

Contextual and individual determinants of oral health-related quality of life among adolescents

Ana Paula Milagres Alfenas SILVA^(a) 
Jessica Klockner KNORST^(b) 
Julia Rodrigues ANDRADE^(a) 
Rafaela Silveira PINTO^(c) 
Renata Castro MARTINS^(c) 
Amália MORENO^(d) 
Fabiana VARGAS-FERREIRA^(c) 

Abstract:

Gini coefficient, illiteracy, unemployment, income, average number

^(a)Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, School of Dentistry, Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

^(b)Universidade Federal de Santa Maria – UFSM, School of Dentistry, Department of Stomatology, Santa Maria, RS, Brazil.

^(c)Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, School of Dentistry, Department of Community and Preventive Dentistry, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

^(d)Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais – UFMG, School of Dentistry, Department of Dentistry, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil.

Declaration of Interests: The authors certify that they have no commercial or associative interest that represents a conflict of interest in connection with the manuscript.

Corresponding Author:

Fabiana Vargas-Ferreira
E-mail: fabivfer@gmail.com

Keywords:

<https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2024.vol38.0019>

Introduction

Submitted: November 1, 2022
Accepted for publication: August 8, 2023
Last revision: November 23, 2023



model used the fixed-effects scheme with a random

of variables (in which contextual variables influence

adjusted models. In all models, the goodness of fit

and social aspects (working, fulfilling a social role, and finding satisfaction in social gatherings and physical

Results

white (59%) and had a lower household income (

(HDI), Gini coefficient, illiteracy, unemployment,

prevalence of oral impacts (OIDP = 1) was 31.8%

All the analyses were performed using the Complex Samples module to account for the complex sampling design of the of the Minas Gerais Oral Health Study. Stata 16 (StataCorp.2014 Stata Statistical Software: Release 16.1. College Station, TX, StataCorp LP) was used for data analysis.

Table 1. Description of independent variables according to the level of analysis involving adolescents (n = 1,202), SB Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2012.

Variables	Description
Level 1 – Individual	
Sex	Male-female
Household income	Up to R\$1,500 (Brazilian currency) (≤ 2 BMW) *More than R\$1,500 (> 2 BMW)
Skin color	Self-reported skin color; a dichotomous variable was created from five original categories (white or non-white).
Age (years)	15–16 / 17–18
Maternal education (years)	Dichotomous: < 8 or ≥ 8
Number of people per room	Quantitative
Prevalence of untreated dental caries	Dichotomous: presence or absence
Gingival bleeding	Dichotomous: presence or absence
Self-perception of dental need	No need (healthy crown and root)
	One surface restoration
	Two or more surface restorations
	Prosthetic crown needed for any reason
	Dental facet
	Pulp treatment and restoration
	Tooth extraction
	White spot treatment
Dental attendance	Sealant
	Dichotomous: regular user or non-regular user
Level 2 – Local	
HDI	Human Development Index
Domain	State capital, Inland towns I, Inland towns II
Gini coefficient	Income or wealth distribution
Illiteracy	Percentage (%) of individuals who cannot read or write and have no language proficiency in the total resident population in the minimum age range in a geographic space within the considered year
Unemployment	Percentage (%) of economically active individuals unemployed during the reference week in a geographic space within the considered year
Half the BMMW	Percentage (%) of residents with monthly family income per capita up to half the Brazilian monthly minimum wage in a geographic space within the considered year
Oral health team coverage	Percentage (%) of population covered by Oral Health Teams
Primary health care coverage	Percentage (%) of the population covered by Primary Health Care teams
Supervised toothbrushing	Percentage (%) of collective actions of supervised toothbrushing
Rate of tooth extractions between selected dental procedures	Percentage (%) of extractions between all the dental procedures carried out
Sanitary sewage	Percentage (%) of residents with access to drinking water, sewage collection and treatment
Garbage collection	Percentage of residents with access to garbage collection system
Number of emergency dental visits per inhabitant	Average number of emergency dental visits per inhabitant in a given location and period

*\$ Brazilian Real = \$ 0.50 USD (Jul 2012); BMMW: Brazilian monthly minimum wage.

