

# Prostanoid signaling in retinal cells elicits infammatory responses relevant to early-stage diabetic retinopathy

Amy K. Stark<sup>1\*</sup> and John S. Penn<sup>1,2\*</sup>

# **Abstract**

Infammation is a critical driver of the early stages of diabetic retinopathy (DR) and ofers an opportunity for therapeutic intervention before irreversible damage and vision loss associated with later stages of DR ensue. Nonsteroidal antiinflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) have shown mixed efficacy in slowing early DR progression, notably including severe adverse side efects likely due to their nonselective inhibition of all downstream signaling intermediates. In this study, we investigated the role of prostanoids, the downstream signaling lipids whose production is inhibited by NSAIDs, in promoting infammation relevant to early-stage DR in two human retinal cell types: Müller glia and retinal microvascular endothelial cells. When cultured in multiple conditions modeling distinct aspects of systemic diabetes, Müller glia significantly increased production of prostaglandin  $E_2$  (PGE<sub>2</sub>), whereas retinal endothelial cells significantly increased production of prostaglandin F<sub>2α</sub> (PGF<sub>2α</sub>). Müller glia stimulated with PGE<sub>2</sub> or PGF<sub>2α</sub> increased proinflammatory cytokine levels dose-dependently. These effects were blocked by selective antagonists to the EP2 receptor of PGE<sub>2</sub> or the FP receptor of PGF<sub>20</sub>, respectively. In contrast, only PGF<sub>20</sub> stimulated adhesion molecule expression in retinal endothelial cells and leukocyte adhesion to cultured endothelial monolayers, efects that were fully prevented by FP receptor antagonist treatment. Together these results identify PGE<sub>2</sub>-EP2 and PGF<sub>20</sub>-FP signaling as novel, selective targets for future studies and therapeutic development to mitigate or prevent retinal infammation characteristic of early-stage DR.

# **Introduction**

Diabetic retinopathy (DR), a neurovascular complication of diabetes mellitus, is a leading cause of irreversible vision loss in working-age adults in America and worldwide  $[1-3]$  $[1-3]$ . Clinically, DR presents in two phases: early-stage nonproliferative diabetic retinopathy (NPDR) and late-stage proliferative diabetic retinopathy (PDR) [[4–](#page-18-2)[6\]](#page-18-3). NPDR is characterized by vascular pathologies

\*Correspondence: Amy K. Stark amy.k.stark@vanderbilt.edu

John S. Penn

john.s.penn@vumc.org

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Vanderbilt

University Medical Center, Nashville, TN, USA

including vessel hyperpermeability, pericyte death, capillary occlusion and atrophy, basement membrane thickening, and clinically observable retinal microaneurysms [[6,](#page-18-3) [7](#page-18-4)]. Concurrently, degeneration of neurons, particularly retinal ganglion cells and photoreceptors, and the consequent decline in synaptic functioning and neurovascular coupling also occur [\[7](#page-18-4)[–9](#page-18-5)]. Additionally, a rising infammatory response occurs in the retina early in disease progression, presumably in reaction to conditions of systemic diabetes and the resulting tissue damage  $[6, 10]$  $[6, 10]$  $[6, 10]$  $[6, 10]$ . The transition from NPDR to PDR is marked by the onset of retinal neovascularization, the abnormal angiogenic growth of blood vessels in response to increasing vascular and tissue damage and consequent retinal ischemia [[5,](#page-18-7) [6\]](#page-18-3). Neovascularization in PDR is the primary cause of irreversible vision loss occurring in DR [[6,](#page-18-3) [7](#page-18-4)].



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

Currently, intraocular anti-vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) injection to inhibit hyperpermeability and neovascularization serves as the standard of care for DR [[11](#page-18-8)]. However, these anti-VEGF drugs—the only approved therapies for DR—are used to treat later-stages of DR, when irreparable retinal damage is likely to have already occurred. There is a pressing need to investigate therapies for DR that intervene at earlier stages of disease before severe damage ensues, and infammation in NPDR offers one such target of intervention.

Within the retina, numerous cell types play distinct roles in the regulation of tissue health and visual function. Of particular note are Müller glia and retinal microvascular endothelial cells, two key cell types involved in regulating retinal responses to conditions of diabetes and the consequent infammatory damage occurring in NPDR. Müller glia are eye-specifc glial support cells that span nearly the full thickness of the retina. These cells play critical roles in supporting normal functions of other retinal cell types through maintenance of the blood-retina barrier, metabolic control and nutrient supply, and uptake and recycling of ions and neurotransmitters [\[6](#page-18-3), [12,](#page-18-9) [13](#page-18-10)]. Further, Müller glia respond to damaging stimuli in the retina, such as conditions of diabetes, by elevating production of cytokines and chemokines that can stimulate further activation of infammatory cascades in other retinal cells  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$  $[6, 7, 12, 13]$ . In the context of DR, among the most critical cell types the Müller glia afect are the retinal microvascular endothelial cells, which form the luminal walls of retinal capillaries. Infammatory damage to these cells can promote further cytokine production, blood-retina barrier breakdown, apoptosis, and the adhesion of circulating leukocytes to the retinal endothelium, known as leukostasis [\[6](#page-18-3), [14](#page-18-11)]. As leukostasis progresses, it can lead to capillary occlusion and focal retinal ischemia, hallmarks of advancing DR [\[6](#page-18-3)]. Dysregulation of cytokine levels in Müller glia and leukostasis markers in retinal endothelial cells can be probed in vitro to analyze the critical infammatory responses of each cell type that may promote the initial stages of NPDR.

Nonsteroidal anti-infammatory drugs (NSAIDs) are well-established medications to reduce pain and infammation by preventing the metabolism of arachidonic acid by cyclooxygenase-1 (COX-1) and COX-2 enzymes  $[15]$  $[15]$ . The potential of COX inhibition to treat DR was frst identifed in a corelative analysis of patients taking salicylates to manage rheumatoid arthritis, which showed that diabetic patients in the cohort demonstrated slowed DR progression [\[16](#page-18-13)]. Subsequently, systemic, intravitreal, and topical uses of NSAIDs were investigated as therapeutic strategies for DR prevention in several clinical trials with varying results [[17\]](#page-18-14). For example, trials of high doses of systemic aspirin or sulindac showed decreased DR progression over the durations of these studies [[18](#page-18-15), [19\]](#page-18-16). In contrast, another trial with a lower dose of aspirin revealed no beneft for DR [\[20](#page-18-17)]. Further, a trial of systemic celecoxib (COX-2 selective) for DR was terminated early due to risk for severe cardiovascular side efects with no signifcant retinal beneft observed during the truncated study  $[21]$  $[21]$  $[21]$ . The chronic, systemic use of NSAIDs has been shown to promote severe cardiovascular, cerebrovascular, gastrointestinal, and/or renal side efects, among others [\[22](#page-19-0)]. Additional trials have tested intravitreal or topical NSAID drugs for DR or diabetic macular edema, a complication that can occur at any stage of DR, but these therapies similarly did not show significant effects on disease progression [[23,](#page-19-1) [24\]](#page-19-2). Overall, clinical trials of COX inhibition by NSAIDs to manage DR progression have yielded inconsistent fndings with a number showing no therapeutic beneft.

More selective targeting of the COX metabolism pathway could provide a more efficacious and reliable option. In this pathway, arachidonic acid is converted by COX-1 or COX-2 into unstable intermediates that are rapidly converted by specifc synthase enzymes into the fve prostanoids: prostaglandins  $PGD_2$ ,  $PGE_2$ ,  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ ,  $PGI_2$ , and thromboxane TXA<sub>2</sub> [[25\]](#page-19-3). These distinct lipids signal with specifcity via nine G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), which are DP1 and DP2 for  $PGD_2$ ; EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 for PGE<sub>2</sub>; FP for PGF<sub>2α</sub>, IP for PGI<sub>2</sub>, and TP for TXA<sub>2</sub> [[26\]](#page-19-4). Furthermore, the primary G $\alpha$  subtype coupling varies among these GPCRs for additional diferentiation of cellular and molecular efects downstream. Receptors DP1, EP2, EP4, and IP couple primarily to  $Ga_{s}$ to activate adenylyl cyclase to produce cAMP. DP2 and EP3 couple to  $Ga_i$  to inhibit adenylyl cyclase and prevent cAMP production. EP1, FP, and TP couple to  $Ga_{\alpha}$ to activate phospholipase C and ultimately elevate intracellular calcium levels  $[26]$  $[26]$ . The roles of prostanoids and their receptors have been a subject of basic and clinical research in DR as well as several other retinal vascular diseases [\[27](#page-19-5)].

Based on the potential therapeutic benefts for DR patients demonstrated in some—but not all—clinical trials of NSAIDs, we hypothesize that antagonism of individual prostanoid receptors might prove efficacious in limiting infammation relevant to early-stage DR without the adverse efects caused by broad-spectrum COX inhibition by NSAIDs. To test this, we employed cell culture models using primary human Müller glia (hMG) and primary human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (hRMEC). We cultured each cell type under three conditions that model aspects of systemic diabetes to measure the secretion levels of each of the fve prostanoids and determine which were altered. We then assayed the dose–response efects of altered prostanoids

on NPDR-relevant cell behaviors and determined the receptors mediating each of these efects. Our goal was to identify *selective* anti-infammatory therapeutic targets for early-stage DR intervention.

