RESEARCH

Microbial Cell Factories



Aspergillus foetidus as a potent producer for β -galactosidase utilizing lemon peels and coffee waste powder: production optimization, purification, kinetic and thermodynamic characterization



Walaa A. Abdel Wahab¹, Shireen A. A. Saleh¹, Nermeen H. Elzairy¹, Samia A. Ahmed¹, Eman R. Zaki², Walaa H. Salama² and Faten A. Mostafa^{1*}

Abstract

Background The main obstacle facing the utilization of microbial enzymes in industrial applications is the high cost of production substrates. As a result of the mentioned different wastes (coffee powder waste, dates nawah powder, molokhia stems, pea peels, lemon peels, and corn cobs) were investigated as low-cost nutritional substrates for the production of microbial β -galactosidase in this study. The purification of the enzyme and its kinetic and thermodynamics were investigated.

Results β -galactosidase was effectively produced by *Aspergillus foetidus* utilizing lemon peels and coffee powder waste by solid-state fermentation technique. The production yield was improved through Plackett-Burman Design declaring the significant effect of lemon peels and coffee waste powder, and beef extract quantities on *A. foetidus* β -galactosidase production. Followed by Central Composite Design investigating each factor with five levels resulting in 37363.1 U.ml⁻¹ production. The enzyme was fully purified by gel filtration technique through Sephadex G-150 giving one band with a molecular weight 40 KDa on SDS-PAGE gel. The maximal β -galactosidase activity was obtained at 50 °C with 0.4% ONPG. Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, and Hg²⁺ showed severe inhibitory effect on pure enzyme activity. Energy required for enzyme activation (E_a) and denaturation (E_d) were determined to be 17.40, and 43.86 KJ.mol⁻¹, respectively. Parameters reflecting β -galactosidase thermal stability at 40, 45, and 50 °C as T_{1/2} and D-values values were determined to be 283.92, 209.43, and 168.56 min, and 943.34, 695.84, and 560.06 min, respectively.

Keywords Statistical factorial designs, β-galactosidase, Agro-industrial wastes, Purification, Thermodynamics

*Correspondence:

Faten A. Mostafa

fatenahmedalimostafa@yahoo.com; fa.mustafa@nrc.sci.eg

¹Chemistry of Natural and Microbial Products Department, National

Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

²Molecular Biology Department, National Research Centre, Dokki, Cairo,

Egypt



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

Introduction

β-Galactosidase (EC 3.2.1.23), β-gal, lactase, is an enzyme responsible for the hydrolysis of O-glycosidic bonds of lactose into glucose and galactose [1]. Offering a magic solution for lactose intolerance a nutritional disorder with 70% of the world's population [2]. Yeasts, fungi, and bacteria all have β-galactosidase. Almonds, peaches, apples, and apricots are the primary plant sources of it [3]. Microbial β-galactosidases have drawn extensive attraction due to their high yields, high activity, and abundance [4]. *Aspergillus* species are common β-galactosidase producers that are generally recognized as safe" (GRAS) by FDA [5].

 β -galactosidase is produced intracellularly by most of bacteria and yeast, while it is produced extracellularly by fungi. Intracellular β -galactosidases have high thermal and pH -sensitivity, as well as the extracellular form is acid and thermolabile [6].

In food industries, β -galactosidase improves the quality of ice creams and condensed milk by the reduction of crystallization due to high lactose concentration beside the production of lactose-free products. β -galactosidase is involved in the production of industrially important products such as ethanol and biosensors [3]. β -galactosidase plays an important role in health care through the synthesis of galacto-oligosaccharides (GOS) through transglycosylation. GOSs are nondigestible prebiotics that support the growth of *Lactobacillus* and *Bifidobacterium* species (beneficial bacteria) in the intestine that are very important for human health [3, 5].

Several studies showed the purification of fungal β -galactosidases via techniques like chromatography on DEAE-cellulose, ammonium sulfate fractionation, DEAE-Sephadex column chromatography [3].

One of the most critical obstacles that face the industrial application of microbial enzymes is the high cost. Recently to overcome this obstacle there has been a big attend to utilize the wastes accumulated from agricultural and industrial fields. Several enzymes of industrial potency have been produced utilizing agro-industrial wastes [7, 8]. Abdel Wahab et al. [9] utilized rice straw and orange peel wastes as cheap and eco-friendly substrates.

In this study, the main object was to lower the production cost of β -galactosidase via utilizing different low-cost wastes for the production by isolated micro-organisms (bacteria and fungi). Improving the β -galactosidase yield via the optimization of the most effective factors on β -galactosidase production via Plackett– Burman Design (PBD) followed by Central Composite Design (CCD) was carried out. At the last step, produced β -galactosidase was purified and studied for its physiochemical, kinetic and thermodynamic characteristics.

Isolation of microorganisms

Rotten yogurt and milk whey were used as sources for the isolates. Loopful from each was streaked with a needle on nutrient agar (NA) and potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates and incubated at 28–30 °C. To purify the isolates, the colony (bacteria) and mycelium tips (fungi) are repeatedly transferred into NA (bacteria) and PDA (fungi) slants until the colony was deemed uniform. The pure isolates are maintained at 4 °C on NA (bacteria) and PDA (fungi) slants.

β-galactosidase (β-gal) production screening

Agro-industrial wastes including coffee powder waste (CPW), dates nawah powder (DNP), molokhia stems (MS), pea peels (PP), lemon peels (LP), and corn cobs (CC) were used as carbon source for β -galactosidase production by the microbial isolates employing solidstate fermentation (SSF) and sub-merged fermentation (SMF) techniques. In the SSF 1 g of the waste substrate was moistened with 10 ml of distilled water (pH 5.0) in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks covered with hydrophobic cotton and autoclaved at 121 °C for 20 min. Flasks were inoculated with 1.0 ml inoculum containing (6×10^8) spores⋅ml⁻¹) of 5 days old culture in the case of fungi and 24 h old culture in the case of bacteria which was prepared by harvesting the slant in 10 ml sterile distilled water. The inoculated flasks were incubated for 7 days at 30 °C under static conditions. At the end of the fermentation period, the enzyme was extracted by the addition of 50 ml of distilled water to each flask in a shaking incubator (30 min, 150 rpm).

