RESEARCH

Open Access

Epidemiological and molecular characteristics of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from pediatric patients in Henan, China

Jiayue Ma^{1†}, Kaijie Gao^{2†}, Mingchao Li¹, Juanjuan Zhou², Xiaorui Song¹, Yaodong Zhang¹, Zhidan Yu¹, Zengyuan Yu¹, Weyland Cheng¹, Wancun Zhang¹, Adong Shen¹, Junmei Yang², Huiqing Sun^{1*} and Lifeng Li^{1*}

Abstract

Purpose Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CRKP) is an emerging global threat, whereas its epidemiological characteristics in children are rarely reported. This study aims to analyze clinical and epidemiological characteristics of CRKP from children in Henan, China.

Methods CRKP strains were isolated from pediatric patients, and the antimicrobial susceptibility of CRKP was determined using broth microdilution methods. The epidemiological characteristics of CRKP, including specimen sources, clinical data, carbapenemase types, virulence factors, MLST and PBRT typing were analyzed.

Results In total, 108 CRKP isolates were isolated from specimens including sputum, blood and urine, mainly from preterm pediatric department and internal medical intensive care unit (ICU). Newborns and staying in the ICU were risk factors for crude mortality. 107 isolates exhibited a multi-drug resistant (MDR) phenotype, and one isolate was extensively drug-resistant (XDR). Bacterial susceptibility to colistin, tigecycline and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole was 98.10%, 78.50% and 91.43%, respectively. Carbapenemase bla_{KPC} (86.11%) was predominant, followed by bla_{NDM} (5.56%) and bla_{IMP} (2.78%). Two strains co-harbored bla_{KPC} - bla_{NDM} , one had bla_{KPC} - bla_{IMP} whereas three isolates did not carry any of the analyzed carbapenemase genes. All strains possessed *fimH*, and 98% of the isolates possessed *mrkD*. Hypervirulent factors *rmpA2* and *iucA* showed high positive rates (71.30% and 49.07%), with 48.15% of strains containing both genes. MLST analysis identified nine distinct sequence types (STs), with ST11 (82.41%) being the most common, followed by ST2154 (4.63%) and ST307 (3.70%). PBRT analysis revealed IncFII (85.19%) as the most prevalent plasmid.

[†]Jiayue Ma and Kaijie Gao contributed equally to this work.

*Correspondence: Huiqing Sun s_huiqing@sina.com Lifeng Li Isbks1017@126.com

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

Conclusion In summary, this study reported the epidemiological features of CRKP in pediatric patients in Henan, China, highlighting the high prevalence of multi-drug-resistant and hypervirulent strains, and underscoring the significance of continuous surveillance.

Keywords *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, Antimicrobial resistance, Carbapenemases, Virulence factors, Pediatrics, Epidemiological features

Introduction

Klebsiella pneumoniae, a member of Enterobacterales, is traditionally considered an opportunistic pathogen capable of causing urinary tract infections, pneumonia and blood stream infections [1]. K. pneumoniae infections have spread rapidly in recent years and carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae (CRKP) has been classified by the WHO as a critical priority pathogen, emphasizing the urgent need to develop new antibiotics [2]. The prevalence of carbapenem resistant strains isolated from pediatric patients has dramatically increased worldwide in recent years. In China, the detection rate of CRKP in children was 2.2% in 2007 and increased to 25.4% in 2017 [3]. A multicenter study by Wang et al. examined 502 clinical CRKP infections and 489 colonized isolates from 71 hospitals in Argentina, Australia, Chile, China, Colombia, Lebanon, Singapore, and the United States [4]. The study found regional differences in the prevalence of CRKP, including variations in bacterial types, plasmid replicons and carbapenemase resistance genes. There was a significant difference in mortality rates between CRKP and carbapenem-susceptible K. pneumoniae (CSKP) infections, with a mortality rate of 42.1% for CRKP compared to 17.5% for CSKP [5]. Therapeutic options for children are limited, further increasing the mortality risk.

Carbapenemase production is the main cause of carbapenem resistance [6]. Differences in carbapenemaseproducing K. pneumoniae isolated from children and adults have been observed. In China, CRKP isolated from adults predominantly produce Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase (KPC), whereas New Delhi metallo-βlactamases (NDM) are more common in children. The top three carbapenemases in children include KPC, NDM and oxacillinase (OXA)-48-like enzymes [7]. Phylogenetically, KPC-producing CRKP in China is mainly associated with ST11, whereas pediatric infections frequently involve ST278, ST15, and ST11 NDM-producing CRKP [8]. Therefore, ongoing molecular epidemiological surveillance of clinical CRKP isolates from children is essential for understanding the spread of drug-resistant strains and developing personalized treatment strategies and control measures.

Hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* has raised concerns due to severe invasive infections such as pyogenic liver abscess, endophthalmitis and meningitis, which was first identified in Taiwan in the last century [9]. The coexistence of hypervirulence and carbapenem resistance in K. pneumoniae has been reported worldwide [10]. Virulence factor genes, such as rmpA/rmpA2 (regulators of mucoid phenotype), mrkD (encoding type 3 fimbriae), fimH (encoding type 1 fimbriae), and iucA (involved in aerobactin siderophore biosynthesis) serve as biomarkers for identifying hypervirulent strains [11]. The presence of *rmpA/rmpA2* with *iucA* indicates hypervirulence, which is often used for the identification of hypervirulent K. pneumoniae [12]. Hence, we used the coexistence of rmpA/rmpA2 with iucA as diagnostic criteria for hypervirulent strains in this study. Antibiotic resistant genes (ARGs) and virulence factors are usually found on plasmids, facilitating the spread between hospitals and the community [13]. Therefore, identifying plasmid replication types is crucial for assessing the risk of drug resistance, and virulence acquisition and transmission.

The combination of compromised immune systems in children, the high prevalence of resistance, and the associated mortality of CRKP highlights the urgent need for effective control measures. To date, few studies have investigated the epidemiological features of CRKP strains isolated from pediatric patients. Here, we investigated the clinical characteristics of children with positive CRKP cultures, analyzed the drug resistance phenotype and the and epidemiological features of CRKP isolates, including carbapenem resistance genes, plasmid replication types, virulence gene profiles, and MLST typing, to provide insights for CRKP prevention and control.

Materials and methods

Study population, bacteria isolation and identification

K. pneumoniae clinical isolates were consecutively collected after routine laboratory detection from January to December 2021 at Henan Children's Hospital, a large tertiary care teaching hospital in China. The specimen sources included sputum, blood, urine, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid (BALF), ascites, cerebrospinal fluid, joint fluid, hydrothorax and other specimens. All isolates were confirmed as *K. pneumoniae* using both Bruker Biotyper MALDI-TOF MS (Bruker Daltonik GmbH, Bremen, Germany) and 16 S rDNA sequencing analysis. The study complied with the Declaration of Helsinki and was approved by the Ethics Committee of Henan Children's Hospital (2022-H-K37).