# **Methods**

#### **Primary human retinal cell culture**

Primary human Müller glia (hMG) were isolated from human donor eyes obtained within 24 h postmortem from the National Disease Research Interchange using a protocol adapted from Hicks and Courtois [\[28](#page-19-6)]. Briefy, the retina was dissected and dissociated in low glucose (1 g/L) Dulbecco's Modifed Eagle Medium (DMEM; Gibco; Grand Island, NY) containing trypsin and collagenase to select for Müller glia survival and proliferation. hMG were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS; R&D Systems; Minneapolis, MN) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco) in a cell culture incubator held at 37 °C, 5%  $CO_2$ , and 95% humidity. Passage 5 and 6 cells from multiple human donors were used for all experiments.

Primary human retinal microvascular endothelial cells (hRMEC) were obtained from Cell Systems (Kirkland, WA). Cells were grown in culture dishes coated in Attachment Factor (Cell Systems) and maintained in endothelial basal medium (EBM; Cell Systems) supplemented with 10% FBS and Endothelial Cell Growth Medium SingleQuots (Lonza; Basel, Switzerland) in a cell culture incubator held at 37 °C, 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and 95% humidity. Passage 7 and 8 cells were used for all experiments.

#### **Treatment of retinal cells**

Treatment of hMG began when cells reached 90% confuence. Media were changed from 10 to 2% FBS DMEM+penicillin/streptomycin for 12 h prior to the start of treatment. Where applicable, hMG were pretreated with prostanoid receptor antagonists SC-51322 (100 nM–1 μM; Cayman Chemical; Ann Arbor, MI), PF-04418948 (100 nM–1 μM; Cayman Chemical), DG-041 (100 nM-1 μM; Tocris; Bristol, United Kingdom), L-161,982 (100 nM–1 μM; Cayman Chemical), AL8810 (100 nM–10 μM; Cayman Chemical), or DMSO vehicles for 1 h in fresh 2% FBS DMEM+penicillin/ streptomycin. For treatments, hMG were stimulated with recombinant human IL-1β (1 ng/mL in water; Sino Biological; Beijing, China), palmitic acid (250 μM in DPBS with 1% bovine serum albumin; Sigma-Aldrich; St. Louis, MO), D-glucose (24.5 mM; Sigma-Aldrich), L-glucose (24.5 mM; Sigma-Aldrich), PGE<sub>2</sub> (1 nM–10 μM in DMSO; Cayman Chemical), or  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  (1 nM–10  $\mu$ M in DMSO; Cayman Chemical) with proper vehicles in fresh 2% FBS DMEM+penicillin/streptomycin for times specifed in each experiment.

Treatment of hRMEC began when cells reached 90% confuence. For mass spectrometry experiments, media were changed from 10 to 5% FBS EBM+SingleQuots 12 h prior to the start of treatment, then cells were stimulated with human IL-1β (1 ng/mL), palmitic acid (250  $\mu$ M), p-glucose (24.5 mM), or L-glucose (24.5 mM) with relevant vehicles in 5% FBS EBM+SingleQuots for 24 h. For prostanoid stimulation experiments, where applicable, hRMEC were pretreated with FP receptor antagonist AL8810 (100 nM–10 μM) or DMSO vehicle for 30 min in fresh 10% FBS EBM+SingleQuots. For treatments,  $hRMEC$  were stimulated with  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$ (1 nM–10  $\mu$ M) or PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> (1 nM–10  $\mu$ M) with DMSO vehicles in fresh 10% FBS EBM+SingleQuots for times specifed in each experiment.

# **Liquid chromatography‑tandem mass spectrometry (LC– MS/MS) of secreted prostanoids**

After treatment, media were harvested for mass spectrometry of secreted prostanoids, and total protein from adherent cells was collected in RIPA bufer (Sigma-Aldrich). LC–MS/MS was performed by the Eicosanoid Core Laboratory at Vanderbilt University. Media samples were spiked with a mix of deuterated standards including PGD<sub>2</sub>, PGE<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2α</sub>, 6-keto-PGF<sub>1α</sub> (stable metabolite of  $PGI<sub>2</sub>$ ), and TXB<sub>2</sub> (stable metabolite of TXA<sub>2</sub>) dissolved in 25% methanol in water. Samples were vortexed and centrifuged at  $10,000 \times g$  for 10 min to pellet protein, then supernatants were extracted on an Oasis MAX uElution plate (Waters Corp.; Milford, MA), washed with methanol followed by 25% methanol in water, and eluted with 50/50 acetonitrile/2-propanol containing 5% formic acid to an elution plate. Samples were run on a Waters Xevo TQ-XS triple quadrupole mass spectrometer connected to a Waters Acquity I-Class UPLC. Analytes were separated with gradient elution using an Acquity PFP column with a mobile phase A of 0.01% formic acid in water and a mobile phase B of acetonitrile. Samples were analyzed using fragmentation of  $PGD<sub>2</sub>$  and  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  (separated chromatographically) at m/z 351, PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> at m/z 353, 6-keto-PGF<sub>1 $\alpha$ </sub> at m/z 369, and TXB<sub>2</sub> at m/z 369. Prostanoid levels were normalized to total protein measured by Pierce BCA assay (Thermo Fisher Scientific; Waltham, MA) and reported as pg secreted prostanoid/µg total protein.

# **Prostaglandin ELISAs**

Following treatment of hMG with glucose, palmitic acid, infammatory cytokines, or respective vehicles for 2–96 h, media were collected and analyzed using Prostaglandin  $E_2$  or Prostaglandin  $F_{2\alpha}$  Monoclonal ELISA Kits (Cayman Chemical) according to the manufacturer's protocols. Sample concentrations were interpolated from prostaglandin standard curves using GraphPad Prism 10

software (La Jolla, CA) and reported as pg prostanoid/ml media. For the palmitic acid stimulation experiment, data were analyzed using a simple linear regression on Graph-Pad Prism 10.

#### **Proteome profler cytokine array**

hMG were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or DMSO vehicle for 6 h, then conditioned media were assayed with a Proteome Profler Human XL Cytokine Array Kit (R&D Systems) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Membrane pairs (vehicle- and  $PGE_2$ -treated) were imaged simultaneously using an Amersham Imager 600 chemiluminescent reader (GE Healthcare; Chicago, IL). Mean gray values of technical duplicates were recorded using Fiji/ImageJ (National Institutes of Health; Bethesda, MD) for analysis. Background levels were subtracted and mean gray values of image pairs were scaled by ratios of 1:1:3:6 to normalize data and account for diferences in chemiluminescent exposure of independent experiments.

#### **qRT‑PCR**

After treatment, cells were lysed, RNA was isolated using the RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen; Hilden, Germany), and cDNA was synthesized using the High-Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems; Waltham, MA). qRT-PCR was performed using a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems) with TaqMan Universal PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) and TaqMan probes as follows: *IL6* (Hs00985639\_ m1), *CXCL8* (Hs00174103\_m1), *IL1B* (Hs01555410\_m1), *ICAM1* (Hs00164932\_m1), *VCAM1* (Hs01003372\_m1), *SELE* (Hs00174057\_m1), *PTGDR* (Hs00235003\_m1), *PTGDR2* (Hs00173717\_m1), *PTGER1* (Hs00168752\_m1), *PTGER2* (Hs00168754\_m1), *PTGER3* (Hs00168755\_m1), *PTGER4* (Hs00168761\_m1), *PTGFR* (Hs00168763\_m1), *PTGIR* (Hs00168765\_m1), *TXA2R* (Hs00169054\_m1), *TBP* (Hs00427620\_m1). Gene expression fold change was normalized relative to *TBP* gene expression, which was unchanged in all experimental conditions.

# **Cytokine ELISAs**

Following treatment, hMG culture media were assayed using ProQuantum human IL-6, IL-8, and IL-1β Immunoassay ELISA kits (Invitrogen; Carlsbad, CA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Sample concentrations were interpolated from cytokine standard curves using GraphPad Prism 10 software and reported as pg cytokine/ml media.

#### **cAMP ELISAs**

hMG were cultured in 96-well plates to 90% confuence. Cells were pretreated for 1 h with PF-04418948, L-161,982, or DMSO vehicle where applicable. Cells were stimulated for 15 min with 1 nM–10 μM PGE<sub>2</sub> or DMSO vehicle to promote cAMP production. cAMP levels were measured from treated samples with a cAMP Assay Colorimetric Competitive ELISA Kit (ab234585; Abcam; Cambridge, United Kingdom) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Sample concentrations were interpolated from cAMP standard curves using GraphPad Prism 10 software and normalized to cAMP levels in vehicletreated controls.

# **Western blot**

After treatment, cells were harvested in RIPA bufer (Sigma-Aldrich) containing cOmplete Mini EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail tablets (Roche; Basel, Switzerland). Lysates were centrifuged at 10,000×*g* for 10 min, then supernatants were isolated for analysis. Total protein concentration was measured by BCA. Equal concentrations of protein were loaded and resolved on 4–20% Mini-PROTEAN TGX polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad; Hercules, CA), transferred using nitrocellulose transfer stacks on the iBlot 2 system (Invitrogen), and blocked in Intercept TBS Blocking Bufer (LI-COR; Lincoln, NE). Blots were stained with primary antibodies diluted in Blocking Bufer with 0.2% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich) as follows: rabbit anti-EP1 (#101740, 1:250; Cayman Chemical), rabbit anti-EP2 (#101750, 1:250; Cayman Chemical), rabbit anti-EP3 (#101760, 1:250; Cayman Chemical), rabbit anti-EP4 C-Term (#101775, 1:250; Cayman Chemical), mouse anti-ICAM-1 (sc-8439, 1:1000; Santa Cruz Biotechnology; Dallas, TX), rabbit anti-VCAM-1 (ab134047, 1:1000; Abcam), and mouse anti-β-actin (#3700, 1:1000; Cell Signaling Technology; Danvers, MA). Blots were washed four times in TBS with 0.1% Tween 20 then stained with secondary antibodies diluted in Blocking Bufer with 0.2% Tween 20 (Sigma-Aldrich) as follows: 680LT donkey anti-mouse (926-68022; 1:10000; LI-COR) and 800CW donkey anti-rabbit (926-32213, 1:10000; LI-COR). Blots were imaged on a LI-COR Odyssey CLx reader and quantifed using Fiji/ImageJ. Target protein levels were normalized to β-actin and reported as foldchange versus vehicle-treated samples.

