BJAOpen

CORRESPONDENCE

Check for

An update on iron therapy as an intervention to reduce blood transfusion for patients undergoing hip fracture surgery

Beth MacLean*, Jayne Lim and Toby Richards

School of Medicine, University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia

*Corresponding author. E-mail: Beth.MacLean@research.uwa.edu.au

Keywords: anaemia; blood transfusion; hip fracture; iron deficiency; neck of femur; patient blood management

Editor—Preoperative anaemia occurs in one-third of patients and is associated with an increased risk of blood transfusion, patient morbidity, and mortality.^{1,2} The leading cause of anaemia is iron deficiency, with several studies exploring the use of iron around the time of surgery.³ Preoperative iron therapy has become a plausible and attractive therapeutic intervention to reduce patient complications. However, large RCTs have not supported the anticipated benefits suggested by observational and associative data.⁴

Recently, Lewis and colleagues⁵ published an overview of systematic reviews assessing interventions for reducing red blood cell transfusion in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery. Although data on tranexamic acid enabled a recommendation for use, the data on iron therapy were limited and no recommendation could be made.

The largest systematic review assessing iron therapy use in hip fracture surgery was by Sinclair and colleagues⁶ in 2022. This included four RCTs and nine cohort studies. The metaanalysis reflected the conclusions by Lewis and colleagues,⁵ finding no clinical benefit to iron therapy in this setting, with suggestion for larger studies to further explore these outcomes.

In response to the evident demand for more RCT data, we have combined the meta-analysis data from Lewis and colleagues⁵ with data from our recent RCT: IRON NOF (Fig 1).⁷ The meta-analysis trends towards favouring i.v. iron; however, there is insufficient evidence to support a true effect (odds ratio [OR] 0.80, 95% confidence interval [CI] 0.61–1.06; overall effect P=0.12).

Blood transfusion incidence

A meta-analysis was conducted on RCTs assessing blood transfusion use in patients undergoing hip fracture surgery randomised to iron therapy or control. Data were informed from the 2022 systematic review by Sinclair and colleagues⁶ with the addition of data from the IRON NOF trial.⁷

Despite an increase in the OR compared with the findings of Lewis and colleagues⁵ (OR 0.80 vs 0.85), there remains insufficient evidence to support the use of i.v. iron in this setting. Of note, including IRON NOF, only two trials in this meta-analysis were conducted using a single high dose of i.v. iron as the intervention, whereas the remaining RCTs used three separate low doses of i.v. iron.

In addition, IRON NOF administered the intervention perioperatively, whereas the remaining RCTs administered the intervention upon admission.⁵ Currently, the optimal dosing and timing of i.v. iron administration in relation to surgery remains under debate, suggesting that further RCTs exploring these differences in this patient population might be required to clarify whether iron therapy administered around the time of hip fracture surgery influences the risk of blood transfusion.

Upon exploring the influence of liberal vs restrictive transfusion on recovery from NOF surgery, the trial by Carson and colleagues⁸ acknowledged the need for functional endpoints in this fragile population by assessing the patients' ability to independently walk across a room. The POP-I trial (ISRCTN24330080) is currently recruiting hip fracture patients across 18 centres, to randomisation of i.v. iron (with or without ESA injection) or placebo delivered during the postoperative period. Promisingly, this trial will present an opportunity to explore the influence of the timing of iron therapy administration in relation to NOF surgery and explore a multidimensional analysis of self-reported mobility.