In the SMF the waste was suspended in 50 ml of distilled water in 250 ml Erlenmeyer flasks undergoing the same conditions of sterilization and cultivation mentioned above. The inoculated flasks were incubated for 7 days at 30 °C in a shaking incubator 150 rpm. At the end of the fermentation period, the flasks were filtered through nylon cloth to take the fermented liquid broth followed by centrifugation as β -galactosidase source.

Identification of the most promising isolate

β-galactosidase producing fungal isolate was identified through specific gene detection technique employing 18 S rRNA as molecular marker. At first genomic DNA extraction was performed through high performance fungal DNA, E.Z.N.A.*HP Fungal DNA Kit (D3195-01 50 preps). Followed by the preparation of the PCR master mixture in which small ribosomal subunit (18 S) rRNA primers (5'-CCTGGTTGATCCTGCCAGTA-3') (5'-GCTTGATCCTTCTGCAGGTT-3') Melchers et al. [10] was added. The total genomic DNA was amplified through GeneAmp Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) system cycler. The amplification products were detected through agarose gel electrophoresis and resultant PCR products was purified with Micro spin filters and quantities spectrophotometrically. Sequence analysis was employed using the ABI PRISM[®] 3100 Genetic Analyzer (Micron-Corp.Korea). Gel documentation system (Geldoc-it, UVP, England), was applied for data analysis using Totallab analysis software, ww.totallab.com, (Ver.1.0.1). Aligned sequences were analyzed on NCBI website (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/webcite) using BLAST to confirm their identity. The Genetic distances and Multi-Alignments were computed by Pairwise Distance method using ClusteralW software analysis (www.ClusteralW. com). The fungal isolate was identified as *Aspergillus foetidus* isolate Gfwss and deposited at Genbank with accession number OR668926.

β-galactosidase activity assay

The assay was carried out according to Abdel-wahab et al. [9] method, where 0.5 ml of both enzyme and substrate (15 mM o-nitrophenyl β -D-gal- actopyranoside, ONPG in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0) were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min. 2 ml of 0.1 M sodium carbonate was used to stop the reaction. Absorbance was measured at wavelength 420 nm with a spectrophotometer. One unit of β -galactosidase expresses the amount of enzyme that produces one μ mol of o-nitrophenol / min following the standard assay conditions.

Plackett-Burman design (PBD)

In this step the effect of 19 factors namely, A: LP, B: DNP, C: CW, D: glucose, E: lactose, F: galactose, G: MgSO₄, H: CuSO₄, J: CaCl₂, L: whey, M: bakers yeast, N: $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, O: peptone, P: NaNO₃, Q: KH₂PO₄, R: ZnSO₄, S: KCl, T: beef extract on β-galactosidase production was assayed. Each factor was studied with low (-1) and high (+1) level producing 20 runs. The success of the design was analyzed with ANOVA.

Central composite design (CCD)

The quantitive effect of the most effective factors A: LP (g.flask⁻¹); B: CW (g.flask⁻¹) and C: beef extract (g.l⁻¹) on β -galactosidase production was analyzed each with five levels – 1.682, -1.00, 0, 1.00, 1.682 giving 20 runs. The success of the design was analyzed with ANOVA.

Purification of A. foetidus β-galactosidase

Purification was achieved through gel filtration chromatography: Concentrated β -galactosidase crude culture filtrate (activity 1233961U, protein 484.8 mg, and specific activity 2545.3 U.mg⁻¹ protein) by lyophilization (to reach 5 ml) was loaded on Sephadex G-150 column preequilibrated with sodium phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH 7.0). Fractions (5 ml) containing β -galactosidase activity were pooled investigated for protein and β -galactosidase content.

Determination of protein content

Protein content was determined colorimetrically at 595 nm using coomassie brilliant blue G-250 (CBB) and bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a standard protein for protein curve, according to Bradford [11] protein solution containing 10 to 100 μ g protein in a volume up to 0.1 ml was pipetted into 12×100 mm test tubes. The volume in the test tube was adjusted to 0.1 ml with appropriate buffer. Five milliliters of protein reagent was added to the test tube and the contents mixed either by inversion. The absorbance at 595 nm was measured after 2 min and before 1 h in 3 ml cuvettes against a reagent blank prepared from 0.1 ml of the appropriate buffer and 5 ml of protein reagent.

Electrophoresis conditions

Samples were loaded into sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel (SDS-PAGE) (12%) along with molecular weight marker. After electrophoresis, the gel was subjected to fixing solution (50% methanol+10% glacial acetic acid), stained with staining solution (0.1% Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 dissolved in 50% methanol, and 10% glacial acetic acid) for 1 h. The gel was finally destained with destaining solution (40% methanol+10% glacial acetic acid) [12].

Physiochemical characterization

Investigating the optimum conditions of, temperature for the maximum β -galactosidase activity was achieved by incubating the reaction mixture (enzyme and ONPG) at different temperatures (25, 30, 40, 50, 60, and 70 °C), and substrate (ONPG) concentration by incubating the enzyme with different ONPG concentrations (0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 1.00, 1.2, and 1.4%. The positive and negative effect of different metal ions (Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Na⁺, Zn²⁺, Mg^{2+} , Ni⁺, K²⁺, Mn^{2+} , Hg^{2+} , Ba^{2+} , Co⁺, Ca²⁺) and SDS, and EDTA with concentration 1 mM on β -galactosidase activity was investigated by pre-incubation of the enzyme with the metal for 30 min prior the reaction and compared to the pure enzyme activity (100%). The pre-incubation of the enzyme investigated the thermal stability of the pure β -galactosidase at 40, 45, and 50 °C for different times 15, 30, 45, and 60 min.

Kinetic and thermodynamic characterization

Lineweaver-Burk plot was employed to analyze K_m , V_{max} values. Activation energy E_a , and activation energy of denaturation E_d were determined via Arrhenius plot.