#### **Static adhesion**

hRMEC were cultured in 24-well plates and treated in relevant conditions. Meanwhile, human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) obtained from Precision for Medicine (Frederick, MD) were stained with NucBlue Hoechst 33342 live cell stain (Invitrogen) for 20 min. PBMCs were pelleted and resuspended in fresh 10% serum EBM. Following hRMEC treatment with prostanoids for 6–10 h, treatment media were removed and approximately 250,000 PBMCs in 500 μl EBM were added per well. Culture plates were returned to the cell

culture incubator for 30 min. Following incubation, media were aspirated to remove nonadherent PBMCs, and wells were washed gently three times with warm Dulbecco's Phosphate-Buffered Saline (DPBS; Gibco). hRMEC monolayers with adherent PBMCs were fxed with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Electron Microscopy Sciences; Hatfeld, PA) in DPBS for 10 min at room temperature and subsequently washed twice with DPBS. Wells were imaged by capturing a 5-feld-by-5-feld 10xobjective stitched image in brightfeld (to ensure hRMEC monolayer integrity) and DAPI flter (to quantify adherent PBMCs) on a Nikon Eclipse Ti inverted microscope. DAPI-stained PBMCs were quantifed using Fiji/ ImageJ. Wells with hRMEC monolayers that were not intact were excluded from quantifcation. PBMC counts per well were normalized to the average count of PBMCs in vehicle-treated wells. Data from four independent experiments are shown  $(n=14-20$  per treatment).

#### **Statistical analysis**

Data analysis was performed using GraphPad Prism 10. Data are represented as mean±standard deviation (SD). Normality was assessed using Shapiro–Wilk tests with a signifcance level of 0.05 before applying parametric analyses. Two-way ANOVAs with Šídák post-hoc multiple comparison tests were used for LC–MS/MS experiments with two independent variables (treatment and prostanoid) in Figs. [1](#page-5-0) and [2](#page-6-0). Multiple ratio paired T tests with Holm-Šídák post-hoc multiple comparison tests were used for the cytokine array experiment in Fig. [3C](#page-7-0). Oneway ANOVAs with Dunnett (to compare to one relevant treatment group; ex: Fig. [5A](#page-10-0)–E) or Tukey (to compare all treatment groups; ex: Fig. [5F](#page-10-0)–H) post-hoc multiple comparison tests were used for experiments with one independent variable in Figs.  $3-7$  $3-7$ . The threshold for signifcance was *P*<0.05.

# **Results**

# **hMG produce PGE2 in conditions simulating systemic diabetes**

As diabetes afects the body systemically to lead to DR onset and progression, we aimed to characterize the efects of multiple systemic changes occurring in diabetes that may alter the production of prostanoids within the eye. We frst analyzed these responses in primary human Müller glia (hMG), cells essential for the initiation and propagation of retinal infammation in response to disease. Here, hMG were cultured for 24 h in media supplemented to model conditions of hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and chronic infammation occurring in diabetes, and prostanoid levels were measured by LC–MS/MS. In all three experiments, there was signifcant variation attributable to the prostanoid target as an independent variable, which indicates diferences in the baseline prostanoid levels in addition to any efects of treatment. First, hyperglycemia was modeled by supplementation of normal 5.5 mM D-glucose DMEM media, which represents the upper range of fasting plasma glucose levels of nondiabetic patients [[29](#page-19-7)], with an additional 24.5 mM D-glucose, which models fasting plasma glucose levels of severe diabetes, or 24.5 mM L-glucose as an osmotic control. Elevated D-glucose supplementation caused no signifcant changes in any prostanoid levels when compared to normal media or L-glucose supplemented media (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)A). ELISAs targeted to  $PGE_2$  and  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  confirmed that glucose supplementation did not afect these levels relative to normal glucose controls for up to 96 h of treatment (*supplemental* Fig. 1A, B), indicating that hyperglycemia is not a major contributor to prostanoid production by hMG. Second, dyslipidemia was modeled by supplementing media with 250 μM palmitic acid—the concentration of this free fatty acid in the bloodstreams of patients with type 2 diabetes [[30\]](#page-19-8)—and compared with vehicle supplementation. Palmitic acid stimulation resulted in a 4.30-fold elevation of  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$ , whereas other prostanoid levels were unchanged (Fig. [1B](#page-5-0)). This elevation of  $PGE$ <sub>2</sub> exhibited a linear trend over time, beginning with signifcant elevation after 4 h and maintained through 48 h of palmitic acid stimulation (*supplemental* Fig. 1C). Third, chronic inflammation resulting from systemic diabetes was modeled by the acute addition of proinfammatory cytokines to media. At equal concentrations of 1 ng/mL, the proinfammatory cytokine IL-1β, which is elevated in the serum and vitreous humor of patients with DR  $[31, 32]$  $[31, 32]$  $[31, 32]$  $[31, 32]$ , promoted the strongest elevation of prostanoid production in human Muller glia compared with TNFα, another cytokine also elevated in DR patient serum and vitreous humor, or lipopolysaccharide (LPS), an endotoxin found in gram-negative bacteria that serves as an infammatory stimulus not related to diabetes (*supplemental* Fig. 1D). Here, IL-1β signifcantly elevated PGE<sub>2</sub> by 25.1-fold and 6-keto-PGF<sub>1 $\alpha$ </sub>, a stable metabolite of PGI<sub>2</sub>, by 4.36-fold (Fig. [1](#page-5-0)C). Overall, conditions of hyperglycemia did not yield any changes in prostanoid production by hMG, but both dyslipidemia and inflammation resulted in elevated  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  levels in these cells.

# **hRMEC produce PGF2α in conditions simulating systemic diabetes**

Because the retina is composed of a wide variety of cell types each with distinct roles, we hypothesized that different cell types may produce and respond to prostanoids in discrete ways; therefore, we also studied the efects of systemic diabetes conditions on prostanoid production in hRMEC. As in hMG, hRMEC were cultured for



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Prostanoid production by hMG in conditions simulating systemic diabetes. hMG were stimulated with (**A**) additional 24.5 mM l-glucose or <sup>d</sup>-glucose, (**B**) 250 μM palmitic acid, or (**C**) 1 ng/mL recombinant IL-1β or relevant controls for 24 h, then media were collected for LC–MS/MS targeting PGD<sub>2</sub>, PGE<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2α</sub>, 6-keto-PGF<sub>1α</sub> (PGI<sub>2</sub> metabolite) and TXB<sub>2</sub> (TXA<sub>2</sub> metabolite). Data were normalized as pg prostanoid per μg of total protein from cell lysates (*n*=2–6). Data represent mean±SD. Two-way ANOVAs with Šídák post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P*<0.05, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001, ns (not signifcant) *P*>0.05

24 h in media supplemented with elevated glucose for hyperglycemia, palmitic acid for dyslipidemia, and IL-1β for chronic infammation. Subsequently, prostanoid levels were measured by LC–MS/MS. Two-way ANO-VAs showed that each independent variable (treatment or prostanoid target) as well as the interaction between



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Prostanoid production from hRMEC in conditions simulating systemic diabetes. hRMEC were stimulated with (**A**) 24.5 mM l-glucose or <sup>d</sup>-glucose, (**B**) 250 μM palmitic acid, or (**C**) 1 ng/mL recombinant IL-1β or relevant controls for 24 h, then media were collected for LC–MS/MS targeting PGD<sub>2</sub>, PGE<sub>2</sub>, PGF<sub>2α</sub>, 6-keto-PGF<sub>1α</sub> (PGI<sub>2</sub> metabolite) and TXB<sub>2</sub> (TXA<sub>2</sub> metabolite). Data were normalized as pg prostanoid per μg of total protein from cell lysates (n=2–6). Data represent mean±SD. Two-way ANOVAs with Šídák post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001, ns (not signifcant) *P*>0.05

them was a source of signifcant variability for hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and infammation experiments. Unlike hMG, hRMEC responded to hyperglycemic conditions with a 2.74-fold elevation of  $\mathrm{PGF}_{2\alpha}$  and a 2.46-fold elevation of  $TXB_2$ , a stable metabolite of  $TXA_2$ , in high D-glucose conditions relative to unsupplemented media controls (Fig. [2A](#page-6-0)). L-glucose supplementation as an osmotic control showed no signifcant change in any



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 3 PGE<sub>2</sub> stimulates elevation of proinflammatory cytokine levels. Representative cytokine arrays treated with hMG-conditioned media after 6 h of stimulation with (**A**) vehicle or (**B**) 1 μM PGE2. (**C**) Signifcantly altered targets averaged from all arrays (n=4). Multiple ratio paired T tests with Holm-Šídák post-hoc tests were used for 3C and adjusted *P* values are shown. (**D)** *IL6*, (**E**) *CXCL8*, and (**F**) *IL1B* qRT-PCR gene expression changes in hMG stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGE2 concentrations for 6 h (n=3–6). (**G**) IL-6, (**H**) IL-8, and (**I**) IL-1β ELISA protein level changes from media of hMG stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGE<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=2-4). Data represent mean±SD. One-way ANOVAs with Dunnett post-hoc tests were used for 3D-I. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001, ns (not signifcant) *P*>0.05

target versus unsupplemented media, indicating the efects observed by D-glucose stimulation are due to a hyperglycemic efect rather than an osmotic efect. In high palmitic acid conditions modeling dyslipidemia, hRMEC exhibited significant elevations of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  by 4.67fold,  $PGD<sub>2</sub>$  $PGD<sub>2</sub>$  $PGD<sub>2</sub>$  by 4.36-fold, and  $TXB<sub>2</sub>$  by 2.37-fold (Fig. 2B). Finally, when treated with IL-1β as a model of chronic inflammation, only elevation of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  by 2.68-fold in hRMEC was observed, whereas other prostanoids were not signifcantly changed relative to vehicle (Fig. [2](#page-6-0)C). Together, these data show an elevation of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  most consistently in hRMEC cultured under conditions modeling diabetes.

