RESEARCH Open Access

Abstract

Background Family caregivers are essential in end-of-life care for cancer patients who wish to die at home. The knowledge is still limited regarding family caregivers needs and preferences for support and whether the preferences change during the patient's illness trajectory. Therefore, the aim was to explore family caregivers' preferences for support from home care services over time when caring for a family member with cancer at the end of life who wished to die at home.

Methods A qualitative method was applied according to Grounded Theory. Data was collected longitudinally over the illness trajectory by means of repeated individual interviews (n = 22) with adult family caregivers (n = 11). Sampling, data collection and data analysis were undertaken simultaneously in line with the constant comparative method.

Results The indings are captured in the core category "hold out in duty and love". The categories "having control and readiness for action" and "being involved in care" describe the family caregivers' preferences for being prepared and able to handle procedures, medical treatment and care, and to be involved by the healthcare personnel in the patient's care and decision making. The categories "being seen and con rmed" and "having a respite" describe family caregivers' preferences for support according to their own needs to be able to persevere in the situation.

Conclusion Despite deterioration in the patient's illness and the increasing responsibility family caregiver struggle to hold out and focus on being in the present. Over time together with deterioration in the patient's illness and changes in the situation, they expressed a need for more intense and extensive support from the home care services. To meet the family caregivers' preferences for support a systematic implementation of a person-centred care model and multicomponent psycho- educational interventions performed by nurses can be proposed. Moreover, we suggest developing a tool based on the conceptual model generated in this study to identify and map family caregivers' needs and preferences for support. Such a tool can facilitate communication and ensure person-centred interventions.

*Correspondence: Toril Merete Nysaeter toril.nysater@inn.no

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the articles Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the articles Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0./ The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0./) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 2 of 11

Background

End-of-life care at home for patients with cancer is becoming more common due to an increasing number of persons who wish to die at home [1, 2], as home death is regarded by most as a more comfortable and dignied experience than death in an institution [3–6]. Family caregivers are essential to enable end-of-life care at home, a fact emphasised by research showing that home death is unlikely to be achieved without family caregivers

Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 3 of 11

and prognosis; being adult (at least 18 years of age); having no cognitive impairment; understanding and speaking Norwegian; and cohabitating with the patient or living in their own home in a geographical proximity to the patient. Family caregivers to patients living in nursing homes were excluded.

e cancer care coordinators informed and recruited dyads of patients and family caregivers, and the two bereaved family caregivers. Eligible patients gave consent for the family caregivers to participate. When a family caregiver agreed to participate, the cancer care coordinator informed the rst author (TN), who contacted the family caregiver, clari ed any questions about the study and made an appointment for the time and place of the rst interview.

All family caregivers who were invited gave their consent to participate (n=11) (Table 1). e family caregivers' characteristics are described in Table 1. At the time of their recruitment all family caregivers had been informed about the cancer care coordinator's role and had been given the opportunity to receive support from the cancer care coordinator. ree of the family caregivers received support from a cancer nurse in the palliative care team at the hospital.

Data collection

Data collection was performed as individual interviews between April 2018 and June 2019, together with two additional interviews conducted in December 2021.

e family caregivers had the opportunity to choose the arena for the interviews. Most of the interviews were conducted in the family caregiver's home, except for

Table 1 Characteristics of participants (n = 11)

Characteristics	Number
Sex	
Women	10
Men	1
Age (years)	
Median	64.3
Range	42-85
Marital status	
Married / cohabitant	8
Living alone	3
Education level	
Elementary school	5
Secondary school	3
University college / university	3
Living conditions	
Detached house	7
Semi detached / multi-storey building	4
Ethnicity	
Ethnic Norwegian	11

three. Out of these, two were held in a private room at the researcher's workplace and one at hospital.