Clinical data collection

Clinical data for the included patients were obtained from electronic medical records. The collected data included demographic characteristics, sample sources, clinical diagnoses, length of hospital stay, history of invasive procedures, and prognosis at discharge. Prognosis was classified as improved if symptoms remitted or disappeared, and exacerbated if the condition worsened, resulting in death. Laboratory data, including levels of white blood cells (WBC), neutrophils, lymphocytes and C-reactive protein (CRP), were also recorded.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) were performed using the BD Phoenix 100 System according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) protocol [14]. Tested antimicrobial agents included amoxicillin/clavulanic acid, cefuroxime, moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, tetracycline, cefepime, aztreonam, piperacillin/tazobactam, ceftazidime, cefoperazone/sulbactam, imipenem, meropenem, amikacin, tobramycin, ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, minocycline, tigacycline, colistin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Interpretations of tigecycline and colistin susceptibilities were based on EUCAST ECOFFs (ECAST) [15], whereas other antimicrobial agents were interpreted according to the CLSI M100-S30 criteria [14]. *Escherichia coli* ATCC 25,922 was used as quality control strain for AST.

To characterize different patterns of resistance, isolates were classified as multi-drug resistant (MDR), extensively drug-resistant (XDR), or pandrug-resistant (PDR) [16]. MDR was defined as non-susceptibility to three or more different antimicrobial categories. XDR was defined as non-susceptibility to one or more agents in all but one or two antimicrobial classes. PDR was defined as non-susceptibility to representative agents of all tested antimicrobial classes [16].

Identification of carbapenemase genes

Genomic DNA from *K. pneumoniae* isolates was extracted using a crude genomic DNA method [17]. All CRKP strains were screened for the presence of common carbapenemase genes ($bla_{\rm KPC}$, $bla_{\rm NDM}$, $bla_{\rm OXA-48-like}$, $bla_{\rm IMP}$ and $bla_{\rm VIM}$) using multiplex touch-down polymerase chain reaction (PCR) [18]. The primer sequences are listed in the Table 1. PCR amplification was conducted as follows: denaturation at 94 °C for 30 s, 10 cycles of annealing at 65 °C (reduce 0.5 °C per cycle) for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s, followed by 94 °C for 30 s, 20 cycles of annealing at 60 °C for 30 s, and elongation at 72 °C for 30 s. The final extension was performed at 72 °C for 10 min for one cycle. PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis and compared to positive controls.

Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST)

Seven housekeeping genes were detected by PCR using primers as previously described (Table 1) [22]. The PCR products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis, and positive amplicons were sequenced at Sangon (Shanghai, China). The sequences of housekeeping genes were uploaded to the Institute Pasteur MLST procedure webpage (https://bigsdb.pasteur.fr/klebsiella, accessed on 1 August 2023) to determine the sequence type (ST) for each strain. Based on the MLST allele profile, the phylogenetic results were visualized using the PHYLOViZ online application (https://online2.phyloviz.net/index).

Detection of virulence factors

Virulence factors, including *rmpA*, *rmpA2*, *mrkD*, *fimH* and *iucA* were detected by PCR using primers as previously described (Table 1). The PCR products were detected using 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis. Positive amplification products were sequenced, and the sequencing results were compared using BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi).

PCR-based replicon sequence typing

PCR-based replicon sequence typing (PBRT) was performed to classify plasmids into various incompatibility (Inc) groups, including IncI1, IncHI2, IncF (IncFIA, IncFIC, IncFII and IncFIIK), IncN and IncA/C. Both multiplex PCR and single PCR methods were conducted following previously described protocols [23, 24]. Five multiplex PCRs were designed in this study: I: H11, H12, I1- γ ; II: X, L/M, N; III: FIA, FIB, W; IV: Y, P, FIC; V: A/C, T, FIIAS. Three simplex PCRs were also performed for FII, FIIK and I1. The amplicons were visualized on a 1.5% agarose gel.

Statistical analysis

IBM SPSS Statistics (version 21) was for statistical analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the epidemiologic and clinical characteristics of CRKP strains. A cohort study of CRKP infection was conducted using univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression models to investigate independent risk factors for crude mortality. Hazard ratio (HR), corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs), and *P*-values were calculated. Mortality rates for hypervirulent CRKP and classical CRKP infection were compared using the Kaplan–Meier method, with statistical analysis conducted via the log-rank test. A *P*-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant. All tests were two-tailed.

Table 1 Primers used in this study

	Primer	Primer sequence (5'-3')	Size (bp)	Reference
Carbapenemase	KPC	F: CGTCTAGTTCTGCTGTCTTG	798	[18]
		R: CTTGTCATCCTTGTTAGGCG		
	VIM	F: GATGGTGTTTGGTCGCATA	390	[18]
		R: CGAATGCGCAGCACCAG		
	IMP	F: GGAATAGAGTGGCTTAAYTCTC [*]	232	[18]
		R: GGTTTAAYAAAACAACCACC [*]		
	NDM	F: GGTTTGGCGATCTGGTTTTC	621	[18]
		R: CGGAATGGCTCATCACGATC		
	OXA-48	F: ACACCAAGTCTTTAAGTGGGATG	186	[18]
		R: CCCGAAATGTCCTCATTACC		
Virulence factor	rmpA	F: ATGGCCTAAAGCAGTTAACTG	560	[19]
		R: CTA AATACTTGGCAGAGCCA		
	rmpA2	F: ATTTACTTTATGTGCAATAAGG	624	[19]
		R: CTAGGTATTTGATGTGCACCA		
	fim H	F: ATGAACGCCTGGTCCTTTGC	688	[20]
		R: GCTGAACGCCTATCCCCTGC		
	mrk D	F: CCACCAACTATTCCCTCGAA	240	[20]
		R: ATGGAACCCACATCGACATT		
	iucA	F: ATAAGGCAGGCAATCCAG	2927	[21]
		R: TAACGGCGATAAACCTCG		
MLST	rpoB	F:GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTAGGCGAAATGGCWGAGAACCA	1075	[22]
		R:TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCGAGTCTTCGAAGTTGTAACC		
	gapA	F: GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTATGAAATATGACTCCACTCACGG	662	[22]
		R:TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCCTTCAGAAGCGGCTTTGATGGCTT		
	mdh	F: GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTACCCAACTCGCTTCAGGTTCAG	756	[22]
		R: TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCCCGTTTTTCCCCAGCAGCAG		
	pgi	F: GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTAGAGAAAAACCTGCCTGTACTGCTGGC	566	[22]
		R: TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCCGCGCCACGCTTTATAGCGGTTAAT		
	phoE	F: GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTAACCTACCGCAACACCGACTTCTTCGG	602	[22]
		R: TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCTGATCAGAACTGGTAGGTGAT		
	infB	F: GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTACTCGCTGCTGGACTATATTCG	462	[22]
		R: TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCCGCTTTCAGCTCAAGAACTTC		
	tonB	F: GTTTTCCCAGTCACGACGTTGTACTTTATACCTCGGTACATCAGGTT	539	[22]
		R: TTGTGAGCGGATAACAATTTCATTCGCCGGCTGRGCRGAGAG		