# **PGE2 stimulates proinfammatory cytokine expression in hMG**

Based on the most potent and consistent production of  $PGE_2$  from hMG in response to conditions of systemic diabetes, we sought to investigate the autocrine efects of this elevated prostanoid on cytokine production by hMG, which could drive retinal infammation key to early DR progression. To model these efects, hMG were stimulated with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> or vehicle for 6 h, and conditioned media were tested in a Proteome Profler cytokine array of human cytokine and chemokine responses. Arrays suggest upregulation of numerous targets relevant broadly to infammatory and/or angiogenic responses due to  $PGE$ <sub>2</sub> stimulation (Fig.  $3A-C$  $3A-C$ ). Five targets were significantly elevated by  $PGE_2$  in four independent experiments: CXCL1 ( $GRO\alpha$ ), hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), IL-6, IL-8, and VEGF (Fig. [3C](#page-7-0)).

In studying these responses of hMG to putative autocrine  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  signaling further, we validated the effects of elevated  $PGE_2$  on gene and protein levels of the DRrelevant targets IL-6 and IL-8, which have been wellcharacterized in DR pathogenesis [[32](#page-19-10)[–34](#page-19-11)], as well as IL-1β, which stimulated strong prostanoid production in Figs. [1](#page-5-0)C and [2](#page-6-0)C and is also known to drive DR progres-sion [[31,](#page-19-9) [32\]](#page-19-10). The effects of  $PGE_2$  in promoting proangiogenic VEGF production in mouse Müller glia has been previously published by our laboratory [\[35](#page-19-12)], so this response was not reinvestigated here. hMG showed an elevation of *IL6*, *CXCL8* (IL-8), and *IL1B* gene expression when stimulated with increasing concentrations of  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  for 6 h. Target gene expression was normalized to *TBP* gene expression, which was unchanged in all experiments, (Fig. [3](#page-7-0)D–F). Additionally, cytokine ELI-SAs showed signifcantly elevated protein levels of IL-6 and IL-8 in the media of cells after 6 h of stimulation with  $PGE_2$  concentrations (Fig. [3G](#page-7-0), [H\)](#page-7-0). However, despite robust effects of PGE<sub>2</sub> on *IL1B* gene expression, ELISAs yielded extremely low concentrations of IL-1β protein in both control and  $\mathrm{PGE}_2$ -treated samples, not significantly diferent from each other (Fig. [3I](#page-7-0)).

#### **PGE2‑induced cytokine elevation in hMG is mediated by the EP2 receptor**

 $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  signals with high affinity via four GPCRs with different downstream Gα subunit coupling. With these distinct downstream signaling pathways, determining the EP receptor(s) by which  $PGE_2$  signals to elevate cytokine expression in hMG is important to identify therapeutic targets. hMG express all four EP receptors as determined by raw qRT-PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values for each EP receptor gene in unstimulated cells, where a lower Ct represents a higher baseline expression (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)A). Furthermore, EP1-4 protein levels were also detected by western blot in unstimulated hMG cultures (supplemental Fig. S2).

Here, hMG were pretreated for 1 h with vehicle or 100 nM–1 μM of a selective antagonist to each EP receptor: SC-51322 for EP1, PF-04418948 for EP2, DG-041 for EP3, or L-161,982 for EP4. Subsequently, 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> was added to stimulate cytokine production.

Cytokine gene expression was evaluated after two timepoints of  $PGE_2$  stimulation—2 h and 6 h—to optimally assess peak expression of individual targets, which could difer from the representative-yet-isolated timepoint assessed in Fig. [3](#page-7-0). Stimulation of hMG for longer times did not further elevate gene expression levels (*supplemental* Fig. 3). *IL6* expression was maximally elevated after 2 h of  $PGE_2$  stimulation, and only the EP2 antagonist PF-04418948 signifcantly reduced *IL6* expression (Fig. [4B](#page-9-0); *supplemental* Fig. 4A, B). *CXCL8* and *IL1B* expression were maximally elevated after 6 h of stimulation; similarly, only PF-04418948 decreased *IL1B* and *CXCL8* expression (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)C, [D;](#page-9-0) *supplemental* Fig. 4C). A high concentration of the EP4 antagonist L-161,982 also caused a small, yet signifcant, decrease in *CXCL8* expres-sion after 6 h, likely due to off-target effects (Fig. [4D](#page-9-0)). No other EP receptor antagonist decreased  $PGE_2$ -induced gene expression, suggesting that these proinfammatory efects are driven by the EP2 receptor.

Secreted cytokine levels of IL-6 and IL-8 were analyzed by ELISA after 6 h and 10 h of  $PGE_2$  stimulation, optimized for peak timing. After 6 h, IL-6 was signifcantly elevated in culture medium by  $PGE_2$ , and only PF-04418948 treatment inhibited IL-6 production and secretion (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)E; *supplemental* Fig. 4D). After 10 h, IL-8 was maximally elevated by  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  and IL-6 remained elevated, while only PF-04418948 inhibited cytokine production and secretion (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)F; *supplemental* Fig. 4E).

Downstream GPCR activation was assayed by hMG production of cAMP, the downstream effector of  $Ga_{s}$ coupled GPCRs including EP2 and EP4. cAMP levels



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Fig. 4 PGE<sub>2</sub>-EP2 signaling mediates proinflammatory cytokine production in hMG. (A) qRT-PCR cycle thresholds of prostanoid receptor genes in unstimulated hMG (n=3). (B) /L6 gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGE<sub>2</sub> ± prostanoid receptor antagonist for 2 h (n=3-4). (**C**) *IL1B* and (**D**) *CXCL8* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGE<sub>2</sub>± prostanoid receptor antagonist for 6 h (n=3–4). (**E**) IL-6 protein levels in culture media from hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGE<sub>2</sub> ± prostanoid receptor antagonist for 6 h (n = 3-4). (**F**) IL-8 protein levels in culture media from hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGE<sub>2</sub> ± prostanoid receptor antagonist for 10 h (n=3-4). (**G**) cAMP production from hMG stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGE<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 15 min (n=6). (H) cAMP production from hMG stimulated with vehicle or 1 μM PGE<sub>2</sub>±EP2 or EP4 antagonists for 15 min (n=6). (**I**) *IL6*, (**J**) *CXCL8*, and (**K**) *IL1B* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or 100 pg/mL IL-1β±EP2 antagonist for 6 h (n=4). (**L**) *IL6*, (**M**) *CXCL8*, and (**N**) *IL1B* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or 250 μM palmitic acid±EP2 antagonist for 24 h (n=4). Data represent mean±SD. One-way ANOVAs with Dunnett post-hoc tests were used for 4B-G. One-way ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests were used for 4H-N. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001, ns (not signifcant) *P*>0.05

were dose-dependently elevated in hMG with increasing PGE<sub>2</sub> concentrations after  $15$  min of stimulation (Fig. [4G](#page-9-0)). Additionally, pretreatment with 100 nM or 1 μM of PF-04418948 for 1 h fully inhibited the elevation

of cAMP induced by 1 μM PGE<sub>2</sub>, whereas 100 nM or 1 μM of the EP4 antagonist L-161,982 had no efect on  $PGE_{2}$ -stimulated cAMP levels (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)H). Together, these



<span id="page-10-0"></span>**Fig. 5** PGF2α-FP signaling promotes proinfammatory cytokine production in hMG. (**A**) *IL6*, (**B**) *CXCL8*, and (**C**) *IL1B* qRT-PCR gene expression changes in hMG stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGF<sub>2α</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=3). (**D)** IL-6 and (**E**) IL-8 ELISA protein level changes from media of hMG stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGF<sub>2α</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=4). (**F**) *IL6* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGF<sub>2α</sub>±FP receptor antagonist for 2 h (n=3). (G) *CXCL8* and (H) *IL1B* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGF<sub>2α</sub> ±FP receptor antagonist for 6 h (n=3). Data represent mean±SD. One-way ANOVAs with Dunnett post-hoc tests were used for 5A-E. One-way ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests were used for 5F-H. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001

results support a role for the EP2 receptor in mediating the proinflammatory effects of  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  in hMG.

