



Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids for the treatment and prevention of colorectal cancer

A J Cockbain,¹ G J Toogood,² M A Hull¹

¹Leeds Institute of Molecular Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Department of Hepatobiliary Surgery, St James's University Hospital, Beckett Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

Correspondence to

Professor Mark Hull, Leeds Institute of Molecular Medicine, Wellcome Trust Brenner Building, St James' University Hospital, Beckett Street, Leeds, LS9 7TF, UK; m.a.hull@leeds.ac.uk

Search strategy and selection criteria: Data for this review were identified by searches of Medline, PubMed and relevant articles using the MESH search terms 'fish oils', 'fatty acids', 'colorectal neoplasms' and 'liver neoplasms'. Only papers published in English, or including an English abstract, between 1975 and 2010 were included. Ongoing clinical trials were identified by searches of publicly available Clinical Trials Registries (including <http://ClinicalTrials.gov/>, Current Controlled Trials, WHO International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, National Cancer Institute and NIHR Clinical Research Network Portfolio) and pharmaceutical company registries (including the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations Clinical Trials Portal, Pfizer Clinical Trials and GlaxoSmithKline Clinical Trials Register).

Published Online First
13 April 2011

ABSTRACT

Omega (ω)-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) are naturally occurring substances that are well tolerated and have been used extensively for the prevention of cardiovascular disease. More recently, ω -3 PUFAs have been recognised to have anticancer activity. There is also evidence suggesting improved efficacy and/or tolerability of conventional cancer chemotherapy when administered with ω -3 PUFAs. The purpose of this review is to (i) describe the mechanisms by which ω -3 PUFAs are thought to have antineoplastic activity, (ii) review published preclinical and clinical studies that support anti-colorectal cancer activity and (iii) summarise current clinical trials investigating the potential therapeutic role(s) of ω -3 PUFAs at different stages of colorectal carcinogenesis, from adenoma (polyp) prevention to treatment of established malignant disease and prevention of cancer recurrence.

INTRODUCTION

There is growing epidemiological, experimental and clinical evidence that omega (ω)-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) have anti-colorectal cancer (CRC) activity. ω -3 PUFAs may feasibly play a role in several stages of CRC management, from the primary CRC prevention, through to 'tertiary' prevention after treatment of CRC and advanced metastatic disease.

In this article, we summarise current knowledge of the mechanistic basis by which ω -3 PUFAs are thought to attenuate CRC, before reviewing the experimental data supporting ω -3 PUFA supplementation for prevention and treatment of CRC. Finally, we review recent clinical data, which now support anti-CRC activity in humans, and summarise current clinical trials of the potential therapeutic roles of ω -3 PUFAs at different stages of colorectal carcinogenesis.

POLYUNSATURATED FATTY ACIDS

Fatty acids are carbon chains with a methyl group at one end and a carboxyl group at the other. Saturated fatty acids contain only carbon-carbon single bonds, whereas unsaturated fatty acids contain one (monounsaturated) or more (polyunsaturated) carbon-carbon double bonds. Dietary PUFAs of interest are the ω -3 and ω -6 PUFAs, so named by the position of the first double bond at the third and sixth carbon from the methyl (ω) end respectively (figure 1).

Significance of this study

What is already known about this subject?

- ▶ The two main omega (ω)-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) found naturally in fish are eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid.
- ▶ There is strong evidence that ω -3 PUFAs have anti-colorectal cancer (CRC) activity from preclinical studies.
- ▶ Epidemiological evidence links dietary ω -3 PUFA intake and reduced CRC risk.
- ▶ Treatment with ω -3 PUFAs is associated with a reduction in measures of mucosal epithelial cell proliferation, a putative biomarker of future CRC risk.

What are the new findings?

- ▶ EPA has chemopreventative efficacy in patients with familial adenomatous polyposis.
- ▶ ω -3 PUFAs may improve the efficacy and tolerability of cancer chemotherapy drugs.
- ▶ Adjuvant treatment with docosahexaenoic acid may improve breast cancer survival and/or reduce cancer-related cachexia.
- ▶ The mechanisms of action of ω -3 PUFAs probably include reduction of prostaglandin E_2 synthesis and/or synthesis of anti-inflammatory resolvins.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

- ▶ An excellent safety and tolerability profile, combined with broader health benefits, make ω -3 PUFAs strong candidate cancer chemoprevention agents.
- ▶ A role for EPA in 'sporadic' colorectal adenoma prevention is currently being evaluated in addition to a potential role in familial adenomatous polyposis patients after colectomy.
- ▶ A phase II trial is currently evaluating the safety and efficacy of EPA in patients with CRC liver metastases.
- ▶ ω -3 PUFAs may find a role for 'tertiary' prevention of CRC recurrence or as adjunctive chemotherapy for established CRC.

'Essential' fatty acids are those which are required for biological processes, but which humans are unable to synthesise and must therefore obtain from dietary sources. The parent ω -3 PUFA α -linolenic acid and parent ω -6 PUFA linoleic acid




Figure 1 Structure and nomenclature of polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs). Cx:y ω-z refers to the chemical structure where x is the number of carbon atoms, y is the number of carbon–carbon double bonds and z is the position of the first carbon–carbon double bond away from the methyl (ω) end of the hydrocarbon chain. Note that all double bonds are in the *cis* configuration. Small quantities of conjugated ω-3 PUFAs (which contain alternating single and double carbon–carbon bonds) also exist naturally.

are both found in vegetable oils. Humans can easily metabolise linoleic acid to form the ω-6 PUFA arachidonic acid (AA). However, endogenous production of the ω-3 PUFAs eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) from α-linolenic acid by humans is so small as to be insignificant.¹ Therefore, the main ω-3 PUFAs EPA and DHA are also considered ‘essential’ and are obtained predominantly from cold water, oily fish such as mackerel and salmon.

PUFAs are biologically important, with roles in phospholipid membrane structure and function, as well as cellular signalling and lipid metabolism. PUFAs can be liberated from phospholipid membranes by the phospholipase A2 family of enzymes and are metabolised by three main pathways: (i) the cyclo-oxygenase (COX) pathway, (ii) the lipoxygenase (LOX) pathway and (iii) the cytochrome P450 monooxygenase pathway. Metabolites derived from the ω-6 PUFA AA, such as prostaglandin (PG) E₂, are typically proinflammatory and have been linked with initiation and progression of colorectal carcinogenesis, whereas those derived from ω-3 PUFAs (eg, PGE₃) are less proinflammatory, and may even have anticancer properties. Excellent reviews are already available on the metabolism of ω-3 PUFAs,² the implications of inhibition of AA metabolism on cell proliferation³ and the effects of ω-6 and ω-3 PUFA metabolites on colorectal carcinogenesis.⁴

In addition to their potential role in the treatment and prevention of CRC, ω-3 PUFAs are believed to have a number of other health benefits. ω-3 PUFAs (usually a mix of EPA and DHA as fish oil) are widely available in a ‘nutraceutical’ formulation. Evidence is strongest for cardiovascular benefits of the ω-3 PUFAs.⁵ There is some evidence of benefit in patients who have had a myocardial infarction^{5,6} but also uncertainty about whether ω-3 PUFAs have anti- or pro-arrhythmogenic properties.^{5,6} ω-3 PUFAs have also been variously

implicated in treatment of inflammatory bowel disease, rheumatoid arthritis, age-related maculopathy and neurodegenerative diseases.⁷

ω-3 PUFAs

- ▶ are ‘essential’ nutrients
- ▶ are found in large quantities in oily fish
- ▶ are also widely available as ‘over-the-counter’ nutraceuticals
- ▶ have broad health benefits, including cardiovascular prophylaxis
- ▶ may have anticancer properties.

MECHANISMS OF THE ANTINEOPLASTIC ACTIVITY OF ω-3 PUFAs

Current knowledge of the antineoplastic activity of ω-3 PUFAs has been comprehensively reviewed elsewhere.^{2,4,8} A brief summary concentrating on more recent findings is provided here. The three main antineoplastic activities of ω-3 PUFAs that have been proposed are (i) modulation of COX activity; (ii) alteration of membrane dynamics and cell surface receptor function and (iii) increased cellular oxidative stress. Recently described novel anti-inflammatory lipid mediators derived from EPA and DHA, including resolvins, protectins and maresins, represent a fourth potential antineoplastic mechanism of action of ω-3 PUFAs.

Modulation of COX activity

COX-2-dependent synthesis of PGs in colorectal mucosa, in particular PGE₂, is believed to play a critical role in the early stages of colorectal carcinogenesis.⁹ EPA can act as an alternative substrate for COX-2, instead of AA, leading to a reduction in formation of pro-tumorigenic ‘2-series’ PGs (eg, PGE₂) in favour of ‘three-series’ PGs (eg, PGE₃) in several cell types including CRC cells (figure 2).^{2,10,11} PGE₃ has anti-tumorigenic activity against human lung cancer cells *in vitro*¹¹ and inhibits pro-tumorigenic PGE₂-EP4 receptor signalling in human CRC cells.¹⁰ Recently, a ‘PGE₂ to PGE₃ switch’ has been demonstrated in colorectal mucosa of rats treated with fish oil.¹² However, reduction of PGE₂ synthesis and/or generation of PGE₃ following EPA treatment remains to be demonstrated in human CRC tissue.

It is known that DHA also binds the substrate channel of COX-2 and inhibits COX-2 activity,¹³ although the biochemistry of DHA metabolism by the COX isoforms and the subsequent production of downstream lipid mediators is poorly understood compared with EPA.²

Alteration of membrane dynamics and cell surface receptor function

There is some evidence that the incorporation of ω-3 PUFAs into cell phospholipid membranes alters the fluidity, structure and/or function of lipid rafts or caveolae.¹⁴ These are sphingolipid- and cholesterol-rich microdomains that float freely in the cell membrane. The localisation of cell surface receptors, such as epidermal growth factor receptor




Figure 2 The effect of eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) without or with concurrent aspirin treatment on cyclo-oxygenase (COX) activity. (A) When arachidonic acid (AA), derived mainly from dietary ω -6 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) linoleic acid in 'westernised' diets, is the main substrate for COX-1 and COX-2, the predominant prostaglandin (PG) species in colorectal tissue is PGE₂. COX-2 is the predominant COX isoform in dysplastic and malignant colorectal tissue.⁹ (B) EPA can act as an alternative substrate for both COX enzymes. It effectively inhibits COX-1 activity but modulates the enzymatic activity of COX-2 so that PGH₃ is the major product.² PGH₃ is then converted to other three-series PGs such as PGE₃ by downstream PG synthases. Although the K_M for EPA is similar to AA, the enzymatic turnover for EPA is approximately threefold less than that of AA so that the overall outcome is reduction in COX-2-dependent PGE₂ synthesis and simultaneous appearance of smaller quantities of PGE₃.² (C) Aspirin irreversibly acetylates COX-1 and COX-2. This leads to effective inhibition of COX-1 activity. However, acetylated COX-2 can metabolise EPA producing 18R-hydroxyeicosapentaenoic acid (HEPE) and 18S-HEPE instead of PGH₃. In turn, HEPEs can be metabolised by 5-lipoxygenase (5-LOX) generating E-series resolvins, in combination with a reduction in tissue PGE₂ levels.^{28–29} D-series resolvins are generated via docosahexaenoic acid metabolism by lipoxygenase-dependent pathways.

