RESEARCH Open Access

Quality of life and its predictors among patients with metastatic cancer in Bangladesh: the APPROACH survey



Rubayat Rahman^{1†}, Lubna Mariam^{2†}, Rebecca Su^{3,4}, Chetna Malhotra^{3,4} and Semra Ozdemir^{3,4}*

Abstract

Background This study aimed to assess the health-related quality of life (HRQOL) (physical, functional, emotional, social, spiritual) and psychological (anxiety and depression) well-being and their associations with patient characteristics among patients with metastatic cancer in Bangladesh.

Methods A convenience sample of 386 Bangladeshi patients with stage IV solid cancers was recruited from a palliative care outpatient department and an inpatient palliative center. Dependent variables included the physical, functional, emotional, social, and overall scores of the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy-General (FACT-G) scale, the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy-Spiritual Well-being (FACIT-SP) scale, the anxiety, depression, and overall scores of the Hospital Anxiety and Depression (HADS) scale. Linear regressions examined the association between dependent variables and patient characteristics.

Results A substantial proportion of Bangladeshi patients reported anxiety (59% of outpatients and 55% of inpatients) and depression (60% of outpatients and 73% of inpatients) symptoms. Generally, greater nancial di culty and symptom burden scores were associated with worse health outcomes. Older patients reported poorer functional and spiritual well-being but better anxiety scores. Females reported worse anxiety and depressive symptoms and physical well-being but better spiritual outcomes.

Conclusions Additional e orts must be directed at improving the HRQOL of patients with metastatic cancer in Bangladesh. Furthermore, assistance should be made more accessible to vulnerable groups, including women, the elderly, and those with nancial di culty.

Keywords Metastatic cancer, Palliative care, Quality of life, Bangladesh

[†]Rubayat Rahman and Lubna Mariam shared rst co-authorship.

*Correspondence:

Semra Özdemir

semra.ozdemir@duke.edu

¹Department of Palliative Medicine, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

²Department of Radiation Oncology, National Institute of Cancer Research & Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh

³Lien Centre for Palliative Care, Duke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Road, Singapore 169857, Singapore

⁴Signature Programme in Health Services and System Research, Duke-NUS Medical School, 8 College Road, Singapore 169857, Singapore



© The Author(s) 2023. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the articles Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the articles Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 2 of 9

Background

Cancer poses a signi cant disease burden worldwide, particularly in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) [1], where 70% of cancer-related deaths occur [2]. e global cancer burden is expected to increase due to population growth, longer life expectancy, growing urbanization, and lifestyle changes, with LMICs expected to be particularly a ected [3]. However, several barriers hinder e ective cancer care, including cancer-related shame and stigma, limited knowledge about the disease and accompanying symptoms, and challenges accessing healthcare due to nancial constraints and limited resources [4, 5].

In Bangladesh, the focus of this study, 25.9% of the total deaths were attributable to cancer in 2019 [6]. High cancer mortality in the country is generally due to a lack of awareness about the symptoms and risk factors of the disease [7, 8], the stigma associated with a cancer diagnosis in the community [9], inadequate health care [10], and steep co-pay costs without adequate nancial support [11, 12]. Cancer patients often experience a poor health-related quality of life (HRQOL) due to discomforting symptoms and adverse treatment-related side e ects [13]. Although some Bangladesh-based studies have assessed the HRQOL of patients with advanced cancer [14-17], they have primarily focused on associations between patient HRQOL and cancer type or speci c interventions without accounting for patient-level characteristics. None of these studies have assessed the association of socioeconomic and demographic characteristics with HRQOL among patients with metastatic cancer [18].

It is also worth noting that in LMIC including Bangladesh, it is not uncommon for people with advanced disease to lack awareness of their cancer diagnosis due to a tendency to shield patients from potential physical or emotional distress by withholding cancer diagnosis [19]. While direct evidence from Bangladesh is lacking, studies conducted with people originating from Bangladesh and other Asian societies have demonstrated that cancer disclosure is often viewed as undesirable [20–22].

Bangladesh has a comprehensive tax-funded health system on paper; however, its utilization and e ectiveness fall short in providing adequate health coverage without imposing undue nancial burden [23, 24]. In addition, the health sector has not received su cient priority in national development policies, leading to a lack of sustained improvements. As a result, both the allocation and the level of government spending on healthcare in Bangladesh are relatively low, accounting for only 5.4% of the overall government budget and 0.95% of GDP [23].

is study sought to assess the HRQOL of Bangladeshi patients with advanced cancer, considering their general well-being (physical, functional, emotional, and social/

family), as well as spiritual and psychological well-being in order to have a comprehensive understanding of their su ering from cancer [25, 26]. e aim of this study was to better understand the role various socio-economic and demographic factors play in variations in HRQOL outcomes. Building upon evidence from studies conducted in other LMICs, we hypothesized that female patients [9, 27, 28], older individuals [29, 30], those facing nancial di culty [28, 31], those with lower social support such as unmarried individuals [30, 32], and patients with lower levels of education [33] would report worse HRQOL outcomes compared to their counterparts.