Finally, the capacity for EP2 antagonism to prevent cytokine elevation by diabetes-relevant conditions was modeled by stimulating hMG with IL-1β or palmitic acid, stimuli that promoted  $PGE_2$  production in Fig. [1,](#page-5-0) in the presence or absence of PF-04418948. hMG were pretreated with 100 nM PF-04418948 or vehicle for 1 h followed by stimulation. At 100  $pg/mL$ , IL-1β significantly elevated *IL6*, *CXCL8*, and *IL1B* gene expression after 6 h of stimulation, and PF-04418948 signifcantly inhibited *CXCL8* induction by 12.8% and *IL1B* induction by 18.6% (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)I–K). However, *IL6* induction was not signifcantly inhibited by PF-04418948 in these conditions (Fig. [4I](#page-9-0)). Similarly, 250 μM palmitic acid promoted *IL6*, *CXCL8*, and *IL1B* expression after 24 h of stimulation, and PF-04418948 signifcantly inhibited *IL1B* induction by 35.6% and inhibited *IL6* induction by 21.7%, though this was not statistically significant ( $p=0.0574$ ) (Fig.  $4L-N$  $4L-N$ ). Here, *CXCL8* expression was not inhibited by antagonist treatment (Fig.  $4M$  $4M$ ). These experiments link PGE<sub>2</sub>-EP2 signaling more directly to the infammatory activation of hMG under conditions modeling aspects of systemic diabetes, yet the partial reductions with antagonist treatment enforce the notion that there are many distinct proinfammatory pathways active in such conditions in addition to the effects of PGE<sub>2</sub>.

# **Paracrine‑like signaling of PGF2α via the FP receptor promotes proinfammatory cytokine production in hMG**

Retinal cells reside in close proximity in vivo, so paracrine signaling through secreted molecules may critically impact the disease processes within the eye. Therefore, select paracrine roles were assayed in vitro by modeling the effects of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ , the highest upregulated prostanoid observed by LC–MS/MS in hRMEC, on hMG cytokine production. Here, hMG were stimulated with increasing concentrations of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  for a single representative period of 6 h as in Fig. [3.](#page-7-0) Gene expression of *IL6*, *CXCL8*, and *IL1B* (Fig. [5A](#page-10-0)–C) and protein levels of IL-6 and IL-8 (Fig. [5](#page-10-0)D, [E](#page-10-0)) were increased by  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  dose-dependently, similarly to what was observed for the presumed autocrine signaling of  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  in these cells.

PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> signals with specificity for a single G $\alpha_{\alpha}$ -coupled receptor, the FP receptor, which is also expressed in hMG (Fig. [4](#page-9-0)A). In order to determine if these effects of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  were specific this cognate receptor, cytokine gene expression was assayed using the FP receptor antagonist AL8810, which has reported  $\rm K_i$  values ranging from 2.6 μM to 5.7 μM in various human ocular cell types [[36–](#page-19-13)[38](#page-19-14)].

hMG were pretreated with AL8810 for 1 h followed by stimulation with 10  $\mu$ M PGF<sub>2a</sub> for 2 h or 6 h, optimized

for the time of peak gene expression of the individual gene targets as in Fig. [4](#page-9-0). After 2 h of  $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$  stimulation, *IL6* gene expression was maximally induced and 10 μM AL8810 significantly inhibited the effects of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ (Fig. [5F](#page-10-0)). Gene expression of *CXCL8* and *IL1B* were also induced at lower levels by  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  after 2 h of stimulation (*supplemental* Fig. [5A](#page-10-0), [B\)](#page-10-0). After 6 h of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  stimulation, both *CXCL8* and *IL1B* were maximally expressed, prevented in each case by AL8810 (Fig. [5G](#page-10-0), [H](#page-10-0)). *IL6* expression after  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  stimulation was not maximally expressed at this timepoint (*supplemental* Fig. 5C). Collectively, these results suggest that  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ , which may be derived from retinal microvascular endothelial cells in situ, might elicit a paracrine response from Müller glia by promoting cytokine production via the FP receptor.

# **PGF2α, but not PGE2, promotes adhesion of leukocytes to hRMEC**

Infammation occurring in DR can promote dysfunction throughout the retina, notably including the adhesion of circulating leukocytes to the endothelium, known as leukostasis. This can be modeled experimentally in hRMEC by studying the gene and protein levels of key adhesion molecules, including E-selectin, which mediates the initial capture of leukocytes by the endothelium, as well as ICAM-1 and VCAM-1, which promote frm anchoring of leukocytes to the capillary walls [\[39\]](#page-19-15). Additionally, this behavior may be modeled in vitro by static adhesion assays, comparing the adhesion of human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) added to treated or untreated hRMEC monolayers.

To investigate the putative autocrine and paracrine efects of prostanoids on leukostasis outcomes, we stimulated hRMEC with  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ , induced in hRMEC under diabetic conditions to model autocrine signaling, or  $PGE_2$ , induced in hMG under such conditions to model paracrine signaling. PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> promoted an elevation of *ICAM1*, *VCAM1*, and *SELE* (E-selectin) gene expression after 6 h of stimulation with increasing prostaglandin stimulation (Fig.  $6A-C$  $6A-C$ ). Additionally, PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> stimulated ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 protein expression in these conditions (Fig.  $6G$ , [H](#page-12-0)). Notably, PGE<sub>2</sub> only promoted adhesion gene expression at the highest 10 μM concentrations and at levels that were only 49–68% of the effects of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ on these genes (Fig.  $6D-F$  $6D-F$ ). Furthermore, PGE<sub>2</sub> failed to induce adhesion protein expression at any concentration (Fig.  $6I-J$ ), suggesting that paracrine PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling does not promote leukostasis-relevant behaviors in hRMEC. In static adhesion assays to model leukostasis in vitro,  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  dose-dependently promoted PBMC adhesion to hRMEC monolayers at 1 μM and higher concentrations (Fig. [6](#page-12-0)K–M), strengthening our notions about the

role of autocrine PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> signaling in hRMEC-leukocyte adhesion.

# **Leukocyte adhesion in hRMEC is mediated by the FP receptor of PGF<sub>2α</sub>**

 $PGF_{2\alpha}$  signaling was analyzed at the primary receptor for this prostanoid, the FP receptor, which is expressed in hRMEC as determined by baseline qRT-PCR cycle threshold values of unstimulated cells (Fig. [7](#page-14-0)A). Pharmacologic inhibition assays were performed using the FP-selective antagonist AL8810. Here, hRMEC were pretreated with vehicle or AL8810 at 100 nM-10 μM for 30 min, then 10  $\mu$ M PGF<sub>2a</sub> was added to stimulate adhesion. After stimulation for 6 h, *SELE*, *ICAM1*, and *VCAM1* gene expression levels in hRMEC were decreased dose-dependently by AL8810 pretreatment down to vehicle-treated levels (Fig. [7](#page-14-0)B–D). Further, AL8810 pretreatment decreased ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 protein levels in hRMEC to vehicle-treated levels after 10 h of PG[F](#page-14-0)<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> or vehicle stimulation (Fig. [7E](#page-14-0), F). Finally, AL8810 dose-dependently prevented PBMC adhesion to hRMEC in a static adhesion assay under stimulation by  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  for 10 h (Fig. [7G](#page-14-0)–J).

To evaluate the extent to which  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -FP signaling may be responsible for the induction of leukocyte adhesion in hRMEC, cells were stimulated in the diabetes-relevant conditions modeling hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and chronic infammation, each of which elevated PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> production in Fig. [2](#page-6-0), in the presence or absence of AL8810. hRMEC were pretreated with 10 μM AL8810 or vehicle for 30 min followed by stimulation. After 6 h of stimulation with 100 pg/mL IL-1β, expression of *ICAM1*, *VCAM1*, and *SELE* were each signifcantly elevated, and AL8810 signifcantly inhibited expression by 13.8%, 33.5%, and 33.3%, respectively (Fig. [7K](#page-14-0)–M). Stimulation with 250 μM palmitic acid for 24 h also signifcantly promoted expression of all three targets, but AL8810 failed to reduce their expression (*supplemental* Fig. 6A–C). Lastly, culturing cells with elevated D-glucose only modestly elevated target gene expression, signifcant only for *ICAM1*, and the diference with AL8810 treatment was not signifcant for any target (*supplemental* Fig. 6D–F). Together, these results, like the collateral experiments for PGE<sub>2</sub>-EP2 in hMG in Fig. [4](#page-9-0), provide a partial link between  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -FP signaling and direct inflammatory activation of hRMEC in conditions modeling aspects of diabetes. However, the differential efficacy of AL8810 against these three stimuli underscores the complex, multifactorial infammatory processes involved in these cells.

#### **Discussion**

Our fndings show that prostanoid signaling has discrete proinfammatory roles in gene, protein, and cell behavior assays relevant to the early infammatory stage of diabetic retinopathy. Previous basic and clinical research has targeted COX-1 and/or COX-2 inhibition by NSAIDs as a therapeutic strategy to limit DR progression due to the noted anti-infammatory benefts of these drugs. However, the mixed successes observed in these trials prompted our effort to identify more selective targets in the COX/prostanoid signaling pathways. Using experimental approaches of relevance to early DR, our fndings suggest that dysregulation of only select prostanoids, rather than all fve prostanoids as targeted by NSAIDs, promotes inflammatory retinal pathologies. Therapeutic modulation of single receptors could inhibit pathogenic prostanoid signaling while leaving non-pathogenic prostanoid signaling pathways unaltered. We hypothesize that this may be important for a variety of other essential tissue responses in normal and disease conditions.