An interview guide was developed by the authors drawing on existing evidence and clinical knowledge of the eld, with topics concerning the family caregivers' support preferences, what kind of support they received, how they experienced the support, whether they got the support they preferred and how it was consistent with their wishes and needs for future support [37].

e rst author (TN) conducted, and audio recorded all interviews. Each interview started as an open dialogue in which the family caregivers was encouraged to speak freely about their experiences of being a caregiver and preferences for support regarding home care services.

e interview guide was used as a reminder during the interview, to ensure that the topics listed above were covered. Open questions such as who, which, what, etc. were asked in order to extend or narrow the eld of interest. In the follow up interviews the interview guide was changed and modi ed between each interview focusing on broadening and clarifying the emerging categories, their properties and dimensions [37]. In addition, the follow up interviews also contained questions exploring potential changes in family caregivers' preferences for support since the last interview.

From the beginning, the sampling was purposeful in accordance with the inclusion criteria for both patients [39] and family care givers in the dyads. As the inclusion process proceeded, theoretical sampling of dyads was primarily used to saturate the emerging categories in the patient study. e data from the interviews with the family caregivers in these dyads was predominantly characterised by negative experiences. erefore, theoretical sampling of family caregivers continued, with the intention of challenging the preliminary results, and two additional bereaved family caregivers, who had expressed positive experiences of end-of-life care at home, were interviewed once.

e family caregivers (n=11) were interviewed from one to four times (Table 2). In total, 22 interviews were conducted. e follow-up interviews were held when there was a deterioration in the patient's illness status, such as the onset of distressing symptoms, pain that needed professional management, infections, or selfreported experience from the patient that death was approaching. When such a change occurred the family caregiver contacted the rst author (TN) and made an appointment for a follow-up interview. e only male participant was interviewed once. Five female participants in the longitudinal study also attended an interview after the patient's death. e time between the initial interview and the follow-up interviews varied between one and three weeks. A nal follow-up interview was held two weeks up to two months after the patient's death

Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 4 of 11

with ve of the family caregivers in the dyads. e two additional bereaved family caregivers were interviewed three and nine months, respectively, after the patient's death.

e interviews (n=22) lasted from 25 min up to 75 min (median 30.5 min). In total 21 h and 14 min of interviews were analysed. After each interview, eld notes were written to capture the immediate thoughts and impressions from the interview.

Data analysis

Data collection and data analysis were performed as a cyclical process in line with the constant comparative method in grounded theory [37, 41]. e rst author (TN) transcribed the interviews verbatim, as close to the interview as possible. Field notes were included in the memos. e open coding process started after reading through the interview and memos. e open coding pro-

Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 5 of 11



Fig. 1 Conceptual model of the preferences for support from home care services over time among family caregivers caring for a family member with cancer at the end of life who wished to die at home

they experienced contradictory and mixed feelings. Feelings of exhaustion, anger and fear for the future challenged their ability to persevere. On the other hand, feelings of joy and togetherness contributed to their persistence.

ey describe how, that to be able to persevere, they focus on living here and now and taking one day at a time, aiming to achieve good moments together with the patient. Good moments are described as moments together, experiencing a feeling of normality. At the same time, they are aware that the illness is progressing, and the loss of the patient is becoming imminent. e preference of having someone close to talk to and the importance of a continuous relationship were described as necessary in order to persevere.

Having control and readiness for action

e family caregivers described preferences for having control of the situation and a readiness for action when needed. One important preference was predictability regarding the delivery of care from the home care services and the cancer coordinator, as this enabled them to plan their day. To be able to have control and to be prepared, they preferred honest information about the prognosis of the illness and what changes they could expect due to a deteriorated condition. Being informed also made them able to judge and manage acute situations that could arise including knowing whom to contact 24/7 depending on the severity of the situation.

"Just before Easter he got ill and I thought that he had a urinary tract infection. en I called the cancer care coordinator and told her about the symptoms. All right, she says, we'll send him to the hospital, for treatment. I called the ambulance, and it arrived at 11 o'clock."

Interview 1, family caregiver 1, aged 75.

is becomes more important as the illness progresses and death becomes imminent. To be ready for action, they also wanted to have knowledge about the patient's medication, treatment and care. In addition, they Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 6 of 11

expressed a preference for practical training in procedures such as pain management and wound care, but also fundamental care such as personal hygiene and nutrition.

