

THE SET OF NONSQUARES IN A NUMBER FIELD IS DIOPHANTINE

BJORN POONEN

ABSTRACT. Fix a number field k . We prove that $k^\times - k^{\times 2}$ is diophantine over k . This is deduced from a theorem that for a nonconstant separable polynomial $P(x) \in k[x]$, there are at most finitely many $a \in k^\times$ modulo squares such that there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for the conic bundle X given by $y^2 - az^2 = P(x)$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout, let k be a global field; occasionally we impose additional conditions on its characteristic. Warning: we write $k^n = \bigcap_{i=1}^n k$ and $k^{-n} = \{a^n : a \in k\}$.

1.1. Diophantine sets. A subset $A \subseteq k^n$ is **diophantine over k** if there exists a closed subscheme $V \subseteq \mathbb{A}_k^{n+m}$ such that A equals the projection of $V(k)$ under $k^{n+m} \rightarrow k^n$. The complexity of the collection of diophantine sets over a field k determines the difficulty of solving polynomial equations over k . For instance, it follows from [Mat70] that if \mathbb{Z} is diophantine over \mathbb{Q} , then there is no algorithm to decide whether a multivariable polynomial equation with rational coefficients has a solution in rational numbers. Moreover, diophantine sets can be built up from other diophantine sets. In particular, diophantine sets over k are closed under taking finite unions and intersections. Therefore it is of interest to gather a library of diophantine sets.

1.2. Main result. Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.1. *For any number field k , the set $k - k^2$ is diophantine over k .*

In other words, there is an algebraic family of varieties $(V_t)_{t \in k}$ such that V_t has a k -point if and only if t is *not* a square. This result seems to be new even in the case $k = \mathbb{Q}$.

Corollary 1.2. *For any number field k and for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the set $k - k^{2^n}$ is diophantine over k .*

Proof. Let $A_n = k - k^{2^n}$. We prove by induction on n that A_n is diophantine over k . The base case $n = 1$ is Theorem 1.1. The inductive step follows from

$$A_{n+1} = A_1 \cup \{t^2 : t \in A_n \text{ and } -t \in A_n\}. \quad \square$$

Date: October 12, 2008; minor correction March 23, 2014.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 14G05; Secondary 11G35, 11U99, 14G25, 14J20.

Key words and phrases. Brauer-Manin obstruction, nonsquares, diophantine set, Châtelet surface, conic bundle, Hasse principle, rational points.

This research was supported by NSF grants DMS-0301280 and DMS-0841321. This article has been published in *Math Res. Lett.* **16** (2009), no. 1, 165–170.

1.3. Brauer-Manin obstruction. The main ingredient of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is the fact the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the Hasse principle for certain Châtelet surfaces over number fields, so let us begin to explain what this means. Let k be the set of nontrivial places of k . For $v \in k$, let k_v be the completion of k at v . Let \mathbf{A} be the adèle ring of k . For a projective k -variety X , we have $X(\mathbf{A}) = \bigcap_{v \in k} X(k_v)$; one says that there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for X if $X(\mathbf{A}) \neq \emptyset$ but $X(\mathbf{A})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$. See [Sko01, §5.2].

1.4. Conic bundles and Châtelet surfaces. Let \mathcal{E} be a rank-3 vector sheaf over a base variety B . A nowhere-vanishing section $s \in (B, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$ defines a subscheme X of $\mathbb{P}\mathcal{E}$ whose fibers over B are (possibly degenerate) conics. As a special case, we may take $(\mathcal{E}, s) = (\mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_2, s_0 + s_1 + s_2)$ where each \mathcal{L}_i is a line sheaf on B , and the $s_i \in (B, \mathcal{L}_i^{\otimes 2}) \subset (B, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$ are sections that do not simultaneously vanish on B .

We specialize further to the case where $B = \mathbb{P}^1$, $\mathcal{L}_0 = \mathcal{L}_1 = \mathcal{O}$, $\mathcal{L}_2 = \mathcal{O}(n)$, $s_0 = 1$, $s_1 = -a$, and $s_2 = -P(w, x)$ where $a \in k$ and $P(w, x) \in (\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}(2n))$ is a separable binary form of degree $2n$. Let $P(x) := P(1, x) \in k[x]$, so $P(x)$ is a separable polynomial of degree $2n - 1$ or $2n$. We then call X the conic bundle given by

$$y^2 - az^2 = P(x).$$

A Châtelet surface is a conic bundle of this type with $n = 2$, i.e., with $\deg P$ equal to 3 or 4. See also [Poo09].