 $PGE$ <sub>2</sub> was significantly elevated in cultures of  $hMG$ under conditions modeling systemic dyslipidemia and infammation that are experienced by patients with diabetes mellitus but not under conditions modeling hyperglycemia. This result aligns with clinical findings that  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  levels were 53% higher in the vitreous humor of patients with PDR compared to nondiabetic control vit-reous samples [\[40\]](#page-19-16). In contrast,  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  was the only prostanoid produced at elevated levels in cultures of hRMEC after stimulation with conditions relevant to hyperglycemia, dyslipidemia, and infammation, each of the three conditions of systemic diabetes tested. This effect is also substantiated by clinical results. One study found the PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> metabolite 13,14-dihydro-PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> was 178% higher in PDR patient vitreous samples compared to nondiabetic

<sup>(</sup>See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-12-0"></span>**Fig. 6** PGF<sub>20</sub>, but not PGE<sub>2</sub>, promotes leukostasis-relevant activity at gene, protein, and cell behavior levels in hRMEC. (**A**) *ICAM1*, **(B)** *VCAM1*, and (**C**) *SELE* qRT-PCR gene expression changes in hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGF<sub>20</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=3). (D) *ICAM1*, (E) *VCAM1*, and (**F**) *SELE* qRT-PCR gene expression changes in hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGE<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=3). (**G**) ICAM-1 and (**H**) VCAM-1 western blot protein levels and representative blots from hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGF<sub>20</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=4). (**I**) ICAM-1 and (**J**) VCAM-1 protein levels and representative western blots from hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or elevating PGE<sub>2</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=4). Representative images of static PBMC adhesion after (K) vehicle or (L) 10 μM PGF<sub>2α</sub> stimulation for 6 h. (M) Static adhesion results with vehicle or elevating PGF<sub>20</sub> concentrations for 6 h (n=14–20). Data represent mean ± SD. One-way ANOVAs with Dunnett post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001, ns (not signifcant) *P*>0.05



**Fig. 6** (See legend on previous page.)

controls [[41\]](#page-19-17). Similarly, serum levels of the  $\text{PGF}_{2\alpha}$  metabolite 15-keto-dihydro-PGF<sub>2a</sub> were significantly higher in PDR patients compared with either NPDR patients or diabetic patients with no DR  $[42]$ . These clinical findings support our hypothesis that individual prostanoid levels and/or the synthase enzymes driving prostanoid production may be dysregulated in DR, yet their diferences also add complexity to the cell-type specifc changes observed in our experiments. The different prostanoid production profles from hMG and hRMEC stimulated to model systemic diabetes may refect the distinct roles these two retinal cell types play in initiating, propagating, and sustaining retinal infammation in DR.

Müller glia are critical regulators of the retina's response to damaging stimuli, necessary to help maintain homeostasis and promote healthy retinal function [\[12](#page-18-9)]. The wide-ranging roles of these cells in sustaining the retinal environment—including neurotransmitter release, ion buffering, blood flow regulation, and cell metabolism support—indicate that normal Müller glia function is essential to retinal homeostasis [[7,](#page-18-4) [12\]](#page-18-9). Activation of these cells by disease-relevant conditions promotes cytokine and chemokine production that is a major driver of early-stage DR  $[6, 7, 12]$  $[6, 7, 12]$  $[6, 7, 12]$  $[6, 7, 12]$  $[6, 7, 12]$ . Here we also show that PGE<sub>2</sub>, which is produced by activated hMG, can initiate and propagate infammatory cascades in these cells that support sustained DR progression and suggest the importance of autocrine prostanoid signaling. This finding may explain results from the subset of past clinical trials demonstrating that NSAID use slowed DR progression [\[18](#page-18-15), [19\]](#page-18-16). NSAIDs, by defnition, inhibit COX to reduce global prostanoid production,  $PGE_2$  included. Our findings are consistent with the conclusion that inhibiting COX production of proinflammatory  $PGE_2$  may partly or wholly explain the retinal benefts observed in these clinical studies.

While our cytokine array results revealed several cytokines and chemokines elevated by  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  stimulation, we limited our subsequent validation of cytokine levels to IL-6, IL-8, and IL-1β due to their known and well characterized roles in DR-related infammation [\[31–](#page-19-9)[34\]](#page-19-11). Elevation of VEGF levels by  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$ , an effect most relevant to PDR and angiogenesis, has been previously characterized and published by our lab in mouse Müller glia [\[35](#page-19-12)]; therefore, we did not reinvestigate VEGF gene expression or protein levels as readouts in this study. To date, there is limited evidence to support the roles of CXCL1 or HGF in DR pathogenesis. CXCL1, which is in the same chemokine family as IL-8, increased DR-relevant bloodretina barrier permeability in one study  $[43]$ . HGF was measured at elevated levels in the vitreous humor of PDR patients compared to nondiabetic controls in three studies and is thus hypothesized to be pro-angiogenic, yet functional consequences of this elevation are not characterized [\[44–](#page-19-20)[46\]](#page-19-21). One study measured elevated HGF levels in the retinas of mice with STZ-induced diabetes and showed that HGF supplementation improved pericyte survival after TNFα stimulation, suggesting potential relevance of HGF in vascular permeability in DR [[47\]](#page-19-22). Together, CXCL1 and HGF could also be relevant to early-stage DR despite limited studies in this experimental context. Therefore, these additional cytokines constitute reasonable targets for future investigation of the propagation of DR-relevant infammation, particularly in Müller glia.

Further evidence of potentially distinct roles for each cytokine in the infammatory cascade of DR pathogenesis may be found in the temporally distinct peaks of cytokine levels in this study. IL-6, IL-8, and IL-1β gene and/or protein levels were measured at maximal levels in hMG after diferent durations of prostaglandin stimulation: 2 or 6 h, depending on the target. The functionality of receptor antagonists to inhibit cytokine production and sustain these efects at two timepoints underscores the broad anti-infammatory benefts that selective EP2 and/or FP receptor antagonists may provide in these cells.

Moreover, by determining that the EP2 receptor mediates proinflammatory effects of  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  in hMG, we have identifed a single receptor to serve as a highly specifc therapeutic target. Work from our laboratory and others has corroborated a central role of PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling relevant to DR progression. One group studied the EP2 receptor as a driver of NPDR-relevant retinal endothelial cell infammation as well as retinal vascular leakage, edema, capillary degeneration, and leukostasis in STZ-induced diabetic rats  $[48]$  $[48]$ . However, this group used an antagonist

<sup>(</sup>See fgure on next page.)

<span id="page-14-0"></span>**Fig. 7** PGF<sub>20</sub>-FP signaling mediates leukocyte adhesion in hRMEC. (A) qRT-PCR cycle thresholds of prostanoid receptor genes in unstimulated hRMEC (n=3). (**B**) *ICAM1*, (**C**) *VCAM1*, and (**D**) *SELE* gene expression in hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or PGF2α±FP receptor antagonist for 6 h (n = 3). (**E**) ICAM-1 and (**F**) VCAM-1 western blot protein levels and representative blots from hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or PGF<sub>2α</sub> ± FP receptor antagonist for 10 h (n=4). Representative images of static PBMC adhesion after (**G**) vehicle, (H) 10 μM PGF<sub>2α</sub>, or (I) 10 μM PGF<sub>2α</sub>+10 μM FP receptor antagonist treatment. (**J**) Static adhesion results with vehicle or PGF<sub>20</sub> ±FP receptor antagonist for 10 h (n=15–18). (**K**) *ICAM1*, (**L**) *VCAM1*, and (**M**) *SELE* gene expression in hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or 100 pg/mL IL-1β±FP antagonist for 6 h (n=4). Data represent mean±SD. One-way ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01, \*\*\**P*<0.001, \*\*\*\**P*<0.0001















Vehicle G









that is not specifc for the EP2 receptor [\[49](#page-19-24)], prompting our further investigation to confrm that these efects are due to EP2 signaling. Furthermore,  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  signaling also has been indicated in PDR-relevant behaviors in vitro and in vivo. Our lab has demonstrated broad roles of EP4 signaling, including VEGF induction in COX-2-null mouse Müller glia, stimulation of hRMEC proliferation and tube formation, and exacerbation of the pathological response in the oxygen-induced retinopathy model use to generate PDR-like pre-retinal neovascularization [[35\]](#page-19-12). Other studies have also shown that EP2 and, to a greater extent, EP3 signaling also promote angiogenesis in rat and mouse retinas [\[50](#page-19-25), [51\]](#page-19-26). Collectively, these studies demonstrate a pathogenic role for PGE<sub>2</sub> signaling via various EP receptors in multiple stages and pathologies of DR. The modern development of novel, highly selective EP receptor agonists and antagonists will further clarify the diferences observed in past studies to advance therapeutic development.

The retinal vasculature is the primary site of DR pathology, so retinal microvascular endothelial cell dysfunction caused by treatment with diabetes-relevant stimuli can model certain aspects of DR in the cell culture setting. After observing the elevation of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  in hRMEC—different from  $PGE_2$  elevation in hMG—we concluded that these two cell types have distinct signaling mechanisms, which refects the discrete roles of each cell type in the complex retinal architecture and in their responses to disease. PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> has been studied in relation to DR in multiple cell types and behaviors. Interestingly, both benefcial and detrimental efects have been ascribed to PGF<sub>2α</sub> signaling. In one context, PGF<sub>2α</sub> signaling via the FP receptor prevented glucose-induced apoptosis of cultured human retinal pericytes, a complication indicative of early-stage DR, thereby suggesting a protective role of PGF<sub>2α</sub> against DR progression [\[52](#page-19-27)]. In contrast, PGF<sub>2α</sub>-FP signaling promoted proliferation, migration, and tube formation of hRMEC as well as exacerbated retinal angiogenesis in oxygen-induced retinopathy mice, together indicating a pathological role of this prostanoid in latestage proliferative DR [[42](#page-19-18)]. Our results show that the roles of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  in hRMEC leukostasis endpoints, relevant to early-stage DR, align with the second study mentioned here to drive DR progression. Both the infammatory readouts described here and the angiogenic behaviors described by Zhao et al. [\[42](#page-19-18)] of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -FP signaling in retinal endothelial cells, in contrast to the protection from apoptosis in pericytes, provide further evidence that prostanoid signaling in the retina may have complex, cell type-specifc roles that demand precise targeting for therapeutic beneft.