The following equations were used to determine the denaturation parameters for pure *A. foetidous* β -galactosidase:

 $T_{1/2}$ (half-life) = ln 2/K_d.

D- value (decimal reduction time)= $\ln 10 / K_d$. ΔH_d (enthalpy)= E_d - RT. $\Delta G_d \text{ (Gibbs native energy)} = - RT \cdot \ln(K_d \cdot h / K_b \cdot T).$ $\Delta S_d \text{ (entropy)} = (\Delta H_d - \Delta G_d) / T.$

where T is the corresponding absolute temperature (K), R is the gas constant (8.314 J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹), h is the Planck constant (6.626×10^{-34} J min), K_b is the Boltzman constant (1.38×10^{-23} J K⁻¹) and K_d is the deactivation rate constant (min⁻¹) [9].

Results and discussion

Screening of β -galactosidase production

Table 1 declared the following, firstly: the ability of different microbial isolates (bacteria, fungi) to utilize different agro-industrial wastes (LP, PP, CWP, DNP, CC, MS) for β-galactosidase production by both SSF and SMF with different degrees. Secondly: bacterial isolates produced β-galactosidase more effectively by SMF in contrast to fungal isolates that prefered SSF. Compared with SMF, SSF has many advantages, such as superior productivity, greater simplicity, lower capital investment, less energy requirement and wastewater output, and better product recovery, and is reported to be the most appropriate process for developing countries [13]. Thirdly: There are only two bacterial and two fungal isolates that can produce β -galactosidase utilizing LP, PP, DNP, and CWP. Martarello et al. [14] utilized soybean residue for the production of β -gal by Aspergillus niger isolated from Brazilian soils, Akcan [15] utilized rice bran for the production of β -galactosidase by *Bacillus licheniformis*, Bassetto et al. [16] utilized wheat bran for the production of β -galactosidase by *Penicillium* sp. Ali et al. [17] utilized rice flour, wheat flour and corn flour for β -galactosidase production by yogurt bacterial isolate with more efficiency on rice flour. Abdel Wahab et al. [9] utilized rice straw and orange peel for Lactobacillus paracasei.

PBD for β-galactosidase production

Statistical optimization tools use randomly designed experimental runs due to carry out influence of full factorial design with less labor at shorter time [18, 19]. As shown in Table 2 the interaction between the tested 19 factors resulted in 20 runs and a wide variation in β -galactosidase production 0-13527.67 U.ml⁻¹. Of the tested factors 15 have a significant effect on β-galactosidase production and this effect was distinguished into positive (promotive, orange color) and negative (suppressive, blue color) effect as shown in Fig. 1 (Pareto chart). LP, CWP, beef extract, MgSO₄, glucose, galactose, CaCl₂, KH₂PO₄, and whey have positive stimulating effect while CuSO₄, bakers yeast, (NH₄)₂SO₄, NaNO₃, ZnSO₄, KCl have negative effect on β-galactosidase production. The β-galactosidase production can be calculated from the following equation:

 β -galactosidase activity (U.ml⁻¹)=+608.59+256.26 * LP+202.08 * CWP+52.49 * glucose+50.51*

Waste	CPW (U.m	1 ⁻¹)	DNP(U.ml ⁻	(1	MS (U.ml ⁻	(₁	PP(U.ml ⁻¹)		LP(U.ml ⁻¹)		CC(U.ml ⁻	_
solate	SMF	SSF	SMF	SSF	SMF	SSF	SMF	SSF	SMF	SSF	SMF	SSF
31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
34	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
36	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
37	0	0	0	0	0	0	100.35	0	125.35	0	0	0
38	0	0	150.25	0	0	0	0	0	130.55	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	600.15	500.98	650.35	0	0
±2	0	800	0	690.33	0	0	0	0	0	720	0	0

The success of the design was statistically analyzed and confirmed via ANOVA as shown in Table 3. The Model F-value of 210.22 implied that the model was significant. Values of "Prob>F" less than 0.05 indicate model terms are significant. In this case A, C, D, F, G, H, J, M, N, P, R, S, T were significant model terms. R^2 value 0.9987 implies that the design can explain 99.87% of the results. The Predicated R^2 of 0.9683 is in reasonable agreement with the Adjective R^2 of 0.9940.

Rashmi and Siddalingamurthy [20] tested the interaction between 11 factors (Tamarind seed powder TSP, pH, NaNO₃, (NH₄)₂SO₄, yeast extract, urea, lactose, maltose, MgSO₄, KH₂PO₄, and cellobiose exploring that NH₄(SO₄)₂, lactose, and MgSO₄ had a significant positive influence and pH, yeast extract, maltose, and NaNO₃ had significant negative influence. Akcan [15] found that the supplementation of the production medium with metabolizable sugars (mannose, xylose, lactose, sucrose, fructose, galactose, glucose, and arabinose) suppressed the β -galactosidase production by *Bacillus lichenifor*mis in accordance with Konsoula and Kyriakides [21]. The supplementation of the medium with nitrogen sources (organic and inorganic) showed variable effects. i.e. Beef extract exerted a positive significant effect on β -galactosidase production by the fungal isolate in contrast with Chandel and Sharma [22] while bakers yeast exerted negative significant effect. Akcan [15] found that the supplementation of the production medium with different organic (peptone, tryptone, yeast extract, beef extract, urea, and casein) and inorganic (ammonium nitrate, sodium nitrate, ammonium chloride and ammonium sulfate) nitrogen sources adversely influenced the production of β -galactosidase.