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on the Châtelet surface case of the following result about families of more general conic bundles:

Theorem 1.3. *Let k be a global field of characteristic not 2. Let $P(x) \in k[x]$ be a nonconstant separable polynomial. Then there are at most finitely many classes in k/k^2 represented by $a \in k$ such that there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for the conic bundle X given by $y^2 - az^2 = P(x)$.*

Remark 1.4. Theorem 1.3 is analogous to the classical fact that for an integral indefinite ternary quadratic form $q(x, y, z)$, the set of nonzero integers represented by q over \mathbb{Z}_p for all p but not over \mathbb{Z} fall into finitely many classes in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}^2 . J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and F. Xu explain how to interpret and prove this fact (and its generalization to arbitrary number fields) in terms of the integral Brauer-Manin obstruction: see [CTX07, §7], especially Proposition 7.9 and the very end of §7. Our proof of Theorem 1.3 shares several ideas with the arguments there.

1.5. Definable subsets of k_v and their intersections with k . The proof of Theorem 1.1 requires one more ingredient, namely that certain subsets of k defined by local conditions are diophantine over k . This is the content of Theorem 1.5 below, which is proved in more generality than needed. By a k -definable subset of k_v^n , we mean the subset of k_v^n defined by some first-order formula in the language of fields involving only constants from k , even though the variables range over elements of k_v .

Theorem 1.5. *Let k be a number field. Let k_v be a nonarchimedean completion of k . For any k -definable subset A of k_v^n , the intersection $A \cap k^n$ is diophantine over k .*

1.6. Outline of paper. Section 2 shows that Theorem 1.5 is an easy consequence of known results, namely the description of k -definable subsets over k_v , and the diophantineness of the valuation subring \mathcal{O} of k defined by v . Section 3 proves Theorem 1.3 by showing that for most twists of a given conic bundle, the local Brauer evaluation map at one place is enough to rule out a Brauer-Manin obstruction. Finally, Section 4 puts everything together to prove Theorem 1.1.

2. SUBSETS OF GLOBAL FIELDS DEFINED BY LOCAL CONDITIONS

Lemma 2.1. *Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ be such that $\text{char } k \nmid m$. Then $k_v^m \cap k$ is diophantine over k .*

Proof. The valuation subring \mathcal{O} of k defined by v is diophantine over k : see the first few paragraphs of §3 of [Rum80]. The hypothesis $\text{char } k \nmid m$ implies the existence of $c \in k^\times$ such that $1 + c\mathcal{O} \subset k_v^m$; fix such a c . The denseness of k^\times in k_v^\times implies $k_v^m \cap k = (1 + c\mathcal{O})k^\times$. The latter is diophantine over k . \square

Proof of Theorem 1.5. Call a subset of k_v^n *simple* if it is of one of the following two types: $\{\vec{x} \in k_v^n : f(\vec{x}) = 0\}$ or $\{\vec{x} \in k_v^n : f(\vec{x}) \in k_v^m\}$ for some $f \in k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$. It follows from the proof of [Mac76, Theorem 1] (see also [Mac76, §2] and [Den84, §2]) that any k -definable subset A is a boolean combination of simple subsets. The complement of a simple set of the first type is a simple set of the second type (with $m = 1$). The complement of a simple set of the second type is a union of simple sets, since k_v^m has finite index in k_v^\times . Therefore any k -definable A is a finite union of finite intersections of simple sets. Diophantine sets in k are closed under taking finite unions and finite intersections, so it remains to show that for every simple subset A of k_v^n , the intersection $A \cap k$ is diophantine. If A is of the first type, then this is trivial. If A is of the second type, then this follows from Lemma 2.1. \square

3. FAMILY OF CONIC BUNDLES

Given a k -variety X and a place v of k , let $\text{Hom}^0(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$ be the set of $f \in \text{Hom}(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$ such that the composition $\text{Br } k \rightarrow \text{Br } X \xrightarrow{f} \text{Br } k_v$ equals the map induced by the inclusion $k \hookrightarrow k_v$. The v -adic evaluation pairing $\text{Br } X \times X(k_v) \rightarrow \text{Br } k_v$ induces a map $X(k_v) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^0(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$.

