

BRIEF COMMUNICATION

Open Access



Missed opportunity: low awareness of undetectable equals untransmittable (U=U) among adolescents living with HIV

Sonal Swain^{1,5*}, Elizabeth Inman², Deirdre Josipovic³, Avy Violari³ and Rachel Kidman^{2,4}

Abstract

In 2022, South Africa (SA) had the second-highest HIV incidence rate among adolescents worldwide. This study's participants were boys living in SA aged 15–19 years old, in a current dating or sexual relationship, and diagnosed with HIV before the age of 10. Despite the launch of campaigns to spread awareness of Undetectable equals Untransmittable (U=U), our findings showed that a significant number of adolescents living with HIV do not demonstrate an understanding of the concept. This highlights the importance of integrating U=U messaging in ongoing conversations with healthcare workers given the potential positive impact on adolescent wellbeing, transmission risk perception, and safer sexual practices.

Keywords HIV, Adolescents, U=U, Healthcare workers, Disclosure, Transmission, Stigma

In 2022, South Africa (SA) had the second-highest HIV incidence rate among adolescents aged 15–19 years old worldwide [1]. The Joint United Nations Programme on HIV and AIDS [2] previously described the limited success in reducing new HIV infections as a “prevention crisis” [3]. In 2016, the Prevention Access Campaign launched a worldwide Undetectable equals Untransmittable (U=U) campaign to spread the knowledge that those who maintain an undetectable viral load cannot sexually

transmit HIV to others [4]. Six years later, SA launched its first public information campaign about U=U [5].

While U=U has reached a significant number of adults, fewer adolescents are aware of this information [6]. Failure to ensure adequate understanding of U=U represents a missed educational opportunity for adolescents living with HIV (ALHIV) [6]. This lack of understanding may negatively impact adolescents' treatment adherence, risk perception, and sexual decision-making; the result of which may be worsening health outcomes and onward transmission. This study addresses a significant gap in the literature by measuring U=U knowledge and its potential association with HIV-associated beliefs and behaviors among adolescent boys living with perinatally-acquired HIV, a population underrepresented in HIV prevention research.

Methods

This study took place in Soweto, South Africa, a township in Johannesburg with high levels of poverty and unemployment. Although SA has a centralized viral

*Correspondence:

Sonal Swain
sonalswain22@gmail.com

¹School of Arts and Sciences, Stony Brook University (State University of New York), Stony Brook, NY, USA

²Program in Public Health, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY, USA

³Perinatal HIV Research Unit (PHRU), Faculty of Health Sciences, University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa

⁴Department of Family, Population and Preventive Medicine, State University of New York at Stony Brook, Stony Brook, NY, USA

⁵Mooreville, USA



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

load monitoring system and recommends routine testing, only 57% of adolescents are engaged in testing [7]. Participants were boys living in Soweto, aged 15–19 years old, in a current dating or sexual relationship, and diagnosed with HIV before the age of 10. They were recruited from local HIV clinics and completed screening and consent/assent procedures at a research clinic. For more information about study recruitment and sampling procedures, see Kidman et al. [8]. Participants completed a baseline survey and a one-year follow-up survey. Surveys were completed electronically on tablets at the clinic, in a private space, with study staff nearby to answer questions. Participants were debriefed by a counselor as required, and support was available through a 24-hour hotline. This study was approved by the research ethics committees at Stony Brook University in the United States (Approval ID: FWA#00000125, IRB2019-00567) and the University of the Witwatersrand in South Africa (Approval ID: 191001).

Data collection occurred between November 2020 and June 2023. For the present analyses, we use data from the follow-up survey, which had a higher number of participants reporting sexual activity. Participants answered questions about their HIV transmission risk behaviors: disclosure to sexual partners, unprotected sex, and antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence, with acceptable adherence defined as 90% or higher, a cut-off commonly used in literature [9, 10]. They then answered questions about their HIV knowledge and beliefs: U=U knowledge (classifying the statement “You can still pass on HIV when your viral load is undetectable” as false vs. true), risk perception (perceived risk of transmitting HIV to a partner in their current state and a hypothetical undetectable state, on a scale of 0=no risk to 10=highest risk), HIV stigma, and disclosure self-efficacy (their belief that they can effectively disclose their status to a partner [11]). Finally, participants answered questions about previous discussions with healthcare workers (HCW) [12]. We conducted descriptive analyses and tested for differences in participant outcomes based on viral load at follow-up (defining undetectable as <200 copies/mL, in line with recent World Health Organization guidance [13]) and U=U knowledge. Analyses were conducted in R (version 4.2.3).

Results

Our analytic sample consisted of 236 adolescent boys who completed the follow-up surveys. Participants were 17.4 years old (IQR=16–19) on average. Most identified as Black African (90%, $N=213$) and were enrolled in school (68%, $N=160$). Table 1 describes their behaviors, beliefs, and experiences at follow-up.