Nonetheless, Müller glia and endothelial cells do not exist in isolation in the retina; their immediate proximity important in healthy and diseased retinas. We probed the possibility of paracrine signaling by stimulating hMG and hRMEC with the prostanoid produced most highly by the opposing cell type: hMG were stimulated with  $\mathrm{PGF}_{2\alpha}$ and hRMEC were stimulated with PGE<sub>2</sub>. Here, PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> stimulated cytokine production in hMG, and this efect was inhibited by the FP receptor antagonist AL8810. Interestingly, PGE<sub>2</sub> did not stimulate adhesion molecule expression in hRMEC. These results modeling paracrine signaling show additional complexity of retinal lipid signaling, as  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  had bioactivity in the behaviors of two retinal cell types yet PGE<sub>2</sub> affected only one cell type. This further underscores the need for receptor-specific and cell type-specifc therapeutic targeting of prostanoid signaling.

In testing the EP2 antagonist PF-04498148 or the FP antagonist AL8810 against stimuli modeling aspects of systemic diabetes in hMG or hRMEC, respectively, our results underscore the multifaceted disease processes that can drive infammatory readouts relevant to DR. Prostanoid receptor antagonists yielded between 12.8% and 35.6% prevention of target gene expression in diferent conditions, although not all disease-relevant induction of expression could be inhibited by antagonists in the conditions tested. These partial, statistically significant efects indicate that, while the DR-relevant conditions we used here as in vitro models contribute to infammatory propagation by multiple distinct processes,  $PGE_2-EP2$ and  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ -FP signaling mechanisms are important components of this complex disease.

An important limitation of our approach is the high concentrations of prostaglandin stimulus used in some experiments. Our LC–MS/MS results translate to physiologic concentrations of up to 28.2 nM for  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  in hMG and 6.71 nM for  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  in hRMEC. In PDR patients, PGE<sub>2</sub> levels were measured at  $25.11 \pm 11$  pg/mL in the vitreous humor, compared with  $16.40 \pm 7$  pg/mL in non-diabetic patients [[40\]](#page-19-16). Similarly, 13,14-dihydro-PGF<sub>2α</sub>, a metabolite of  $PGF_{2\alpha}$ , was measured with a mean of 31.09 pg/mL in PDR patient vitreous humor versus 11.19 pg/mL in nondiabetic eyes $[41]$  $[41]$ . Due to limitations of our cell culture models, we chose to optimize shortterm (2–10 h) stimulation of retinal cell types in vitro employing relatively high concentrations of prostaglandin necessary to elicit infammatory responses. In the diabetic patient, endogenous prostanoids are elevated at lower concentrations for years or decades over the period disease progression. It is certainly reasonable to ask if these two conditions have pathophysiological homology, but we believe that valuable information is yielded by our approach, nonetheless. As for our prostanoid receptor-focused experiments, we used prostanoid



<span id="page-17-0"></span>**Fig. 8** Proposed mechanisms of DR-relevant prostanoid signaling in Müller glia and retinal endothelial cells

receptor antagonists at or slightly above their reported  $K_i$ values to avoid off-target effects and found that, even at physiologic levels, EP2 or FP receptor antagonists completely blocked stimulation by elevated PGE<sub>2</sub> or PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub>, respectively.

Our study was further limited to only two primary human cell types. Those we studied are of the utmost importance for DR progression: Müller glia in the homeostatic regulation of the retinal microenvironment for all cells and retinal endothelial cells in all vascular pathologies that defne diabetic retinopathy. Still, the responses of all other retinal cell types to conditions of systemic diabetes may also be divergent from the  $PGE_2$  produced by hMG and the  $PGF_{2\alpha}$  produced by hRMEC, and paracrine signaling efects of these two prostanoids on any other retinal cell types also remains unanswered. Future studies in retinal explants or animal models of DR would help to address the complexity of multi-cell interactions in the retina, necessary for the next steps toward therapeutic development of targeted prostanoid receptor antagonists for patients. The single cell type studies described here have yielded initial analyses of the production and molecular targets of prostanoids in early-stage DR, providing a foundation to support future translational work on this subject.

In summary, we analyzed prostanoid signaling in two retinal cell types to identify molecular targets for infammation relevant to early-stage DR, which currently lacks any clinical intervention. We found that primary hMG cultured in conditions modeling systemic diabetes elevate production of  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$ , which promotes proinfammatory cytokine production via the EP2 receptor. Additionally, primary hRMEC cultured in diabetic conditions produce  $\mathrm{PGF}_{2\alpha}$  most consistently, which stimulates markers of leukostasis through the FP receptor. We also modeled the putative paracrine signaling capacity of hRMEC-derived PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> to promote cytokine production in hMG, yet  $PGE<sub>2</sub>$  did not have any efect on hRMEC leukostasis markers. Our results are summarized graphically in Fig. [8.](#page-17-0) Together, our results suggest complex, cell type-specifc roles for two diferent prostanoids and their receptors in pathologies that characterize the early inflammatory stages of DR. The mechanisms defned here will inform the future development of targeted therapies to modulate prostanoid signaling that may address NPDR without adverse side efects observed from the use of NSAIDs.

# **Supplementary Information**

The online version contains supplementary material available at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-024-03319-w) [org/10.1186/s12974-024-03319-w.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12974-024-03319-w)

Supplementary Material 1. A) PGE<sub>2</sub> and B) PGF<sub>2 $\alpha$ </sub> measurement from hMG media after treatment with normal glucose, L-glucose, or D-glucose for 24-96 hours ( $n = 2-4$ ). C) Simple linear regression of PGE<sub>2</sub> production from hMG media after stimulation with palmitic acid or vehicle for 2-48 hours  $(n = 3-6)$ . D) PGE<sub>2</sub> measurement from hMG media after stimulation with equal 1 ng/ml concentrations of LPS, TNFα, IL-1β, or vehicle for 24 hours  $(n = 3)$ . Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Statistically significant differences are represented as \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, ns (not signifcant) *P* > 0.05

Supplementary Material 2. Western blots of EP1, EP2, EP3, and EP4 receptor protein in three independent cultures of unstimulated hMG.

Supplementary Material 3. A) *IL6*, B) *CXCL8*, and C) *IL1B* gene expression in hMG after stimulation with 1  $\mu$ M PGE<sub>2</sub> for 2, 6, 12, or 24 hours. Data are normalized relative to DMSO vehicle-treated samples for the respective timepoints ( $n = 3$ ). Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD.

Supplementary Material 4. A) *CXCL8* and B) *IL1B* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or  $PGE_2 \pm$  prostanoid receptor antagonist for 2 hours (n = 3-4). C) *IL6* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGE<sub>2</sub> ± prostanoid receptor antagonist for 6 hours (n = 3-4). D) IL-8 protein levels in culture media from hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGE<sub>2</sub> ± prostanoid receptor antagonist for 6 hours (n = 3-4). E) IL-6 protein levels in culture media from hMG stimulated with vehicle or  $PGE_2 \pm$  prostanoid receptor antagonist for 10 hours ( $n = 3-4$ ). Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD. One-way ANOVAs with Dunnett post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001,  $***P<sub>0.0001</sub>$ .

Supplementary Material 5. A) *IL1B* and B) *CXCL8* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGF<sub>20</sub>  $\pm$  FP receptor antagonist for 2 hours (n = 3). C) *IL6* gene expression in hMG stimulated with vehicle or PGF<sub>2α</sub> ± FP receptor antagonist for 6 hours ( $n = 3$ ). Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Oneway ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P* < 0.05, \*\**P* < 0.01, \*\*\**P* < 0.001, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001.

Supplementary Material 6. A) *ICAM1*, B) *VCAM1*, and C) *SELE* gene expression in hRMEC stimulated with vehicle or 250  $\mu$ M palmitic acid  $\pm$ FP receptor antagonist for 24 hours (n = 4). D) *ICAM1*, E) *VCAM1*, and F) *SELE* gene expression in hRMEC cultured in media with normal glucose, additional 24.5 mM L-glucose, or additional 24.5 mM D-glucose  $\pm$  FP receptor antagonist for 24 hours ( $n = 4$ ). Data represent mean  $\pm$  SD. Oneway ANOVAs with Tukey post-hoc tests were used. Statistically signifcant diferences are represented as \**P* < 0.05, \*\*\*\**P* < 0.0001; ns (not signifcant) *P* > 0.05 shown where relevant.

#### **Acknowledgements**

We would like to thank Dr. Gary W. McCollum for his helpful comments on this manuscript. Mass spectrometry analyses of prostanoids were performed in the Vanderbilt University Eicosanoid Core Laboratory with the help of Dr. Ginger L. Milne, Stephanie C. Sanchez, and Warda Amin. Müller glia and retinal endothelial cell cartoons in the graphical summary were created by Dr. Andrew M. Boal.

#### **Author contributions**

AKS and JSP conceptualized the project and planned the experiments. AKS conducted experiments and performed data analyses with supervision from JSP. AKS and JSP interpreted the results and wrote the manuscript.

#### **Funding**

This work was supported by NIH grants R01 EY007533 (JSP), R01 EY023397 (JSP), F31 EY034386 (AKS), T32 GM007628 (AKS); funding from the Vanderbilt Institute for Clinical and Translational Research; an endowment from the Knights Templar Eye Foundation (JSP); and an unrestricted grant from Research to Prevent Blindness, Inc.