(EGFR),¹⁵ in lipid rafts is believed to be crucial for downstream receptor signalling, controlling proliferation and apoptosis.^{16–17}

Increased oxidative stress

ω -3 PUFAs may have an antineoplastic effect through alteration in the cellular redox state and increased oxidative stress. PUFAs are highly peroxidisable, which generates reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as the superoxide radical. Many tumour cells display altered cellular pathways for the handling of ROS, including depletion of the major intracellular antioxidant, glutathione. A subsequent elevation of intracellular ROS levels by ω -3 PUFAs has been hypothesised to induce cancer cell apoptosis.¹⁸ A potential beneficial interaction between ω -3 PUFAs and dietary fibre leading to induction of colonocyte apoptosis has been elegantly studied by Chapkin and colleagues.^{19–21} DHA has been shown to potentiate oxidative stress and colonocyte apoptosis induced by the fermentation product short-chain fatty acid butyrate via both intrinsic and extrinsic apoptosis pathways.^{22–24}

The relative contributions of, and interactions between, these three activities to the anticancer properties of ω -3 PUFAs are unclear. For example, ω -3 PUFAs might alter EGFR function by changing receptor behaviour in lipid rafts but EPA might also decrease *trans*-activation of EGFR by reduction in PGE₂ synthesis.²⁵ Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors by ω -3 PUFAs is also recognised but it is not known whether this occurs directly or via changes in COX metabolism, thereby altering levels of PGD₂ or 15-deoxy PGJ₂.²⁶

Novel anti-inflammatory lipid mediators

In the presence of aspirin, which irreversibly acetylates the COX enzyme, EPA drives COX-2-dependent production of resolvins (Rv) E1 (5S,12R,18R-trihydroxyeicosapentaenoic acid) via metabolism of 18R-hydroxyeicosapentaenoic acid by 5-LOX (figure 2).²⁷ 18R-RvE1 has been detected in plasma of healthy volunteers in ng/ml quantities after aspirin and EPA ingestion.²⁸ More recently, synthesis of the 18S enantiomer of RvE1 has been demonstrated after EPA and aspirin treatment in healthy volunteers.²⁹ The precursors of E-series resolvins may also be produced independently of COX by direct cytochrome P450 metabolism of EPA.³⁰

Metabolism of DHA can produce D-series resolvins via a LOX-dependent pathway to produce 17S-resolvins, or via acetylated-COX-2 leading to 17R-resolvin synthesis.³¹ DHA can also be metabolised by leucocyte-mediated pathways to produce 17S-docosatrienes termed protectins³¹ or by macrophage-mediated pathways to produce 14-LOX-derived products termed maresins.³² These newly described families of EPA- and DHA-derived lipid mediators all share anti-inflammatory and inflammation resolution activity in animal models of acute inflammation.^{32–33}

Cell signalling via these novel lipid mediator families is best characterised for RvE1. Both 18R and 18S enantiomers of RvE1 are ligands for ChemR23 and BLT1 G protein-coupled receptors.²⁹ RvE1 has been shown to induce expression of intestinal alkaline phosphatase in human CRC cells in a ChemR23-dependent manner and abrogate chemically induced colitis in mice.³⁴ It is currently not known whether ω -3 PUFA-derived resolvins exhibit antineoplastic activity. However, it is known that ChemR23-dependent RvE1 signalling inhibits nuclear factor κ B activation, which is a critical regulator of early-stage colorectal carcinogenesis.³⁵

Although ω -3 PUFAs have recognised immunomodulatory activity, including alteration of T-cell activation and cytokine production,³⁶ the contribution of any effect on host antitumour immune surveillance to the anticancer activity of ω -3 PUFAs has received relatively little attention, to date. In vitro studies have suggested that both EPA and DHA may suppress angiogenesis.^{37–38} Whether negative regulation of stromal-epithelial cell signalling and/or the angiogenic response contributes to the antineoplastic activity of ω -3 PUFAs in vivo requires further investigation.

ω-3 PUFAS FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRC Epidemiological observations

A link between dietary ω-3:ω-6 PUFA balance and CRC risk originated from epidemiological studies that observed reduced rates of CRC in Greenland and the Far East compared with Western populations.³⁹ While the results of epidemiological studies have been variable, they have tended, in general, to report a small reduction in CRC risk with increasing dietary fish intake, a view supported by the second expert report into food, nutrition and the prevention of cancer by the World Cancer Research Fund and the American Institute for Cancer Research in 2007.⁴⁰

Interpretation of epidemiological studies has been hampered by heterogeneity in study design. The use of food questionnaires to record dietary intake is subjective, and does not always discriminate between oily fish such as sardines (high in ω-3 PUFAs) and lean fish such as cod (lower ω-3 PUFA content). Moreover, studies do not always discriminate between processed (smoked or salted) and non-processed fish, which may confound observational data on CRC risk.⁴⁰ A meta-analysis of 19 prospective cohort studies was limited by study heterogeneity and wide variability in both frequency of fish intake and the reporting of outcome measures (eg, grams/day, portions/week, ω-3 PUFA g/day).⁴¹ Meta-analysis of CRC incidence data could be performed on only 14 of 19 studies. It demonstrated a small but significant 12% relative risk (RR) reduction in CRC incidence between the highest (1–7 portions or 210–590 g fish per week) and lowest (0–1 portions or 0–100 g fish per week) fish consumption groups. The pooled RR reduction rose to 22% in those studies where the difference in fish consumption between the highest and lowest categories was at least seven portions of fish a month.

Since this meta-analysis, two large observational studies have demonstrated significant inverse relationships between ω-3 PUFA intake/levels and risk of colorectal neoplasia. The first, a case–control study of 1872 patients (929 cases of distal CRC and 943 controls), estimated ω-3 and ω-6 PUFA intake from detailed one-to-one dietary interviews.⁴² The study showed a significant dose-dependent reduction in CRC risk for total ω-3 PUFA intake (OR=0.61 for the highest vs lowest quartile), as well as for EPA and DHA intake individually. In the second study, serum PUFA levels were measured in 861 patients undergoing endoscopy.⁴³ Three hundred and sixty-three patients were diagnosed with a colorectal adenoma(s) and 498 polyp-free patients served as controls. When comparing serum ω-3 PUFA levels (as a percentage of overall PUFA levels), there was a significant reduction in colorectal adenoma risk (OR=0.67) between the first tertile (<1.8% ω-3 PUFA) and the third tertile (>2.3% ω-3 PUFA). By contrast, there was a significant increase in colorectal adenoma risk (OR=1.68) between the first tertile (<58.9%) and third tertile (>62.8%) of serum ω-6 PUFA content.

Animal studies

The differential effect of ω-3 and ω-6 PUFAs has been demonstrated in a number of animal models of early-stage colorectal carcinogenesis relevant to prevention of CRC. These studies are summarised in table 1. Injection of the carcinogens azoxymethane or 1,2-dimethylhydrazine drives development of colorectal aberrant crypt foci (ACF) after 6–8 weeks, before development of colorectal adenomas and adenocarcinomas from 8 months onwards. These lesions have all been widely used as end points for comparison of the effects of ω-3 and ω-6 PUFA supplementation on 'sporadic' colorectal carcinogenesis. Alternatively, the effect of ω-3 and ω-6 PUFAs on adenoma number in the *Apc^{Min/+}* mouse model of familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) has been investigated.

Studies of rodents fed an ω-3 PUFA-supplemented diet versus an equivalent ω-6 PUFA-supplemented diet or low-fat control diet controls (table 1) have consistently reported a 20–50% reduction in chemically induced tumour incidence,^{19 45 47 48 50 52 53 55 56 64} together with a 30–70% reduction in tumour multiplicity, in both carcinogen and *Apc^{Min/+}* mouse studies.^{44 45 50 53 58 59 61 64} Studies using the number of ACFs as the primary end point (table 1) have reported an effect of similar magnitude with ω-3 PUFA supplementation.^{54–56 59} Reddy and Sugie demonstrated that ω-3 PUFA supplementation reduced chemically induced colonic tumour incidence when given in either the pre- or post-initiation phase compared with ω-6 PUFA supplementation.⁴⁶ When given in both phases, tumour incidence was further reduced to half that seen in low-fat control animals. Further studies have demonstrated that these effects are directly related to ω-3 PUFA supplementation rather than simply a reduction in ω-6 PUFA intake.^{53 65 66} While most in vivo studies have compared a mixture of EPA and DHA, attention is drawn to the few studies either directly comparing EPA and DHA,⁶⁰ or using EPA^{45 61 64} or DHA^{49 51 58} as single agents. In general, similar results have been demonstrated with each of the two main ω-3 PUFAs. The effect of fish oil administration on azoxymethane-induced ACF multiplicity in rats has also been tested in combination with the fibre fermentation product butyrate. Crim and colleagues have demonstrated that butyrate decreases ACF number in rats administered fish oil, but not corn oil (rich in ω-6 PUFAs).²⁰

One recent study demonstrated that dietary supplementation with a large amount (6%) of DHA exacerbated colonic inflammation and dysplasia in a mouse model of infection-induced colitis-associated cancer.⁵⁷ The dose–response relationship between ω-3 PUFA intake and intestinal tumorigenesis in animal models of colitis-associated cancer requires further investigation.

Analysis of mucosal PUFA content has consistently demonstrated incorporation of ω-3 PUFA, at the expense of AA content, in rodents

Table 1 In vivo pre-clinical studies of ω -3 PUFA supplementation for the prevention of CRC

Study	Model	N	Treatment groups	Timing/duration	Outcome measure(s)	Results (maximal changes ω -3 PUFA group vs Ctrl unless stated)
Carcinogen-induced models						
Nelson <i>et al</i> ⁴⁴	Sprague-Dawley rat DMH	50	17% MO ₁ vs 17% CO vs Ctrl	7 wk pre- and 17 wk post-DMH	Tumour incidence and multiplicity Incidence of metastases	↔ Tumour incidence, 35% ↓ tumour multiplicity, ↔ incidence of metastases
Minoura <i>et al</i> ⁴⁵	Donryu rat AOM	100	4.7% EPA vs 5% LA	15 wk pre- and 20 wk post-AOM	Tumour incidence Tumour and colonic mucosal PUFA + PGE ₂ content	50% ↓ Tumour incidence, 75% ↓ multiplicity, ↑ EPA & ↓ AA in tumour and mucosa, 80% ↓ tumoural PGE ₂
Reddy ⁴⁶	F344 rat AOM	234	4%–12% MO ₁ vs 24% & 5% CO	38 wk post-AOM	Tumour incidence Tumour PUFA content	50% ↑ Tumour incidence + multiplicity in 24% CO vs all other grps, ↑ tumoural EPA+DHA with MO diets
Deschner <i>et al</i> ⁴⁷	Cf1 mouse AOM	300	4–16% Max EPA vs 4% and 20% CO	2 wks pre- & 1–45 wk post-AOM	Colonic mucosa PI & FAD 1 wk Tumour incidence 45 wk	Dose dependent ↓ FAD, 38% ↓ PI in 16% MO grps vs 20% CO, 50% ↓ tumour incidence 16%/10% MO grps
Reddy ⁴⁸	F344 rat AOM	273	18% MO ₂ vs 23.5% and 5% CO	2 wk pre- & 36 wk post-AOM. Diet crossover 3/7 post-AOM.	Tumour incidence	↓ Tumour incidence and multiplicity when MO vs 23.5% CO given in either initiation or post-initiation phases
Takahashi <i>et al</i> ⁴⁹	F344 rat DMH	97	0.7 ml DHA vs 0.7 ml H ₂ O ig daily	1 day pre- and 4/8/12 wk post-DMH	No. of colonic ACFs Serum chol and PUFA content	60% ↓ ACFs (↓ ACFs seen when DHA given in either initiation or post-initiation phase), 50% ↓ AA, 50% ↑ DHA and EPA, 20% ↓ chol
Hendrickse ⁵⁰	Wistar rat + colon anastomosis vs sham AOM	160	20% FO ₁ vs 20% CO	3 wk pre- and 15/23 wk post-AOM	Colonic mucosal PI Tumour incidence, size, no. Mucosal PUFA content	40% ↓ Tumour incidence, 50% ↓ multiplicity, 60% ↓ peri-anastomotic tumours. ↓ PI ↑ EPA/DHA & 90% ↓ AA in tumour/mucosa
Chang ¹⁹	Sprague-Dawley rat AOM	260	11.5% FO ₂ vs 15% CO ± 6% cellulose vs 6% pectin	1 week pre- & 16/32 wk post-AOM	Colonic tumour incidence Crypt PI/Al/cell differentiation	20% ↓ Tumour incidence, ↔ PI, 20% ↓ Al, ↑ cell differentiation, ↑ Al FO-pectin grps vs all other fat-fibre grps
Takahashi <i>et al</i> ⁵¹	F344 rat AOM	96	1 ml DHA vs 1 ml water ig daily	4/12/36 wk	No. of ACF and tumours Plasma PUFAs and PGE ₂	25% ↓ ACF (wk 4/12) & 35% ↓ tumour multiplicity, 50% ↓ plasma PGE ₂ , 75% ↓ plasma AA, 30 × ↑ EPA, 6 × ↑ DHA (wk 36)
Good <i>et al</i> ⁵²	F344 rats AOM	161	18% MO ₃ vs 5% and 23% CO	5% CO 12 wk post-injection then 6–12 wk experimental diet	No. ACF No. + size colonic tumours	15–20% ↓ ACF vs both CO grps, 25% ↓ tumour incidence but 50% ↑ tumour size MO vs CO grps (both NS)
Singh ⁵³	F344 rat AOM	144	21% FO ₃ vs 24% CO vs Ctrl	1/12/36 wk post-AOM	Tumour incidence and multiplicity	FO grps 30% ↓ tumour incidence and multiplicity. 23.5% CO grps 33% ↑ tumour incidence and 90% ↑ multiplicity
Latham ⁵⁴	Wistar rat DMH	68	8% FO ₄ vs 8% CO	FO vs CO 24/48hrs post-DMH then 18 wk CO	Crypt cell Al & PI (24/48 h) No. ACF (18 wk)	↑ Al and ↓ PI at 24/48 h, 50% ↓ ACF at 18 wk
Rao <i>et al</i> ⁵⁵	F344 rat AOM	360	17% FO ₃ vs 5% CO vs 20% mixed lipids	CO 2 wk pre-AOM Experimental diets for 8–38 wk post-AOM	No. ACF, tumour incidence Colonic mucosa Al	44% ↓ ACFs, 30% ↓ tumour incidence, 60% ↓ tumour multiplicity 2 × ↑ Al vs 20% mixed lipid diet
Crim <i>et al</i> ²⁰	Sprague-Dawley rat AOM	80	11.5% FO ₂ vs 15% CO ± 5% butyrate	3 wk pre- and 8 wk post-AOM	No. ACF, colonic mucosa Al	↑ ACFs CO + butyrate vs all grps, ↓ large ACFs and ↑ Al in FO + butyrate grps vs FO alone or control
Vanamala <i>et al</i> ¹²	Sprague-Dawley rat AOM	20	15% FO ₅ + pectin vs 15% CO + cellulose	32 days pre- and 31 wk post-AOM	Colonic mucosa Al Colonic mucosa PGE ₂ /PGE ₃ β-catenin and PPARδ expression	2 × ↑ Al and 78% ↓ mucosal PGE ₂ , ↑ PGE ₃ (PGE ₃ not detected in CO group), ↓ β-catenin and PPARδ expression
Moreira <i>et al</i> ⁵⁶	Wistar rat DMH	20	18% FO ₆ vs 18% SOYO	2 wk pre- & 36 wk post-DMH	No. ACFs, adenoma incidence Colon/liver PUFA content	47% ↓ ACF, 80% ↓ adenoma incidence, 5 × ↑ ω -3 PUFA in colon + liver, 60–75% ↓ n-6 PUFA in colon + liver