"I got trained in using that machine and so did he. If anything appeared we were able to manage ourselves. It was only two or three times that we had to do it ourselves".

Interview 1, family caregiver aged 56.

Being involved in care

e family caregivers underlined that they wanted to be involved in the care of the patient and accepted as part of the home care team. is preference was especially pronounced among those who were living together with the patient.

"We were a team working well together and I felt accepted as a part of the team. I did what I could do.... It became natural and comfortable and maybe that's why I felt it (the situation) not so burdensome". Interview 1, family caregiver 11, aged 66.

Early in the illness trajectory, most family caregivers preferred to care for the patient themselves. When the patient's healthcare needs became more severe and the home care services had to be involved, they preferred shared care responsibility. ey wanted to contribute their experience and knowledge about the patient in their cooperation with the healthcare personnel.

«In an ideal world I would wish that I had been included more, earlier."
Interview 2, family caregiver 4, aged 48.

e understanding of the patient's situation, the severity of the illness and that death was approaching was facilitated by being involved in the direct care of the patient, as well as decisions regarding care and treatment. is was evident in the interviews after the patient's death, where the family caregivers stated that they wished that the healthcare personnel had involved them in the patient's care to a greater extent.

Being seen and con rmed

Family caregivers expressed a preference to be seen as a person with their own need for support, both to an increasing extent during the course of care and for a period after the patient's death. In addition, they described a preference to be acknowledged for their e orts and the care they provided, but they often experienced being taken for granted.

«Just to be seen and experience that someone was interested in ME, and my story and my role in it, and how tough it actually is for me being his family caregiver. at was incredibly good."

Interview 2, family caregiver 4, aged 48.

Family caregivers expressed a preference to share feelings they were ashamed of, such as anger and irritation that a patient's illness persisted 24/7. In meetings with healthcare personnel, they preferred those who showed empathy, took the time to listen, and took the initiative for conversations.

"I think it is frustrating that illness has taken over everything, in a way taking over life. And I don't want it to be like that."

Interview 1, family caregiver 5, aged 44.

ey also describe a need to talk to someone about feelings of fear of the future and the imminent loss of the patient. Often family caregivers had these talks with someone close to them. However, after the patient's death they expressed a preference to talk to competent and empathetic healthcare personnel. Family caregivers preferred to talk to cancer care coordinators, with whom they had an established relationship. In addition, they were considered especially competent regarding end-of-life care and bereavement.

Having a respite

To be able to hold out and manage to live in "the cancer bubble", the family caregivers described a preference for respite from the situation. Having a respite meant having time alone, for example going out for a walk or going to the grocery store and knowing that the patient was safe at home. is was achieved by either an alarm to the home care services or planned visits with a known, trustworthy and competent person. Family caregivers needed the health care personnel to encourage them to leave the home, because they could feel guilty for taking time on their own and not being available for the patient 24 h a day.

"It's a situation where you gradually become exhausted if you don't take care of yourself... It's important to get out of the house."

Interview 1, family caregiver 2, aged 85.

For those not living with the patient, constant worry was common. For them, respite meant to be assured that the patient was safe and got the care and support they needed from home care services. Another aspect of respite care was being able to do things that enabled them to forget their caregiving responsibilities for a moment providing Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 7 of 11

a sense of normality. is might be leaving home and to spend some hours at work. However, it could also mean having moments together with the patient, without thinking of the illness. is might entail doing things they used to do before the illness, such as enjoying time in the garden, having dinner or watching TV together.

"I want us to achieve more good moments together, go out for a walk with the neighbour's dog. Watch TV, such a simple thing as watching a programme together. Such things, it is happiness."
Interview 1, family caregiver 6, aged 55.

