78)*	Low	
Colorectal cancer incidence	7	observational	8,803	6.8 million PY	RR 0.87 (0.79 to 0.96)	Moderate	
Change in body weight (kg)	11	randomized trials	408	421	MD 0.62 lower	Moderate	
		randomised triais	498	421	$(1 \cdot 19 \text{ lower to } 0.05 \text{ lower})$	Moderate	
Change in HbA1c (%)	3	randomised trials	141	141	SMD 0.54 lower	Low	
		Tanuoniiseu urais	141	141	(1.28 lower to $0.20$ higher)	Low	
Change in total cholesterol	17	non-domigand trials	770	701	MD 0.09 lower	Modarata	
(mmol/L)	17	randomised triais	112	/01	(0.23  lower to  0.04  higher)	Moderate	
Change in systolic blood pressure	0		402	120	MD 1.01 lower	Madaurta	
(mm Hg)	0	ranuomised mais	473	432	(2.46  lower to  0.44  higher)	woderate	

# 581 Table 2: Effects of higher compared with lower intakes of whole grains on critical outcomes

582 PY person years, RR relative risk, MD mean difference, SMD standardised mean difference

583 \* The high heterogeneity of the pooled effect size (>50%) is unexplained by sensitivity analyses.

584

585

586 Detailed justification for the GRADE quality of evidence is given in Appendix C for observational studies and Supplement 2 for trials.

587

N of	True of study	Number of cases or	Person years or	Effect size (050/CI)	CDADE quality
studies	Type of study	N in intervention	N of control	Effect size (95%C1)	GRADE quanty
3	observational	7,698	0.6 million PY	RR 0.89 (0.70 to 1.13)*	Very low
1	observational	incidence not stated	0.04 million PY	RR 1.10 (0.69 to 1.75)	Very low
10	observational	8,456 + not reported	2.4 million BV	<b>PP</b> $(0.02)(0.92 \pm 0.1)(0.4)$	Low
10	observational	in one study	2·4 IIIIII0II P I	KK 0.95 (0.85 to 1.04)	Low
3	observational	951	1.2 million PY	RR 0.63 (0.52 to 0.77)	Low
5	observational	5,527	3.0 million PY	RR 0.84 (0.72 to 0.99)	Very low
1	observational	1,401	0.4 million PY	RR 1.11 (0.90 to 1.38)	Very low
14	observational	36,908	6.5 million PY	RR 0.89 (0.82 to 0.97)*	Very low
10	observational	11,245	8.8 million PY	RR 0.91 (0.82 to 1.01)*	Very low
8	non-domico d triala	464	225	MD 0.29 lower	II: -h
	Tandonnised unais		555	(0.62  lower to  0.03  higher)	nigii
2	randomicad trials	44	27	SMD 0.08 higher	Verylow
2	Tanuonniseu uriais		57	(0.35 lower to $0.52$ higher)	very low
8	randomicad trials	605	179	MD 0.02 lower	Madarata
	randomised trials		478	(0.17  lower to  0.13  higher)	Moderate
lic blood pressure		207	MD 0.17 lower	II: -h	
4	ranuonniseu triais	517	371	(1.03  lower to  0.69  higher)	nıgı
	N of studies 3 1 10 3 5 1 14 10 8 2 8 4	N of studiesType of study3observational1observational10observational3observational3observational5observational1observational1observational10observational10observational14observational10observational8randomised trials2randomised trials8randomised trials4randomised trials	N of studiesType of studyNumber of cases or N in intervention3observational7,6981observationalincidence not stated10observational8,456 + not reported in one study3observational9515observational1,40114observational36,90810observational11,2458randomised trials4642randomised trials6054randomised trials519	N of studiesType of studyNumber of cases or N in interventionPerson years or N of control3observational7,6980.6 million PY1observationalincidence not stated0.04 million PY10observational8,456 + not reported in one study2.4 million PY3observational9511.2 million PY5observational5,5273.0 million PY1observational1,4010.4 million PY14observational36,9086.5 million PY10observational11,2458.8 million PY2randomised trials4643352randomised trials6054784randomised trials519397	N of studiesType of studyNumber of cases or N in interventionPerson years or N of controlEffect size (95%CI)3observational7,6980.6 million PYRR 0.89 (0.70 to 1.13)*1observationalincidence not stated0.04 million PYRR 1.10 (0.69 to 1.75)10observational8,456 + not reported in one study2.4 million PYRR 0.93 (0.83 to 1.04)3observational9511.2 million PYRR 0.63 (0.52 to 0.77)5observational5,5273.0 million PYRR 0.84 (0.72 to 0.99)1observational1,4010.4 million PYRR 1.11 (0.90 to 1.38)14observational11,2458.8 million PYRR 0.89 (0.82 to 0.97)*10observational11,2458.8 million PYRR 0.91 (0.82 to 1.01)*8randomised trials464325MD 0.29 lower (0.62 lower to 0.03 higher)2randomised trials605478MD 0.02 lower (0.17 lower to 0.13 higher)4randomised trials519397MD 0.17 lower (1.03 lower to 0.69 higher)

## 588 Table 3: Effects of diets characterised by lower compared with higher glycaemic index on critical outcomes

589 PY person years, RR relative risk, MD mean difference, SMD standardised mean difference

590 \* The high heterogeneity of the pooled effect size (>50%) is unexplained by sensitivity analyses.

591 \*\* The pooled effect size did not maintain statistical significance during sensitivity analyses.