Results

Clinical characteristics and risk factors for CRKP infection mortality

A total of 108 CRKP strains were isolated, comprising of 56 boys (51.85%) and 52 girls, with a median patient age of 1.3 months (IQR 0.57–4.11) (Table 2). CRKP strains were obtained from various clinical specimens, including sterile fluids (29.63%, 32/108) and non-sterile fluids (70.37%, 76/108). The majority of samples were from sputum (58.33%, 63/108), with other sources consisting of venous blood (10.19%, 11/108), urine (9.26%, 10/108), BALF (8.33%, 9/108), ascites (4.63%, 5/108), cerebrospinal fluid (2.78%, 3/108), joint fluid (0.93%, 1/108), hydrothorax (0.93%, 1/108) and other specimens (1.85%, 2/108) (Fig. 1A).

Premature and dysplasia were common underlying conditions. CRKP strains originated from 13 different wards (Fig. 1B), with preterm pediatric department (34/108) and internal medical intensive care unit (ICU) (22/108) being the top two sources, followed by the neonatal ICU (16.67%, 18/108), neonatal internal medicine department (8.33%, 9/108), surgical ICU (5.56%, 6/108) and neonatal surgery department (3.70%, 4/108). The renal rheumatology department (n=3), infant department (n=3) and pneumology department (n=3) each accounted for 2.78%. The urology surgery department (n=2) each accounted for 1.85%.

CRKP-positive children were hospitalized for an average of 44 days. Most patients were diagnosis as pneumonia and septicemia (sepsis) (Table 2). The symptoms included respiratory dysfunction, fever (> 37.5° C) and disturbance of consciousness. A total of 70 cases underwent mechanical ventilation (60.34%), and 37 cases (31.90%) underwent surgery. Analysis of clinical outcomes showed that 75% children recovered after treatment.