Discussion

is study explored family caregivers' preferences for support from home care services over time when caring for a family member with cancer in the late palliative phase who wished to die at home. End-of-life care was a challenge for the family caregivers, and they struggled to ful I the patient's wish to die at home out of loyalty and commitment. e preferences for support to be able to persevere were found to consist of two interrelated parts - preferences related to the patient's need for care and support, and preferences for support for the family caregivers themselves. However, the family caregivers stressed that the patients' needs and wellbeing were more important than their own. Consequently, when the patients' needs for care and support were met, they experienced this as support for themselves, too. A signi cant nding of our study was that the family caregiver's preferences for support did not change over time regarding its constituent parts, but to the extent to which support was provided. Accordingly, to persevere over time, concurrently with a deterioration in the patient's illness, the family caregivers expressed a need for more intensive and comprehensive support for what they already received from home care services. It is well-known that feelings of carrying a burden and unmet needs among family care givers are associated with poorer health and quality of life [42, 43]. Accordingly, the ndings of our study underline the importance of continuous assessment of both the patients' and family caregivers' needs, and the provision of adequate support throughout the care trajectory, in order to enable end-of-life care and death at home.

e family carers in our study expressed strong preferences for having control, a readiness for action and being prepared for the role as family caregiver, including what to expect as the patient's illness deteriorated. Previous research has shown that caregiving preparations among family caregivers caring for a family member su ering from a progressive life-threatening illness are an ongoing process throughout the entire illness trajectory and intimately linked to preparedness for death and bereavement

[44]. Family caregivers who feel prepared experience caregiving as less burdensome [45, 46] and tend to experience more hope and caregiving rewards. Moreover, preparedness has been shown to reduce negative outcomes such as poor health and quality of life [47, 48]. Preparedness requires involvement and it is well-known that family caregivers want to be involved in the care and that their contribution to palliative home care is substantial in terms of undertaking practical tasks, providing emotional and social support and relieving symptoms [13, 14]. In our study we also found that the family caregivers had a strong preference and wished to be involved in the care of the patient, both in terms of care decisions and practical care. To achieve this, the family caregiver must be acknowledged as a partner in care and training in the necessary medical procedures provided, such as for example administrating pain pumps.

Involvement in care was also stressed by the participants in our study as being of great importance for the family caregivers to be able to persevere over time. Being involved in care meant being seen and con rmed as a member of the care team around the patient, and also as someone with their own support needs, which is in line with the palliative care philosophy, i.e. seeing the family as a unit of care [8, 49]. Hence, the family caregivers expressed a wish for collaboration, to be provided with su cient and clear information, and for healthcare personnel to be continuously available and accessible [14]. Moreover, our study highlights timing of involvement of family carers in the care process as crucial, as some participants stressed that they wished that they had been involved earlier in the patient's care. Family caregivers also expressed that being involved as a member of the care team was experienced as sharing the care responsibility with someone with professional expertise. nding underline the importance of involving family caregivers systematically from the beginning of the caregiving period aiming to decrease the caregiver burden and enable home death. Earlier studies have shown that the caregiver burden increases in line with the length of the caregiving period, and the patient's declining health status, with increasing demands for symptom management and needs for decisions regarding terminal care [21, 43]. It is therefore of the utmost importance that not too much responsibility is placed on the family caregiver as the risk of exhaustion is evident. Hence, supportive interventions adapted to the evolving situation and individual characteristics are necessary, as recently stressed in a systematic review [50]. e family caregivers in the present study emphasised that they felt responsible for ful lling the patient's wish to be cared for and die at home. fact that the vast majority of the family caregivers were women may have had an impact on how they took on the role as a caregiver, the burden they experienced and

Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 8 of 11

their preferences for preparedness. Previous research highlights that female caregivers exhibit a greater sense of responsibility towards the patient and generally experience lower levels of caregiver burden [48, 51, 52]. However, the family caregivers in the present study described a preference for having a respite from caregiving. us, in line with other research [53] the ndings of the present study stress the importance of recognising the family caregiver's needs for relief, adjusted to the caregivers' preferences.