592 \*\*\* Only one eligible trial of children was identified in our systematic searches. Although the exposure was for diets of higher and lower GI, data from this trial has not been

593 included with that of adults shown above.

- 594
- 595

596 Detailed justification for the GRADE quality of evidence is given in Appendix D for observational studies and Supplement 2 for trials.

597

Figure 2 Dose response relationships between total dietary fibre and critical clinical outcomes based on data

from prospective studies.



**Fig 2c** Total fibre and incidence of type 2 22,450 cases over 3.2 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.85 0.89) was observed for every 8 grams more fibre consumed per day.

**Fig 2a** Total fibre and all-cause mortality. 68,183 deaths over 11.3 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.93 (0.90 to 0.95) was observed for every 8 grams more fibre consumed per day.

**Fig 2b** Total fibre and coronary heart disease incidence. 6,449 deaths over 2.5 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.81 (0.73 to 0.90) was observed for every 8 grams more fibre consumed per day.





**Fig 2d** Total fibre and incidence of colorectal cancer. 20,009 cases over 20.9 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.92 (0.89 to 0.95) was observed for every 8 grams more fibre consumed per day.

*Figure 3* Dose response relationships between wholegrain intake and critical clinical outcomes based on data from prospective studies.



**Fig 3c** Wholegrain intake and incidence of type 2 13,147 cases over 3.5 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.88 0.95) was observed for every 15 grams more grains consumed per day.

**Fig 3a** Wholegrain intake and all-cause mortality. 88,347 deaths over 8.2 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.94 (0.92 to 0.95) was observed for every 15 grams more whole grains consumed per day.

Fig 3b Wholegrain intake and incidence of coronary heart disease. 6,587 cases over 2.4 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.93 (0.89 to 0.98) was observed for every 15 grams more whole grains consumed per day.





**Fig 3d** Wholegrain intake and incidence of colorectal cancer. 6,056 cases over 5.7 million person years. Assuming linearity a reduced risk ratio of 0.97 (0.95 to 0.99) was observed for every 15 grams more whole grains consumed per day.

*Figure 4* Dose response relationships between dietary glycaemic index and critical clinical outcomes based on data from prospective studies.



**Fig 4a** Glycaemic index and all-cause mortality. 7,699 deaths over 0.6 million person years. Assuming linearity a risk ratio of 1.16 (0.90 to 1.49) was observed for every 10 glycaemic index unit increase per day.

**Fig 4b** Glycaemic index and coronary heart incidence. 7,240 cases over 2.4 million person Assuming linearity a risk ratio of 1.09 (0.94 to was observed for every 10 glycaemic index unit per day.





**Fig 4c** Glycaemic index and incidence of type 2 diabetes. 31,780 cases over 4.9 million person years. Assuming linearity a risk ratio of 1.10 (1.00 to 1.20) was observed for every 10 glycaemic index unit increase per day.

**Fig 4d** Glycaemic index and incidence of colorectal cancer. 10,390 cases over 6.5 million person years. Assuming linearity a risk ratio of 1.05 (1.00 to 1.10) was observed for every 10 glycaemic index unit increase per day.

Figure 5 Summary forest plots of key outcomes from clinical trials.

<b>Fig 5a</b> Higher compared with lower total fibre in	itakes.
---	---------

Comparison	Studios	Intervention	Control	Pooled Effect Estimat	es							
comparison	Studies	mervention	control	Mean difference (95%	GCIS)							
Total dietary fibre												
Body weight (kg)	27	1294	1201	-0.37 (-0.63 to -0.11)						•	_	
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	36	1832	1671	-0.15 (-0.22 to -0.07)						•	•	
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	34	1801	1640	-0.09 (-0.15 to -0.04)								
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	31	1700	1560	-0.06 (-0.11 to -0.01)							4	
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	15	1064	988	-1.27 (-2.50 to -0.04)	_			-			_	
HbA1c (SMD)	6	191	189	-0.35 (-0.73 to 0.03)					_	-	_	
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	39	1716	1547	-0.09 (-0.15 to -0.02)						•	•	
Insulin resistance (SMD)	14	672	591	-0.12 (-0.26 to 0.03)						-	•	
					-2.4	-2.0	-1.6	-1.2	-0.8	-0.4	0.0	0.4
					High	ner fib	re int	ake	L	ower	fibre in	ntake

Figure 5b Higher compared with lower wholegrain intakes.



Figure 5c Comparison of diets characterised by lower compared with higher glycaemic index foods.

Comparison	Ctudios	Intervention	Control	Pooled Effect Estimates						
Comparison	Studies	mervention	Control	Mean difference (95% CIs)						
Glycaemic Index										
Body weight (kg)	8	464	335	-0.29 [-0.62 to 0.03)			•			
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	8	605	478	-0.02 (-0.17 to 0.13)			•	-		
LDL cholesterol (mmol/L)	8	605	478	0.05 (-0.13 to 0.22)				_ <b>_</b>	_	
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	8	605	478	-0.02 (-0.07 to 0.03)				- <b>-</b>		
Systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)	4	519	397	-0.17 (-1.03 to 0.69)	_			<b>↓</b>		_
HbA1c (SMD)	2	44	37	0.08 (-0.35 to 0.52)				· •		
Fasting glucose (mmol/L)	11	609	475	0 (-0.08 to 0.07)				- <b>-</b>		
Insulin resistance (SMD)	5	284	283	0.14 (-0.03 to 0.31)				- Ľ.	_	
						,				
					-1.2	-0.8	-0.4	0.0	0.4	0.8

Lower GI intake

Higher GI intake