Table 1 continued

Study	Model	N	Treatment groups	Timing/duration	Outcome measure(s)	Results (maximal changes ω -3 PUFA group vs Ctrl unless stated)
Woodworth <i>et al</i> ⁶⁷	SMAD3 ^{-/-} mouse Helicobacter induced colitis	122	0.75%–6% DHA vs 6% SAFO vs 7% CO vs Ctrl	1. 8 wk pre-infection 2. 8 wk pre- and 4 wk post-infection	Colon inflammation/dysplasia Hepatic PUFA content Body wt	↑ Inflammation/dysplasia 2.25–6% DHA vs Ctrl, 5×↑ hepatic DHA content, 85% less wt gain and 10–18% ↓ 4wk survival in 6% DHA grp vs CO/SAFO/Ctrl
<i>Apc</i> mouse models						
Oshima <i>et al</i> ⁶⁸	<i>Apc</i> ^{Δ716}	20	3% DHA vs Ctrl	7 wk	Colonic polyp no. and size	69% ↓ Polyp no. in female mice only, ↔ polyp no. in male mice, ↓ polyp size, more marked in female mice
Paulsen <i>et al</i> ⁶⁹	<i>Apc</i> ^{Min/+}	51	0.4–2.5% FO ₂ vs 12% CO	17 wk	No. ACFs and adenomas	48–66% ↓ no. and 26–38% ↓ size of tumours, ↓ ACFs in female mice on 2.5% diet only
Petrick <i>et al</i> ⁶⁰	<i>Apc</i> ^{Min/+}	77	3.1% EPA vs 3.1% DHA vs Ctrl	7 wk	Tumour size + no. Mucosal PUFA + PGE ₂	30%/50% ↓ Tumour no. DHA/EPA grp vs Ctrl, 15% ↓ tumour size EPA/DHA grp vs Ctrl, 50% ↓ PGE ₂ in EPA/DHA grp vs Ctrl
Petrick <i>et al</i> ⁶¹	<i>Apc</i> ^{Min/+}	20	1.5% EPA vs 1.5% AA vs Ctrl	8 wk	Tumour size + no. Mucosal PUFA + PGE ₂	54–68% ↓ Tumour no. & 18% ↓ tumour size EPA vs Ctrl and AA grps. 74% ↓ mucosal PGE ₂ EPA vs AA grp
Bose <i>et al</i> ⁶²	<i>Apc</i> ^{Min/+}	95	12% MO ₄ vs 20% mixed lipid diet	9 wk	Tumour no. + size Tumour PI, AI, PGE ₂ , β-catenin	↔ Tumour no, 50% ↓ no. tumours >2 cm, 3.7× ↑ AI, ↔ PI, 89% ↓ PGE ₂ , 62% ↓ β-catenin
Fini <i>et al</i> ⁶³	<i>Apc</i> ^{Min/+}	48	2.5% and 5% EPA vs Ctrl	12 wk	Mucosal PUFA + COX-2 Polyp no. + size, body weight	72%/79% ↓ Polyp size (2.5%/5% EPA grp) ↓ COX-2 and ↑ EPA in EPA grps, ↓ weight Ctrl grp

↔, no significant difference; ↓, decrease; ↑, increase.

AA, arachidonic acid; ACF, aberrant crypt foci; AI, apoptosis index; AOM, azoxymethane; chol, cholesterol; CO, corn oil; Ctrl, control; CRC, colorectal cancer; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; DMH, 1,2-dimethylhydrazine; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FAD, focal area of dysplasia; FO, fish oil (FO₁ = 18% EPA/15% DHA; FO₂ = 18% EPA/8% DHA; FO₃ = 31% ω -3 PUFA; FO₄ = 18% EPA/20% DHA; FO₅ = 54% EPA/30% DHA); ig, intragastric; LA, linoleic acid; MaxEPA, 18% EPA + 12% DHA; MO, menhaden oil (MO₁, 16% EPA + 11% DHA; MO₂, 2.4% EPA + 11% DHA; MO₃ = unspecified ω -3 PUFA content; MO₄ = 13% EPA/72% DHA); PG, prostaglandin; PI, proliferation index; PPAR, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SAFO, safflower oil; SOYO, soybean oil; wk, weeks; wt, weight.

supplemented with ω -3 PUFA compared with controls,^{45 49 50} together with a reduction in mucosal PGE₂,^{12 45 60–62} reduction in mucosal cell proliferation^{47 50 54} and an increase in mucosal cell apoptosis^{12 19 20 54 62} (table 1).

ω -3 PUFAS IN THE TREATMENT OF CRC

In vitro studies

Many in vitro studies have explored the antineoplastic activity of ω -3 PUFAs against human CRC cells, with both EPA and DHA treatment being associated with reduced cellular proliferation^{67–74} and increased apoptosis.^{68 69 72 75} It remains unclear from the few studies comparing EPA and DHA whether there is any significant difference in anti-proliferative and/or pro-apoptotic activity. Calviello *et al* reported a more pronounced reduction in cell number with EPA than with DHA,⁷¹ whereas Chen and Istfan have reported a lower cell number with DHA than with EPA, and that only DHA induced apoptosis.⁷² Both ω -3 PUFAs have been shown to reduce COX-2 expression and PGE₂ production.^{10 69 71 76} ω -3 PUFAs also reduce cell growth of COX-negative CRC cells, suggesting that the anti-CRC activity of ω -3-PUFA occurs via both COX-dependent and independent mechanisms.⁶⁷ Increased membrane fluidity⁷³ and lipid peroxidation,^{73 74} as well as reduced vascular endothelial cell growth factor, β -catenin, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ (PPAR γ), BCL-2, matrix metalloproteinase levels and reduced extracellular signal-related kinase-1/2 signalling, have all been demonstrated in human CRC cell lines treated with ω -3 PUFAs.^{17 69 71 72 75 77}

Animal studies

The effect of ω -3 and ω -6 PUFA supplementation on the growth of human CRC cell lines grown as xenograft tumours in immunocompromised mice has been studied widely (table 2). There has been a consistent 40–60% reduction in xenograft size in rodents supplemented with ω -3 PUFAs compared with controls.^{67 71 81} Similar findings have been reported for studies of rodent CRC cell allograft tumours (table 2).^{65 78 79 82 84} Similar to data from chemoprevention models, the ω -3 PUFA content of tumours increased, at the expense of AA, in animals supplemented with ω -3 PUFA,^{67 79 80 82} together with a reduction in expression of COX-2,^{65 71} reduction in tissue PGE₂ levels⁷¹ and a reduction in tumour vascularity.⁷¹ Conjugated EPA (figure 1) has been shown to have antitumour activity against DLD-1 human CRC cell tumours in nude mice, which was associated with increased lipid peroxidation.⁸³

Models of CRC metastasis

Fewer preclinical studies have investigated the effect of ω -3 PUFA supplementation on the development of metastatic disease. Two studies have modelled liver metastasis by injection of CRC cells into the portal vein or superior mesenteric vein, and a third by injection into the spleen. Iwamoto *et al*

Table 2 In vivo pre-clinical studies of ω -3 PUFA supplementation for the treatment of CRC

Study	Model	N	Treatment groups	Timing/duration	Outcome measure(s)	Results (changes are for ω -3 PUFA group vs control group unless stated)
Cannizzo ⁷⁸	BALB/c mouse, CT26 CRC cells into 1) descending colon 2) tail vein	330	5% and 25% MO ₁ vs 5% and 25% SAFO	30 days pre- and 28 days post-injection	1. Tumour size 2. No. lung mets	3–5× ↓ Tumour size MO vs SAFO grps, ↑ lung mets in 25% SAFO grp vs all other grps
Iigo <i>et al</i> ⁷⁹	Co 26Lu CRC cells subcut	230	0.1/0.2 ml EPA vs DHA vs OA vs LA ig daily	Day 5–21 post-injection	Tumour size, no. lung mets	EPA/DHA grps dose dependent ↓ tumour size and ↓ lung mets, ↑ EPA/DHA and ↑ AA in plasma and tumour
Calder <i>et al</i> ⁸⁰	Nude mouse	90	20% CO/SAFO/MO ₂ /OO vs 2.5% CO Ctrl	3 wk pre- and 2 wk post-injection	Plasma/tumour PUFA	65–74% ↑ Tumour size in high-fat grps except MO, ↑ tumour EPA/DHA + 57% ↓ AA in MO grp
Boudreau <i>et al</i> ⁸¹	HT-29 CRC cells subcut	102	18% MO ₃ vs 20% SAFO	2 wk pre- and 3 wk post-injection	Tumour size and PUFA content	50% ↓ Tumour size, ↑ tumour EPA and 50% ↓ tumour AA
Kato <i>et al</i> ⁸¹	HCT116 CRC cells subcut	24	8% and 24% CO 16% MO ₄ vs 16% GAO	53 days post-injection	Tumour size Tumour PUFA content	Tumour wt vs 8% CO tumour wt., 87% ↓ (GAO), 54% ↓ (MO), 36% ↑ (24% CO)
Togni <i>et al</i> ⁸²	WDR CRC cells subcut	78	1 g/kg body wt. MaxEPA vs CO vs Ctrl	10 wk pre- and 2 wk post-injection	Tumour wt and PUFA content Cachexia biomarkers (serum glucose, chol, lactate, and liver/muscle glycogen)	40/60% ↓ Tumour wt vs CO/Ctrl, 63/42% ↓ tumour AA/EPA vs CO/Ctrl, ↓ cachexia biomarkers
Calviello <i>et al</i> ⁷¹	Nude mouse	45	1 g/kg body wt EPA vs DHA vs H ₂ O Ctrl ig	1 wk pre- and 4 wk post-injection	Tumour size, AI, PI, MVD Tumour COX-2 expression Tumour PGE ₂	No difference in effect EPA vs DHA, EPA/DHA vs Ctrl both showed 55% ↓, tumour size, 50% ↓ PI, 1.5× ↑ AI, ~50% ↓ MVD, 40% ↓ PGE ₂ , 30% ↓ COX2 and ↓ VEGF
Tsuzuki ⁸³	Nude mouse	40	50 mg 20% CLA/EPA/CEPA daily vs 50 mg SAFO Ctrl	4 wk post-injection	Tumour wt, DNA fragmentation Membrane phospholipid peroxidation	Tumour wt ↓ 80% CEPA vs Ctrl, DNA fragmentation ↑ 4× CEPA vs Ctrl, ↑ phospholipid peroxidation CEPA vs all grps
Pizato <i>et al</i> ⁸⁴	Walker 256 tumour cells subcut	60	20% FO ₁ vs 20% SUNO vs Ctrl	8 wk pre- and 2 wk post-injection	Tumour wt Lipid peroxidation products Cachexia biomarkers (blood glucose, TAG, chol)	60% ↓ Tumour wt, 34% ↑ lipid peroxidation products, ↓ cachexia biomarkers
Mund <i>et al</i> ⁸⁵	Walker 256 tumour cells subcut	70	1 g/kg FO ₂ vs CO vs Ctrl	70 days pre- and 14 days post-injection	Tumour weight, AI and COX-2 Lipid peroxidation products Plasma PGE ₂	Tumour weight 50% ↓ (FO) and 30% ↑ (CO), AI 4× ↑ (FO) and 50% ↓ (CO), COX-2 45% ↓ (FO) and 50% ↑ (CO), Plasma PGE ₂ ↓ (FO) and ↔ (CO), FO 3× ↑ lipid peroxidation products