is study adds to the knowledge base regarding palliative home care and highlights the need for interventions targeting family carers' needs, based on their preferences for support to be able to ful 1 the patient's wish to die at home. Given the growing number of people with progressing life-threatening illnesses who will be in need of palliative care in the coming years, support for family caregivers should be a priority area of care. Positively, studies evaluating supportive interventions for family caregivers in palliative home care are becoming more common, showing great potential for enhancing care giving expertise and preparedness for caregiving, while reducing the caregiver burden [50]. However, the studies evaluating the interventions, often categorised as psychosocial, educational or psycho-educational, largely lack scienti c rigour, limiting the interpretation of their e ectiveness [28]. Nevertheless, systematic reviews highlight that multicomponent interventions performed by nurses are e cient and have positive e ects on family caregivers' outcomes [50, 54]. In particular, nurses who adopt person- and family-centred care and frequently communicate with the family caregivers, are in a unique position to provide adjusted support. e evidence from these studies can be used to develop the cancer care coordinator role in Norway as these coordinators have the opportunity to follow the cancer patient and the family caregivers throughout the illness trajectory.

Methodological considerations

As sincerely as possible, we have sought to follow Corbin and Strauss' criteria for evaluating the quality of research of a GT-study [37]. e list of criteria concerns the research process and the empirical grounding of the study and comprises clear descriptions of the sampling procedures, the constant comparison, concept generation, emerging categories, selection of the core category, concept generation and variation in theory. We have sought to give a clear description of the process in the description of the method. roughout the whole research process there has been a close collaboration in the research group, which has contributed to ensure the reliability of the ndings. During the data analysis we have discussed our own preconceptions and various preconceptions about the topic, and we have used critical thinking to ensure that the categories were grounded in data and not re ected our preconceptions. We have also used memos to maintain an audit trail of the research process [41].

ere are some limitations to the study. One limitation to be considered is the sample size (n=11) as it might be judged as small. At the beginning of the study, the sampling was purposeful, as the cancer care coordinators asked patients and thereafter family caregivers who fulled the inclusion criteria. e rst interviews (n=9) were pre-dominantly characterised by negative experiences. erefore, we used theoretical sampling, and two additional bereaved family caregivers were recruited. e additional interviews validated and gave breadth to the result, and densi ed the categories, and the axial- and selective coding process could be carried out successfully, while the number of family caregivers nally included might be considered as appropriate.

e temporal aspect of this study might also be questioned as only ve family caregivers were interviewed more than once. ree of the family caregivers included were interviewed once, as they either declined a follow up interview due to deterioration in the patient's illness [2], or did not answer the request after the patient's death [2]. However, in total 22 interviews were conducted, which created rich data material, and which could be considered appropriate and therefore mirroring family caregivers' preferences for support from home care services.

e skewed gender distribution of the family caregivers, as ten women and one man, should be mentioned. However, in the recruitment process we recruited family caregivers who met the criteria of being a family caregiver to a patient who had a wish to die at home, and these patients were mostly men. is is in line with previous studies showing that more men than women die at home [55, 56].

As data from additional interviews did not contribute to new categories, this was interpreted as a sign of category saturation. e research group discussed this in depth and agreed that saturation had been reached. In addition, before and during the data analysis the research group discussed their own preconceptions about the subject in focus and used critical re ection throughout the research process in order to nd the hidden meaning.

e rst author, TN, is a specialist nurse in cancer care (CN), educated and trained in Clinical Supervision and Communication. As the rst author she were close to the family caregivers throughout the interviews and her role was examined thoroughly by the research group according to the preconditions.

Nysaeter et al. BMC Palliative Care (2024) 23:15 Page 9 of 11

Conclusion

Family caregivers' preferences for support from home care services were twofold. On the one hand, there were preferences related to end-of-life care and support for the patient, and on the other hand, preferences for support for themselves to persevere over time. caregivers stressed that in everyday life the patient's wellbeing came rst and their own needs second, and when the patient's needs for care and support were met, they experienced this as support for themselves, too. Hence, the family caregivers main concern was that the patients' needs for care and support were met. In our study, the family caregivers' support preferences did not change over time regarding their constituent parts, but in terms of the extent to which support was provided. Over time, together with deterioration in the patient's illness and changes in the situation, they expressed a need for more intense and comprehensive support. erefore, we stress the need for continuous assessment of both the patients' and family caregivers' needs throughout the care trajectory and adjusted supportive interventions based on their preferences, in order to enable end-of-life care and death at home. Multicomponent interventions performed by nurses are suggested, thus enabling a person- and familycentred end-of-life home care.