(0, 46) (0, 45) (0, 45) (0, 45) (100)			90-day survivors	90-day nonsurvivors	Univariate Cox		Multivariate Cox	
Total 51(00) 27(00) 1 1 Age(mett) Areage 39 39(5) 7(253) 0.000 0.444 (0.36-1.322) 0.133 Age(mett) Newtoon 45(5.54) 7(2.53) 0.000 0.444 (0.36-1.322) 0.133 Gender Newtoon 45(5.54) 11 (40.24) 0.232 0.400 0.444 0.133 Gender Newtoon 45(5.54) 11 (40.24) 0.232 0.400 0.444 0.134 Gender Newtoon 45(13) 11 (40.24) 0.232 0.400 0.444 0.134 Man ypee of nectoon 86 (10) 16 (40.24) 0.322 0.400 0.444 0.135 0.138 Man ypee of nectoon 86 (10) 16 (50.20) 18 (50.20) 0.410 0.135 0.444 0.144 0.145 0.444 0.144 0.145 0.444 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 0.145 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th>(<i>n</i>, %)</th> <th>(<i>n</i>, %)</th> <th>HR (95% CI)</th> <th>٩</th> <th>HR (95% CI)</th> <th>٩</th>			(<i>n</i> , %)	(<i>n</i> , %)	HR (95% CI)	٩	HR (95% CI)	٩
Age (month) Arenoge 35 2813 2.0% 0.00 0.444 (0.156-1.262) 0.13 Reder (5.38) 2(0.43) 2(0.43) 84erccc 84ercc 84erccc 84erccc 84erccc 84ercc 8	Total		81 (100)	27 (100)	~	~		
Meediam 39 (815) 7 (259) 0.000 0.44 (0156-1152) 0.13 Gender (520) (620) (612) (612) (62) (74) (75)	Age (month)	Average	3.95	28.13	-2.796*	600.0		
(530) (123-030 Gendler (530) (1073-030 Reference Gendler (36) (14074) (072-1594) Reference Gendler (36) (14074) (072-1594) Reference Hoppial says (appid Female $36 (444)$ $16 (524)$ $11 (4024)$ $0.72-1540$ Reference Hoppial says (appid Remain $6(74)$ $5 (553)$ $11 (4024)$ $0.72-1540$ 0.999 Hoppial says (appid Requisitory infection $58 (7100)$ $16 (657)$ 0.07^{-1} 0.999 0.0410 Main types of infection $58 (7100)$ $16 (657)$ $0.07-586$ $0.025-2430$ 0.410 Main types of infection $57 (130)$ 1370 $0.33-2430$ 0.410 Generation Permutue $33 (62.4)$ 1370 $0.32-2430$ 0.410 Main types of infection Permutue $33 (62.4)$ $18 (66.7)$ $0.32-2430$ 0.045 Math types of infection Permutue $33 (62.4)$ $11 (40.74)$ $0.$		Newborn	39 (48.15)	7 (25.93)	0.300	0.008	0.444 (0.156–1.262)	0.128
Gender Nonwokon 475153 2074.07 Reference Reference Horea 45559 11 (4074) 0.327-1594 0.400 Horea 457410 165920 0.400 0.400 Horea 477 115920 0.079-1594 0.400 Horea 477 1157 0.128 0.400 Horea 477 117 0.128 0.400 Horea 9(111) 13.70 0.875 0.861 0.40 Horeaviewet 7(1) 166677 0.875-630 0.41 0.41 Unway natclinection 9(1,11) 13.70 0.852 0.861 0.46 Unway natclinection 9(1,11) 11.70 0.392-2435 0.861 0.46 Underlyne 7(23.91) 1160.70 0.126-557 0.72 0.72 Underlyne 7(23.91) 1160.70 0.72-552 0.965 0.965 Manota 7(23.91) 1160.70 0.72-552 0.72 0.72 S		(≤28d)			(0.123–0.730)			
Gender Male 55 (55) 11 (40.14) 0.72 0.40 Herale 56 (44.41) 16 (59.36) Reference Reference Hosolal ags (days) Bloodhream infection 57 (14.1) 51 (85.2) 2171 0.399 Hosolal ags (days) Bloodhream infection 57 (14.1) 51 (85.2) 2171 0.128 Hosp for infection 57 (10.0) 18 (66.7) 18 (65.7) 0.77 0.399 Unnay tract infection 57 (1.1) 1 (3.70) 0855 - 2.438 0.861 0.416 Unnay tract infection 57 (1.1) 1 (3.70) 0.853 - 2.438 0.861 0.416 Underlying condition Premature 53 (65.4) 1 (60.7) 0.320 0.861 0.415 Underlying condition Premature 53 (65.4) 15 (55.6) 0.017 - 5.232 0.861 0.456 Underlying condition Premunia 33 (40.74) 15 (55.6) 0.024 0.863 0.456 0.456 Underlying condition Premunia 33 (60.74) 1 (60.293		Nonnewborn	42 (51.85)	20 (74.07)	Reference	Reference		
Hendle 10, 027-1594) 10, 027-1594) Hendle 56, (44) 16 (592, 6) 100 Hendle Hendle 67, 41 5 (53, 2) 2171 0.128 Main types of infection 810 differenci (71) 5 (18, 2) 5 (18, 2) 0.1171 0.128 Main types of infection 810 differenci 57 (1, 2) 18, 6667) 1016 0.972 Replandory infection 9 (11, 1) 1 (3.70) 0.037-3438 0.861 1456 (05393-3.00) 0.410 Underlying condition Permature 33 (1.10) 1 (3.70) 0.012-6.257 0.861 1456 (05393-3.00) 0.410 Underlying condition Permature 33 (40.34) 1 (3.70) 0.912 0.929 0.941 1456 (05393-3.00) 0.416 Underlying condition Permature 33 (40.34) 1 (3.70) 0.912 0.929 0.941 1.756 (05393-3.00) 0.416 Diagonds Permature 33 (40.34) 1 (40.34) 1 (3.70) 0.024 0.024 Diagonds Permania <th< td=""><td>Gender</td><td>Male</td><td>45 (55.56)</td><td>11 (40.74)</td><td>0.722</td><td>0.420</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Gender	Male	45 (55.56)	11 (40.74)	0.722	0.420		
Homole 36 (4.4.4) 16 (52.6) Reference Reference Reference Men types of infection 6 (7.1) 5 (85.2) 0.07* 0.999 Men types of infection 6 (7.1) 5 (85.2) 1.71 0.138 Respiratory infection 5 (7.1) 1 (8 (66.7) 1 (0.799-5.560) 0.971 Uninary tract infection 9 (11.1) 1 (3.70) 0.816 0.813 0.861 Uninary tract infection 9 (1.1) 1 (3.70) 1 (3.70) 0.132 0.813 Separated department CU 30 (3.704) 1 (8 (667) 1 (3.70) 0.913 0.913 Underlying condition Premature 3 (0.74) 1 (3.70) 0.304 0.41 0.72 Diagnotsi Pneumonia 3 (0.74) 1 (4.074) 1 (4.074) 0.72 0.72 0.72 Septicemia (sepsis) 1 9 (3.7556) 0.713 0.72 0.72 0.72 0.72 Maptoms Fever 1 (4.074) 1 (4.074) 1 (4.074) 0.72 0.72 0.72					(0.327–1.594)			
Hospital Says (days) 44.72 44.12 0.077 0.939 Main types of infection Biodstream infection 5 (74.1) 5 (18.22) 2.17 0.128 Respiratory infection 5 (71.60) 18 (66.67) 0.072 0.992 0.972 Respiratory infection 9 (11.11) 1 (3.70) 0.861 0.972 0.961 Umary ract infection 9 (11.11) 1 (3.70) 0.835 0.661 0.47 Underlying condition Prenature 53 (65.43) 18 (66.57) 2.937 0.677 0.47 Underlying condition Prenature 53 (65.43) 18 (66.57) 2.037 0.677 0.698 Underlying condition Prenature 53 (65.43) 18 (66.57) 2.037 0.695 0.471 0.741 Degnosis Prenuncia 33 (07.4) 18 (66.57) 2.037 0.645 0.725 0.741 0.745 0.741 0.741 0.745 Degnosis Prenuncia 18 (23.60) 11 (0.74) 1.173 0.722 0.742 0		Female	36 (44.44)	16 (59.26)	Reference	Reference		
Main types of infection Boolstream infection 6 (741) 5 (18.2) 2.171 0.128 Respiratory infection 38 (71.60) 18 (66.57) 1016 0.972 0.972 Umary tracturine 14 (40.7) 1 (3.70) 113 (55.6) 0.413 0.433 0.461 Umary tracturine 1 (11) 1 (3.70) 1 (86.67) 0.361 0.961 0.415 Underlying condition Permature 3 (3.704) 1 (3.70) 0.833 0.861 0.415 Underlying condition Permature 3 (40.74) 1 (40.74) 0.173 0.074 Dagnosis Pretunonia 3 (40.74) 1 (40.74) 0.723 0.726 Dagnosis Pretunonia 1 (40.74) 0.732 0.726 0.726 Septicemia (sepsis) 1 (41.728) 7 (32.931) 0.726 0.726 0.741 0.702 Symptoms Event 18 (22.22) 1 (43.61) 0.727 0.726 0.741 0.704 Symptoms Event 18 (22.22) 1 (44.91)	Hospital stays (days)		44.72	44.12	0.077*	0.939		
	Main types of infection	Bloodstream infection	6 (7.41)	5 (18.52)	2.171	0.128		
Respiratory infection 58 (7160) 18 (6667) 1016 0.972 Uninay tract infection 9 (11.1) 1 (3.70) 0.843 0.841 Uninay tract infection 9 (11.1) 1 (3.70) 0.833 0.861 Separated department [CU 30 (37.04) 18 (66.67) 0.119-5.323 0.861 Under/ying condition Premature 53 (65.43) 15 (55.56) 0.471 0.075 0.861 Under/ying condition Premature 53 (67.43) 15 (55.56) 0.471 0.076 0.765 0.471 Diagnosis Premonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 1173 0.702 0.863 Septicema (sepsis) 19 (72.8) 11 (40.74) 1173 0.702 0.893 0.703 Septicema (sepsis) 19 (73.46) 7 (2.533) 0.702 0.893 0.702 0.702 NRDS NRDS 19 (74.49) 0.717 0.723 0.723 0.724 0.741 0.741 0.741 0.741 0.744 0.744 0.733 0					(0.799–5.896)			
Inhary tract infection 9 (11.1) 1 (3.70) (0.473-2.43) (0.473-2.43) Separated department (CU 3 (3.704) 1 (3.70) 0.861 1.456 (0.589-3.600) 0.416 Underlying condition Premature 53 (55.43) 15 (55.56) 0.045 1.456 (0.589-3.600) 0.416 Underlying condition Premature 53 (55.43) 15 (55.56) 0.045 0.045 1.456 (0.589-3.600) 0.416 Diagnosis Premunoia 33 (40.74) 15 (55.56) 0.074 0.074 0.074 Diagnosis Preunonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 0.072 0.072 0.072 0.042 NRDS Intervision 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.722 0.722 0.722 0.722 0.722 0.722 0.722 0.722 0.740 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724 0.724		Respiratory infection	58 (71.60)	18 (66.67)	1.016	0.972		
Uninay tract infection 9 (11.1) 1 (3.70) 0.835 0.861 Separated department ICU 30 (37.04) 18 (66.57) 2.309 0.45 1456 (0.5893.600) 0.416 Underlying condition Premature 33 (55.43) 15 (55.56) 0.047 0.074 0.016 Diagnosis Preumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 1.173 0.702 0.702 Diagnosis Preumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 1.173 0.702 0.702 Septicemia (sepsis) 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.702 0.893 0.702 NRDS NRDS 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.512 0.702 0.702 Septicemia (sepsis) 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.512 0.702 0.702 Symptoms Fever 18 (2.22) 13 (48.15) 0.722 0.729 0.704 0.704 Symptoms Fever 18 (2.22) 13 (48.15) 0.722 0.74 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.209 Origin Dyspresa </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>(0.423–2.438)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>					(0.423–2.438)			