Chandel and Sharma [22] found that β -galactosidase is an inducible enzyme generally induced in lactose. Other carbon sources such as glucose, maltose and sucrose failed to significantly induce β -galactosidase production. Yeast extract, (NH₄)₂SO₄ and KH₂PO₄ were reported as significant factors for β -galactosidase production by Kluyveromyces sp. CK8 [23]. Deng et al. [24] used PBD to test the effect of pH, temperature, and lactose on Lactobacillus leichmannii β-galactosidase production. da Silva et al. [25] used a complete factorial design to evaluate the interaction of the fermentation time, temperature, pH, and lactose concentration on the production of Enterococcus faecium β-galactosidase. β-galactosidase production by Bacillus megaterium NM56 was enhanced when galactose or lactose was used as a carbon source while sucrose or glucose significantly inhibited β -galactosidase synthesis when used as a carbon source [26]. The enhancement effect of tryptone and yeast extract followed by peptone and beef extract was also reported on *Bacillus megaterium* β -galactosidase production [26]. Ali et al. [17] found that the addition of yeast extract, tryptone and peptone repressed β-galactosidase production. According to Afolabi et al. [27] glucose decreased β-galactosidase production by Kluyveromyces marxianus while yeast extract and urea were considered appropriate nitrogen sources. Abdel Wahab et al. [9] studied the interaction effect between wheat bran, rice straw, orange peel, whey, peptone, yeast extract, lactose, glucose, KH₂PO₄, MgSO₄, and CaCl₂ on *L. paracasei* β-galactosidase production through PBD and found that orange peel, rice straw, and KH₂PO₄ were the most influencing factors causing a positive effect on enzyme production followed by lactose, wheat bran, and $MgSO_4$.

CCD for β -galactosidase production

The interaction between the most effective factors A: LP (g.flask⁻¹); B: CWP (g.flask⁻¹) and C: beef extract (g.l⁻¹) on β -galactosidase production as shown in Fig. 2; Table 4 led to wide variation 9394.46- 37363.1 U.ml⁻¹. The highest β -galactosidase production was achieved in run 15 with 2 g.flask⁻¹ of each LP and CW with 2 g.l⁻¹ of beef extract causing 2.76-fold increase compared with PB optimization. β -galactosidase production can be calculated from the following equation:

 β -galactosidase activity (U.ml-¹)=+21165.72 -7434.64 * LP -2828.61* CWP+1246.17 * beef extract+1540.46 * LP * CWP+1393.78 * LP * beef extract+1312.86 * CWP * beef extract+125.69 * LP 2+236.14 * CWP 2 -181.36 * beef extract 2.

The design was statistically analyzed via ANOVA (Table 5). The success of the design was emphasized with R^2 , adjective R^2 , and predicated R^2 values (0.9730, 0.9486, and 0.7783, respectively) which employed that 97.30% of the results can be explained by the design. The Model F-value 39.98 implied the significance of the design. Prob>F values less than 0.0500 indicated that the selected factors were significant including A, B, C, AB, AC, BC.

Rashmi and Siddalingamurthy [20] used CCD to optimize the best level of lactose, $(NH_4)_2SO_4$, and MgSO₄ for *Aspergillus terreus* β -galactosidase production causing 2.8 -fold increase in comparison with basal medium. da Silva et al. [25] used a RSM to optimize the level of lactose, pH, and temperature for *Enterococcus faecium* β -gal production. Abdel Wahab et al. [9] optimized the orange peel, rice straw, and KH₂PO₄ level for *L. paracasei* β -galactosidase production. Martarello et al. [14] optimized the level of pH, agitation (rpm), and temperature for *Aspergillus niger* β -gal production. Deng et al. [24] optimized the level of pH, and lactose through CCD for *Lactobacillus leichmannii* β -gal production. Al- jazairi et

	β- galacto-	sidaseac- tivity	_U.ml-1			I	7184.04	10418.88	6092.282	951.5821	8626.24	6280.981	71 30.1 265	9967.35075	7103.1695	5101.61225	10850.1925	0	7595.13475	5020.74125	4987.045	13525.67475	1018.9746	7514.26375	1114.67195	1234.6306
	Factor	19	T: beef	extract		g.l ⁻¹	0	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	2
	Fac-	tor 18	ŝ	Š		φŢ	0.5	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5
	Factor	17	ä	ZnSO4		g.l ⁻¹	0.02	0.02	0	0	0.02	0	0	0.02	0	0.02	0	0.02	0	0.02	0.02	0	0	0	0.02	0.02
	Factor	16	ö	KH ₂ PO4		g.l ⁻¹	5	5	5	5	-	-	5	5	-	-	5		5	-	-	-	-		5	5
	Factor	15	ä	NaNO ₃		g.l ⁻¹	2	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	0
	Factor	14	ö	peptone		g.l ⁻¹	0	0	0	2	2	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	2	2	0
	actor 13			IH ₄) ₂ SO4		-																				
	ц.		ż	Z V	ast	ں۔ 1	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
	Fac	12 12	Ë	y bal	ers yea	g.	5			Ś					, -	5	Ś	Ś	Ŋ	, -	IJ.	Ś		Ś		5
	Fac-	11 to	יי	t whe		ĒĿ			0	-			0	0			0	0		0	0	0	0	-	-	0
	Fac-	10 to	ÿ	FeSO ₄		g.l ⁻¹	0	0	0.02	0	0.02	0.02	0.02	0	0	0.02	0	0	0.02	0	0.02	0.02	0	0	0.02	0.02
	Fac-	tor 9		CaCl		g.l ⁻¹	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0	0.5
	Fac-	tor 8	Ϊ	CuSO ₄		g.l ⁻¹	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0	0.05	0	0.05	0.05	0	0	0.05	0	0	0.05	0.05	0	0	0.05	0
etiaus	Fac-	tor 7	ij	MgSO ₄		g.l ⁻¹	0	0.5	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0	0	0.5	0
Aspergillus to	Factor 6		F: galactose			g.l ⁻¹	0	5	5	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	0	5	5	0	5	0	0	5	0	0
uction by	Factor 5		نن	lactose		g.l ⁻¹	0	5	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	5	5	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
dase prod	Factor 4		ä	glucose		g.l ⁻¹	0	5	5	5	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	0	5
alactosic	Fac-	tor 3	ن	CWP		g. flask ⁻¹	-	0	-	0	-	0	0		-	-		0	-	-	0	-	0	0	0	0
$\frac{1}{100}$ tor β -g	Fac-	tor 2	B: DW			g. flask ⁻¹	0	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	, -	0	-	0	-	-	, -	0	0	-	-	-
e Z PBL	Fac-	tor 1	A: LP			g. flask ⁻¹	-	-	0	0	-	-	-	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	-	-	0	-	0	0
lab			Run					2	ŝ	4	5	9	~	œ	6	10	1	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20