Methods

Design and settings

A cross-sectional survey was conducted as part of the "Asian Patient Perspectives Regarding Oncology Awareness, Care and Health (APPROACH) project across nine LMICs in Asia. is larger project set out to investigate patients living with advanced cancer regarding various domains: HRQOL [33], quality of care [34], perceived and preferred levels of involvement in decision-making [35], understanding of prognosis [36], awareness and utilization of hospice palliative care services [37], mental health services [38], as well as cancer-related self-blame [39] and social stigma [40].

As one of the participating APPROACH study sites, the survey was conducted in collaboration with the local investigators in two study sites: (1) Dhaka, Bangladesh: an outpatient palliative care department in the National Institute of Cancer Research and Hospital (NICRH) and (2) an inpatient palliative care center located in Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University (BSMMU).

e NICRH is the only specialized government institute and tertiary-level cancer hospital in Bangladesh while BSMMU is the rst and only public medical university in Bangladesh. For the purpose of this study, patients from NICRH were referred to as "outpatients", while those from BSMMU were referred to as "inpatients".

Ethics

e study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National University of Singapore (reference: B-15-319), as well as those of the participating institutions in Bangladesh, the NICRH (reference: NICRH/ Ethics/ 2016/213) and the Centre for Palliative Care at BSMMU (reference: BSMMU/2016/5171).

Participants

We targeted to enroll a convenience sample of approximately 200 patients at each site who met the following inclusion criteria: being a Bangladeshi citizen, aged ≥ 21

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 3 of 9

years, diagnosed with stage IV solid cancer, being aware of cancer diagnosis, and willingness to provide consent.

Eligible patients identi ed by the site principal investigator or treating physicians, were referred to the study coordinator, or by the study coordinator reviewing medical records. Patients were recruited between April and November 2018 at the outpatient clinic and between February 2017 and October 2019 at the inpatient center. All participants provided written consent.

Survey design and development

e survey questionnaire included validated scales and questions developed by the study investigators. Questions (developed by the study investigators) were written in English and professionally translated into Bangla, which were then back translated to English. All discrepancies were reconciled. Supplementary Material provides the survey questions used in the study. e details of the validated scales are provided below.

Health-related quality of life outcomes

We assessed patients' HRQOL using the validated 27-item Functional Assessment of Cancer erapy-General (FACT-G) scale [41, 42]. e questionnaire covers four domains for health-related quality of life, including physical (FACT-GP), functional (FACT-GF), emotional (FACT-GE), and social/ family (FACT-GS) well-being. We summed scores from each domain to obtain a total score (range: 0–108), where higher scores indicate greater well-being.

We assessed patients' spiritual well-being using the Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness erapy-Spiritual Well-being scale (FACIT-Sp) [43]. e scores in the FACIT-Sp scale can range from 0 to 48, with higher scores indicating greater spiritual well-being. We also included the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) to assess patients' psychological distress levels e two HADS subscales: Anxiety (HADS-A) and Depression (HADS-D) with 7 questions each assessing anxiety and depression levels, respectively. Scores can range from 0 to 21 for both anxiety and depression, with higher scores indicating greater psychological distress. Altogether, the total HADS score can range from 0 to 42. A score between 0 and 7 for either subscale is regarded as the normal range, a score between 8 and 10 indicates a probable borderline psychological distress state, and a score of 11 or more indicates a probable mood disorder.

While licensed Bengali FACT-G and HADS translations were used, we translated the FACIT-Sp based on suggestions provided by the site investigators. e translation procedures and pilot testing for the FACIT-Sp scale followed the guidance provided by FACIT, and the nal version was approved by the FACIT license owners.

Acceptable internal consistency of the FACT-G, FACIT-Sp, and HADS scales were established in this study population with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.75 for the FACT-G, 0.79 for the FACT-Sp, and 0.84 for the HADS [45].

Patient characteristics

e survey also included questions on participants' socio-demographic characteristics (e.g. age, gender, years of education, and marital status). We evaluated patients' nancial status by summing the response scores for the following three questions:

How well would their nancial situation enable them to:

- 1) cover the cost of their treatment,
- 2) take care of their daily needs, and.
- 3) buy those little 'extras' or small luxuries?

e resulting total score can range from 3 to 9, with 3 representing least nancial di culty and 9 the highest. ese questions were previously used and tested with cancer and heart patients [31, 46].