We also suggest developing a tool to map family caregivers' needs and preferences for support based on the conceptual model generated in the current study. Such a tool could facilitate communication between family caregivers and healthcare personnel and constitute a basis for timely person-centered interventions.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1186/s12904-024-01350-5.

Supplementary Material 1: Interview guide

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the family caregivers who agreed to spend their valuable time participating in this study. We also thank the cancer care coordinators, and the head of the home care services for their contributions.

Author contributions

T.N, M.L and R.H participated in developing the design and the method of the study. T.N performed the data collection. T.N., C.O.,T.S., R.H., M.L analysed data. Further data analyses were performed by T.N and discussed in the research group (C.O., T.S., R.H,M.L). T.N wrote the draft manuscript and all authors were involved in critically reading and revising the manuscript, and nal approved the nal manuscript.

Funding

The present study is a part of a doctoral study nanced by the Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences.

Data availability

The datasets generated or analysed during the current study are not publicly available due to the participants did not include sharing the dataset as well

as the conditions set by NSD (Norwegian Centre for Research Data) but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

The study was approved by the Regional Committee for Ethical and Health Services (REK) in South East Norway (no. 2018/288B). The Data Protection Authority of the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD) (reg.no 59350) approved the study. The study was carried out in accordance with ethical guidelines for nursing research in the Nordic countries [57] and the Declaration of Helsinki - Ethical Principles for Medical Research [58]. This implies that the family caregivers were informed in writing and orally about the study, participation was voluntarily, and they could withdraw from the study at any time without any consequences, and nally that data was handled con dentially. All participants provided written informed consent. After the interviews the family caregivers had the opportunity for support from the cancer care coordinator if needed.

Consent for publication

Not applicable. In this study data is reported for a group of family caregivers and not at an individual level. Each family caregiver was informed that it would not be possible to identify them in the results of the study when these were to be published.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Health and Nursing Sciences, Inland Norway University of Applied Sciences, Elverum 2400, Norway

²Department of Health Sciences, Karlstad University SE, Karlstad, Sweden ³Department of Bachelor Education in Nursing, Lovisenberg Diaconal University College, Oslo, Norway

⁴Centre for Development of Institutional and Home Care Services (USHT), Inland (Hedmark), Hamar, Norway

Received: 24 October 2023 / Accepted: 9 January 2024 Published online: 11 January 2024

References

- Etkind SN, Bone AE, Gomes B, Lovell N, Evans CJ, Higginson IJ, et al. How many people will need palliative care in 2040? Past trends, future projections and implications for services. BMC Med. 2017;15(1):1–10.
- Ali M, Capel M, Jones G, Gazi T. The importance of identifying preferred place of death. BMJ Support Palliat Care. 2019;9(1):84–91.
- Higginson IJ, Sarmento VP, Calanzani N, Benalia H, Gomes B. Dying at home–is it better: a narrative appraisal of the state of the science. Palliat Med. 2013;27(10):918–24.
- Gomes B, Calanzani N, Gysels M, Hall S, Higginson IJ. Heterogeneity and changes in preferences for dying at home: a systematic review. BMC Palliat care. 2013;12(1):7.
- Gomes B, Calanzani N, Ko man J, Higginson IJ. Is dying in hospital better than home in incurable cancer and what factors in uence this? A population-based study. BMC Med. 2015;13(1):1.
- Nilsson J, Holgersson G, Ullenhag G, Holmgren M, Axelsson B, Carlsson T, et al. Socioeconomy as a prognostic factor for location of death in Swedish palliative cancer patients. BMC Palliat Care. 2021;20(1):1–7.
- Costa V, Earle CC, Esplen MJ, Fowler R, Goldman R, Grossman D, et al. The determinants of home and nursing home death: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Palliat Care. 2016;15(1):1–15.
- World Health Organization (WHO). De nition of palliative care Geneva: WHO;
 2021 [Available from: https://www.who.int/health-topics/palliative-care
- Zigante V. Informal care in Europe: exploring formalisation, availability and quality. European Commission. London School of Economics Political Science: 2018.
- Sallnow L, Smith R, Ahmedzai SH, Bhadelia A, Chamberlain C, Cong Y, et al. Report of the Lancet Commission on the value of death: bringing death back into life. The Lancet. 2022;399(10327):837–84.