↑, increase; ↓, decrease; ↔, no significant difference.

AA, arachidonic acid; AI, apoptosis index; chol, cholesterol; CO, corn oil; CRC, colorectal cancer; Ctrl, control; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FO, fish oil (FO₁ = 13% EPA/20% DHA, FO₂ = 18% EPA/12% DHA); GAO, golden algae oil (DHA only); grp, group; grps, groups; ig, intragastric; LA, linoleic acid; MaxEPA, 18% EPA/12% DHA; mets, metastases; MO, menhaden oil (MO₁, MO₂, MO₃, MO₄ ω -3 PUFA content unspecified, MO₂ 11% EPA + 5.2% DHA); MVD, microvessel density; OA, oleic acid; OO, olive oil; PI, proliferation index; SAFO, safflower oil; subcut, subcutaneous; CLA, conjugated linoleic acid; CEPA, conjugated EPA; PG, prostaglandin; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; SUNO, sunflower oil; TAG, triacylglycerol; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor; wt, weight.

demonstrated a 40% reduction in number and 44% reduction in size of liver metastases in rats supplemented with EPA (9.5% w/w as the ethyl ester) compared with controls. This was associated with a reduction in the tumour cell proliferation index (PI), but no change in the apoptosis index (AI), and downregulation of vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1). Rats fed a diet high in ω -6 PUFA showed a threefold increase in number and 1.5-fold increase in the size of metastases.⁸⁵ A more recent study of an EPA/DHA mixture (1.96% fish oil; EPA:DHA ratio 3:2) demonstrated a 70% reduction in incidence and 50% reduction in size of hepatic and extra-hepatic metastases, as well as a 30% reduction in tumours expressing VCAM-1.⁸⁶

By contrast, Griffini *et al* demonstrated a 10-fold increase in liver metastases in rats supplemented with an EPA/DHA mixture (20% fish oil v/w; EPA:DHA ratio approximately 3:2) compared with 5% soybean controls, and a fourfold increase compared with those animals supplemented with 20% safflower oil (high in ω -6 PUFA).⁸⁷ This was associated with an increase in liver:body weight ratio and an increase in mitotic tumour cells in the ω -3 PUFA group. These results are difficult to reconcile with the other preclinical data, but the 20% fish oil preparation used in this study is a much higher dose than that used in any other in vivo study, and is far in excess of any clinically attainable dose in humans.

Two further studies have modelled the effect of ω -3 PUFA supplementation on the development of pulmonary metastases, by measuring lung colonisation after injection of CRC cells into the tail vein.^{78 79} One demonstrated that supplementation of either EPA or DHA (0.1 ml aliquots daily of 98% pure EPA or DHA ethyl ester) was associated with significantly fewer lung colonisations at 12 days compared with controls (54% and 58% fewer colonies, respectively).⁷⁹ The other study found that while supplementation with high-fat safflower oil (24.7% by weight for 30 days preinoculation) caused a fivefold increase in the number of pulmonary colonies, there was no difference in low (5%) or high (24.7%) ω -3 PUFA intake on the number of metastases compared with controls.⁷⁸

PHARMACOLOGY, SAFETY AND TOLERABILITY OF ω -3 PUFAS IN HUMANS

Bioavailability of ω -3 PUFA preparations

The bioavailability of ω -3 PUFAs is highly variable and is dependent on the form of the ω -3 PUFA, the mode of administration and the timing of administration—for example, with food. Dietary PUFAs are absorbed primarily in the small intestine. ω -3 PUFAs in the free fatty acid (FFA) form are absorbed more efficiently than those in the triglyceride or ethyl ester form.^{88 89} Lawson and Hughes demonstrated >95% absorption of an oral dose of EPA and DHA (as measured by plasma PUFA content) when given to healthy volunteers in the FFA form, whereas absorption in the triglyceride and ethyl ester forms was approximately 65%

Table 3 Clinical studies of ω -3 PUFA treatment on colorectal mucosa biomarkers and polyp number

Study	Design	N	ω -3 PUFA dose	Treatment duration	Primary outcome	Tissue PUFA content	Side effects (at highest given dose)	Results
Mucosal biomarker studies								
Anti <i>et al</i> ⁹³	R, DB, PC 'sporadic' adenoma	24	7.7 g FO ₁ daily	12 wk	PI	↑EPA and ↓AA	Not reported. No dropouts in FO grp	62% ↓PI
Bartoli <i>et al</i> ⁹⁴	R, DB, PC 'sporadic' adenoma	40	2.5–7.7 g FO ₁ daily	30 days	PI	Dose dependent ↑ EPA/DHA & ↓AA	Not reported.	Dose dependent 40–70% ↓PI
Bartram <i>et al</i> ⁹⁵	DB crossover trial Healthy volunteer	12	4.4 g FO ₂ daily	4 wk +4 wk	PI	ω -3 PUFA ↔ ω -6 PUFA ↓ (NS)	Mild fish odour (9/12)	16% ↓PI and 35% ↓ mucosal PGE ₂
Anti <i>et al</i> ⁹⁶	R, DB, PC 'sporadic' adenoma	60	2.5–7.7 g FO ₁ daily	30 days	PI	Dose dependent ↑ EPA/DHA and ↓AA	2/15 Dropout, 5/15 fish odour, 1/7 diarrhoea	Dose independent 50–70% ↓PI
Huang <i>et al</i> ⁹⁷	R, DB, PC Dukes A/B CRC or severely dysplastic polyp	27	7.2 g FO ₃ daily	6 months	PI	↑EPA/DHA & ↓AA	No SEs observed	71% ↓PI (only in patients with high baseline PI)
Gee <i>et al</i> ⁹⁸	R, PC, single blind Awaiting CRC surgery	51	2.4 g FO ₄ daily	7–21 days pre- and 8–12 wk post-surgery	PI	↑EPA/DHA, ↑ ω -3: ω -6 ratio	1 Dropout due to capsule intolerance (grp not stated)	No effect on PI at surgery or 12 wk post-op
Cheng <i>et al</i> ⁹⁹	R, C, open label Previous CRC/adenoma	41	Dietary advice +500 mg FO ₅ daily	2 years	PI/Al	Not assessed	Not reported	PI ↔, 50% ↑Al, 50% ↑ Bax, COX-2 ↔
Courtney <i>et al</i> ¹⁰⁰	R, single blind 'sporadic' adenoma	30	EPA 2 g daily as free fatty acid	3 months	PI/Al	↑EPA/DHA & ↓AA	1/15 Dropout each grp 3/15 mild diarrhoea + 2/15 abdo pain	20% ↓PI, 7% ↑Al
West <i>et al</i> ⁶⁴	R, DB, PC 'sporadic' adenoma	152	EPA 1 g/2 g daily as free fatty acid	6 months	PI/Al	↑EPA/DHA & ↓AA	Not reported	13% ↓PI, 57% ↑Al (NS)
Polyp end-point studies								
Akedo <i>et al</i> ¹⁰¹	Open-label FAP	5	Dietary advice and 2.8 g FO ₆ daily	1–2 years, ?trial stopped early	Polyp no.	Not assessed	1× Diarrhoea 1× itching	Polyp no. ↔, 1× CRC, 1× lung Ca
West <i>et al</i> ¹⁰²	R, DB, PC FAP	58	EPA 2 g as free fatty acid	6 months	No. rectal polyps	↑EPA, DHA & AA ↔	1× hypermenorrhoea ↑Nausea EPA grp (31% vs 10%). Other SEs ↔	1× endometrial Ca 22% ↓ polyp no, 30% ↓ polyp size

↑, increase; ↓, decrease; ↔, no change.

AA, arachidonic acid; abdo, abdominal; Al, apoptosis index; Ca, cancer; C, controlled; CRC, colorectal cancer; DB, double blind; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; FAP, familial adenomatous polyposis; FO₁ = 54%EPA/46% DHA as ethyl esters; FO₂ = 48%EPA/44%DHA, as triglycerides; FO₃ = 55%EPA/30%DHA/15% other ω -3 PUFAs; FO₄ = 58% EPA/42%DHA; FO₅ = 20%EPA/80%DHA; FO₆ = 21%EPA/79%DHA; grp, group; mo, month; NS, not statistically significant; PC, placebo controlled; PG, prostaglandin; PI, proliferation index; PUFA, polyunsaturated fatty acid; R, randomised; SE, side effects; wk, weeks.

and 21%, respectively.⁸⁹ These differences are believed to be due to the ability of enterocytes to directly absorb ω -3 PUFAs in the FFA form, whereas absorption of those in the ethyl ester and triglyceride forms requires prior hydrolysis by pancreatic lipase.⁸⁹ Pancreatic lipase hydrolyses fatty acids from the three positions of the triglyceride backbone at different rates.⁹⁰ Bioavailability is therefore further complicated by variation in the distribution of fatty acids in the *sn*-1, *sn*-2 and *sn*-3 positions in fish oils from marine mammals, marine fish and processed fish oil supplements.^{91–92} Co-absorption with other dietary fats, absorption as micelles rather than direct absorption through the intestinal wall and the variable action of gastric lipase and gastric absorption can also affect bioavailability. These variables make it difficult to obtain direct comparisons between dose and outcomes in different clinical (and animal) studies.

Duration of ω -3 PUFA supplementation

There is considerable variation in the duration of ω -3 PUFA supplementation in clinical studies, ranging from 4 weeks to 6 months. These are summarised in table 3. Studies have demonstrated that maximal incorporation of orally administered ω -3 PUFA into plasma and colorectal mucosa occurs within 3 weeks.^{103–104} Hillier *et al* gave fish oil (3.2 g EPA+2.2 g DHA daily) to 11 patients with active inflammatory bowel disease and took colonic biopsy specimens at 3, 6 and 12 weeks.¹⁰⁴ After 3 weeks, mucosal EPA content had increased sevenfold and DHA content had doubled. These levels were maintained but did not increase further throughout the 12 weeks' study period. By contrast, AA content did not fall significantly until after 6 weeks of treatment. Colonic mucosal PGE₂ content fell to 45% of baseline values after 3 weeks of fish oil supplementation, and fell only slightly further to 43% of the baseline value at 12 weeks. Similarly, in eight healthy volunteers taking 1.4–4.2 g daily EPA for 12 weeks, a significant, maximal increase in EPA content of plasma, platelets, neutrophils, monocytes and lymphocytes was observed at 2 weeks, whereas AA content fell significantly only by week 12.¹⁰³

These results support the hypothesis that the increased mucosal EPA and DHA content, rather than the reduction in ω -6 PUFA content, contributes to the reduction in PGE₂ levels. Tissue ω -3 PUFA incorporation in humans plateaus after a few weeks. The optimal duration of ω -3 PUFA treatment required to produce maximal antineoplastic effects remains to be established.