Fig. 1 Pareto chart showing significant factors on β-galactosidase production by A. foetidus

Table 9 Statistical analysis (ANOVA) for FDD for p galactosidase productio	Table 3	Statistical anal	/sis (ANOVA) for PBE) for β -galactosidase	production
--	---------	------------------	----------------------	------------------------------	------------

Source	Sum of squares	df	Mean square	F value	<i>p</i> -value	
					Prob > F	
Model	266323795.8	15	17754919.72	210.2203	< 0.0001	significant
A-LP	131338356	1	131338356	1555.061	< 0.0001	
C-CWP	81669751.97	1	81669751.97	966.9793	< 0.0001	
D-glucose	5510823.96	1	5510823.96	65.24879	0.0013	
F-galactose	5102657.336	1	5102657.336	60.41605	0.0015	
G-MgSO ₄	5713589.438	1	5713589.438	67.64956	0.0012	
H-CuSO ₄	2667420.179	1	2667420.179	31.58256	0.0049	
J-CaCl ₂	4295870.48	1	4295870.48	50.8636	0.0020	
L-whey	212913.6532	1	212913.6532	2.520922	0.1875	
M-bakers yeast	733154.6573	1	733154.6573	8.680636	0.0421	
N-(NH ₄) ₂ SO ₄	2610626.516	1	2610626.516	30.91012	0.0051	
P-NaNO ₃	4176770.56	1	4176770.56	49.45344	0.0022	
Q-KH ₂ PO ₄	564543.8586	1	564543.8586	6.684264	0.0610	
R-ZnSO ₄	10378325.43	1	10378325.43	122.8806	0.0004	
S-KCI	5029394.927	1	5029394.927	59.54862	0.0015	
T-beef extract	6319596.831	1	6319596.831	74.82475	0.0010	
Residual	337834.5518	4	84458.63795			
Cor Total	266661630.3	19				

al. [28] used Response Surface Methodology (RSM) as a statistical analysis to determine the initial sugar concentration, agitation speed, initial pH, incubation time and temperature for the optimization of β -galactosidase production in synthetic medium containing lactose as a carbon source for *Kluyveromyces marxianus* β -galactosidase

production. Four culture medium parameters pH, lactose, casein, and inactive beer yeast were optimized through CCD to optimize *Lactobacillus reuteri* β -galactosidase production [29]. Am-aiam and Khanongnuch [23] optimized the level of yeast extract, KH₂PO₄,



Fig. 2 The interaction between the most effective factors A: LP (g.flask⁻¹); B: CWP (g.flask⁻¹) and C: beef extract (g.l⁻¹) on β -galactosidase production

Table 4 CCD for β -galactosidase production by *A. foetidus*

Run	Factor 1 A: LP g.flask ⁻¹	Factor 2 B: CWP g.flask ⁻¹	Factor 3 C: Beef extract g.l ^{−1}	β-galactosidase activity U.ml ^{−1}
1	3.5	0.977311	3.5	25053.6
2	3.5	3.5	3.5	21205.11
3	5	5	5	14797.61
4	2	5	2	23036.61
5	0.977311	3.5	3.5	31771.55
6	3.5	6.022689	3.5	17236.02
7	3.5	3.5	0.977311	17567
8	2	2	5	31939.67
9	3.5	3.5	3.5	21205.11
10	3.5	3.5	3.5	21205.11
11	3.5	3.5	3.5	21205.11
12	2	5	5	27363.1
13	3.5	3.5	3.5	21205.11
14	3.5	3.5	3.5	21205.11
15	2	2	2	37363.1
16	3.5	3.5	6.022689	22360.9
17	6.022689	3.5	3.5	9893.248
18	5	2	2	13060.64
19	5	2	5	17710.8
20	5	5	2	9394.46

and $(NH_4)_2SO_4$ through CCD for *Kluyveromyces* sp. β -galactosidase production.

Purification of A. foetidous β-galactosidase

As declared in Fig. 3A the elution of Sephadex G-150 with 0.1 M phosphate buffer pH 7.0 loaded with 1233961U of *A. foetidus* β -galactosidase succeeded in the purification of β -galactosidase in fractions 11–19 with the peak at 15 causing 5.27-fold purification (specific activity 13425.13063 U.mg protein⁻¹ compared with 2545.3 U.mg protein⁻¹ for crude β -galactosidase). The purity of the enzyme was emphasized with SDS gel (Fig. 3B) with a molecular weight 40 KDa. β -galactosidase showed a wide range of molecular weight from different sources. β -galactosidase from *Lactobacillus plantarum* HF571129 (heterodimer with a molecular weight of 60 kDa (larger

Table 5 Statistical analysis (ANOVA) for CCD

subunit) and 42 kDa (smaller subunit)) [30] *Pediococcus pentosaceus* ID-7 (LacL (72.2 kDa) and LacM (35.4 kDa)) [31] *Aspergillus niger* (76 KDa) [14], *Streptococcus thermophilus* (116 KDa) [32].

Physiochemical characterization

The optimum temperature for the maximum β -galactosidase activity (30124.56 U.ml⁻¹) as shown in Fig. 4A was found to be 50 °C nearly similar to β -galactosidases from Pediococcus pentosaceus ID-7 (50 °C) [31], Aspergillus niger (50 °C) [14], B. paralicheniformis 5NK (55 °C) [33], Lactobacillus acidophilus (45 °C) [34], higher than that for those from Alteromonas sp. ML52 (35 °C) [35], Bacillus sp. BY02 (40 °C) [36], Steptococcus thermophillus and Escherichia coli (40 and 30 °C, respectively) [32]. The thermal pretreatment of β -galactosidase (Fig. 4B) affected negatively on enzyme activity as the temperature and the duration of pretreatment was raised may be due to protein denaturation and destruction of enzyme active site. (A) foetidous β -galactosidase lost 24.3, 39.85, and 60.85% of its activity after pretreatment at 40, 45, and 50 °C, respectively for 60 min. According to Sun et al. [35] Alteromonas sp. ML52 β-galactosidase lost most of its activity after 30 min of incubation at 50 °C while (B) subtilis β - galactosidase retained 87% of its activity at 50 °C after 120 min of pretreatment [33].