- Hudson P, Payne S. Family carers in palliative care-a guide for health and social care professionals. 2009.
- Hudson P, Payne S. The future of family caregiving:research, social policy and clinical practice. In: Hudson P SP, editor. Family carers in palliative care: a guide for health and social care professionals. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009. pp. 277–303.
- Zavagli V, Raccichini M, Ercolani G, Franchini L, Varani S, Pannuti R. Care for carers: an investigation on family caregivers' needs, tasks, and experiences. Translational Med UniSa. 2019;19:54.
- Vermorgen M, Vandenbogaerde I, Van Audenhove C, Hudson P, Deliens L, Cohen J, et al. Are family carers part of the care team providing end-of-life care? A qualitative interview study on the collaboration between family and professional carers. Palliat Med. 2021;35(1):109–19.
- Kristanti MS, E. endy C, Utarini A, Vernooij-Dassen M, Engels Y. The experience of family caregivers of patients with cancer in an Asian country: a grounded theory approach. Palliat Med. 2019;33(6):676–84.
- Wang T, Molassiotis A, Chung BPM, Tan J-Y. Unmet care needs of advanced cancer patients and their informal caregivers: a systematic review. BMC Palliat care. 2018;17(1):1–29.
- Funk L, Stajduhar KI, Toye C, Aoun S, Grande G, Todd CJ. Part 2: home-based family caregiving at the end of life: a comprehensive review of published qualitative research (1998–2008). Palliat Med. 2010;24(6):594–607.
- Cui J, Song L, Zhou L, Meng H, Zhao J. Needs of family caregivers of advanced cancer patients: a survey in S hanghai of C hina. Eur J Cancer Care. 2014;23(4):562–9.
- Lambert SD, Harrison JD, Smith E, Bonevski B, Carey M, Lawsin C, et al. The unmet needs of partners and caregivers of adults diagnosed with cancer: a systematic review. BMJ Supportive & Palliative care. 2012;2(3):224–30.
- Grunfeld E, Coyle D, Whelan T, Clinch J, Reyno L, Earle CC, et al. Family caregiver burden: results of a longitudinal study of breast cancer patients and their principal caregivers. CMAJ. 2004;170(12):1795–801.
- Choi S, Seo J, editors. Analysis of caregiver burden in palliative care: an integrated review. Nursing forum. Wiley Online Library; 2019.
- Hudson P, Remedios C, Zordan R, Thomas K, Clifton D, Crewdson M, et al. Guidelines for the psychosocial and bereavement support of family caregivers of palliative care patients. J Palliat Med. 2012;15(6):696–702.
- Payne S. EAPC task force on family carers white paper on improving support for family carers in palliative care: part 1. Eur J Palliat Care. 2010;17(5):238–45.
- Grant M, Sun V, Fujinami R, Sidhu R, Otis-Green S, Juarez G, et al. editors. Family caregiver burden, skills preparedness, and quality of life in non-small-cell lung cancer. Oncology nursing forum; 2013: NIH Public Access.
- Li Y, Li J, Zhang Y, Ding Y, Hu X. The e ectiveness of e-Health interventions on caregiver burden, depression, and quality of life in informal caregivers of patients with cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Int J Nurs Stud. 2022:104179.
- Alvariza A, Häger-Tibell L, Holm M, Steineck G, Kreicbergs U. Increasing preparedness for caregiving and death in family caregivers of patients with severe illness who are cared for at home–study protocol for a web-based intervention. BMC Palliat care. 2020;19(1):1–8.
- Becqué YN, Rietjens JA, van Driel AG, van der Heide A, Witkamp E. Nursing interventions to support family caregivers in end-of-life care at home: a systematic narrative review. Int J Nurs Stud. 2019;97:28–39.
- Ahn S, Romo RD, Campbell CL. A systematic review of interventions for family caregivers who care for patients with advanced cancer at home. Patient Educ Couns. 2020;103(8):1518–30.
- Jack BA, O'Brien MR, Scrutton J, Baldry CR, Groves KE. Supporting family carers providing end-of life home care: a qualitative study on the impact of a hospice at home service. J Clin Nurs. 2015;24(1–2):131–40.
- Luker K, Cooke M, Dunn L, Lloyd-Williams M, Pilling M, Todd C. Development and evaluation of an intervention to support family caregivers of people with cancer to provide home-based care at the end of life: a feasibility study. Eur J Oncol Nurs. 2015;19(2):154–61.
- Seymour J, Almack K, Kennedy S. Implementing advance care planning: a qualitative study of community nurses' views and experiences. BMC Palliat care. 2010;9(1):1–9.
- Henriksson A, Andershed B, Benzein E, Årestedt K. Adaptation and psychometric evaluation of the preparedness for caregiving scale, caregiver competence scale and rewards of caregiving scale in a sample of Swedish family members of patients with life-threatening illness. Palliat Med. 2012;26(7):930–8.
- 33. Gupta R. The revised caregiver burden scale: a preliminary evaluation. Res Social Work Pract. 1999;9(4):508–20.