Sparated department CU 30(3704) 18(665) (0.112-6.57) (0.112-6.52) 0.445 (0.105-5.122) 0.045 1.456 (0.589-3.600) 0.416 Underlying condition Premature 53(65.43) 15 (55.56) 0.471 0.047 0.047 0.047 0.046 Diagnosis Pneumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 0.173 0.702 0.893 0.416 0.426 0.426 0.416 0.246 0		Urinary tract infection	9 (11.11)	1 (3.70)	0.835	0.861		
Separated department ICU $30,37,04$ I8 (66.67) 2.309 0.045 $1.456 (0.589-3.600)$ 0.416 Underlying condition Premature $33,65,43$ $15,55.56$ 0.07 0.07 $1456 (0.589-3.600)$ 0.416 Underlying condition Premature $33,65,43$ $15,55.56$ 0.07 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.072 0.074 0.074 0.074 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.026 0.044 0.240 0.044 0.014 0.124 0.240 0.044 0.014 0.124 0.240 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 0.046 $0.$					(0.112-6.257)			
	Separated department	ICU	30 (37.04)	18 (66.67)	2.309	0.045	1.456 (0.589-3.600)	0.416
Underlying condition Premature 53 (65.43) 15 (55.56) 0.471 0.074 Diagnosis Pneumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 1173 0.702 Diagnosis Pneumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 11 (32.85.55) 0.702 Diagnosis Pneumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 0.306-1076) 0.702 Septicemia (sepsis) 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.942 0.893 0.702 NRDS 14 (17.28) 14 (14.81) 0.392-2.262) 0.893 0.740 Symptoms Fever 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.712 0.299 Symptoms Cough 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.717 0.713 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 13 (48.15) 0.712 0.713 0.716 0.740 Dyspnea 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.725 0.164 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.713 0.713 0.713 0.714					(1.019-5.232)			
	Underlying condition	Premature	53 (65.43)	15 (55.56)	0.471	0.074		
Diagnosis Pneumonia 33 (40.74) 11 (40.74) 1173 0.702 Septicemia (sepsis) 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.942 0.893 Septicemia (sepsis) 19 (17.28) 7 (25.93) 0.942 0.893 NRDs 14 (17.28) 4 (14.81) 0.172-1.526) 0.229 Symptoms Fever 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.172-1.526) 0.014 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 13 (48.15) 0.172-1.526) 0.014 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.14) 0.572 0.014 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Cough 47 (58.02) 12 (44.14) 0.572 0.168 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (31) 10 (3704) 0.713 0.713 Mechanical ventilation 27 (31) 10 (3704) 0.713 0.713 0.714 Mechanical ventilation 27 (3333) 10 (3704) 0.713 0.713 0.734 Mechanical ventilation 28 (59.26) 28 (81.8)<					(0.206-1.076)			
	Diagnosis	Pneumonia	33 (40.74)	11 (40.74)	1.173	0.702		
Septicemia (sepsis) 19 (23.46) 7 (25.93) 0.942 0.893 NRDS 14 (17.28) 4 (14.81) 0.322-2262) 0.393-2262) Symptoms Fever 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.512 0.229 Symptoms Fever 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.72 0.014 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Symptoms Fever 18 (22.22) 13 (48.15) 0.73 0.014 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Symptoms Fever 18 (22.22) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 0.240 Cough 14 (17.28) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 0.713 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.713 0.733 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.713 0.744 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.734 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33)					(0.518-2.657)			
NRDs $14(17.28)$ $4(14.81)$ $(0.392-2.262)$ Symptoms Fever $14(17.28)$ $4(14.81)$ 0.512 0.229 Symptoms Fever $18(2.222)$ $13(48.15)$ $0.172-1.526)$ 0.172 Dyspnea $47(5802)$ $13(48.15)$ 2.737 0.014 $1.704(0.701-4.142)$ 0.240 Dyspnea $47(5802)$ $12(44.44)$ 0.572 0.014 $1.704(0.701-4.142)$ 0.240 Dyspnea $47(5802)$ $12(44.44)$ 0.572 0.168 0.271 0.240 Invasive manipulation Operation $27(333)$ $10(37.04)$ 0.713 0.713 0.734 Invasive manipulation Operation $27(3333)$ $10(37.04)$ 0.713 0.734 Invasive manipulation Operation $27(3333)$ $10(37.04)$ 0.713 0.734 Invasive manipulation Operation $27(3333)$ $10(37.04)$ 0.713 0.434 Invasive manipulation Mechanical ventiliation $27(3.148)$ $0.$		Septicemia (sepsis)	19 (23.46)	7 (25.93)	0.942	0.893		
NRDs 14 (17.28) 4 (14.81) 0.512 0.229 Symptoms Fever 18 (2.222) 13 (48.15) 0.172-1.526) 0.229 Symptoms Fever 18 (2.222) 13 (48.15) 2.737 0.014 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 0.176 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 0.740 0.240 Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) (0.258-1.267) 0.713 0.713 0.240 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (3.333) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.713 0.713 0.713 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.861 0.801 0.861 0.801 0.861 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.801 0.801					(0.392–2.262)			
Symptoms Fever 18 (2222) 13 (48.15) (0.172–1.526) 1.704 (0.701–4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 2.737 0.014 1.704 (0.701–4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.016 1.704 (0.701–4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 0.718 0.713 0.240 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.713 0.434 0.713 0.734 0.744 0.713 0.734 0.743 0.743 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.734 0.734 0.734 0.734 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.740 0.740 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.740 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.743 0.740 0.743 0.743 0.740 0.740 0.740 0.740 0.740 <td< td=""><td></td><td>NRDS</td><td>14 (17.28)</td><td>4 (14.81)</td><td>0.512</td><td>0.229</td><td></td><td></td></td<>		NRDS	14 (17.28)	4 (14.81)	0.512	0.229		
Symptoms Fever 18 (2.2.2) 13 (48.15) 2.737 0.014 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 1.704 (0.701-4.142) 0.240 Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 0.713 Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) 1.324 0.713 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.434 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.434 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 0.713 0.434 0.610 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 0.094 0.861 0.610 0.610					(0.172–1.526)			
Invasive manipulation Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) (1.223-6.125) Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) 0.572 0.168 Invasive manipulation Operation 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) 0.258-1.267) Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 Mechanical ventilation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.434 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 0.713 0.434 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861	Symptoms	Fever	18 (22.22)	13 (48.15)	2.737	0.014	1.704 (0.701–4.142)	0.240
Dyspnea 47 (58.02) 12 (44.44) 0.572 0.168 Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) (0.258-1.267) 0.168 Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) 1.324 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 0.713 0.434 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861					(1.223–6.125)			
Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) (0.258-1.267) Invasive manipulation Deration 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) 1.324 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.434 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861 (0.400-2.993) (0.400-2.993) 0.434 0.400-2.993)		Dyspnea	47 (58.02)	12 (44.44)	0.572	0.168		
Cough 14 (17.28) 2 (7.41) 1.324 0.713 Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.296-5.91 1) Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.71 3 0.434 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861					(0.258-1.267)			
Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) (0.296-5.91 1) Mechanical ventilation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.434 (0.305-1.665) 0.434 (0.305-1.665) 0.861 (0.400-2.993)		Cough	14 (17.28)	2 (7.41)	1.324	0.713		
Invasive manipulation Operation 27 (33.33) 10 (37.04) 0.713 0.434 (0.305–1.665) 0.861 Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861 (0.400-2.993)					(0.296–5.911)			
(0.305–1.665) Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861 (0.400-2.993)	Invasive manipulation	Operation	27 (33.33)	10 (37.04)	0.713	0.434		
Mechanical ventilation 48 (59.26) 22 (81.48) 1.094 0.861 (0.400-2.993)					(0.305–1.665)			
(0.400-2.993)		Mechanical ventilation	48 (59.26)	22 (81.48)	1.094	0.861		
					(0.400-2.993)			