Adverse effects

ω -3 PUFA formulations are generally very well tolerated. Table 3 summarises the adverse effects reported in human studies of the effect of ω -3 PUFA supplementation on colorectal mucosal parameters and colorectal polyps. Study withdrawal due to EPA intolerance in a recent phase III trial of EPA-FFA 2 g daily was only 3.4%.¹⁰² In a previous phase II trial with the same preparation,

the commonest gastrointestinal adverse event, diarrhoea, was associated with the EPA 2 g daily dose of EPA (14.0%), but not the 1 g dose (2%, cf. placebo 7.8%), suggesting dose de-escalation as a potential strategy for maintaining ω -3 PUFA treatment if diarrhoea does occur.⁶⁴

The excellent safety and tolerability profile of ω -3 PUFA supplementation is supported in large studies of the effects of ω -3 PUFAs in cardiovascular disease. In the GISSI prevention study, 2836 patients were given 0.85 g ω -3 PUFA for 3.5 years.¹⁰⁵ The commonest side effects were gastrointestinal disturbance (4.9%) and nausea (1.4%). Only 3.8% of patients discontinued the drug. Gastrointestinal symptoms are typically mild diarrhoea, indigestion, belching or a 'fishy' taste.^{106 107} In a study using a higher dose of 6.9 g EPA+DHA daily for 6 months, gastrointestinal disturbance was reported by 7% of 275 patients, but also by 8% of patients taking placebo (corn-oil) capsules.¹⁰⁸

For more detail, the reader is recommended to review concise overviews of the safety and toxicology of EPA and DHA by Kim *et al*⁹² and Lien.¹⁰⁹

Risk of bleeding

ω -3 PUFAs have antiplatelet activity, thought to be due to a reduction in COX-1-dependent production of thromboxane A₂ from AA in platelets, leading to reduced platelet activation and aggregation.¹¹⁰ However, the concern that ω -3 PUFAs may increase bleeding has not been realised clinically, even when co-administered with aspirin. A recent review highlighted that in over 4000 patients taking ω -3 PUFAs undergoing carotid endarterectomy, percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty or coronary artery bypass grafting, excess bleeding was virtually non-existent.^{106 111}

Environmental contaminants

While it has been suggested that fish oils may contain potentially toxic levels of heavy metals (eg, mercury), environmental toxins and fat-soluble vitamins, all of which can accumulate in fish, there is no evidence to support this in practice.^{106 112} The extraction and purification methods used in the production of fish oil supplements result in negligible or undetectable levels of such toxins,^{106 112} and so fish oil preparations given in daily doses up to 2 g/day are classified by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as 'generally regarded as safe.'

TRANSLATIONAL STUDIES OF HUMAN COLORECTAL BIOMARKERS AND ω -3 PUFA CONTENT

Mucosal biomarker studies

The long natural history of colorectal carcinogenesis in humans precludes the use of CRC incidence as a primary end point in clinical intervention studies and a 3–5 year intervention period is generally required for adenoma (polyp) prevention trials. Therefore many investigators have measured

the effect of ω -3 PUFA administration on putative mucosal biomarkers of future CRC risk, such as epithelial cell mitosis frequency in microdissected whole crypts or immunohistochemistry for the Ki-67 'proliferation' antigen. The design of such studies and their main findings are summarised in table 3.

We identified eight studies of oral ω -3 PUFA supplementation in patients with previous 'sporadic' colorectal adenomas (table 3), in which colorectal mucosal biopsy specimens were obtained at endoscopy before and after ω -3 PUFA supplementation. Two studies used EPA-FFA, whereas the others used a fish oil mixture containing EPA and DHA in varying proportions in either the ethyl ester or triglyceride form. In six of eight studies a 13–70% reduction in mucosal epithelial cell proliferation index was observed compared with the respective placebo group.^{64 93 94 96 97 100} In those studies comparing different doses of ω -3 PUFA, a dose-dependent reduction in the PI was observed.^{94 96}

By contrast, two studies demonstrated no change in PI following administration of 2.4 g ω -3 PUFA daily for 12 weeks⁹⁸ or low-dose ω -3 PUFA (400 mg DHA+100 mg EPA/day) for 1–2 years.⁹⁹ The latter study did demonstrate a 50% increase in AI and increased expression of the pro-apoptotic protein BAX. Although in vivo preclinical work suggests that ω -3 PUFAs may have anti-CRC activity via an increase in apoptosis, AI has been measured in only two other studies, which demonstrated a significant increase in AI after 3–6 months' treatment with EPA 2 g daily.^{64 100} One further study noted a more modest 16% reduction in PI after 28 days supplementation in healthy volunteers.⁹⁵ In those studies measuring mucosal PUFA content, significant increases in mucosal DHA and EPA, together with a reduction in mucosal AA, were observed in all but one study (table 3).

Polyp prevention studies

Patients with FAP have a heterozygous germline mutation in the *Adenomatous Polyposis Coli* (*APC*) gene. Following somatic loss of the second *APC* allele, multiple colorectal adenomas develop, and prophylactic colectomy is advised in order to prevent CRC. Patients who undergo total colectomy with ileorectal anastomosis require regular endoscopic surveillance of the remaining rectum, which remains at risk of further polyps. Studies of potential chemopreventative agents in these patients allow polyp size and number to be used as end points over a relatively short (6–12 months) period of time.

A recent phase III randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial investigated treatment with EPA-FFA 2 g daily for 6 months in patients (n=58) with FAP who had previously undergone colectomy and ileorectal anastomosis.¹⁰² Rectal polyp multiplicity and size were measured by blinded video-endoscopic assessment of a tattooed area at baseline and at 6 months. There was a 22.4% reduction in polyp number in the EPA

group compared with placebo ($p=0.01$), a similar magnitude of reduction to that seen with the selective COX-2 inhibitor celecoxib.¹¹³ In keeping with previous studies, a significant increase in mucosal EPA levels was seen. The demonstration of chemopreventative efficacy of EPA-FFA in patients with FAP has led to funding of a randomised, placebo-controlled trial of EPA-FFA in patients who have had 'sporadic' colorectal adenomas removed and who require further colonoscopic surveillance (http://www.eme.ac.uk/funded_projects/).

Only one further polyp prevention study was identified.¹⁰¹ In this small study, five patients who had previously undergone colectomy for FAP or had >30 colorectal polyps removed endoscopically were given 2.2 g DHA+0.6 g EPA daily for 1–2 years.¹⁰¹ No significant change in polyp number was seen. One patient developed proximal CRC, a second patient developed lung cancer and a third patient developed endometrial cancer before termination of the study. The published report does not make it clear whether this study was stopped prematurely and gives no indication of the planned sample size for the trial.

CLINICAL TRIALS OF ω -3 PUFAS IN PATIENTS WITH CRC

Single agent treatment

Despite strong in vitro and animal model evidence for direct anti-CRC activity of ω -3 PUFAs, no published studies have yet investigated the anti-neoplastic effect of ω -3 PUFAs in patients with primary or metastatic CRC. The authors are currently recruiting patients into a phase II trial of the safety and efficacy of oral EPA-FFA 2 g daily in patients awaiting surgery for colorectal cancer liver metastases (CRCLM) (NCT01070355). Primary tissue biomarker end points (tumour cell proliferation, apoptosis and angiogenesis) are supported by mechanistic analyses investigating whether a PGE₂-PGE₃ switch occurs in CRC tissue. The authors are aware of only one further trial, registered on <http://clinicaltrials.org/> (NCT00942292), investigating the effects of a parenteral fish oil preparation on tumour angiogenesis in CRCLM. ω -3 fish oils are also being investigated for the treatment of advanced prostatic cancer (NCT00996749), and in the prevention and treatment of breast cancer (NCT01282580, NCT00627276).

Adjuvant therapy with traditional chemoradiotherapy

DHA has been shown to potentiate the proapoptotic properties of 5-fluorouracil against several CRC cell lines, with a reduction in the expression of the antiapoptotic proteins BCL-2 and BCL-X_L.¹¹⁴ Moreover, the addition of a fish oil mixture (55% EPA, 45% DHA) to 5-fluorouracil synergistically reduces CRC cell proliferation.¹¹⁵ Similar results have been demonstrated in rodent CRC models.¹¹⁶ These findings complement those of combination fish oil treatment with other chemotherapeutic drugs in vitro and in vivo for other solid tumour and haematological malignancies.¹¹⁷

We identified only one published human study of the anticancer effects of combining ω -3 PUFAs with chemotherapy. A phase II study evaluated addition of 1.8 g DHA daily to an anthracycline-based chemotherapy regimen for metastatic breast cancer. Patients were dichotomised into two groups based on high or low DHA incorporation into plasma phospholipids. The high DHA-incorporation group had a significantly longer time to disease progression (median 8.7 months vs 3.5 months) and overall survival (median 34 months vs 18 months).¹¹⁸

There are a small number of in vitro studies demonstrating that both EPA and DHA potentiate the cytotoxicity of ionising radiation in various cell lines, including CRC cells.¹¹⁹ A retrospective review of 143 patients, who had been prescribed ω -3 fish oil (0.9 g EPA, 1.5 g DHA daily) for 18 weeks following radiotherapy for brain metastases, found reduced radionecrosis (3.5% vs 14.1%) and improved overall survival (median survival 88.8 weeks vs 54.1 weeks) compared with the 262 patients who had not been prescribed fish oil.¹²⁰ While un-blinded and non-randomised, this study nevertheless demonstrated a clear survival advantage linked to ω -3 PUFA supplementation.

One further study demonstrated improved tolerability of chemoradiation for oesophageal cancer in patients taking ω -3 PUFA supplements, with a reduction in the incidence of grade 2–4 neutropenia, diarrhoea and pharyngitis compared with those not taking supplements.¹²¹ There is a clear need for further human studies to evaluate the role of ω -3 PUFA supplementation in improving the efficacy and/or tolerability of chemotherapy and radiotherapy. Two ongoing studies are investigating the combination of fish oil (either enteral or parenteral) and gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer (study identifiers NCT01019382 and JPRN-UMIN000003658). A DHA-paclitaxel compound is also being investigated for the treatment of metastatic prostate (NCT00024414), pancreatic (NCT00024375) and CRC (NCT00024401).

ω -3 PUFAs as nutritional treatment

While the potential anti-CRC benefits of ω -3 PUFAs as either single agent or combination treatment are yet to be established in human studies, the role of ω -3 PUFA supplementation for preventing cancer-related cachexia has been more widely investigated.

There have been two systematic reviews of the effects of ω -3 PUFAs on cancer cachexia and outcome. A Cochrane review of the benefit of EPA for treating cancer cachexia identified 59 potential trials, of which only five were randomised controlled trials (RCTs).¹²² Patients in these studies generally had pancreatic, gastrointestinal or lung cancers. No trial has studied CRC patients exclusively. The Cochrane review concluded that there were insufficient data to determine whether EPA supplementation was beneficial in the treatment of cancer cachexia, and identified a clear need for

a large well conducted RCT. Four of the five trials demonstrated either stabilisation of weight loss, or weight gain, following EPA supplementation, but this was no greater than the weight changes associated with the isocaloric control diet. However, one study did demonstrate a significant dose-dependent association between EPA supplementation and weight gain when taking into consideration the poor tolerance of up to 18 capsules/day by some patients.¹²³ Of the studies reporting survival data, one demonstrated improved survival in the EPA-supplemented group versus placebo (median 390 days vs 165 days),¹²⁴ but neither of the two studies comparing EPA supplementation with an isocaloric control intervention demonstrated a survival benefit of EPA.^{123 125}

Colomer *et al* published a systematic review including the above RCTs and an additional 12 prospective observational studies.¹²⁶ Many of these studies were in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer. They concluded that there was *fair* evidence (grade B) to recommend ω -3 PUFA supplementation in patients with solid upper gastrointestinal and pancreatic tumours, with the benefits of increased weight, appetite and quality of life, as well as lower postoperative morbidity.