^{© 2024} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of British Journal of Anaesthesia. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

For Permissions, please email: permissions@elsevier.com

Intravenous iron		Con	Control		Odds ratio	Odds ratio		
Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% CI	M-H, fixed, 95% Cl		
53	103	54	100	23.2%	0.90 [0.52–1.57]			
53	126	56	127	28.2%	0.92 [0.56-1.51]			
11	39	12	41	7.3%	0.95 [0.36-2.50]			
18	70	27	73	17.1%	0.59 [0.29-1.21]			
33	99	41	97	24.1%	0.68 [0.38–1.22]			
	437		438	100.0%	0.80 [0.61, 1.06]			
168		190			1		1	
Heterogeneity: χ^2 =1.59, df=4 (<i>P</i> =0.81); <i>P</i> =0%							1	
Test for overall effect: Z=1.56 (P=0.12)					0.2	0.5 1	Z	5
						Favours IV iron	Favours control	
	Intravenou Events 53 53 11 18 33 168 df=4 (P=0.8 =1.56 (P=0.15)	Intravenous iron For I Events Total 53 103 53 126 11 39 18 70 33 99 437 168 df=4 (P=0.81); P=0 =1.56 (P=0.12)	Intravenous iron Con Events Total Events 53 103 54 53 126 56 11 39 12 18 70 27 33 99 41 437 168 190 df=4 (P=0.81); P^2 =0% =1.56 (P=0.12)	Intravenous iron Control Events Total Events Total 53 103 54 100 53 126 56 127 11 39 12 41 18 70 27 73 33 99 41 97 437 438 190 df=4 (P=0.81); l ² =0% 190 190 =1.56 (P=0.12) 12 190 100	Intravenous iron Control Events Total Weight 53 103 54 100 23.2% 53 126 56 127 28.2% 11 39 12 41 7.3% 18 70 27 73 17.1% 33 99 41 97 24.1% tage 437 438 100.0% 168 190 168 190 df=4<(P=0.81); $P^2=0\%$ = = 1.56 (P=0.12)	Intravenous iron Control Odds ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, fixed, 95% CI 53 103 54 100 23.2% 0.90 [0.52–1.57] 53 126 56 127 28.2% 0.92 [0.56–1.51] 11 39 12 41 7.3% 0.95 [0.36–2.50] 18 70 27 73 17.1% 0.59 [0.29–1.21] 33 99 41 97 24.1% 0.68 [0.38–1.22] 437 438 100.0% 0.80 [0.61, 1.06] 168 190	Intravenous iron Control Odds ratio M-H, fixed, 95% CI M-H, fixed, 95% CI M-H, fixed M-H, fixed	Intravenous iron Control Odds ratio Odds ratio Odds ratio Events Total Events Total Weight M-H, fixed, 95% CI M-H, fixed, 95% CI 53 103 54 100 23.2% 0.90 [0.52–1.57] Image: control of the state of

Declarations of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

References

- Beattie WS, Karkouti K, Wijeysundera DN, Tait G. Risk associated with preoperative anemia in noncardiac surgery: a single-center cohort study. *Anesthesiology* 2009; 110: 574–81
- Shander A, Javidroozi M, Ozawa S, Hare GM. What is really dangerous: anaemia or transfusion? Br J Anaesth 2011; 107(Suppl 1): i41–59
- Shander A, Corwin HL, Meier J, et al. Recommendations from the international consensus conference on anemia management in surgical patients (ICCAMS). Ann Surg 2023; 277: 581–90

- MacLean B, Weyand A, Lim J, Richards T. Pre-operative iron therapy: where are we? Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2023; 37: 503–10
- Lewis SR, Pritchard MW, Estcourt LJ, Stanworth SJ, Griffin XL. Interventions for reducing red blood cell transfusion in adults undergoing hip fracture surgery: an overview of systematic reviews. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2023; 6, CD013737
- 6. Sinclair RCF, Bowman MJA, Moppett IK, Gillies MA. Perioperative intravenous iron to treat patients with fractured hip surgery: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Health Sci Rep* 2022; 5: e633
- O'Loughlin E, Chih H, Sivalingam P, et al. IRON NOF trial: IV iron for anaemic patients with femoral fracture. BJA Open 2023; 7: 100222
- Carson JL, Terrin ML, Noveck H, et al. Liberal or restrictive transfusion in high-risk patients after hip surgery. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 2453–62

doi: 10.1016/j.bjao.2024.100311