valvicie of CBKD infaction Ċ 0+0 ÷ 2 Tahle 7 Universite (2024) 23:98

*: Independent t-test was performed Abbreviation ICU, intensive care unit; NRDS, neonatal respiratory distress syndrome



Fig. 1 CRKP strains isolated from children in Henan, China in 2021. Sample source (A) and separate department (B). Abbreviation: BALF: bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; dept.: department; ICU: intensive care unit

Table 3 Laboratory examination results of CRKP-infection particular	atients
--	---------

		$ar{m{x}}$ \pm s	Standard range
Laboratory examination	White blood cells (10 ⁹ /L)	10.72±5.971	5.6–14.5
	Neutrophil counts (10 ⁹ /L)	6.16 ± 4.526	0.6-7.1
	Lymphocyte count (10 ⁹ /L)	3.29 ± 2.134	3.2-10.7
	Neutrophil percentage (%)	54.83 ± 18.75	7–51
	Lymphocyte percentage (%)	33.31 ± 16.96	34-81
	CRP (mg/L)	25.20 ± 49.83	0–10

Regarding risk factors for CRKP infection-related mortality, Cox regression analysis was performed (Table 2). In the univariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis, being a newborn (HR 0.300; 95% CI 0.123–0.730; P=0.008), ICU admission (HR 2.309; 95% CI 1.019– 5.232; P=0.045) and fever (HR 2.737; 95% CI 1.223– 6.125; P=0.014) were associated with increased crude mortality. However, in the multivariate Cox analysis, none of these factors-being a newborn (HR 0.444; 95% CI 0.156–1.262; P=0.128), ICU admission (HR 1.456; 95% CI 0.589-3.600; P=0.416) and fever (HR 1.704; 95% CI 0.701–4.142; P=0.240)-showed statistical significance.

Medical laboratory indices were also analyzed (Table 3). Increased biomarkers included the average neutrophil percentage and CRP (54.83% vs. 51%, 25.20 vs. 10 mg/L); other biomarkers did not show significant differences compared to standard levels.

Antimicrobial susceptibilities of clinical CRKP isolates

The antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of CRKP strains were shown in Fig. 2. Of the 108 isolates, 107 exhibited a MDR phenotype, and one isolate was classified as XDR. There were no PDR isolates in this study. The isolates showed high levels of resistance to β -lactams/ β -lactamase inhibitor combinations. However, the antibiotics commonly used in clinical treatment demonstrated excellent antibacterial activity against CRKP strains. Colistin and tigecycline susceptibilities were 98.10% and 78.50%, respectively, whereas trimethoprim/ sulfamethoxazole showed potent activity against CRKP isolates (91.43%).

Carbapenemase genotypes of CRKP isolates

Carbapenemase production was the most prevalent antibiotic resistance mechanism in CRKP strains, with several carbapenemase types accounted for a significant proportion of clinical isolates. The distribution of carbapenemase genes was analyzed using multiplex PCR to detect the most common carbapenemase genes, including $bla_{\rm KPC}$, $bla_{\rm NDM}$, $bla_{\rm OXA-48-like}$, $bla_{\rm IMP}$, and bla_{VIM} (Fig. 3). Among the CRKP isolates, bla_{KPC} was the predominant carbapenemase gene (86.11%, 93/108), followed by $bla_{\rm NDM}$ (5.56%, 6/108) and $bla_{\rm IMP}$ (2.78%, 3/108). No *bla*_{VIM} and *bla*_{OXA-48} genes were detected. Three isolates did not harbor any of the carbapenemase genes analyzed in this study. Additionally, isolate CRKP 21,096 and CRKP21322 co-harbored $bla_{\rm KPC}$ and $bla_{\rm NDM}$, whereas isolate CRKP 21,305 co-harbored bla_{KPC} and bla_{IMP}.