We have identified only one published (uncontrolled) study in patients with CRC. Treatment of 23 patients with advanced CRC awaiting chemotherapy was started with oral supplement drinks containing a total of 2.18 g EPA+0.92 g DHA per day for 4 weeks before and 5 weeks after irinotecan-based chemotherapy (FOLFIRI). There was a significant improvement in weight (mean increase 2.5 kg) during the period of ω -3 PUFA supplementation before chemotherapy, despite a fall in total protein and energy intake in this time, with weight gain persisting after the start of chemotherapy.¹²⁷

More recently, a RCT demonstrated that patients undergoing oesophagectomy for cancer who received EPA-enriched enteral nutrition for 5 days pre- and 21 days postoperatively conserved fat-free mass compared with patients receiving standard enteral nutrition. Total weight loss was 1.2 kg in the EPA group versus 1.9 kg in the control group ($p=0.03$). The EPA group also demonstrated an attenuated stress response (measured by serum tumour necrosis factor α , interleukin 8 (interleukin 10 levels) compared with controls, although there was no difference in postoperative complications.¹²⁸

Animal studies have shown that ω -3 PUFA supplementation impairs the host response to mycobacterial infection.^{129 130} This raises the question whether the anti-inflammatory and immunoregulatory effects of ω -3 PUFA supplementation might increase susceptibility to infection in immunocompromised patients. This complex topic was comprehensively examined in a review in 2002.¹³¹ Subsequent preclinical data have been conflicting.^{129 130 132–135} Moreover, results from limited human observational studies investigating fatty acid intake and risk of community-acquired pneumonia are inconclusive.^{136 137}

Long-term observational studies of ω -3 PUFA intake have not revealed an increase in infectious adverse events.^{136 137} Further trials of ω -3 PUFAs for CRC treatment and/or prevention should examine whether ω -3 PUFAs alter host susceptibility to infection, particularly in immunocompromised patients with cancer who may require surgery.

IS THERE A DIFFERENCE IN ANTI-CRC EFFICACY BETWEEN EPA AND DHA?

It is clear from the preclinical 'prevention' studies that combination EPA and DHA treatment has anti-CRC activity. There is only one 'head-to-head' comparison, which demonstrated that EPA had greater efficacy than DHA.⁶⁰ However, the two preclinical 'treatment' studies that have been published did not show any difference between EPA and DHA.^{71 79} As far as we are aware, there is no published report comparing EPA and DHA in patients with, or at-risk for, CRC. It is also unclear whether an EPA/DHA mixture would give additional benefits over and above equivalent doses of either ω -3 PUFA alone.

In addition, a note of caution should be made of the two studies that demonstrated negative effects of high-dose fish oil in a model of CRCLM (12% EPA/8% DHA mix)⁸⁷ and a model of infection-induced, colitis-associated cancer (6% DHA),⁵⁷ in conflict with the majority of ω -3 PUFA studies. The mechanistic basis of a possible negative effect of high-dose ω -3 PUFAs is not clear and warrants further investigation with particular emphasis on the safe maximal dose of either EPA or DHA as single agents, or in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents.

Any comparison between the efficacy of EPA and DHA may also be confounded by interconversion between these two ω -3 PUFAs in vivo. EPA to DHA conversion can occur, via docosapentaenoic acid, in a two-stage process involving elongase and desaturase activity (with docosapentaenoic acid–EPA conversion being the limiting step). However, limited evidence from clinical trials suggests that there is no significant EPA–DHA conversion in human colorectal mucosa.^{100 102} By contrast, administration of DHA in humans has been shown to result in an increase in EPA content in plasma phospholipids.¹³⁸ This may be as high as 12% during chronic dosing with DHA and is thought to occur via β -oxidation of the fatty acid.¹³⁸ The possibility that DHA treatment could result in an eventual increase in EPA content of normal and/or neoplastic colorectal mucosa requires investigation.

CONCLUSION

ω -3 PUFAs are likely to have multifaceted roles in both prevention and treatment of CRC. The excellent tolerability and safety profile of ω -3 PUFAs combined with other health benefits, particularly cardiovascular, make ω -3 PUFAs an attractive candidate for prevention and treatment of CRC (and other cancers).

There is a wealth of evidence to support the antineoplastic effects of ω -3 PUFAs, with both in vivo models and human biomarker studies demonstrating a clear reduction in mucosal proliferation and an increase in mucosal apoptosis, as well as mucosal ω -3 PUFA incorporation at the expense of ω -6 PUFA. The role of ω -3 PUFAs in prevention of CRC is further supported by the recent demonstration of chemopreventative efficacy of EPA-FFA in patients with FAP.¹⁰²

Strong in vivo evidence also supports a role for ω -3 PUFAs in the treatment of CRC, with studies consistently demonstrating tumour ω -3 PUFA incorporation and a reduction in tumour size. Phase II and phase III evaluations of ω -3 PUFAs are justified to establish their safety, tolerability and antineoplastic activity in patients with established CRC. Ongoing studies are investigating the effect of ω -3 PUFAs on tumour growth and vascularity in CRCLM. These studies should eventually inform the design of large, multicentre phase III evaluation of ω -3 PUFAs in patients with CRC, both as neoadjuvant/adjuvant treatment in patients undergoing surgery with curative intent and in the management of incurable disease. ω -3 PUFA treatment should be investigated, as a single agent and in combination with other chemotherapeutic agents, for treatment of CRC. Evaluation of combination therapy with ω -3 PUFAs is already underway in other cancers, including breast and pancreatic cancer.

A third potential role of ω -3 PUFAs as nutritional treatment in patients with CRC has also been identified, with reported improvements in cancer-related cachexia and perioperative outcomes in other cancers.

Improved understanding of the mechanisms of anti-CRC activity of EPA and DHA will lead to identification and validation of predictive therapeutic response biomarkers for use in future clinical trials and help to determine the best anticancer agents to use in combination with ω -3 PUFAs for prevention and/or treatment of CRC.

Competing interests MAH has received an unrestricted scientific grant from, and performed paid consultancy work for, SLA Pharma AG, which produces a formulation of eicosapentaenoic acid.

Provenance and peer review Commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

REFERENCES


- Burdge G. Alpha-linolenic acid metabolism in men and women: nutritional and biological implications. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care* 2004;**7**:137–44.
- Smith WL. Cyclooxygenases, peroxide tone and the allure of fish oil. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2005;**17**:174–82.
- Hyde CA, Missailidis S. Inhibition of arachidonic acid metabolism and its implication on cell proliferation and tumour-angiogenesis. *Int Immunopharmacol* 2009;**9**:701–15.
- Chapkin RS, McMurray DN, Lupton JR. Colon cancer, fatty acids and anti-inflammatory compounds. *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2007;**23**:48–54.
- Roth EM, Harris WS. Fish oil for primary and secondary prevention of coronary heart disease. *Curr Atheroscler Rep* 2010;**12**:66–72.
- Saravanan P, Davidson NC, Schmidt EB, et al. Cardiovascular effects of marine omega-3 fatty acids. *Lancet* 2010;**376**:540–50.
- Fetterman JW Jr, Zdanowicz MM. Therapeutic potential of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in disease. *Am J Health Syst Pharm* 2009;**66**:1169–79.
- Calviello G, Serini S, Piccioni E. n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and the prevention of colorectal cancer: molecular mechanisms involved. *Curr Med Chem* 2007;**14**:3059–69.
- Hull MA, Ko SC, Hawcroft G. Prostaglandin EP receptors: targets for treatment and prevention of colorectal cancer? *Mol Cancer Ther* 2004;**3**:1031–9.
- Hawcroft G, Loadman PM, Belluzzi A, et al. Effect of eicosapentaenoic acid on E-type prostaglandin synthesis and EP4 receptor signalling in human colorectal cancer cells. *Neoplasia* 2010;**12**:618–27.
- Yang P, Chan D, Felix E, et al. Formation and antiproliferative effect of prostaglandin E(3) from eicosapentaenoic acid in human lung cancer cells. *J Lipid Res* 2004;**45**:1030–9.
- Vanamala J, Glagolenko A, Yang P, et al. Dietary fish oil and pectin enhance colonocyte apoptosis in part through suppression of PPAR delta/PGE(2) and elevation of PGE(3). *Carcinogenesis* 2008;**29**:790–6.
- Vecchio AJ, Simmons DM, Malkowski MG. Structural basis of fatty acid substrate binding to cyclooxygenase-2. *J Biol Chem* 2010;**285**:22152–63.
- Yaqoob P. The nutritional significance of lipid rafts. *Annu Rev Nutr* 2009;**29**:257–82.
- Schley PD, Brindley DN, Field CJ. (n-3) PUFA alter raft lipid composition and decrease epidermal growth factor receptor levels in lipid rafts of human breast cancer cells. *J Nutr* 2007;**137**:548–53.
- Chapkin RS, Hong MY, Fan YY, et al. Dietary n-3 PUFA alter colonocyte mitochondrial membrane composition and function. *Lipids* 2002;**37**:193–9.
- Collett ED, Davidson LA, Fan YY, et al. n-6 and n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids differentially modulate oncogenic Ras activation in colonocytes. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2001;**280**:C1066–75.
- Simon HU, Haj-Yehia A, Levi-Schaffer F. Role of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in apoptosis induction. *Apoptosis* 2000;**5**:415–18.
- Chang WL, Chapkin RS, Lupton JR. Fish oil blocks azoxymethane-induced rat colon tumorigenesis by increasing cell differentiation and apoptosis rather than decreasing cell proliferation. *J Nutr* 1998;**128**:491–7.
- Crim KC, Sanders LM, Hong MY, et al. Upregulation of p21Waf1/Cip1 expression in vivo by butyrate administration can be chemoprotective or chemopromotive depending on the lipid component of the diet. *Carcinogenesis* 2008;**29**:1415–20.
- Sanders LM, Henderson CE, Hong MY, et al. An increase in reactive oxygen species by dietary fish oil coupled with the attenuation of antioxidant defenses by dietary pectin enhances rat colonocyte apoptosis. *J Nutr* 2004;**134**:3233–8.
- Kolar SS, Barhoumi R, Callaway ES, et al. Synergy between docosahexaenoic acid and butyrate elicits p53-independent apoptosis via mitochondrial Ca(2+) accumulation in colonocytes. *Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol* 2007;**293**:G935–43.
- Kolar SS, Barhoumi R, Lupton JR, et al. Docosahexaenoic acid and butyrate synergistically induce colonocyte apoptosis by enhancing mitochondrial Ca2+ accumulation. *Cancer Res* 2007;**67**:5561–8.
- Ng Y, Barhoumi R, Tjalkens RB, et al. The role of docosahexaenoic acid in mediating mitochondrial membrane lipid oxidation and apoptosis in colonocytes. *Carcinogenesis* 2005;**26**:1914–21.
- Buchanan FG, Wang D, Bargiacchi F, et al. Prostaglandin E2 regulates cell migration via the intracellular activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *J Biol Chem* 2003;**278**:35451–7.
- Jump DB. Fatty acid regulation of gene transcription. *Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci* 2004;**41**:41–78.
- Serhan CN, Arita M, Hong S, et al. Resolvins, docosatrienes, and neuroprotectins, novel omega-3-derived mediators, and their endogenous aspirin-triggered epimers. *Lipids* 2004;**39**:1125–32.
- Arita M, Bianchini F, Aliberti J, et al. Stereochemical assignment, antiinflammatory properties, and receptor for the omega-3 lipid mediator resolvin E1. *J Exp Med* 2005;**201**:713–22.
- Oh SF, Pillai PS, Recchiuti A, et al. Pro-resolving actions and stereoselective biosynthesis of 18S E-series resolvins in human leukocytes and murine inflammation. *J Clin Invest* 2011;**121**:569–81.
- Arita M, Clish CB, Serhan CN. The contributions of aspirin and microbial oxygenase to the biosynthesis of anti-inflammatory resolvins: novel oxygenase products from omega-3

- polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2005;**338**:149–57.
31. **Hong S**, Gronert K, Devchand PR, *et al*. Novel docosatrienes and 17S-resolvins generated from docosahexaenoic acid in murine brain, human blood, and glial cells. Autacoids in anti-inflammation. *J Biol Chem* 2003;**278**:14677–87.
 32. **Serhan CN**, Yang R, Martinod K, *et al*. Maresins: novel macrophage mediators with potent antiinflammatory and proresolving actions. *J Exp Med* 2009;**206**:15–23.
 33. **Serhan CN**, Chiang N, Van Dyke TE. Resolving inflammation: dual anti-inflammatory and pro-resolution lipid mediators. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2008;**8**:349–61.
 34. **Campbell EL**, MacManus CF, Kominsky DJ, *et al*. Resolvin E1-induced intestinal alkaline phosphatase promotes resolution of inflammation through LPS detoxification. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2010;**107**:14298–303.
 35. **Clevers H**. At the crossroads of inflammation and cancer. *Cell* 2004;**118**:671–4.
 36. **Calder PC**. Immunomodulation by omega-3 fatty acids. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2007;**77**:327–35.
 37. **Szymczak M**, Murray M, Petrovic N. Modulation of angiogenesis by omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids is mediated by cyclooxygenases. *Blood* 2008;**111**:3514–21.
 38. **Tsuzuki T**, Shibata A, Kawakami Y, *et al*. Conjugated eicosapentaenoic acid inhibits vascular endothelial growth factor-induced angiogenesis by suppressing the migration of human umbilical vein endothelial cells. *J Nutr* 2007;**137**:641–6.
 39. **Byers T**. Nutrition and cancer among American Indians and Alaska Natives. *Cancer* 1996;**78**:1612–16.
 40. **World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research**. *Food, Nutrition, Physical Activity, and the Prevention of Cancer: a Global Perspective*. American Institute of Cancer Research (AICR), Washington, DC: 2007.
 41. **Geelen A**, Schouten JM, Kamphuis C, *et al*. Fish consumption, n-3 fatty acids, and colorectal cancer: a meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;**166**:1116–25.
 42. **Kim S**, Sandler DP, Galanko J, *et al*. Intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids and distal large bowel cancer risk in whites and African Americans. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010;**171**:969–79.
 43. **Pot GK**, Geelen A, van Heijningen EM, *et al*. Opposing associations of serum n-3 and n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acids with colorectal adenoma risk: an endoscopy-based case-control study. *Int J Cancer* 2008;**123**:1974–7.
 44. **Nelson RL**, Tanure JC, Andrianopoulos G, *et al*. A comparison of dietary fish oil and corn oil in experimental colorectal carcinogenesis. *Nutr Cancer* 1988;**11**:215–20.
 45. **Minoura T**, Takata T, Sakaguchi M, *et al*. Effect of dietary eicosapentaenoic acid on azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in rats. *Cancer Res* 1988;**48**:4790–4.
 46. **Reddy BS**, Sugie S. Effect of different levels of omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids on azoxymethane-induced colon carcinogenesis in F344 rats. *Cancer Res* 1988;**48**:6642–7.
 47. **Deschner EE**, Lytle JS, Wong G, *et al*. The effect of dietary omega-3 fatty acids (fish oil) on azoxymethanol-induced focal areas of dysplasia and colon tumor incidence. *Cancer* 1990;**66**:2350–6.
 48. **Reddy BS**, Burill C, Rigotty J. Effect of diets high in omega-3 and omega-6 fatty acids on initiation and postinitiation stages of colon carcinogenesis. *Cancer Res* 1991;**51**:487–91.
 49. **Takahashi M**, Minamoto T, Yamashita N, *et al*. Reduction in formation and growth of 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced aberrant crypt foci in rat colon by docosahexaenoic acid. *Cancer Res* 1993;**53**:2786–9.
 50. **Hendrickse CW**, Keighley MR, Neoptolemos JP. Dietary omega-3 fats reduce proliferation and tumor yields at colorectal anastomosis in rats. *Gastroenterology* 1995;**109**:431–9.
 51. **Takahashi M**, Fukutake M, Itoi T, *et al*. Suppression of azoxymethane-induced rat colon carcinoma development by a fish oil component, docosahexaenoic acid (DHA). *Carcinogenesis* 1997;**18**:1337–42.
 52. **Good CK**, Lasko CM, Adam J, *et al*. Diverse effect of fish oil on the growth of aberrant crypt foci and tumor multiplicity in F344 rats. *Nutr Cancer* 1998;**31**:204–11.
 53. **Singh J**, Hamid R, Reddy BS. Dietary fish oil inhibits the expression of farnesyl protein transferase and colon tumor development in rodents. *Carcinogenesis* 1998;**19**:985–9.
 54. **Latham P**, Lund EK, Johnson IT. Dietary n-3 PUFA increases the apoptotic response to 1,2-dimethylhydrazine, reduces mitosis and suppresses the induction of carcinogenesis in the rat colon. *Carcinogenesis* 1999;**20**:645–50.
 55. **Rao CV**, Hirose Y, Indranie C, *et al*. Modulation of experimental colon tumorigenesis by types and amounts of dietary fatty acids. *Cancer Res* 2001;**61**:1927–33.
 56. **Moreira AP**, Sabarens CM, Dias CM, *et al*. Fish oil ingestion reduces the number of aberrant crypt foci and adenoma in 1,2-dimethylhydrazine-induced colon cancer in rats. *Braz J Med Biol Res* 2009;**42**:1167–72.
 57. **Woodworth HL**, McCaskey SJ, Duriancik DM, *et al*. Dietary fish oil alters T lymphocyte cell populations and exacerbates disease in a mouse model of inflammatory colitis. *Cancer Res* 2010;**70**:7960–9.
 58. **Oshima M**, Takahashi M, Oshima H, *et al*. Effects of docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) on intestinal polyp development in Apc delta 716 knockout mice. *Carcinogenesis* 1995;**16**:2605–7.
 59. **Paulsen JE**, Elvsaa IK, Steffensen IL, *et al*. A fish oil derived concentrate enriched in eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic acid as ethyl ester suppresses the formation and growth of intestinal polyps in the Min mouse. *Carcinogenesis* 1997;**18**:1905–10.
 60. **Petrik MBH**, McEntee MF, Johnson BT, *et al*. Highly unsaturated (n-3) fatty acids, but not alpha-linolenic, conjugated linoleic or gamma-linolenic acids, reduce tumorigenesis in Apc(Min/+) mice. *J Nutr* 2000;**130**:2434–43.
 61. **Petrik MBH**, McEntee MF, Chiu CH, *et al*. Antagonism of arachidonic acid is linked to the antitumorigenic effect of dietary eicosapentaenoic acid in Apc(Min/+) mice. *J Nutr* 2000;**130**:1153–8.
 62. **Bose M**, Hao X, Ju J, *et al*. Inhibition of tumorigenesis in ApcMin/+ mice by a combination of (-)-epigallocatechin-3-gallate and fish oil. *J Agric Food Chem* 2007;**55**:7695–700.
 63. **Fini L**, Piazzi G, Ceccarelli C, *et al*. Highly purified eicosapentaenoic acid as free fatty acids strongly suppresses polyps in Apc (Min/+) mice. *Clin Cancer Res* 2010;**16**:5703–11.
 64. **West NJ**, Belluzzi A, Lund EK, *et al*. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA), as the free fatty acid, reduces colonic crypt cell proliferation in patients with sporadic colorectal polyps. *Gut* 2009;**58**:A68.
 65. **Mund RC**, Pizato N, Bonatto S, *et al*. Decreased tumor growth in Walker 256 tumor-bearing rats chronically supplemented with fish oil involves COX-2 and PGE2 reduction associated with apoptosis and increased peroxidation. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2007;**76**:113–20.
 66. **Davidson LA**, Nguyen DV, Hokanson RM, *et al*. Chemopreventive n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids reprogram genetic signatures during colon cancer initiation and progression in the rat. *Cancer Res* 2004;**64**:6797–804.
 67. **Boudreau MD**, Sohn KH, Rhee SH, *et al*. Suppression of tumor cell growth both in nude mice and in culture by n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids: mediation through cyclooxygenase-independent pathways. *Cancer Res* 2001;**61**:1386–91.
 68. **Clarke RG**, Lund EK, Latham P, *et al*. Effect of eicosapentaenoic acid on the proliferation and incidence of apoptosis in the colorectal cell line HT29. *Lipids* 1999;**34**:1287–95.
 69. **Llor X**, Pons E, Roca A, *et al*. The effects of fish oil, olive oil, oleic acid and linoleic acid on colorectal neoplastic processes. *Clin Nutr* 2003;**22**:71–9.
 70. **Tsai WS**, Nagawa H, Kaizaki S, *et al*. Inhibitory effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids on sigmoid colon cancer transformants. *J Gastroenterol* 1998;**33**:206–12.
 71. **Calviello G**, Di Nicuolo F, Gragnoli S, *et al*. n-3 PUFAs reduce VEGF expression in human colon cancer cells modulating the COX-2/PGE2 induced ERK-1 and -2 and HIF-1alpha induction pathway. *Carcinogenesis* 2004;**25**:2303–10.
 72. **Chen ZY**, Istfan NW. Docosahexaenoic acid is a potent inducer of apoptosis in HT-29 colon cancer cells. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2000;**63**:301–8.
 73. **Mengeaud V**, Nano JL, Fournel S, *et al*. Effects of eicosapentaenoic acid, gamma-linolenic acid and prostaglandin E1 on three human colon carcinoma cell lines. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 1992;**47**:313–19.
 74. **Palozza P**, Calviello G, Maggiano N, *et al*. Beta-carotene antagonizes the effects of eicosapentaenoic acid on cell growth and lipid peroxidation in WiDr adenocarcinoma cells. *Free Radic Biol Med* 2000;**28**:228–34.
 75. **Calviello G**, Resci F, Serini S, *et al*. Docosahexaenoic acid induces proteasome-dependent degradation of beta-catenin, down-regulation of survivin and apoptosis in human colorectal cancer cells not expressing COX-2. *Carcinogenesis* 2007;**28**:1202–9.
 76. **Lee SA**, Kim HJ, Chang KC, *et al*. DHA and EPA Down-regulate COX-2 Expression through Suppression of NF-kappaB Activity in LPS-treated Human Umbilical Vein Endothelial Cells. *Korean J Physiol Pharmacol* 2009;**13**:301–7.
 77. **Paulsen JE**, Stamm T, Alexander J. A fish oil-derived concentrate enriched in eicosapentaenoic and docosahexaenoic