Notably, less than half of the sample (44%,

Table 1 Safe sex behaviors, beliefs, and experiences among adolescent males with perinatally-acquired HIV at follow-up

	Total	By viral load ¹		By U = U knowledge	
	(N = 236)	Undetectable (N = 164)	Detectable (N = 69)	Yes (N = 103)	No (N = 133)
Behavioral outcomes					
Past month ART adherence ² N(%)	65 (27.54)	56* (34.15)	8* (11.59)	29 (28.16)	36 (27.06)
Sexually active N(%)	125 (52.97)	88 (53.66)	35 (50.72)	54 (52.43)	71 (53.38)
Disclosed HIV status to most recent partner ³ N(%)	28 (22.40)	20 (22.73)	7 (20.00)	9 (16.67)	19 (26.76)
Consistent condom use with last partner ³ N(%)	76 (60.80)	53 (60.23)	21 (60.00)	32 (59.26)	44 (61.97)
HIV knowledge, beliefs, perceptions					
U = U knowledge N(%)	103 (43.64)	73 (44.51)	28 (40.58)	-	-
Disclosure self-efficacy [1–4] M(SD)	2.20 (0.58)	2.15 (0.58)	2.30 (0.55)	2.18 (0.58)	2.21 (0.57)
HIV stigma [1–7] M(SD)	3.82 (1.94)	3.85 (1.97)	3.75 (1.90)	3.80 (1.91)	3.83 (1.97)
Risk perception ⁴ - currently [0–10] M(SD)	5.54 (3.52)	5.51 (3.52)	5.59 (3.64)	4.89* (3.42)	6.08* (3.55)
Risk perception ⁴ - if/when undetectable [0–10] M(SD)	5.08 (3.60)	4.83 (3.51)	5.73 (3.67)	4.07* (3.65)	5.90* (3.33)
Healthcare experiences					
Discussed HIV disclosure to a sexual partner with HCW N(%)	117 (49.58)	82 (50.00)	32 (46.38)	49 (47.57)	68 (51.13)
Discussed safer sex practices with HCW N(%)	163 (69.07)	117 (71.34)	43 (62.32)	67 (65.05)	96 (72.18)
Accessed support groups for HIV + youth last year N(%)	83 (35.17)	55 (33.54)	25 (36.23)	43 (41.74)	40 (30.08)

Note Significant differences between groups are marked using *. Tests for between-group differences control for participant age

¹ Undetectable is defined as <200 copies/mL. Viral load data are missing for 3 participants

² Acceptable adherence is defined as ≥90%

³ Denominator for sexual behavior outcomes is the number of sexually active participants

⁴ Risk perception is measured on a scale from 0 (no risk) to 10 (highest risk)

disbelief in U=U, fear of patient blame for subsequent transmission to partners, or fear that this knowledge would encourage a patient to have multiple sexual partners [16]. However, conversations regarding U=U between HCW and patients have the potential to strengthen patients' use of sexual health services, foster an open dialogue about sex and sexual health, and elicit candid sexual histories, which could help HCW tailor their care [17]. Moreover, some adolescents still have doubts about the availability and effectiveness of HIV medication – a potential barrier to the effectiveness of the U=U campaign [15]. To address this, HCW should consider specifically discussing common myths about HIV medication and identify ways to increase patient trust in HIV treatments.

To better support these essential exchanges and bridge the U=U literacy gap, we need to tailor the U=U message to meet adolescents' and HCW concerns. HCW can appeal to adolescents' interests by using modern communication tools for U=U messaging. Recent effective interventions have incorporated U=U storylines in graphic novels and smartphone apps designed for ALHIV [18, 19]. Increasing the availability and awareness of these tools may encourage HCW to discuss U=U by providing a streamlined system for referring ALHIV to existing interventions

rather than initiating new conversations at each encounter. Researchers can further improve interventions by engaging both adolescents and HCW in qualitative studies to identify misinformation, knowledge gaps, and communication preferences about U=U.

In this sample, U=U knowledge was not significantly associated with sexual risk behavior or ART adherence. However, U=U knowledge was associated with risk perception, which may inform behavioral decisions. These findings might suggest that U=U knowledge alone is not enough to influence adolescents' safer sexual practices or ART adherence. It may be necessary to reinforce U=U knowledge with consistent messages from HCW and other HIV education initiatives to spark behavior change. Moreover, adolescence is a period during which ALHIV are just beginning to manage their own medications and engage in sexual activity (only 50% of participants in this sample were sexually active). ALHIV may need more support than adults from HCW to develop behavioral strategies for medication adherence and negotiating sexual relationships. Associations between knowledge and behavior may change over time as ALHIV mature and gain more autonomy. Future longitudinal studies should track how HIV-associated behaviors change among adolescents with age and consistent U=U messaging.

The study's limitations include potential recall and social desirability bias in self-reported data on participants' medication adherence, sexual practices, and disclosure. Strengths of this study include the recruitment of adolescents living with HIV since birth, who are underrepresented in U=U literature and may particularly struggle with medication adherence and sexual risk-taking. This study found a critical knowledge gap among ALHIV, suggesting the need for HCW to develop tailored, consistent, and comprehensible U=U messages.