We measured symptom burden by summing responses across ten symptoms (pain, shortness of breath, constipation, weight loss, vomiting, swelling, mouth and throat dryness, lack of energy, nausea, and any other symptom) that patients with cancer commonly experience. e list of symptoms was taken from FACIT-PAL-14 [47]. e resulting score ranged from 10 to 50, with 10 representing the least burden and 50 the highest [48].

Statistical analysis

We presented descriptive statistics for socio-demographic characteristics and HRQOL outcomes for the two sites. We compared the FACT-G, FACIT-Sp, and HADS scores between the two sites. We compared the means between samples using t-tests and also calculated Cohen's D e ect sizes. E ect sizes of 0.8 and above were considered large [49].

We used linear regressions to estimate the association between patient characteristics and HRQOL outcomes. We tted nine separate models for each HRQOL outcome as the dependent variable - overall FACT-G, FACT-GP, FACT-GE, FACT-GS, FACIT-Sp, HADS, HADS-A, and HADS-D.

e predictors or independent variables included age, years of education, nancial di culty score, symptom burden, gender (female=1, male=0), and marital status (unmarried/separated/divorced/widowed/never married)=1, otherwise=0). In all models, the site (0=patients from the outpatient clinic, 1=patients from the inpatient center) variable was used to control for any unobserved di erences between the two hospitals.

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 4 of 9

Table 1 Patient Characteristics

Mean (SD) / N (%)			
Variable	NICRH (outpatient department) (N = 189)	BSMMU (inpatient center) (N = 197)	P-Value ^{1,2}
Age	49.6 (13.6)	48.1 (13.8)	0.282
Education	5.1 (5.9)	8.8 (6.6)	< 0.001
Financial Di culty (3 to 9)	8.3 (1.3)	8.1 (1.5)	0.134
Symptom Burden (0 to 40)	14.2 (5.7)	18.9 (4.9)	< 0.001
Gender			
Male	93 (49%)	150 (76%)	< 0.001
Female	96 (51%)	47 (24%)	< 0.001
Marital status			
Not Married	37 (20%)	24 (12%)	0.047
Married	152 (80%)	173 (88%)	0.047

¹Two-sample t test using groups was used to compare means of categorical variables from site sociodemographic data and determine P-value

All analyses were conducted in STATA 15 by a data analyst trained in econometrics.

Results

Study sample

e mean ages of the 386 patients enrolled in the study were 49.6 ± 13.6 for the outpatients and 48.1 ± 13.8 for the inpatients (Table 1). ere were signi cantly more females enrolled at the outpatient clinic than at the inpatient center (51% vs. 24%; p-value<0.001). Across both sites, most patients (80–88%) were married. Inpatients had signi cantly more years of education than outpatients (8.8 ± 6.6 versus 5.1 ± 5.9 ; p-value<0.001). e mean nancial di culty scores were similar at both sites (8.1 ± 1.5 versus 8.3 ± 1.3 ; p-value=0.134). However, inpatients had signi cantly greater symptom burden than outpatients (18.9 ± 4.9 versus 14.2 ± 5.7 ; p-value<0.001).

Inpatients reported signi cantly lower mean HRQOL (overall FACT-G score) than outpatients (46.4 ± 10.7 versus 53.0 ± 13.2 ; p-value<0.001) (Table 2). Specically, inpatients reported signi cantly lower physical well-being (10.2 ± 3.6 versus 13.7 ± 4.8 ; p-value<0.001), functional well-being (8.6 ± 3.9 versus 10.2 ± 5.5 ; p-value=0.001), emotional well-being (9.3 ± 4.9 versus 10.3 ± 4.7 ; p-value=0.035), and spiritual well-being (22.4 ± 6.2 versus 29.9 ± 6.5 ; p-value<0.001). E ect sizes for the magnitude of dierence between the two sites were large (i.e., over 0.8) for spiritual well-being (1.18) and physical well-being (0.82).