Figure. Conceptual model for assessing factors that affect the outcome.²²

Table 2. Descriptive analysis of individual variables for the sample of adolescents (n = 1,202), Minas Gerais, Brazil, 2012.

Individual-level variables	n (%)
Sex	
Male	533 (44.7)
Female	669 (55.3)
Age (years)	
15	294 (24.5)
16	252 (21.0)
17	224 (18.6)
18	248 (20.6)
19	184 (15.3)
Skin color	
White	469 (40.4)
Non-white	733 (59.6)
Maternal education	
> 8 years of formal education	941 (78.4)
≤ 8 years of formal education	260 (21.6)
Household income	
> 2 BMW	402 (42.3)
< 2 BMW	742 (57.7)
	mean (SD)
Household crowding	4.50 (1.59)
Dental attendance 8	n (%)*
Regular user	618 (51.6)
Non-regular user	578 (48.4)

Continue

Continuation

Self-perception of dental needs	
No	619 (53.4)
Yes	583 (46.6)
Untreated dental caries*	
No	694 (60.2)
Yes	506 (39.8)
Gingival bleeding	
No	814 (66.2)
Yes	388 (33.8)
	mean (SD)
OIDP	
OIDP extent	0.72 (0.05)
	n (%)
Prevalence (OIDP ≥ 1)	382 (30.8)
Eating	89 (7.4)
Speaking	48 (4.0)
Cleaning teeth	133 (11.0)
Sleeping	89 (7.4)
Smiling	115 (9.6)
Emotional well-being	148 (12.1)
Social role	46 (3.8)
Social contact	68 (5.7)
Doing sports	3 (3.1)

*Missing values for some variables; **Sampling design taken into account.

Table 3. Unadjusted association between contextual and individual variables and overall OIDP scores, determined by multilevel Poisson regression .

Variables	RR (95%CI)	p-value
Contextual-level variables		
Gini coefficient	1.50 (0.01–112.96)	0.854
HDI	0.83 (0.02–24.13)	0.913
Unemployed	1.01 (0.94–1.10)	0.735
Illiteracy	0.99 (0.97–1.02)	0.906
Family income per person	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.793
Primary healthcare coverage	0.99 (0.98–1.00)	0.108
Oral health team coverage	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.378
Supervised toothbrushing	0.96 (0.91–1.00)	0.102
Gross Domestic Product	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.138
Allocation factor	0.59 (0.21–1.62)	0.305
Number of emergency dental visits per inhabitant	1.08 (1.02–1.16)	0.011
Sanitary sewer	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.711
Garbage collection	1.00 (0.99–1.02)	0.241
Number of tooth extractions between selected dental procedures	1.03 (0.99–1.07)	0.096
Individual-level variables		
Sex		
Male	1.00	< 0.001
Female	1.41 (1.22–1.63)	
Age (years)		0.329
15	1.00	0.059
16	1.21 (0.99–1.49)	
17	1.20 (0.98–1.49)	
18	1.03 (0.83–1.28)	
19	1.10 (0.87–1.39)	
Skin color		
White	1.00	< 0.001
Non-white	1.36 (1.17–1.59)	
Maternal education		
≥ 8 years of formal education	1.00	< 0.001
< 8 years of forma education	1.97 (1.66–2.35)	
Household income in R\$		
< 2 BMW	1.00	< 0.001
> 2 BMW	0.57 (0.48–0.68)	
Number of people per room	1.11 (1.06–1.15)	< 0.001
Dental attendance		
Regular user	1.00	0.137
Non-regular user	1.11 (0.97–1.28)	

Continue

Continuation

Self-perception of dental needs		< 0.001
No	1.00	
Yes	2.27 (1.96–2.64)	
Untreated dental caries		
No	1.00	< 0.001
Yes	2.25 (1.95–2.61)	
Gingival bleeding		
No	1.00	< 0.001
Yes	1.56 (1.32–1.84)	

RR: rate ratio; CI: confidence interval; BMW: Brazilian minimum wage (1BMW corresponds to approximately US\$200).