- acid as ethyl esters inhibits the formation and growth of aberrant crypt foci in rat colon. *Pharmacol Toxicol* 1998;**82**:28–33.
78. **Cannizzo F Jr**, Broitman SA. Postpromotional effects of dietary marine or safflower oils on large bowel or pulmonary implants of CT-26 in mice. *Cancer Res* 1989;**49**:4289–94.
 79. **Iigo M**, Nakagawa T, Ishikawa C, *et al*. Inhibitory effects of docosahexaenoic acid on colon carcinoma 26 metastasis to the lung. *Br J Cancer* 1997;**75**:650–5.
 80. **Calder PC**, Davis J, Yaqoob P, *et al*. Dietary fish oil suppresses human colon tumour growth in athymic mice. *Clin Sci* 1998;**94**:303–11.
 81. **Kato T**, Hancock RL, Mohammadpour H, *et al*. Influence of omega-3 fatty acids on the growth of human colon carcinoma in nude mice. *Cancer Lett* 2002;**187**:169–77.
 82. **Togni V**, Ota CCC, Folador A, *et al*. Cancer cachexia and tumor growth reduction in Walker 256 tumor-bearing rats supplemented with N-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids for one generation. *Nutr Cancer* 2003;**46**:52–8.
 83. **Tsuzuki T**, Igarashi M, Miyazawa T. Conjugated eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) inhibits transplanted tumor growth via membrane lipid peroxidation in nude mice. *J Nutr* 2004;**134**:1162–6.
 84. **Pizato N**, Bonatto S, Yamazaki RK, *et al*. Ratio of n6 to n-3 fatty acids in the diet affects tumor growth and cachexia in Walker 256 tumor-bearing rats. *Nutr Cancer* 2005;**53**:194–201.
 85. **Iwamoto S**, Senzaki H, Kiyozuka Y, *et al*. Effects of fatty acids on liver metastasis of ACL-15 rat colon cancer cells. *Nutr Cancer* 1998;**31**:143–50.
 86. **Gutt CN**, Brinkmann L, Mehrabi A, *et al*. Dietary omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids prevent the development of metastases of colon carcinoma in rat liver. *Eur J Nutr* 2007;**46**:279–85.
 87. **Griffini P**, Fehres O, Klieverik L, *et al*. Dietary omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids promote colon carcinoma metastasis in rat liver. *Cancer Res* 1998;**58**:3312–19.
 88. **Beckermann B**, Beneke M, Seitz I. Comparative bioavailability of eicosapentaenoic acid and docosahexaenoic acid from triglycerides, free fatty acids and ethyl esters in volunteers. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1990;**40**:700–4.
 89. **Lawson LD**, Hughes BG. Human absorption of fish oil fatty acids as triacylglycerols, free acids, or ethyl esters. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1988;**152**:328–35.
 90. **Yang LY**, Kuksis A, Myher JJ. Lipolysis of menhaden oil triacylglycerols and the corresponding fatty acid alkyl esters by pancreatic lipase in vitro: a reexamination. *J Lipid Res* 1990;**31**:137–47.
 91. **Ackman RG**. The absorption of fish oils and concentrates. *Lipids* 1992;**27**:858–62.
 92. **Kim W**, McMurray DN, Chapkin RS. n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids—physiological relevance of dose. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2010;**82**:155–8.
 93. **Anti M**, Marra G, Armelao F, *et al*. Effect of omega-3 fatty acids on rectal mucosal cell proliferation in subjects at risk for colon cancer. *Gastroenterology* 1992;**103**:883–91.
 94. **Bartoli GM**, Palozza P, Marra G, *et al*. n-3 PUFA and alpha-tocopherol control of tumor cell proliferation. *Mol Aspects Med* 1993;**14**:247–52.
 95. **Bartram HP**, Gostner A, Scheppach W, *et al*. Effects of fish oil on rectal cell proliferation, mucosal fatty acids, and prostaglandin E2 release in healthy subjects. *Gastroenterology* 1993;**105**:1317–22.
 96. **Anti M**, Armelao F, Marra G, *et al*. Effects of different doses of fish oil on rectal cell proliferation in patients with sporadic colonic adenomas. *Gastroenterology* 1994;**107**:1709–18.
 97. **Huang YC**, Jessup JM, Forse RA, *et al*. n-3 fatty acids decrease colonic epithelial cell proliferation in high-risk bowel mucosa. *Lipids* 1996;**31**:S313–17.
 98. **Gee JM**, Watson M, Matthew JA, *et al*. Consumption of fish oil leads to prompt incorporation of eicosapentaenoic acid into colonic mucosa of patients prior to surgery for colorectal cancer, but has no detectable effect on epithelial cytokinetics. *J Nutr* 1999;**129**:1862–5.
 99. **Cheng J**, Ogawa K, Kuriki K, *et al*. Increased intake of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids elevates the level of apoptosis in the normal sigmoid colon of patients polypectomized for adenomas/tumors. *Cancer Lett* 2003;**193**:17–24.
 100. **Courtney ED**, Matthews S, Finlayson C, *et al*. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) reduces crypt cell proliferation and increases apoptosis in normal colonic mucosa in subjects with a history of colorectal adenomas. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2007;**22**:765–76.
 101. **Akedo I**, Ishikawa H, Nakamura T, *et al*. Three cases with familial adenomatous polyposis diagnosed as having malignant lesions in the course of a long-term trial using docosahexaenoic acid (DHA)-concentrated fish oil capsules. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 1998;**28**:762–5.
 102. **West NJ**, Clark SK, Phillips RK, *et al*. Eicosapentaenoic acid reduces rectal polyp number and size in familial adenomatous polyposis. *Gut* 2010;**59**:918–25.
 103. **Gibney MJ**, Hunter B. The effects of short- and long-term supplementation with fish oil on the incorporation of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids into cells of the immune system in healthy volunteers. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 1993;**47**:255–9.
 104. **Hillier K**, Jewell R, Dorrell L, *et al*. Incorporation of fatty acids from fish oil and olive oil into colonic mucosal lipids and effects upon eicosanoid synthesis in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gut* 1991;**32**:1151–5.
 105. **GISSI**. Dietary supplementation with n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and vitamin E after myocardial infarction: results of the GISSI-Prevenzione trial. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio della Sopravvivenza nell'Infarto miocardico. *Lancet* 1999;**354**:447–55.
 106. **Bays H**. Clinical overview of Omacor: a concentrated formulation of omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;**98**:71i–6i.
 107. **Kris-Etherton PM**, Harris WS, Appel LJ. Fish consumption, fish oil, omega-3 fatty acids, and cardiovascular disease. *Circulation* 2002;**106**:2747–57.
 108. **Leaf A**, Jorgensen MB, Jacobs AK, *et al*. Do fish oils prevent restenosis after coronary angioplasty? *Circulation* 1994;**90**:2248–57.
 109. **Lien EL**. Toxicology and safety of DHA. *Prostaglandins Leukot Essent Fatty Acids* 2009;**81**:125–32.
 110. **Dyerberg J**, Bang HO, Stoffersen E, *et al*. Eicosapentaenoic acid and prevention of thrombosis and atherosclerosis? *Lancet* 1978;**2**:117–19.
 111. **Harris WS**. Expert opinion: omega-3 fatty acids and bleeding—cause for concern? *Am J Cardiol* 2007;**99**:44C–6C.
 112. **Foran SE**, Flood JG, Lewandrowski KB. Measurement of mercury levels in concentrated over-the-counter fish oil preparations: is fish oil healthier than fish? *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2003;**127**:1603–5.
 113. **Steinbach G**, Lynch PM, Phillips RK, *et al*. The effect of celecoxib, a cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitor, in familial adenomatous polyposis. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**342**:1946–52.
 114. **Calviello G**, Di Nicuolo F, Serini S, *et al*. Docosahexaenoic acid enhances the susceptibility of human colorectal cancer cells to 5-fluorouracil. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2005;**55**:12–20.
 115. **Jordan A**, Stein J. Effect of an omega-3 fatty acid containing lipid emulsion alone and in combination with 5-fluorouracil (5-FU) on growth of the colon cancer cell line Caco-2. *Eur J Nutr* 2003;**42**:324–31.
 116. **Wynter MP**, Russell ST, Tisdale MJ. Effect of n-3 fatty acids on the antitumor effects of cytotoxic drugs. *In Vivo* 2004;**18**:543–7.
 117. **Calviello G**, Serini S, Piccioni E, *et al*. Antineoplastic effects of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids in combination with drugs and radiotherapy: preventive and therapeutic strategies. *Nutr Cancer* 2009;**61**:287–301.
 118. **Bougnoux P**, Hajaji N, Ferrasson MN, *et al*. Improving outcome of chemotherapy of metastatic breast cancer by docosahexaenoic acid: a phase II trial. *Br J Cancer* 2009;**101**:1978–85.
 119. **Benais-Pont G**, Dupertuis YM, Kossovsky MP, *et al*. Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids and ionizing radiation: combined cytotoxicity on human colorectal adenocarcinoma cells. *Nutrition* 2006;**22**:931–9.
 120. **Gramaglia A**, Loi GF, Mongioi V, *et al*. Increased survival in brain metastatic patients treated with stereotactic radiotherapy, omega three fatty acids and bioflavonoids. *Anticancer Res* 1999;**19**:5583–6.
 121. **Minami Y**, Miyata H, Doki Y, *et al*. omega-3 Fatty acid-containing diet (Racol) reduces toxicity of chemoradiation therapy for patients with esophageal cancer. *Gan To Kagaku Ryoho* 2008;**35**:437–40.
 122. **Dewey A**, Baughan C, Dean T, *et al*. Eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA, an omega-3 fatty acid from fish oils) for the treatment of cancer cachexia. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2007;(4): CD004597.
 123. **Fearon KC**, Von Meyenfeldt MF, Moses AG, *et al*. Effect of a protein and energy dense N-3 fatty acid enriched oral supplement on loss of weight and lean tissue in cancer cachexia: a randomised double blind trial. *Gut* 2003;**52**:1479–86.
 124. **Gogos CA**, Ginopoulos P, Salsa B, *et al*. Dietary omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids plus vitamin E restore immunodeficiency and prolong survival for severely ill patients

- with generalized malignancy: a randomized control trial. *Cancer* 1998;**82**:395–402.
125. **Jatoi A**, Rowland K, Loprinzi CL, *et al.* An eicosapentaenoic acid supplement versus megestrol acetate versus both for patients with cancer-associated wasting: a North Central Cancer Treatment Group and National Cancer Institute of Canada collaborative effort. *J Clin Oncol* 2004;**22**:2469–76.
 126. **Colomer R**, Moreno-Nogueira JM, Garcia-Luna PP, *et al.* N-3 fatty acids, cancer and cachexia: a systematic review of the literature. *Br J Nutr* 2007;**97**:823–31.
 127. **Read JA**, Beale PJ, Volker DH, *et al.* Nutrition intervention using an eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA)-containing supplement in patients with advanced colorectal cancer. Effects on nutritional and inflammatory status: a phase II trial. *Support Care Cancer* 2007;**15**:301–7.
 128. **Ryan AM**, Reynolds JV, Healy L, *et al.* Enteral nutrition enriched with eicosapentaenoic acid (EPA) preserves lean body mass following esophageal cancer surgery: results of a double-blinded randomized controlled trial. *Ann Surg* 2009;**249**:355–63.
 129. **Bonilla DL**, Fan YY, Chapkin RS, *et al.* Transgenic mice enriched in omega-3 fatty acids are more susceptible to pulmonary tuberculosis: impaired resistance to tuberculosis in fat-1 mice. *J Infect Dis* 2010;**201**:399–408.
 130. **McFarland CT**, Fan YY, Chapkin RS, *et al.* Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids modulate resistance to Mycobacterium tuberculosis in guinea pigs. *J Nutr* 2008;**138**:2123–8.
 131. **Anderson M**, Fritsche KL. (n-3) Fatty acids and infectious disease resistance. *J Nutr* 2002;**132**:3566–76.
 132. **Jordao L**, Lengeling A, Bordat Y, *et al.* Effects of omega-3 and -6 fatty acids on Mycobacterium tuberculosis in macrophages and in mice. *Microbes Infect* 2008;**10**:1379–86.
 133. **Pierre M**, Husson MO, Le Berre R, *et al.* Omega-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids improve host response in chronic Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection in mice. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2007;**292**:L1422–31.
 134. **Saini A**, Harjai K, Chhibber S. Sea-cod oil supplementation alters the course of Streptococcus pneumoniae infection in BALB/c mice. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011;**30**:393–400.
 135. **Tiesset H**, Pierre M, Desseyn JL, *et al.* Dietary (n-3) polyunsaturated fatty acids affect the kinetics of pro- and antiinflammatory responses in mice with Pseudomonas aeruginosa lung infection. *J Nutr* 2009;**139**:82–9.
 136. **Alperovich M**, Neuman MI, Willett WC, *et al.* Fatty acid intake and the risk of community-acquired pneumonia in U.S. women. *Nutrition* 2007;**23**:196–202.
 137. **Merchant AT**, Curhan GC, Rimm EB, *et al.* Intake of n-6 and n-3 fatty acids and fish and risk of community-acquired pneumonia in US men. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2005;**82**:668–74.
 138. **Arterburn LM**, Hall EB, Oken H. Distribution, interconversion, and dose response of n-3 fatty acids in humans. *Am J Clin Nutr* 2006;**83**:1467S–76S.

Have confidence in your decision making.



The best clinical decision support tool is now available as an app for your iPhone. Visit bestpractice.bmj.com/app

BestPractice
FROM THE BMJ EVIDENCE CENTRE

clinicians • medical students • nurses • healthcare practitioners