The effect of metal ions on enzyme activity differs according to the type of metal ion and the origin of the enzyme as declared in Fig. 4C the pure β -galactosidase was not affected positively by any of the tested metals. In contrast, some of them especially Cu²⁺, and Fe²⁺ caused complete inhibition for the activity similar to that reported for β -galactosidase from *Alteromonas sp.* ML52 [35]. According to Liu et al. [37] both metal ions enhanced the catalytic activity of β -galactosidase from a thermophilic anaerobic bacterial consortium YTY-70. Martarello et al. [14]

revealed the stability of *A. niger* β -galactosidase in the presence of Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺, and Mg²⁺ ions. β -galactosidase from *Pediococcus pentosaceus* ID-7 [31] was strongly

Source	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	<i>p</i> -value Prob > F	
Model	9.34E+08	6	1.56E+08	73.23514	< 0.0001	significant
A-LP	7.55E+08	1	7.55E+08	355.2655	< 0.0001	
B-CWP	1.09E+08	1	1.09E+08	51.42583	< 0.0001	
C-Beef extract	21208152	1	21208152	9.98127	0.0075	
AB	18984162	1	18984162	8.934585	0.0105	
AC	15541014	1	15541014	7.314124	0.0180	
BC	13788886	1	13788886	6.489514	0.0243	
Residual	27622334	13	2124795			
Lack of Fit	27622334	8	3452792			
Pure Error	0	5	0			
Cor Total	9.61E+08	19				



Fig. 3 A, the purification profile for A. foetidus β -galactosidase through Sephadex G-150; B, SDS-PAGE gel showing the purity and molecular weight of A. foetidus β -galactosidase



Fig. 4 Physiochemical characterization of *A. foetidus* β-galactosidase, **A**: effect of reaction temperature; **B**: thermal pretreatment at different temperatures; **C**: effect of different metal ion

activated by Mg^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , and Zn^{2+} . β -galactosidase from *Lactobacillus acidophilus* was inactivated in the presence of Ca²⁺, Ba²⁺, and Cu²⁺ [34]. Zhou et al. [36] reported significant effect for Zn²⁺, Mn^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , and Co²⁺ on *Bacillus* sp. BY02 β -galactosidase.

Kinetics and thermodynamics characterization

 K_m is the substrate concentration at which the enzyme has the half maximum velocity. Referring to the Lineweaver-Burk plot (Fig. 5A) K_m and V_{max} were determined to be 10 mg.ml⁻¹ (equivalent to 33.20 mM) and 100,000 µmol.ml⁻¹.min⁻¹, respectively toward ONPG. K_m and V_{max} values are verified according to the substrate. β -galactosidase from *L. paracasei* showed K_m and V_{max} values 3.33 mM and 12236.61 mmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, respectively [38]. Selvarajan and Mohanasrinivasan [30] stated that *L. plantarum* β-galactosidase K_m and V_{max} values for ONPG were 6.644mM and 147.5 µmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, respectively while their counterparties toward lactose were 23.28 mM and 10.88 μ mol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹, respectively indicating higher affinity toward ONPG.

Arrhenius plot (Fig. 5B and C) showed the E_a , E_d to be 17.40, and 43.86 KJ.mol⁻¹, respectively. E_a and E_d for any enzyme differ according to the source of the enzyme. β -galactosidase has E_a and E_d values from, *Lactobacillus paracasei* 16.92 and 157.38 kJ mol⁻¹ [38], *Aspergillus oryzae* 18.53 kJ mol⁻¹ and 278.00 kJ mol⁻¹ [37]. K_d, T_{1/2}, D-values, ΔH_d , ΔG_d , and ΔS_d (Table 6) are thermodynamic parameters that reflect the thermal stability of the enzyme. These parameters differ according to the nature of the enzyme (crude, free (partial pure), immobilized or pure) and temperature. Abdel Wahab et al. [38] pointed that T_{1/2} and D- values for crude *L. paracasei* at 55, 60, 65 and 70 °C were higher than those for partially pure enzyme under the same conditions of heat pretreatment and these values decreases as the temperature and



Fig. 5 A, Lineweaver-Burk plot showing K_m and V_{max} values for A. foetidus β -galactosidase; B and C, Arrhenius plot showing the E_a , E_d values for A. foetidus β -galactosidase

Parameter	K _d (min ⁻¹)	T _{1/2} (min)	D-value (min)	ΔH _d (KJ.mol ⁻¹)	$\Delta G_{d}(KJ.mol^{-1})$	$\Delta S_d (J.mol^{-1}.k^{-1})$
Temperature °C						
40	0.002441	283.92	943.34	41.26	92.44	-163.51
45	0.00331	209.43	695.84	41.22	93.15	-163.31
50	0.004112	168.56	560.05	41.17	94.07	-163.77

Table 6 Thermodynamics for the denaturation of *A. foetidus* β -galactosidase

duration of heat pretreatment were prolonged due to protein denaturation. Marwa [39] stated that β -galactosidase covalently bonded onto calcium pectinate-agar beads had T_{1/2} and D- values at 60, 63, 65, and 70 °C higher than their counterparties for the free enzyme.