The findings of the adjusted multilevel Poisson

(RR = 1.25; 95%CI 1.06–1.49) influenced the OIDP

Discussion

The present findings show that poor contextual

household crowding. Generally, the influence of the

Table 4. Adjusted association between contextual and individual variables and overall OIDP scores, determined by multilevel Poisson regression

Variables	Model 1 ^a	Model 2 ^b	Model 3 ^c
	"empty"	"contextual"	"full"
	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)	RR (95%CI)
Fixed component			
Intercept	0.57 (0.46–0.70)	1.18 (0.58–2.39)	0.25 (0.13–0.45)
Contextual-level variables			
Gini coefficient	–	–	
HDI	–	–	
Unemployed	–	–	
Illiteracy	–	–	
Family income per person	–	–	
Primary healthcare coverage	–	–	
Oral health team coverage	–	–	
Supervised toothbrushing	–	0.95 (0.91–0.99)	0.95 (0.91–0.99)
Gross Domestic Product	–	0.99 (0.99–1.00)	0.99 (0.99–1.00)
Allocation factor	–		
Number of emergency dental visits per inhabitant	–	1.07 (1.01–1.13)	1.08 (1.01–1.14)
Sanitary sewer	–	–	
Garbage collection	–	–	
Number of tooth extractions between selected dental procedures	–	1.02 (0.98–1.05)	1.00 (0.97–1.05)
Individual-level variables			
Sex			
Male			1.00
Female			1.29 (1.12–1.50)
Age (years)			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
Skin color			
White			1.00
Non-white			1.17 (0.99–1.38)
Maternal education			
≥ 8 years of formal education			1.00
< 8 years of forma education			1.55 (1.29–1.87)
Household income in R\$			
≤ 2 BMW			1.00
> 2 BMW			0.66 (0.55–0.79)

Continue

household income may reflect the accumulation of knowledge, which influences the adoption of healthy

- *Contextual and individual determinants of oral health-related quality of life among adolescents*