HADS overall scores and anxiety scores were not signi cantly di erent between the two sites, but inpatients reported higher depressive symptoms compared to outpatients (12.4 ± 3.0 versus 11.1 ± 3.1 ; p-value<0.001). 59% of the outpatients and 55% of the inpatients reported an

Table 2 Patient-reported HRQOL outcomes (NICRH: outpatient department) versus (BSSMU: inpatient center)

Mean (SD)					
Variables	NICRH (outpatient department) (N = 189)	BSMMU (inpatient center) (N = 197)	p-value	Cohen's D ¹ (95% CI)	
FACT-G (Overall) (out of 108)	53.0 (13.2)	46.4 (10.7)	< 0.001	-0.55 (-0.76, -0.35)	
FACT-G Physical well-being (FACT-GP) (out of 28)	13.7 (4.8)	10.2 (3.6)	< 0.001	-0.82 (-1.03, -0.62)	
FACT-G Functional well-being (FACT-GF) (out of 28)	10.2 (5.5)	8.6 (3.9)	0.001	-0.33 (-0.54, -0.13)	
FACT-G Emotional well-being subscale (FACT-GE) (out of 28)	10.3 (4.7)	9.3 (4.9)	0.035	-0.22 (-0.42, -0.01)	
FACT-G Social well-being subscale (FACT-GS) (out of 28)	18.9 (5.2)	18.3 (4.7)	0.279	-0.11 (-0.31, 0.09)	
FACIT-Sp (out of 48)	29.9 (6.5)	22.4 (6.2)	< 0.001	-1.18 (-1.39, -0.96)	
HADS (Overall) (out of 42)	22.0 (5.6)	22.9 (5.3)	0.088	0.17 (-0.03, 0.37)	
HADS Anxiety (HADS-A) (out of 21)	10.9 (3.8)	10.5 (3.3)	0.333	-0.10 (-0.30, 0.10)	
0–7, n (%)	36 (19.05)	31 (15.74)			
8 – 1, n (%) 11 and above, n (%)	42 (22.22) 111 (58.73)	58 (29.44) 108 (54.82)	0.245		
HADS Depression (HADS-D) (out of 21)	11.1 (3.1)	12.4 (3.0)	< 0.001	0.43 (0.23, 0.63)	
0–7, n (%)	16 (8.47)	7 (3.55)			
8–10, n (%) 11 and above, n (%)	59 (31.22) 114 (60.32)	46 (23.35) 144 (73.10)	< 0.05		

¹Cohen's De ect sizes 0.8 and over will be considered large

anxiety score of at least 11 (p=0.245) while 60% of the outpatients and 73% of the inpatients reported a depression score of at least 11 (p-value < 0.05), indicative of probable mood disorder for these patients.

²Chi^2 was used to compare means of continuous variables from site sociodemographic data and determine P-value

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 5 of 9

Associations of patient characteristics with HRQOL outcomes

Older age was associated with poorer functional (= -0.037, p-value < 0.05) well-being but also with lower anxiety scores (= -0.040, p-value < 0.01) (Table 3). Patients with higher nancial di culty scores reported poorer functional (= -0.781, p-value < 0.01), emotional (= -0.572, p-value < 0.01), social (= -0.622, p-value < 0.01), and spiritual well-being (= -1.434, p-value < 0.01).

Similarly, patients with higher symptom burden reported poorer physical (= -0.492, p-value<0.01), functional (= -0.192, p-value<0.01), and emotional well-being (= -0.163, p-value<0.01), and greater anxiety (=0.130, p-value<0.01) and depressive scores (=0.125, p-value<0.01). Compared to males, female patients reported slightly greater anxiety scores (=1.035, p-value<0.01) but reported better spiritual well-being (=1.779, p-value<0.05).

Years of education and marital status were not signi cant predictors of HRQOL outcomes in our patient sample.

Discussion

Patient quality of life

In line with past research conducted in Bangladesh [14, 50, 51], patients with metastatic cancer reported low HRQOL outcomes in terms of physical, functional, emotional, social, and spiritual well-being. ese results might be due to Bangladesh's limited treatment and

palliative-care resources as well as limited medical infrastructure, educated workforce, and service availability (e.g., radiotherapy units, radiation treatment) [52–54]. While there are approximately twenty hospitals that o er cancer care in Bangladesh, most cancer patients are referred to an already-overwhelmed public healthcare system, where the number of patients greatly outstrips the number of inpatient beds [52].

Furthermore, patients from both sites reported poor psychological well-being. About half of all patients in this study reported scores indicative of probable anxiety, Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 6 of 9

health programs receive inadequate funding, with mental health expenditures accounting for only 0.44% of the total health budget [58]. ese resources need to be expanded in order to improve mental well-being among patients with metastatic cancer.

Patients recruited at the inpatient palliative care center reported worse HRQOL than patients from the outpatient palliative care clinic. We posit that these di erences are unlikely to be attributed to di erences in care but rather due to the fact that patients recruited at the inpatient center are likely to be much sicker and nearing the end of their lives compared to patients recruited at the outpatient clinic. In support of this, our study found that symptom burden scores for inpatients were signi e largest difcantly higher than those for outpatients. ference between the two sites was seen in their spiritual well-being scores, with inpatients reporting markedly low scores indicative of reduced spiritual status as they approached the end of their lives. ese ndings highlight the need for improving awareness and capacity, such as expanding healthcare manpower and resources [59], opioid availability [60], and hospice care services and awareness of these services [37] which are severely lacking or limited in the country.