Conclusion

Aspergillus foetidus isolate Gfwss utilized effectively lemon peels and coffee waste powder as nutritional substrates for the production of β -galactosidase. The enzyme production was maximized 46.70 times via 2 steps statistical designs. The maximum A. foetidus β-galactosidase productivity was obtained in a medium composing of LP, 2 g.flask⁻¹; CWP, 2 g.flask⁻¹,glucose 5 g.l⁻¹, MgSO₄, 0.5 g.l⁻¹, CuSO₄, 0.05 g.l⁻¹; CaCl₂, 0.5 g.l⁻¹; FeSO₄, 0.02 g. l^{-1} ; bakers yeast, 5 g. l^{-1} ; peptone, 2 g. l^{-1} ; KH₂PO₄, 1 g. l^{-1} , beef extract, 2 g. l^{-1} by SSF after 7 days of incubation. The elution through Sephadex G-150 gel column fulfilled in the purification of the enzyme with 5.27-fold purification as evidenced by SDS-gel electrophoresis as a single band. The purified A. foetidus β -galactosidase was active from 30 to 60 °C. The enzyme activity was not enhanced by any of the tested metal ions Cu²⁺, Fe²⁺, Na⁺, Zn²⁺, Mg²⁺, Ni⁺, K²⁺, Mn²⁺, Hg²⁺, Ba²⁺, Co⁺, Ca²⁺ and SDS, and EDTA. On country, they have adverse effect on it with varying degree. The thermostability of pure A. foetidus β-galactosidase decreased as the temperature was elevated as the values of D-values, $T_{1/2}$, ΔH_d and ΔG_d at 45 were 209.43 min, 695.84 min, 41.22 KJ.mol⁻¹ and 93.15 KJ.mol⁻¹, respectively higher their counterparties at 50 °C (168.56 min, 560.06 min, 41.74 KJ.mol⁻¹, and 94.07 KJ.mol⁻¹, respectively). A. foetidous β -galactosidase can be utilized in food industry for the preparation of GOS as prebiotics and anti-oxidants ingredients and this is our target in the coming part of our project.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the research projects sector in the National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. The work was a part of an internal project (No. 13020131) entitled "Microbial ß-galactosidase as a biotechnological approach for the preparation of galactooligosaccharides from low-cost sources with studies on their application".

Author contributions

Walaa A. Abdel Wahab: Investigation, practical work, resources, data analysis. Shireen A.A. Saleh: Investigation, practical work, resources, data analysis. Nermeen H. Elzairy: Investigation, practical work, Samia A. Ahmed: data analysis. Eman R. Zaki and Walaa H. Salama: purification part. Faten A. Mostafa: Conceptualization, Investigation, software, practical work, data analysis, Writing – original draft, Writing – review & editing, Supervision.

Funding

Open access funding provided by The Science, Technology & Innovation Funding Authority (STDF) in cooperation with The Egyptian Knowledge Bank (EKB).

This work was financially supported by the research projects sector in the National Research Centre, Dokki, Giza, Egypt. The work was a part of an internal project (No. 13020131) entitled "Microbial ß-galactosidase as a biotechnological approach for the preparation of galactooligosaccharides from low-cost sources with studies on their application".

Data availability

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Not applicable.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 29 March 2024 / Accepted: 24 November 2024 Published online: 17 December 2024

References

- Vera C, Guerrero C, Wilson L, Illanes A. Synthesis of propyl-β-d- galactoside with free and immobilized β-galactosidase from aspergillus oryzae. Process Biochem. 2017;53:162–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procbio.2016.11.0.
- Di Costanzo M, Berni Canani R. Lactose intolerance: common misunderstandings. Ann Nutr Metab 73(Suppl. 2018;430–7. https://doi.org/10.1159/0004936 69.
- Saqib S, Akram A, Halim SA, Tassaduq R. Sources of β-galactosidase and its applications in food industry. 3 Biotech. 2017;7(1):79. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s13205-017-0645-5.
- Oliveira C, Guimarães PM, Domingues L. Recombinant microbial systems for improved β-galactosidase production and biotechnological applications. Biotechnol Adv. 2011;29(6):600–9. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biotechadv.2011. 03.008.
- Movahedpour A, Ahmadi N, Ghalamfarsa F, Ghesmati Z, Khalifeh M. β-Galactosidase: from its source and applications to its recombinant form. Biotechnol Appl Biochem. 2022;69(2):612–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/bab.21 37. Maleksabet · A.Shabaninejad, Z., Taheri-Anganeh, M., Savardashtaki, A.
- Gekas V, Lopez-Leiva M. Hydrolysis of lactose: a literature review. Process Biochem. 1985;20:2–12.
- Mostafa FA, Wehaidy HR, Sharaf S, El- hennawi, Mahmoud SA, Saleh SAA. *Aspergillus awamori* MK788209 cellulase: production, statistical optimization, pea peels saccharification and textile applications. Microb Cell Fact. 2024;23:11. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12934-023-02286-w.
- Mostafa FA, El Aty AA. Enzyme activities of the marine-derived fungus *Alternaria alternata* cultivated on selected agricultural wastes. J Appl Biol Sci. 2013;7(1):48–55.
- Abdel Wahab WA, Ahmed SA, Kholif AMM, Abd El Ghani S, Wehaidy HR. Rice straw and orange peel wastes as cheap and eco-friendly substrates: a new approach in β-galactosidase (lactase) enzyme production by the new isolate *L. Paracasei* MK852178 to produce low-lactose yogurt for lactose-intolerant people. Waste Manag. 2021;131:403–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wasman.20 21.06.028.