References

1. Slade GD. Assessing change in quality of life using the Oral Health Impact Profile. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1998. Feb; 26(1):52-61. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1998.tb02084.x>
2. Guedes RS, Piovesan C, Antunes JLF, Mendes FM, Ardenghi TM. Assessing individual and neighborhood social factors in child oral health-related quality of life: a multilevel analysis. *Qual Life Res*. 2014. Nov. 23(9):2521-30. <http://https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-014-0690-z>
3. Sfreddo CS, Moreira CHC, Nicolau B, Ortiz FR, Ardenghi TM. Socioeconomic inequalities in oral health-related quality of life in adolescents: a cohort study. *Qual Life Res*. 2019. Sep. 28(9): 2491-500. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-019-02229-2>
4. Alwadi MAM, Vettore MV. Contextual income inequality and adolescents' oral health-related quality of life: a multilevel analysis. *Int Dent J*. 2019. Dec. 69(6): 463-71. <http://https://doi.org/10.1111/idj.12504>
5. Sischo L, Broder HL. Oral health-related quality of life: what, why, how and future implications. *J Dent Res*. 2011. Nov. 90(11):1264-70. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034511399918>
6. Ministério da Saúde (BR). Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Ações Programáticas e Estratégicas. Proteger e cuidar da saúde de adolescentes na atenção básica / Ministério da Saúde, Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde, Departamento de Ações Programáticas e Estratégicas. 2a ed. Brasília, DF: Ministério da Saúde; 2018 [cited 2020 May 18]. Available from http://bvsms.saude.gov.br/bvs/publicacoes/proteger_cuidar_adolescentes_atencao_basica_2ed.pdf
7. Vasquez FL, Cortellazzi KL, Kaieda AK, Guerra LM, Ambrosando GM, Tagliaferro EP et al. Quality of life and socio-dental impact among underprivileged Brazilian adolescents. *Qual Life Res*. 2015. Mar. 24(3): 661-9. <http://https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-014-0795-4>
8. Cunha IP, Pereira AC, Frias AC, Vieira V, Meneghim MC, Batista MJ et al. Social vulnerability and factors associated with oral impacts on daily performance among adolescents. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2017. Aug. 30.15(1):173. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-017-0746-1>
9. Pulache J, Abanto J, Oliveira LB, Bönecker M, Porras JC. Exploring the association between oral health problems and oral health-related quality of life in Peruvian 11- to 14-year-old children. *Int J Paediatr Dent*. 2016 Mar;26(2):81-90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ipd.12160>
10. Kumar S, Kroon J, Lalloo R. A systematic review of the impact of parental socio-economic status and home environment characteristics on children's oral health related quality of life. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2014. Mar. 12:41. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-12-41>
11. Vargas AW, Maroneze MC, Ortiz FR, Ardenghi DM, Ardenghi TM. Influence of toothache on oral health-related quality of life during adolescence: a cohort study. *Clinical Oral Invest*. 2022. Jun. 26(6): 4615-22. <http://https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-022-04430-z>
12. Arcaya MC, Arcaya AL, Subramanian SV. Inequalities in health: definitions, concepts, and theories. *Glob Health Action*. 2015. Jun. 24;8:27106. <https://doi.org/10.3402/gha.v8.27106>
13. Gurutana O, Baker SR, Robinson PG. Determinants of children's oral health-related quality of life over time. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 2014. Jun. 42(3): 206-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdoe.12080>
14. Ardila CM, Agudelo-Suárez AA. Social context and dental pain in adults of colombian ethnic minority groups: a multilevel cross-sectional study. *J Oral Facial Pain Headache*. 2016 winter; 30(1): 21-26. <https://doi.org/10.11607/ofph.1524>
15. Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística. População estimada de Minas Gerais, escolaridade e IDH. Brasília, DF: Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística; 2022 [cited Oct 10]. Available from: <https://cidades.ibge.gov.br/brasil/mg/panorama>
16. Machado EN, Fortes FB, Somarriba M. Efeitos da introdução do PAB sobre a distribuição de recursos e a prestação de serviços: o caso de Minas Gerais. *Cienc Saude Colet*. 2004; 9(1):99-111. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232004000100010>
17. Secretaria de Estado da Saúde (MG). Subsecretaria de Políticas e Ações de Saúde. Diretoria de Saúde Bucal. SB Minas Gerais: pesquisa das condições de saúde bucal da população mineira: resultados principais. Belo Horizonte, 2013 [cited 2020 May 18]. Available from: <http://www.saude.mg.gov.br/sobre/publicacoes/estatistica-einformacao-em-saude>
18. Macedo TF, Abreu MH, Martins RC, Matta-Machado AT, Pinto RS, Castilho LS, et al. Contextual and individual factors associated with dental pain in adolescents from Southeastern Brazil. *Braz Oral Res*. 2021. Nov. 19:35:e111. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2021.vol35.0111>
19. World Health Organization. Oral health surveys: basic methods, 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997 [cited 2020 Jun 16]. Available from: <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/41905>
20. Adulyanon S, Vourapuk J, Sheiham A. Oral impacts affecting daily performance in a low dental disease Thai population. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol*. 1996. Dec. 24(6): 385-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0528.1996.tb00884.x>
21. United Nations Development Programme/Brazil. Brasil: PNUD no Brasil. New York: United Nations Development Programme; 2018 [cited 2018 Oct 04]. Available from: <http://www.br.undp.org/>
22. Solar O, Irwin A. A conceptual framework for action on the social determinants of health. College Park: University of Maryland; 2010 [cited 2020 Jun 16]. Available from: <https://drum.lib.umd.edu/handle/1903/22760>