Lemma 3.1. *With notation as in Theorem 1.3, there exists a finite set of places S of k , depending on $P(x)$ but not a , such that if $v \notin S$ and $v(a)$ is odd, then $X(k_v) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^0(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$ is surjective.*

Proof. The function field of \mathbb{P}^1 is $k(x)$. Let Z be the zero locus of $P(w, x)$ in \mathbb{P}^1 . Let G be the group of $f \in k(x)^\times$ having even valuation at every closed point of $\mathbb{P}^1 - Z$. Choose $P_1(x), \dots, P_m(x) \in G$ representing a \mathbb{F}_2 -basis for the image of G in $k(x)^\times / k(x)^\times{}^2$. We may assume that $P_m(x) = P(x)$. Choose S so that each $P_i(x)$ is a ratio of polynomials whose nonzero coefficients are S -units, and so that S contains all places above 2.

Let $\kappa(X)$ be the function field of X . A well-known calculation (see [Sko01, §7.1]) shows that the class of each quaternion algebra $(a, P_i(x))$ in $\text{Br } \kappa(X)$ belongs to the subgroup $\text{Br } X$, and that the cokernel of $\text{Br } k \rightarrow \text{Br } X$ is an \mathbb{F}_2 -vector space with the classes of $(a, P_i(x))$ for $i \leq m - 1$ as a basis.

Suppose that $v \notin S$ and $v(a)$ is odd. Let $f \in \text{Hom}^0(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$. The homomorphism f is determined by where it sends $(a, P_i(x))$ for $i \leq m-1$. We need to find $R \in X(k_v)$ mapping to f .

Let \mathcal{O}_v be the valuation ring in k_v , and let \mathbb{F}_v be its residue field. For $i \leq m-1$, choose $c_i \in \mathcal{O}_v$ whose image in \mathbb{F}_v is a square or not, according to whether f sends $(a, P_i(x))$ to 0 or $1/2$ in $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \simeq \text{Br } k_v$. Since $v(a)$ is odd, we have $(a, c_i) = f((a, P_i(x)))$ in $\text{Br } k_v$.

View $\mathbb{P}^1 - Z$ as a smooth \mathcal{O}_v -scheme, and let Y be the finite étale cover of $\mathbb{P}^1 - Z$ whose function field is obtained by adjoining $\sqrt{c_i P_i(x)}$ for $i \leq m-1$ and also $\sqrt{P(x)}$. Then the generic fiber $Y_{k_v} := Y \times_{\mathcal{O}_v} k_v$ is geometrically integral. Assuming that S was chosen to include all v with small \mathbb{F}_v , we may assume that $v \notin S$ implies that Y has a (smooth) \mathbb{F}_v -point, which by Hensel's lemma lifts to a k_v -point Q . There is a morphism from Y_{k_v} to the smooth projective model of $y^2 = P(x)$ over k_v , which in turn embeds as a closed subscheme of X_{k_v} , as the locus where $z = 0$. Let R be the image of Q under $Y(k_v) \rightarrow X(k_v)$, and let $\alpha = x(R) \in k_v$. Evaluating $(a, P_i(x))$ on R yields $(a, P_i(\alpha))$, which is isomorphic to (a, c_i) since $c_i P_i(\alpha) \in k_v^{\times 2}$. Thus R maps to f , as required. \square

Lemma 3.2. *Let X be a projective k -variety. If there exists a place v of k such that the map $X(k_v) \rightarrow \text{Hom}^0(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$ is surjective, then there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for X .*

Proof. If $X(\mathbf{A}) = \emptyset$, then the Hasse principle holds. Otherwise, pick $Q = (Q_w) \in X(\mathbf{A})$, where $Q_w \in X(k_w)$ for each w . For $A \in \text{Br } X$, let $\text{ev}_A: X(L) \rightarrow \text{Br } L$ be the evaluation map for any field extension L of k . Let $\text{inv}_w: \text{Br } k_w \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ be the usual inclusion map. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \eta: \text{Br } X &\rightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in v} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \simeq \text{Br } k_v \\ A &\mapsto - \sum_{w \in v} \text{inv}_w \text{ev}_A(Q_w). \end{aligned}$$

By reciprocity, $\eta \in \text{Hom}^0(\text{Br } X, \text{Br } k_v)$. The surjectivity hypothesis yields $R \in X(k_v)$ giving rise to η . Define $Q^\emptyset = (Q_w^\emptyset) \in X(\mathbf{A})$ by $Q_w^\emptyset := Q_w$ for $w \neq v$ and $Q_v^\emptyset := R$. Then $Q^\emptyset \in X(\mathbf{A})^{\text{Br}}$, so there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let S be as in Lemma 3.1. Enlarge S to assume that $\text{Pic } \mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ is trivial. Then the set of $a \in k^\times$ such that $v(a)$ is even for all $v \notin S$ has the same image in $k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ as the finitely generated group $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}^\times$, so the image is finite.