- .7.1710-1717.1994.
 Bradford MM. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal Biochem. 1976;72:248–54. https://doi.org/10.1006/abio.1976.9999.
- Simpson RJ. Staining proteins in gels with Coomassie Blue. Cold Spring Harbor Protoc. 2007;20074(pdbprot4719). https://doi.org/10.1101/pdb.prot4719.
- Xu H, Sun L, Zhao D, Zhang B, Shi Y, Wu Y. Production of α-amylase by aspergillus oryzae as 3951 in solid state fermentation using spent brewing grains as substrate. J Sci Food Agric. 2008;88:529–35. https://doi.org/10.1002/jsfa.3118.
- Martarello RDA, Cunha L, Cardoso SL, de Freitas MM, Silveira D, Fonseca– Bazzo YM, Homem–de–Mello M, Filho EXF, Magalhäes PO. Optimization and partial purification of beta-galactosidase production by *Aspergillus Niger* isolated from Brazilian soils using soybean residue. AMB Express. 2019;9(1):81. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-019-0805-6. Published 2019 Jun 10.
- Akcan N. Cultural conditions optimization for production of β-galactosidase from *Bacillus licheniformis* ATCC12759 under solid-state fermentation. Turk J Biochem. 2018;43(3):240–7.
- Bassetto RZ, Cabral PS, Silveira MHL, Almeida MM, Chiquetto NC. Optimization of β-galactosidase production from *Penicillium* sp. for synthesis of galactooligosaccharides. Int Food Res J. 2017;24(4):1793–8.
- Ali NM, Andleeb S, Mazhar B, Khan AUA. Optimization of β-galactosidase production from yogurt and dairy soil Associated Bacteria using different fermentation media. Br Microbiol Res J. 2016;11(2):1–15.
- Tasar OC. Glucose oxidase production using a medicinal plant: *Inula viscosa* and optimization with Taguchi DOE. J Food Process Preserv. 2022;46:e16375. https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.16375.
- Tasar OC, Tasar GE. Optimization of inulinase production using Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus*) as cheap substrate and comparison with pure chicory inulin. Prep Biochem Biotechnol. 2023;53(1):101–7. https://doi.or g/10.1080/10826068.2022.213414872.
- 20. Rashmi R, Siddalingamurthy KR. Optimization of β -galactosidase production by response surface methodology. Int J Biosci. 2011;1(6):119–27.
- Konsoula Z, Kyriakides ML. Co-production of α-amylase and β-galactosidase by *Bacillus subtilis* in complex organic substrates. Bioresource Technol. 2007;98:150–7.
- Chandel H, Sharma N. Optimization of B-Galactosidase produced by a potential lactic acid Bacteria lactobacillus casei MB2 isolated from traditional dairy product of Himachal Pradesh. Int J Curr App Sci. 2020;9(6):2819–32.
- Am-aiam S, Khanongnuch C. Medium optimization for
 ß-Galactosidase production by a thermotolerant yeast. J Sci. 2015;42(4):840–9.
- Deng Y, Xu M, Ji D, Agyei D. Optimization of β-galactosidase production by batch cultures of Lactobacillus leichmannii 313 (ATCC 7830). Fermentation. 2020;6:27–p17.
- da Silva ES. Optimization by response surface methodology for production of β-galactosidase from *Enterococcus faecium* using recycled medium. Res Soc Dev. 2020;9(10):e479108135.
- Kamel Z, Mohamed NM, Farahat MG. Optimization of Culture conditions for production of β-Galactosidase by *Bacillus megaterium* NM56 isolated from raw milk. Res J Pharm Biol Chem Sci. 2016;7(1):366–76.
- Afolabi FT, Adewale OP, Adeyemo SM. Isolation, production and optimisation of beta-galactosidase by utilizing yeasts isolated from selected dairy products. J food safe hyg. 2022;8(3):170–82.

- Al- jazairi M, Abou-ghorra S, Bakri Y, Mustafa M. Optimization of β-galactosidase production by response surface methodology using locally isolated *Kluyveromyces marxianus*. Int Food Res J. 2015;22(4):1361–7.
- Gomes T, Fontana Él, Zielinski A, Nogueira A, Spier M. Optimizing the growthassociated β-galactosidase production by probiotic *Lactobacillus reuteri* B-14171: experimental design, culture medium volume increase, and cell growth modeling. Scientia Plena. 2021;17. https://doi.org/10.14808/sci.plena. 2021.040203.
- Selvarajan E, Mohanasrinivasan V. Kinetic studies on exploring lactose hydrolysis potential of β galactosidase extracted from Lactobacillus plantarum HF571129. J Food Sci Technol. 2015;52(10):6206–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/s 13197-015-1729-z.
- Lee JY, Kwak MS, Roh JB, Kim K, Sung MH. Microbial β-Galactosidase of *Pedio-coccus pentosaceus* ID-7: isolation, Cloning, and molecular characterization. J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2017;27(3):598–609. https://doi.org/10.4014/jmb.1611.1 1015.
- Uthra S, Priyadharshini S, Ramachandiran S, Sangeshwari T, Muthuvel A. (2021). Isolation and characterization of β-Galactosidase from Mangrove Soil derived Bacteria. 7. 702067. https://doi.org/10.46501/JJMTST0706014
- Tunç Ş, Matpan Bekler F, Guven K. Characterization of intracellular β-galactosidase from Bacillus subtilis 4NK and *Bacillus paralicheniformis* 5NK isolated from a hot water spring and effects of various inhibitors on enzyme activity. Biotech Stud. 2021;30. https://doi.org/10.38042/biotechstudies.9535 14.
- Carevic M, Vukasinovic Sekulic M, Banjanac K, Milivojevic A, Corovic M, Bezbradica D. Characterization of β-galactosidase from *Lactobacillus acidophilus*: Stability and kinetic study. Adv Technol. 2017;6:5–13. https://doi.org/10.5937/ savteh1701005C.
- Sun J, Yao C, Wang W, Zhuang Z, Liu J, Dai F, Hao J, Cloning. Expression and characterization of a Novel Cold-adapted β-galactosidase from the deep-sea bacterium *Alteromonas* sp. ML52. Mar Drugs. 2018;16(12):469. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/md16120469. PMID: 30486362; PMCID: PMC6315854.
- Zhou Z, He N, Han Q, Liu S, Xue R, Hao J, Li S. Characterization and application of a new β-galactosidase gal42 from marine bacterium *Bacillus* sp. BY02. Front Microbiol. 2021;12:742300. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.742300.
- Liu Z, Zhao C, Deng Y, Huang Y, Liu B. Characterization of a thermostable recombinant β-galactosidase from a thermophilic anaerobic bacterial consortium YTY-70. Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip. 2015;29(3):547–54. https://doi. org/10.1080/13102818.2015.1015244.
- Abdel Wahab WA, Ahmed SA, Kholif AMM, Abd El Ghani S, Wehaidy HR. Lowlactose yoghurt production using β-galactosidase: an integrated study for the enzyme and its application. Int Dairy J. 2024;151:105864. https://doi.org/1 0.1016/j.idairyj.2023.105864.
- 39. Wahba MI. Calcium pectinate-agar beads as improved carriers for β -d-galactosidase and their thermodynamics investigation. 3 Biotech. 2020;10(8):356. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13205-020-02341-y.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.