Fig. 2 Antimicrobial susceptibility testing results of clinical CRKP Isolates

Virulence genes of CRKP isolates

Bacterial virulence characteristics are closely related to clinical manifestations, thus common virulence genes were tested (Fig. 3). The coexistence of *rmpA/rmpA2* with *iucA* was identified as an indicator of hypervirulent CRKP in our analysis. As shown in the heatmap, all strains possessed *fimH*, and 98% of isolates harbored *mrkD*. The high virulence factor *rmpA* was not detected, but *rmpA2* was present in 77 isolates (71.30%). Moreover, the prevalence of the virulence factor *iucA* was 53 (49.07%), and strains containing both *rmpA2* and *iucA* accounted for 48.15% (52/108).

The children infected with hypervirulent CRKP (52) and classical CRKP (56) were divided into two subgroups to investigate their survival rate using a Kaplan-Meier curve (Fig. 4). The survival rate of the hypervirulent CRKP-infected subgroup was higher than that of the classical CRKP-infected subgroup, but the difference was not statistically significant (P=0.631, log-rank test).

MLST analysis of CRKP isolates

To explore the genetic composition of the CRKP strains, MLST was conducted to analyze the STs. Seven house-keeping genes were amplified and sequenced, and the sequences were uploaded to the Institute Pasteur MLST database to obtain their ST. Nine distinct STs were identified among the 108 CRKP isolates. Figure 5 shows the minimum spanning tree based on MLST results. The most prevalent type was ST11 (82.41%, 89/108), followed by ST2154 (4.63%, 5/108) and ST307 (3.70%, 4/108). ST2237 (n=1), ST29 (n=1), ST37 (n=1), ST45 (n=1), ST340 (n=1) and ST1640 (n=1) each accounted for 0.93%. In combination with the carbapenemase gene analysis results, ST11-KPC CRKP was the most prevalent type. Notably, all ST307 CRKP strains carried bla_{NDM} , whereas four ST2154 strains harbored bla_{IMP}

PBRT typing of CRKP isolates

Since the transmission of virulence genes and resistance genes depends mainly on plasmids, plasmid typing was conducted using PCR-based replicon sequence typing.



Fig. 3 Phenotypic and core genes for all carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae strains



Fig. 4 The Kaplan-Meier curve survival of hospital stay in children with hypervirulent CRKP and classical CRKP infections



Fig. 5 Minimum spanning tree of MLST results of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (CRKP). Each node represents an identical sequence type. Circle diameter based on the number of isolates. Colorful nodes represent CRKP ST types included in this study. Grey nodes represent correlative *K. pneumoniae* ST types from NCBI database

The distribution of plasmid replicons showed that 85.19% (92/108) of the isolates possessed the IncFII plasmid. Interestingly, we found one strain that harbored both Inc FII and FIB plasmids, whereas another strain contained four plasmid replicons, consisting of Inc FII, I1, FIA and FIB.

Discussion

Antimicrobial resistance remains a global concern, particularly regarding infections caused by carbapenemresistant bacteria. Increased prevalence and resistance rates of carbapenem-resistant strains in pediatric populations are alarming. Previous studies have identified various mechanisms underlying carbapenem resistance, including carbapememase production, structural variations in penicillin binding proteins, changes in membrane permeability, and the alteration of biofilm [25]. Globally, the production of carbapenemase is the leading cause of carbapenem resistance, including in China [26]. However, the distribution of carbapenem-resistant strains and carbapenemase genes varies geographically. Research on pediatric CRKP infections is more limited compared to adults, with few reports available from Henan. Therefore, we systematically analyzed the molecular and clinical features of CRKP infections in Henan, China, to provide insights for the epidemiological monitor and control of CRKP infections in children.

Possible sources of CRKP infections in children may include prolonged stays in healthcare settings; use of invasive medical devices such as ventilators, urinary catheters, and intravenous catheters; extended use of broad-spectrum antibiotics; exposure to contaminated environmental surfaces; close contact with individuals harboring CRKP; and infections resulting from colonization by CRKP strains [27, 28]. Extensive use of carbapenem has led to the increase of CRKP strains, and plasmid mediated antibiotic resistance gene transmission may exacerbate this issue. Therefore, it is essential for healthcare providers and caregivers to remain vigilant about infection control measures and antimicrobial stewardship practices to prevent the spread of CRKP in pediatric populations. Younger age is a risk factor for infection and poor outcomes, as is staying in the ICU. ICU patients often have more severe complications and may be treated with broad-spectrum antibiotics or longer durations of antibiotics use, along with more invasive procedures, all of which could contribute to poor outcomes [29]. Newborn children are particularly susceptible to infections and adverse outcomes due to an immature immune system, especially if they are preterm and have underlying conditions [30]. Additionally, the use of mechanical ventilation and surgical procedures is closely associated with increased antibiotic use among immunocompromised patients [31]. The higher isolation rate of CRKP strains in preterm pediatric wards, internal medical ICUs, and neonatal ICUs warrants special attention, which may be linked to the high proportion of patients transferred to these departments.

The antibiotic susceptibility results indicated that all CRKP strains were MDR strains and two strains were XDR. These strains exhibited highly resistance to cephalosporins, aminoglycosides, and fluoroquinolones, while showing relative susceptibility to colistin and tigecycline. Considering the susceptibility of antibiotics when designing monotherapy or combination therapy regimens is recommended. However, there are limited therapeutic options of antibiotics in children due to side effects. Tigecycline is not recommended for patients under 8-yearsold due to dental staining; whereas fluoroquinolones are only permitted for children under 18 years old in China when no other effective drugs are available for severe clinical infection [32]. According to our data, colistin, tigecycline and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole showed potent activity against CRKP isolates in our region. Therefore, pediatric treatment decisions should be based on bacterial minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs), carbapenemase types and illness severity to achieve individualized therapy.

Carbapememase production is the primary mechanism for carbapenem-resistance in CRKP; however, the enzyme types vary across regions and populations. Our analysis showed an increased prevalence of KPC-producing isolates, with $bla_{\rm NDM}$ also detected, albeit at a lower frequency (86.11% vs. 5.56%), which differs from previous reports. In China, $bla_{\rm NDM}$ is the predominant carbapenamese in pediatric CRKP isolates, whereas bla_{KPC} is more common in adults [33]. A higher proportion of KPC-producing CRKP isolates (87.2%) was also reported in pediatric patients in Nanjing, China [34]. The prevalence of carbapenemase shifted over time, with bla_{NDM-1} being predominant in 2017 and 2018, whereas bla_{KPC-2} became more dominant in 2019, being the predominant gene from 2020 to 2021 in the neonatal CRKP isolates from Shanghai, China [35]. Similarly, Zhou et al. reported that the bla_{NDM-5} gene was the predominant resistance gene (58.1%, 18/31) detected in pediatric CRKP strains from Xuzhou, China [5]. The $bla_{\rm KPC}$ gene was also the most frequent resistance gene detected in CRKP strains from pediatric patients in Southern Brazil [36]. In contrast, Fisher et al. conducted an analysis of CRE characteristics in children across 18 U.S Health Care System Study Sites and reported a lower percentage of carbapenemase genes (29%, 14/48), nine of which was bla_{KPC} [37]. A systematic review of the epidemiology of carbapenemresistant Enterobacterales in Africa indicated that NDM (43.1%) and OXA-48-like (42.9%) carbapenemases were the most frequently detected [38]. Han et al. [7] reported that $bla_{\text{OXA-48-like}}$ could become the "third popular" carbapenemase after KPC and NDM in China due to its presence on mobile genetic elements and rapid transmission. However, no *bla*_{OXA-48-like} or *bla*_{VIM} genes were detected in our analysis.