Suppose that $a \in k^\times$ has image in $k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ lying outside this finite set. Then we can pick $v \notin S$ such that $v(a)$ is odd. Let X be the corresponding surface. Combining Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2 shows that there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for X . \square

4. THE SET OF NONSQUARES IS DIOPHANTINE

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For each place v of k , define $S_v := k^\times \cap k_v^{\times 2}$ and $N_v := k^\times - S_v$. By Theorem 1.5, the sets S_v and N_v are diophantine over k .

By [Poo09, Proposition 4.1], there is a Châtelet surface

$$X_1: y^2 - bz^2 = P(x)$$

over k , with $P(x)$ a product of two irreducible quadratic polynomials, such that there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for X_1 . For $t \in k^\times$, let X_t be the (smooth

projective) Châtelet surface associated to the affine surface

$$U_t: y^2 - tbz^2 = P(x).$$

We claim that the following are equivalent for $t \in k$:

- (i) U_t has a k -point.
- (ii) X_t has a k -point.
- (iii) X_t has a k_v -point for every v and there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for X_t .

The implications (i) \implies (ii) \implies (iii) are trivial. The implication (iii) \implies (ii) follows from [CTCS80, Theorem B]. Finally, in [CTCS80], the reduction of Theorem B to Theorem A combined with Remarque 7.4 shows that (ii) implies that X_t is k -unirational, which implies (i).

Let A be the (diophantine) set of $t \in k$ such that (i) holds. The isomorphism type of U_t depends only on the image of t in k/k^2 , so A is a union of cosets of k^2 in k . We will compute A by using (iii).

The affine curve $y^2 = P(x)$ is geometrically integral so it has a k_v -point for all places v outside a finite set F . So for any $t \in k$, the variety X_t has a k_v -point for all $v \notin F$. Since X_1 has a k_v -point for all v and in particular for $v \in F$, if $t \in \prod_{v \in F} S_v$, then X_t has a k_v -point for all v .

Let $B := A \cup \bigcup_{v \in F} N_v$. If $t \in k - B$, then X_t has a k_v -point for all v , and there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to the Hasse principle for X_t . By Theorem 1.3, $k - B$ consists of finitely many cosets of k^2 , one of which is k^2 itself. Each coset of k^2 is diophantine over k , so taking the union of B with all the finitely many missing cosets except k^2 shows that $k - k^2$ is diophantine. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Anthony Varilly-Alvarado for a few comments, and Alexandra Shlapentokh for suggesting some references.

REFERENCES

- [CTCS80] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Daniel Coray, and Jean-Jacques Sansuc, *Descente et principe de Hasse pour certaines variétés rationnelles*, J. reine angew. Math. **320** (1980), 150–191 (French). MR592151 (82f:14020) \uparrow 4
- [CTX07] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Fei Xu, *Brauer-Manin obstruction for integral points of homogeneous spaces and representation of integral quadratic forms*, December 12, 2007. preprint. \uparrow 1.4
- [Den84] J. Denef, *The rationality of the Poincaré series associated to the p -adic points on a variety*, Invent. Math. **77** (1984), no. 1, 1–23. MR751129 (86c:11043) \uparrow 2
- [Mac76] Angus Macintyre, *On definable subsets of p -adic fields*, J. Symbolic Logic **41** (1976), no. 3, 605–610. MR0485335 (58 #5182) \uparrow 2
- [Mat70] Yu. Matiyasevich, *The Diophantineness of enumerable sets*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **191** (1970), 279–282 (Russian). MR0258744 (41 #3390) \uparrow 1.1
- [Poo09] Bjorn Poonen, *Existence of rational points on smooth projective varieties*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **11** (2009), no. 3, 529–543. MR2505440 \uparrow 1.4, 4
- [Rum80] R. S. Rumely, *Undecidability and definability for the theory of global fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **262** (1980), no. 1, 195–217. MR583852 (81m:03053) \uparrow 2
- [Sko01] Alexei Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. MR1845760 (2002d:14032) \uparrow 1.3, 3

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, CAMBRIDGE, MA
02139-4307, USA