#### **Availability of data and materials**

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

Not applicable. All human materials were obtained from the National Disease Research Interchange or commercial sources and de-identifed. Patient identity cannot be ascertained by the investigators.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 28 September 2024 Accepted: 3 December 2024

#### **References**

- <span id="page-18-0"></span>1. Kempen JH, et al. The prevalence of diabetic retinopathy among adults in the United States. Arch Ophthalmol. 2004;122:552–63.
- 2. Lundeen EA, et al. Prevalence of diabetic retinopathy in the US in 2021. JAMA Ophthalmol. 2023;141:747–54.
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>3. Yau JW, et al. Global prevalence and major risk factors of diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes Care. 2012;35:556–64.
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>4. Antonetti DA, Klein R, Gardner TW. Diabetic retinopathy. N Engl J Med. 2012.<https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMra1005073>.
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>5. Wong TY, Cheung CMG, Larsen M, Sharma S, Simó R. Diabetic retinopathy. Nat Rev Dis Prim. 2016;2:1–17.
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>6. Tang J, Kern TS. Infammation in diabetic retinopathy. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2011;30:343–58.
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>7. Antonetti DA, Silva PS, Stitt AW. Current understanding of the molecular and cellular pathology of diabetic retinopathy. Nat Rev Endocrinol. 2021;17:195–206.
- 8. Antonetti DA, Klein R, Gardner TW. Diabetic retinopathy. N Engl J Med. 2012;366:1227–39.
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>9. Bianco L, et al. Neuroinfammation and neurodegeneration in diabetic retinopathy. Front Aging Neurosci. 2022;14: 937999.
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>10. Kern TS. Contributions of infammatory processes to the development of the early stages of diabetic retinopathy. Exp Diabet Res. 2007;2007:95103.
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>11. Bahr TA, Bakri SJ. Update on the management of diabetic retinopathy: anti-VEGF agents for the prevention of complications and progression of nonproliferative and proliferative retinopathy. Life (Basel). 2023. [https://](https://doi.org/10.3390/life13051098) [doi.org/10.3390/life13051098](https://doi.org/10.3390/life13051098).
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>12. Coughlin BA, Feenstra DJ, Mohr S. Müller cells and diabetic retinopathy. Vis Res. 2017;139:93–100.
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>13. Padovani-Claudio DA, Ramos CJ, Capozzi ME, Penn JS. Elucidating glial responses to products of diabetes-associated systemic dyshomeostasis. Prog Retin Eye Res. 2023;94: 101151.
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>14. Gui F, You Z, Fu S, Wu H, Zhang Y. Endothelial dysfunction in diabetic retinopathy. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne). 2020;11:591.
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>15. Rao P, Knaus EE. Evolution of nonsteroidal anti-infammatory drugs (NSAIDs): cyclooxygenase (COX) inhibition and beyond. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2008;11:81s–110s.
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>16. Powell EDU, Field RA. Diabetic retinopathy and rheumatoid arthritis. The Lancet. 1964;284:17–8.
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>17. Schoenberger SD, Kim SJ. Nonsteroidal anti-infammatory drugs for retinal disease. Int J Infam. 2013.<https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/281981>.
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>18. Baudoin C, Passa P, Sharp P, Kohner E. Effect of aspirin alone and aspirin plus dipyridamole in early diabetic retinopathy. A multicenter randomized controlled clinical trial. Diabetes. 1989;38:491–8.
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>19. Hattori Y, et al. The effect of long-term treatment with sulindac on the progression of diabetic retinopathy. Curr Med Res Opin. 2007;23:1913–7.
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>20. ETDRS Research Group. Effects of aspirin treatment on diabetic retinopathy. ETDRS report number 8. Early treatment diabetic retinopathy study research group. Ophthalmology. 1991;98:757–65.
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>21. Chew EY, et al. Preliminary assessment of celecoxib and microdiode pulse laser treatment of diabetic macular edema. Retina. 2010;30:459–67.
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>22. Marcum ZA, Hanlon JT. Recognizing the risks of chronic nonsteroidal antiinfammatory drug use in older adults. Ann Longterm Care. 2010;18:24–7.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>23. Soheilian M, Karimi S, Ramezani A, Peyman GA. Pilot study of intravitreal injection of diclofenac for treatment of macular edema of various etiologies. Retina. 2010;30:509–15.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>24. Friedman SM, et al. Topical nepafenec in eyes with noncentral diabetic macular edema. Retina. 2015;35:944–56.
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>25. Dubois RN, et al. Cyclooxygenase in biology and disease. FASEB J. 1998;12:1063–73.
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>26. Narumiya S, Sugimoto Y, Ushikubi F. Prostanoid receptors: structures, properties, and functions. Phys Rev. 1999. [https://doi.org/10.1152/physr](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.1999.79.4.1193) [ev.1999.79.4.1193.](https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.1999.79.4.1193)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>27. Stark AK, Penn JS. Prostanoid signaling in retinal vascular diseases. Prostaglandis Other Lipid Mediat. 2024. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglan](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2024.106864) [dins.2024.106864](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prostaglandins.2024.106864).
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>28. Hicks D, Courtois Y. The growth and behaviour of rat retinal Müller cells in vitro. 1. An improved method for isolation and culture. Exp Eye Res. 1990;51:119–29.
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>29. Genuth S, et al. Follow-up report on the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. Diabet Care. 2003;26:3160–7.
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>30. Clore JN, Allred J, White D, Li J, Stillman J. The role of plasma fatty acid composition in endogenous glucose production in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. Metabolism. 2002;51:1471–7.
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>31. Demircan N, Safran BG, Soylu M, Ozcan AA, Sizmaz S. Determination of vitreous interleukin-1 (IL-1) and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) levels in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Eye. 2006;20:1366–9.
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>32. Boss JD, et al. Assessment of neurotrophins and infammatory mediators in vitreous of patients with diabetic retinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2017;58:5594–603.
- 33. Kaufmann DJ, et al. Cytokines in vitreous humor: interleukin-6 is elevated in proliferative vitreoretinopathy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 1994;35:900–6.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>34. Elner SG, et al. Interferon-induced protein 10 and interleukin 8 C–X–C chemokines present in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Arch Ophthalmol. 1998;116:1597–601.
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>35. Yanni SE, Barnett JM, Clark ML, Penn JS. The role of PGE2 receptor EP4 in pathologic ocular angiogenesis. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2009;50:5479–86.
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>36. Sharif NA, et al. Human ciliary muscle cell responses to FP-class prostaglandin analogs: phosphoinositide hydrolysis, intracellular Ca2+ mobilization and MAP kinase activation. J Ocul Pharmacol Ther. 2003;19:437–55.
- 37. Sharif NA, Kelly CR, Crider JY. Human trabecular meshwork cell responses induced by bimatoprost, travoprost, unoprostone, and other FP prostaglandin receptor agonist analogues. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2003;44:715–21.
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>38. Sharif NA, Klimko PG. Prostaglandin FP receptor antagonists: discovery, pharmacological characterization and therapeutic utility. Br J Pharmacol. 2019;176:1059–78.
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>39. Noda K, Nakao S, Ishida S, Ishibashi T. Leukocyte adhesion molecules in diabetic retinopathy. J Ophthalmol. 2012;2012: 279037.
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>40. Schoenberger SD, et al. Increased prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) levels in proliferative diabetic retinopathy, and correlation with VEGF and infammatory cytokines. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci. 2012;53:5906–11.
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>41. Zhao T, et al. Altered oxylipin levels in human vitreous indicate imbalance in pro-/anti-infammatory homeostasis in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Exp Eye Res. 2022;214: 108799.
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>42. Zhao Y, et al. PGF 2α facilitates pathological retinal angiogenesis by modulating endothelial FOS-driven ELR + CXC chemokine expression. EMBO Mol Med. 2023;15: e16373.
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>43. Monickaraj F, Acosta G, Cabrera AP, Das A. Transcriptomic profling reveals chemokine CXCL1 as a mediator for neutrophil recruitment associated with blood-retinal barrier alteration in diabetic retinopathy. Diabetes. 2023;72:781–94.
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>44. Katsura Y, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor in vitreous fuid of patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy and other retinal disorders. Diabet Care. 1998;21:1759–63.
- 45. Cantón A, et al. Hepatocyte growth factor in vitreous and serum from patients with proliferative diabetic retinopathy. Br J Ophthalmol. 2000;84:732–5.
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>46. Nishimura M, et al. Increased vitreous concentrations of human hepatocyte growth factor in proliferative diabetic retinopathy. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 1999;84:659–62.
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>47. Yun JH. Hepatocyte growth factor prevents pericyte loss in diabetic retinopathy. Microvasc Res. 2021;133: 104103.
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>48. Wang M, et al. Prostaglandin E2/EP2 receptor signalling pathway promotes diabetic retinopathy in a rat model of diabetes. Diabetologia. 2019;62:335–48.
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>49. Abramovitz M, et al. The utilization of recombinant prostanoid receptors to determine the affinities and selectivities of prostaglandins and related analogs. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2000;1483:285–93.
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>50. Sennlaub F, et al. Cyclooxygenase-2 in human and experimental ischemic proliferative retinopathy. Circulation. 2003;108:198–204.
- <span id="page-19-26"></span>51. Chen D, et al. E-prostanoid 3 receptor mediates sprouting angiogenesis through suppression of the protein kinase A/β-catenin/notch pathway. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2017;37:856–66.
- <span id="page-19-27"></span>52. Cheng Y, et al. Prostaglandin F2α protects against pericyte apoptosis by inhibiting the PI3K/Akt/GSK3β/β-catenin signaling pathway. Ann Transl Med. 2021;9:1021.

#### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.