Associations between HRQOL and patient characteristics Symptom burden was the most common predictor of lower HRQOL in all domains, except for social and spiritual well-being. Symptom burden was also a signi cant predictor of higher anxiety and depression scores. ese results are consistent with past studies, which have shown that symptoms, such as pain [61], fatigue [61], weight loss [62], and nausea and vomiting [63], a ect patients' physical and functional well-being and are associated with worse emotional and psychological well-being.

Consistent with our hypotheses, our results show that greater nancial di culty was associated with poorer functional, emotional, social, and spiritual well-being. Financial di culty among cancer patients is common, disproportionately a ecting younger and socioeconomically disadvantaged patients and associated with worse HRQOL [28, 31, 64]. People with higher nancial difculty scores may be su ering from emotional problems due to their concerns about their nances [31, 65, 66]. Financial di culty may stem from a cancer-related loss of family income, social discrimination, and rejection, which are all associated with worse HRQOL [66]. In addition, greater nancial di culty may also arise from increased use of health care services and higher medical expenses which, in return, indicate worse patient HRQOL. Overall, people with higher nancial di culty scores may su er from emotional problems and could have poorer physical and functional well-being scores.

Our study also showed that older age was associated with poorer functional well-being, which is unsurprising as older patients are more likely to experience functional problems. Conversely, older age was associated with lower anxiety [67], since older patients are more likely to accept the outcomes of their cancer compared to younger patients who, otherwise, would have expected to live a longer and healthier life [68].

Compared to male patients, female patients reported better spiritual well-being but worse anxiety. A worse anxiety may be due to worries regarding their fertility and responsibilities towards family, especially their children [69]. On the other hand, female patients may be better at nding faith and meaning in what they are going through compared to male patients [70]. It may also be that patients who experience worse anxiety are more likely to seek spiritual outlets and thereby improve their spiritual well-being [71].

Limitations

Despite the importance of our ndings, several limitations in our research should be highlighted. First, the results may not be nationally representative or apply to other LMICs since we recruited patients only from two speci c sites. is limited sample size and site selection may restrict the wider applicability of our ndings. Second, we only included patients who were aware of their cancer diagnosis, potentially excluding a subset of individuals who may be unaware of their condition due to the reasons previously mentioned. ird, the study sites did not systematically track and record detailed information about the recruitment process, such as the number of individuals screened, eligible, and approached for is lack of information may a ect the participations. transparency and completeness of the recruitment process. Fourth, our study design was cross-sectional, which means that we cannot draw causal conclusions. Lastly, the mean age of the patients in this study is lower compared to similar studies in the region [35], but it aligns with other cancer studies within the country [72, 73]. In LMICs, the occurrence of cancer in young age is often attributed to factors such as lower life expectancy and a relatively younger population structure. However, the speci c reasons for this trend among Bangladeshi patients remain unclear [72].

Implications

e ndings of this study provide a better understanding of the HRQOL experienced by metastatic cancer patients recruited from palliative care units in Bangladesh and help in predicting which groups are likely to experience poorer HRQOL. We hope that the identication of factors predicting poor HRQOL will enable the development of more targeted approaches for care delivery and

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 7 of 9

for informing cancer and palliative centers in Bangladesh and other low- and middle-income countries. Importantly, our ndings highlight the need for expanding healthcare services o ered to cancer patients, training clinicians in palliative care, and integrating palliative care infrastructure into the mainstream healthcare system to increase the HRQOL of Bangladeshi patients with metastatic cancer.

Conclusion

By examining the HRQOL and its socio-economic and demographic predictors among patients with metastatic cancer in Bangladesh, this study highlighted several important ndings. First, patients reported low HRQOL across various domains, including physical, functional, emotional, social, and spiritual well-being. reported high levels of anxiety and depression. e levels of HRQOL were even worse for patients recruited from the inpatient clinic. Second, higher nancial and symptom burdens were signi cantly associated with poorer HRQOL outcomes in general. Being female, younger, and having a higher symptom burden were associated with higher anxiety and depression. Our results highlight the critical need to improve funding for palliative care, symptom management, and mental health services speci cally targeted towards patients with metastatic cancer in lower-middle-income countries such as Bangladesh.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-023-01301-6.

Supplementary Material 1: Survey Questions Used in the Paper

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the patients who participated in this study for their time. We also would like to thank Sean Ng for his editorial help with the manuscript.