Abbreviations

SA	South Africa
U = U	Undetectable = Untransmittable
ALHIV	Adolescents Living with HIV
HCW	Healthcare Workers
ART	Antiretroviral Therapy

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the respondents for their time and willingness to share their experiences. We also thank the research staff (field supervisors, interviewers, counselors, and many others) for their time, effort, and support of this project. In particular, we acknowledge the contributions of Candice Ramsammy, Phumla Madi, Janan Dietrich, Busisiwe Nkala-Dlamini, and Stefanie Vermaak.

Author contributions

S.S.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing -Original draft preparation. E.I.: Methodology, Formal analysis, Writing – Original Draft, Review & Editing, Visualization. D.J.: Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing. A.V.: Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Funding acquisition. R.K.: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing – Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition. All authors have read and approved the text as submitted to *AIDS Research & Therapy*.

Funding

Research reported in this publication was supported by the National Institute of Mental Health of the National Institutes of Health under Award Number R01MH119878.

Data availability

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are not publicly available but are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Approval was granted by both the University of the Witwatersrand Human Research Ethics Committee (approval 191001) and the Stony Brook University Committees on Research Involving Human Subjects (IRB2019-00567).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Received: 28 June 2024 / Accepted: 26 September 2024

Published online: 08 October 2024

References

1. UNICEF. Adolescent. HIV prevention. 2023.
2. UNAIDS. Prevention Gap Report. 2016.
3. UNAIDS. Miles To Go: Closing Gaps Breaking Barriers Righting Injustices. 2018.
4. Prevention Access Campaign. About Introduction. 2024. <https://prevention-access.org/about-introduction/>.
5. UNAIDS. Eastern Cape becomes the first South Africa province to campaign on U = U 2022 [https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/featurestories/2022/march/20220321_eastern-cape-u-u].
6. Bernays S, Lariat J, Ameyan W, Willis N. Let's talk about U = U: seizing a valuable opportunity to better support adolescents living with HIV. *Sex Health*. 2023;20(3).
7. Mazanderani AH, Sherman GG. Paediatric & adolescent HIV viral load monitoring, 2014–2020. *NICD Public Health Surveillance Bull*. 2020;18(3):165–72.
8. Kidman R, Hossain S, Hou W, Violar A. Pathways between adverse childhood experiences and viral suppression among male HIV-infected adolescents in South Africa. *AIDS Care*. 2024;36:1–9.
9. Morillo-Verdugo R, de las Aguas Robustillo-Cortés M, Martín LA-K, de Sotomayor Paz MÁ, de León Naranjo FL, AlmeidaGonzález CV. Determination of a cutoff value for medication regimen complexity index to predict polypharmacy in HIV + older patient. *Revista Española De Quimioterapia*. 2019;32(5):458.
10. Youn B, Shireman TI, Lee Y, Galárraga O, Wilson IB. Trends in medication adherence in HIV patients in the US, 2001 to 2012: an observational cohort study. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2019;22(8):e25382.
11. Kalichman SC, Nachimson D. Self-efficacy and disclosure of HIV-positive serostatus to sex partners. *Health Psychol*. 1999;18(3):281.
12. Wilson IB, Fowler FJ, Cosenza CA, Michaud J, Bentkover J, Rana A, et al. Cognitive and field testing of a new set of medication adherence self-report items for HIV care. *AIDS Behav*. 2014;18:2349–58.
13. World Health Organization. The Role of HIV Viral Suppression in Improving Individual Health and Reducing Transmission: Policy Brief. 2023. <https://iris.who.int/bitstream/handle/10665/360860/9789240055179-eng.pdf?sequence=1>.
14. Bor J, Fischer C, Modi M, Richman B, Kinker C, King R, et al. Changing knowledge and attitudes towards HIV treatment-as-prevention and undetectable = untransmittable: a systematic review. *AIDS Behav*. 2021;25(12):4209–24.
15. Agaku I, Nkosi L, Gwar JN, Tsafa T. A cross-sectional analysis of U = U as a potential educative intervention to mitigate HIV stigma among youth living with HIV in South Africa. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2022;41(1).
16. Ngure K, Ong'oly F, Dolla A, Awour M, Mugwanya KK, Irungu E, et al. I just believe there is a risk understanding of undetectable equals untransmissible (U = U) among health providers and HIV-negative partners in serodiscordant relationships in Kenya. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2020;23(3):e25466.
17. Calabrese SK, Mayer KH, Marcus JL. Prioritising pleasure and correcting misinformation in the era of U = U. *Lancet HIV*. 2021;8(3):e175–80.
18. Lenz C, Rabaholo T, Mphahlele M, Samonyane F, Greenberg L, Thomas A, et al. Lessons from the field: understanding the use of a youth tailored U = U tool by peer educators in Lesotho with adolescents and youth living with HIV. *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2024;27(5).
19. Sineke T, Bor J, King R, Mokhele I, Dukashe M, Bokaba D, et al. Integrating 'undetectable equals untransmittable' into HIV counselling in South Africa: the development of locally acceptable communication tools using intervention mapping. *BMC Public Health*. 2024;24(1):1052.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.