- Ewing G, Brundle C, Payne S, Grande G, Home NAH. The Carer Support Needs Assessment Tool (CSNAT) for use in palliative and end-of-life care at home: a validation study. J Pain Symptom Manag. 2013;46(3):395–405.
- Matthys O, Dierickx S, Deliens L, Lapeire L, Hudson P, Van Audenhove C et al. How are family caregivers of people with a serious illness supported by healthcare professionals in their caregiving tasks? A cross-sectional survey of bereaved family caregivers. Palliat Med. 2022;02692163211070228.
- Hasson F, Nicholson E, Muldrew D, Bamidele O, Payne S, McIlfatrick S. International palliative care research priorities: a systematic review. BMC Palliat care. 2020;19(1):1–16.
- Corbin JM, Strauss AL. Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory. 3rd ed. ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage: 2008.
- Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. Int J Qual Health Care. 2007;19(6):349–57.
- Nysæter TM, Olsson C, Sandsdalen T, Wilde-Larsson B, Hov R, Larsson M. Preferences for home care to enable home death among adult patients with cancer in late palliative phase – a grounded theory study. BMC Palliat Care. 2022;21(1):49.
- Collinson L, Foster R, Stapleton M, Blakely T. Cancer care coordinators: what are they and what will they cost? N Z Med J. 2013;126:75–86.
- Strauss AL, Corbin JM. Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory. 2nd ed. ed. Thousand Oaks, Calif: Sage; 1998.
- 42. Cai Y, Simons A, Toland S, Zhang J, Zheng K. Informal caregivers' quality of life and management strategies following the transformation of

- Kjellstadli C, Husebø BS, Sandvik H, Flo E, Hunskaar S. Comparing unplanned and potentially planned home deaths: a population-based cross-sectional study. BMC Palliat Care. 2018;17(1):69.
- Northern Nurses Federation. Ethical guidelines for nursing research in the Nordic countries. Vård i Norden: Nordic Journal of Nursing Research. 2003;23:1–19.
- World Medical Association (WHO). Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects Geneva: WHO: 1964 [Available from: https://www.

wma.net/policies-post/wma-declaration-of-helsinki-ethical-principles-for-medical-research-involving-human-subjects/

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional a liations.