Authors' contributions

RR: Resources, conceptualization, editing, interpretation of ndings. LM: Resources, conceptualization, editing, interpretation of ndings. RS: Analysis, writing. CM: Design, editing, interpretation of ndings. SO: Supervision, conceptualization, design, analysis, writing. All authors read and approved the nal manuscript.

Funding

This work was supported by the Lien Centre for Palliative Care. The study funder had no role in study design, data collection, analysis and interpretation, or writing of the manuscript. The publication of study results was not contingent on the sponsor's approval or censorship of the manuscript. SU, CM, and SO work for the funder.

Data Availability

The datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was performed in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National University of Singapore (reference: B-15-319), as well as those of the participating institutions in Bangladesh, the NICRH (reference: NICRH/ Ethics/ 2016/213) and the Centre for Palliative Care at BSMMU (reference: BSMMU/2016/5171). Informed consent was obtained from all participants included in the study.

Consent for publication

N/A

Competing interests

The authors have no relevant nancial or non-nancial interests to disclose.

Received: 11 January 2023 / Accepted: 28 October 2023 Published online: 03 January 2024

References

- Global Burden of Disease, Cancer C, et al. Cancer Incidence, Mortality, Years
 of Life Lost, Years lived with disability, and disability-adjusted life years for 29
 Cancer groups from 2010 to 2019: a systematic analysis for the global burden
 of Disease Study 2019. JAMA Oncol. 2022;8(3):420–44.
- Bray F, et al. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. Cancer J Clin. 2018;68(6):394–424.
- Soerjomataram I, Bray F. Planning for tomorrow: global cancer incidence and the role of prevention 2020–2070. Nat Reviews Clin Oncol. 2021;18(10):663–72.
- McCutchan G, et al. Psychosocial in uences on help-seeking behaviour for cancer in low-income and lower middle-income countries: a mixed-methods systematic review. BMJ Global Health. 2021;6(2):e004213.
- Shah SC, et al. Cancer control in low-and middle-income countries: is it time to consider screening? J Global Oncol. 2019;5:1–8.
- 6. WHO. Cancer Bangladesh 2020 Country pro le. 2020 [cited 2022 June 15].
- Alam N, et al. Causes of death of adults and elderly and healthcare-seeking before death in rural Bangladesh. J Health Popul Nutr. 2010;28(5):520–8.
- Amin MN, et al. A hospital based survey to evaluate knowledge, awareness and perceived barriers regarding Breast cancer screening among females in Bangladesh. Heliyon. 2020;6(4):e03753.
- Steiness HS, et al. Barriers to care for women with Breast cancer symptoms in rural Bangladesh. Health Care Women Int. 2018;39(5):536–54.
- Ahmed S, et al. Impact of tra c variability on geographic accessibility to 24/7 emergency healthcare for the urban poor: a GIS study in Dhaka, Bangladesh. PLoS ONE. 2019;14(9):e0222488.
- Kabir A, et al. Health shocks, care-seeking behaviour and coping strategies of extreme poor households in Bangladesh's Chittagong Hill tracts. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(1):1008.
- Murphy A, et al. The household economic burden of non-communicable Diseases in 18 countries. BMJ Glob Health. 2020;5(2):e002040.
- 13. Story HL et al. *Improving outcomes from breast cancer in a low-income country: lessons from bangladesh* Int J Breast Cancer, 2012. 2012: p. 423562.
- Alam MM, et al. Quality of life (QoL) of cancer patients and its association with nutritional and performance status: a pilot study. Heliyon. 2020;6(10):e05250.
- Hossain N, et al. Quality of life of Cervical cancer patients after completion of treatment - A study among Bangladeshi women. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull. 2015;41(3):131–7.
- Rahman MM, et al. In uence of socioeconomic status and BMI on the quality
 of life after mastectomy in Bangladeshi Breast cancer patients in a public
 hospital. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2014;44(12):1150–7.
- Rahman MM, et al. Moving Beyond Cancer: Immediate Impact on-the Healthrelated quality of life of Breast Cancer patients after mastectomy. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull. 2015;41(2):52–8.
- Pastrana T, et al. Disparities in the contribution of low- and middleincome countries to palliative care research. J Pain Symptom Manage 2010;39(1):54–68.