23. Freire MC, Nery NG, Jordão LM, Abreu MH. Individual and contextual determinants of dental pain in adolescents: evidence from a national survey. *Oral Dis.* 2019 Jul;25(5):1384–93. <https://doi.org/10.1111/odi.13100> PMID:30939210
24. Marmot M, Wilkinson RG. Psychosocial and material pathways in the relation between income and health: a response to Lynch et al. *BMJ.* 2001 May;322(7296):1233–6. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.322.7296.1233>
25. Vettore MV, Aqeeli A. The roles of contextual and individual social determinants of oral health-related quality of life in Brazilian adults. *Qual Life Res.* 2016. Apr. 25:1029–42. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11136-015-1118-0>
26. Fonseca RC, Antunes JL, Cascaes AM, Bomfim RA. Analysis of the combined risk of oral problems in the oral health-related quality of life of Brazilian adolescents: multilevel approach. *Clin Oral Investig.* 2020 Feb;24(2):857–66. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00784-019-02976-z>
27. Colussi PR, Hugo FN, Muniz FW, Rosing CK. Oral health-related quality of life and associated factors in Brazilian adolescents. *Braz Dent J.* 2018. Jan-Feb. 28(1): 113–20. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0103-6440201701098>
28. Peres KG, Cascaes AM, Leão AT, Côrtes MI, Vettore MV. Aspectos sociodemográficos e clínicos da qualidade de vida relacionada à saúde bucal em adolescentes. *Rev Saude Publica.* 2013 Dec;47 Suppl 3:19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-8910.2013047004361>
29. Sun L, Wong HM, McGrath CPJ. The factors that influence the oral health-related quality of life in 12-year-old-children: baseline study of a longitudinal research. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes.* 2017. Aug. 15(1): 155. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12955-017-0729-2>
30. Moor I, Spallek J, Richter M. Explaining socioeconomic inequalities in self-rated health: a systematic review of the relative contribution of material, psychosocial and behavioural factors. *J Epidemiol Comm Health.* 2017. Jun 71(6): 565–75. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jech-2016-207589>
31. Feldens CA, Ardenghi TM, Dullius AIS, Vargas-Ferreira F, Hernandez PA, Kramer PF. Clarifying the impact of untreated and treated dental caries on oral health-related quality of life among adolescents. *Caries Res.* 2016;50(4):414–21. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000447095>
32. Schuch HS, Costa FS, Torriani D, Demarco FF, Goettems ML. Oral health-related quality of life of schoolchildren: impact of clinical and psychosocial variables. *Int Dent Paediatr Dent.* 2015. Sep 25(5):358–65. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ipd.12118>
33. Ferreira DM, Knorts JK, Menegazzo GR, Bolsson GB, Ardenghi TM. Effect of individual and neighborhood social capital on gingival bleeding in children: a 7-year cohort study. *J Periodontol.* 2021. Oct. 92(10): 1430–40. <https://doi.org/10.1002/JPER.20-0010>
34. Kassebaum NJ, Smith AG, Bernabé E, Fleming TD, Reynolds AE, Vos T, et al. Global, regional, and national prevalence, incidence, and disability-adjusted life years for oral conditions for 195 countries, 1990–2015: a systematic analysis for the global burden of diseases, injuries, and risk factors. *J Dent Res.* 2017 Apr;96(4):380–7. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022034517693566>
35. Mashoto KO, Astrøm AN, David J, Masalu JR. Dental pain, oral impacts and perceived need for dental treatment in Tanzanian school students: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2009 30; 7:73. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1477-7525-7-73>
36. Arantes LJ, Shimizu HE, Merchán-Hamann E. The benefits and challenges of the Family Health Strategy in Brazilian Primary Health care: a literature review. *Cien Saúde Colet.* 2016. May. 21(5):1499–1510. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232015215.19602015>
37. Dalla Nora A, Knorst JK, Comim LD, Rachi DN, Alves LS, Zenkner JE. Self-perceived neighborhood factors and OHRQoL among adolescents: a population-based study in southern Brazil. *Braz Oral Res.* 2022. Jan. 14;36:e003. <http://doi.org/10.1590/1807-3107bor-2022.vol36.0003>
38. Menegazzo GR, Knorst JK, Emmanuelli B, Mendes FM, Ardenghi DM, Ardenghi TM. Effect of routine dental attendance on child oral health-related quality of life: a cohort study. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* 2020. Jul. 30(4):459–67. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ipd.12625>
39. Herkrath FJ, Vettore MV, Werneck GL. Contextual and individual factors associated with dental services utilization by Brazilian adults: a multilevel analysis. *PLoS ONE.* 2018. Feb. 13(2): e0192771. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0192771>