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 8 of 9

- Ghoshal A, et al. To tell or not to tell: exploring the preferences and attitudes of patients and Family caregivers on Disclosure of a Cancer-related diagnosis and prognosis. J Glob Oncol. 2019;5:1–12.
- Karim K. Informing cancer patients: Truth telling and culture. Cancer Nurs Pract, 2003. 2(3).
- Mitchell AJ. Reluctance to disclose di cult diagnoses: a narrative review comparing communication by psychiatrists and oncologists. Support Care Cancer. 2007;15:819–28.
- Mitchell JL. Cross-cultural issues in the disclosure of cancer. Cancer Pract. 1998:6(3):153–60.
- ILO., Extending social health protection: Accelerating progress towards Universal Health Coverage in Asia and the Paciac 2021.
- Adams AM, et al. Innovation for universal health coverage in Bangladesh: a call to action. The Lancet. 2013;382(9910):2104–11.
- Cerni J, Rhee J, Hosseinzadeh H. End-of-Life Cancer Care Resource Utilisation in Rural Versus Urban settings: a systematic review. Int J Environ Res Public Health, 2020. 17(14).
- Dickerson JC, et al. Healthcare delivery interventions to reduce cancer disparities worldwide. World J Clin Oncol. 2020;11(9):705–22.
- Laghousi D, et al. Gender di erences in health-related quality of life among patients with Colorectal cancer. J Gastrointest Oncol. 2019;10(3):453.
- Jacob J, et al. Health-related quality of life and its socio-economic and cultural predictors among advanced cancer patients: evidence from the APPROACH cross-sectional survey in Hyderabad-India. BMC Palliat care. 2019;18(1):1–12.
- Subramaniam S, et al. Health-related quality of life and psychological distress among cancer survivors in a middle income country. Psycho oncology. 2018;27(9):2172–9.
- Woodward AS, S.J.S.P.C.Y.C.N.N.B.-P M. Health-related quality of life and psychological distress among cancer survivors in Southeast Asia: results from a longitudinal study in eight low-and middle-income countries. BMC Med. 2017;15:1–13.
- Malhotra C, et al. Financial di culties are associated with greater total pain and su ering among patients with advanced cancer: results from the COM-PASS study. Support Care Cancer. 2020;28(8):3781–9.
- Costa ALS, et al. Social support is a predictor of lower stress and higher quality of life and resilience in Brazilian patients with Colorectal cancer. Cancer Nurs. 2017;40(5):352–60.
- Malhotra C, et al. Socio-economic inequalities in sulering at the end of life among advanced cancer patients: results from the APPROACH study in very Asian countries. Int J Equity Health. 2020;19:1–13.
- Ning X, et al. Perceived quality of care and its associated factors among Chinese patients with advanced cancer: ndings from the APPROACH study in Beijing. Support Care Cancer. 2021;29:1395

 –401.
- Ozdemir S, et al. Patient-reported roles in decision-making among Asian
 patients with advanced cancer: a multicountry study. Volume 6. MDM Policy
 & Practice; 2021. p. 23814683211061398. 2.
- Ozdemir S, et al. Advanced Cancer patients' prognostic awareness and its
 Association with anxiety, depression and spiritual Well-Being: a multi-country study in Asia. Clin Oncol. 2022;34(6):368–75.
- 37. Ozdemir S, et al. Awareness and utilization of Palliative Care among Advanced Cancer patients in Asia. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2022;64(4):e195–e201.
- Teo I, et al. High anxiety and depression scores and mental health service use among south Asian advanced cancer patients: a multi-country study. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2021;62(5):997–1007.
- Doble B, et al. The association of self-blame with treatment preferences in a multi-country cohort of advanced cancer patients from the APPROACH study. J Psychosom Res. 2020;139:110284.
- Teo İ, et al. Perceived stigma and its correlates among Asian patients with advanced cancer: a multi-country APPROACH study. Psycho Oncology. 2022;31(6):938–49
- Cella DF, et al. The Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy scale: development and validation of the general measure. J Clin Oncol. 1993;11(3):570–9.
- 42. Webster KA, et al. The Functional Assessment of Chronic Illness Therapy (FACIT) measurement system: guidance for use in research and clinical practice, in Handbook of Quality of Life in Cancer. Springer; 2022. pp. 79–104.
- 43. Peterman AH, et al. Measuring spiritual well-being in people with cancer: the functional assessment of chronic Illness therapy—spiritual well-being scale (FACIT-Sp). Ann Behav Med. 2002;24(1):49–58.
- Zigmond AS, Snaith RP. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica. 1983;67(6):361–70.

- Tavakol M, Dennick R. Making sense of Cronbach's alpha. Int J Med Educ. 2011:2:53–5.
- Malhotra C, et al. Financial di culties and patient-reported outcomes among patients with advanced Heart Failure. Qual Life Res. 2021;30:1379–87.
- Lyons KD, et al. Reliability and validity of the functional assessment of chronic Illness therapy-palliative care (FACIT-pal) scale. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2009;37(1):23–32.
- Sha q M, et al. Trajectories of physical symptom burden and psychological distress during the last year of life in patients with a solid metastatic cancer. Psycho-oncology. 2022;31(1):139–47.
- Durlak JA. How to select, calculate, and interpret e ect sizes. J Pediatr Psychol. 2009;34(9):917–28.
- Tahshin R, Kabir MI, Flora MS. Quality of life of Breast Cancer patients. J Prev Social Med. 2021;39(2):20–33.
- Tohmina Akhter SP, Goodman A. Quality of life in Ovarian-Cancer patients receiving chemotherapy, J Cancer Therapy, 2020;11(11):695–714.
- Haque N, et al. Challenges to Cervical cancer treatment in Bangladesh: the development of a women's cancer ward at Dhaka Medical College Hospital. Gynecol Oncol Rep. 2017;21:67–72.
- Uddin AF, et al. Cancer care scenario in Bangladesh. South Asian J Cancer. 2013;2(2):102–4.
- Hussain SM. Comprehensive update on cancer scenario of Bangladesh. South Asian J Cancer. 2013;2(4):279–84.
- Kumar R, et al. Prevalence of anxiety and depression among cancer patients. J Med Sci Clin Res. 2016;4(11):13696–9.
- Brehaut E, et al. Depression prevalence using the HADS-D compared to SCID major depression classi cation: an individual participant data meta-analysis. J Psychosom Res. 2020;139:110256.
- Shahina Akhter SI, Md. Reza-A-Rabby. Prevalence of anxiety and depression among cancer patients in a community hospital of Bangladesh. Archives of NIMH. 2021;4(2):32–9.
- 58. Hasan MT, et al. The current state of mental healthcare in Bangladesh: part 1 an updated country pro le. BJPsych Int. 2021;18(4):78–82.
- Rakhshanda S, et al. Assessing service availability and readiness to manage Cervical cancer in Bangladesh. BMC Cancer. 2021;21(1):670.
- Cleary J, et al. Formulary availability and regulatory barriers to accessibility of opioids for cancer pain in Asia: a report from the Global Opioid Policy Initiative (GOPI). Ann Oncol. 2013;24:xi24–xi32.
- 61. Soares-Miranda L, et al. Physical tness and health-related quality of life in patients with Colorectal Cancer. Int J Sports Med. 2021;42(10):924–9.
- Head BA, et al. The relationship between weight loss and health-related quality of life in persons treated for Head and Neck cancer. Support Care Cancer. 2011;19(10):1511–8.
- Yeo W, et al. Quality of Life Associated with nausea and vomiting from Anthracycline-based chemotherapy: a Pooled Data Analysis from three prospective trials. Oncologist. 2021;26(12):e2288–96.
- Smith GL, et al. Financial burdens of Cancer Treatment: a systematic review of risk factors and outcomes. J Natl Compr Canc Netw. 2019;17(10):1184–92.
- Delgado-Guay M, et al. Financial distress and its associations with Physical and emotional symptoms and quality of Life among Advanced Cancer patients. Oncologist. 2015;20(9):1092–8.
- Barbaret C, et al. Financial distress in patients with advanced cancer. PLoS ONE. 2017;12(5):e0176470.
- Hapuarachchi T, et al. Disparities in end-of-life outcomes among advanced cancer patients in Sri Lanka: results from the APPROACH study. Palliative & Supportive Care; 2021. pp. 1–7.
- Wenzel LB, et al. Age-related di erences in the quality of life of breast carcinoma patients after treatment. Cancer. 1999:86(9):1768–74.
- Politi MC, Enright TM, Weihs KL. The e ects of age and emotional acceptance on distress among Breast cancer patients. Support Care Cancer. 2007;15(1):73–9
- Rassoulian A, Gaiger A, Loe er-Stastka H. Gender di erences in Psychosocial, Religious, and spiritual aspects in coping: a cross-sectional study with Cancer patients. Womens Health Rep (New Rochelle). 2021;2(1):464–72.
- Koenig HG, Larson DB, Larson SS. Religion and coping with serious medical Illness. Ann Pharmacother. 2001;35(3):352–9.
- Hossain MS et al. Diagnosed hematological malignancies in Bangladesh-a retrospective analysis of over 5000 cases from 10 specialized hospitals BMC cancer, 2014. 14: p. 1–7.

Rahman et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:2 Page 9 of 9

73. Hossain MS, Ferdous S, Karim-Kos HE. Breast cancer in South Asia: a Bangladeshi perspective. Cancer Epidemiol. 2014;38(5):465–70.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional a liations.