Three isolates contained bla_{IMP} while two isolates coexpressed $bla_{\rm KPC}$ and $bla_{\rm NDM}$, and one isolate CRKP 21,305 co-expressed $bla_{\rm KPC}$ and $bla_{\rm IMP}$. Although the KPC enzyme can hydrolyze monobactam antibiotics, certain β -lactamase inhibitors, such as avibactam, inhibit it. However, avibactam is ineffective against metallo-βlactamase (MBL) producers (e.g., bla_{IMP} and bla_{NDM}). Consequently, the co-expression of various carbapenemase classes, including $bla_{\rm KPC}$ and $bla_{\rm NDM}$, may result in elevated levels of resistance to carbapenems and other antimicrobials [39]. This phenomenon carries significant clinical implications regarding treatment efficacy and patient outcomes, particularly in pediatric populations. Zheng et al. noted that $bla_{\rm IMP}$ -positive CRKP strains were less commonly reported and the reported strains belonged to different ST strains [40], whereas in our analysis four *bla*_{IMP} CRKP strains were ST2154 strains, warranting further investigation. Additionally, three isolates did not contain the carbapenemase genes analyzed in this study, suggesting other resistance mechanisms. Non-carbapenemase-producing CRKP may acquire carbapenem resistance through a combination of non-carbapenemase β -lactamases and potential chromosomal mutations, including missense mutations, the loss of porins such as OmpK35/36, or frameshift missense mutations in efflux pump systems like the cation efflux system protein CusF and nickel/cobalt efflux protein RcnA [12, 41, 42]. This underscores the significance of whole-genome sequencing in elucidating the mechanisms underlying resistance.

K. pneumoniae is generally classified into classic and hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae*. The classic type is prevalent in hospital, often carrying antibiotic resistant plasmids and lacking an excessive capsule. The hypervirulent type is commony found in the community, carrying high virulence plasmids [9, 43]. Capsule, lipopolysaccharide, fimbriae, and siderophores are four well characterized virulence factors [44]. Hence, we analyzed the prevalence of four main virulence factor genes in CRKP isolates to explore the composition of virulence genes. Type 1 fimbriae (*fimH*), commonly expressed during urinary tract infections, facilitate the invasion of bladder cells, whereas Type 3 fimbriae (*mrkD*) contributes to biofilm formation and evasion of the host immune response [45]. Our study revealed that almost all strains contained *fimH* and *mrkD* genes, suggesting that these genes may be insufficient in distinguishing high virulence. A lower proportion of *mrkD* (51.3%) and *fimH* (30.7%) has been reported for nosocomial *K. pneumoniae* strains [45]. In other studies, the positive rates of *fimH* and *mrkD* genes varied from 80 to 100% [46]. These results indicate that virulome patterns in *K. pneumoniae* can differ between strains, and their roles in pathogenicity and their clinical significance warrants further study.

At present, there is no standardized definition for hypervirulent K. pneumoniae strains. Identification of hypervirulence through biomarker detection is a commonly used method [11]. Aerobactin is the primary siderophore produced by hvKP and plays a crucial role in enhancing virulence both in vitro and in vivo. The genes encoding aerobactin, particularly *iucA*, serve as important markers for the identification of hvKP. Furthermore, rmpA- or rmpA2-mediated capsule overproduction also contributes to the hypervirulent phenotype by enhancing resistance to complement-mediated bactericidal activity and inhibiting phagocytosis. Consequently, a combination of markers- *rmpA* or *rmpA2* and/or *iucA*- has been proposed to define hypervirulence. Hence, we identified co-existence of *rmpA/rmpA2* with *iucA* as indicators of hypervirulent biomarkers [12, 47]. We found that 71.30% isolates carried rmpA2 and 49.07% had iucA, with co-existing strains of rmpA2 and iucA accounting for 48.15%. Du et al. [48] found that hypervirulent K. pneumoniae producing KPC-2 increased significantly from 2019 to 2020 (5.3% vs. 67.6%). Hu et al. reported a 40.6% prevalence of hypervirulent strains among 1,017 CRKPs collected from 40 hospitals across China between 2016 and 2020 [12]. These results suggested that transmission of strains exhibiting both virulence and carbapenem resistance warrants close monitoring. There was no significant differenc in the survival rates between patients infected with hypervirulent CRKP or those infected with classical CRKP. Similarly, Kim et al. reported that hypermucoviscous K. pneumoniae was not associated with worse clinical outcomes [49]. The lack of consistent survival differences may be influenced by varying criteria used to identify hypervirulent strains, underscoring the need for standardization and effective identification methods. To prevent nosocomial transmission of CRKP, comprehensive measures have been implemented. These measures primarily include implementing active surveillance for CRKP colonization and infections, placing infected or colonized patients under contact precautions such as the use of gloves and gowns by healthcare workers during patient care, strengthening infection control measures (e.g., hand hygiene and environmental cleaning), and employing strategies to optimize antibiotic stewardship [50]. To examine the genetic composition of CRKP strains, MLST was conducted to identify STs. Nine distinct STs were identified, with ST11 being the most prevalent, followed by ST2154 and ST307. KPC was considered as a predominant carbapenemase in ST11 CRKP. This finding is consistent with Fu et al., who reported ST11 as the most common type in KPC-producing CRKP [8], whereas other common STs were rarely detected in our study. Similarly, Tao et al. reported that ST11 (75/86) was the most common CRKP sequence type isolated from Nanjing Children's Hospital in Jiangsu, China [34]. The most prevalent STs were ST11 (8.06%), ST37 (8.06%) and ST76 (8.06%) among 62 CRKP isolates colonization in pediatric inpatients hospitalized in Guangzhou, China [51]. ST11, accounting for 56.45% (35/62) and 82.5% (170/231), was the principal ST among the CRKP isolates causing bloodstream infections in pediatric patients from Tianjin [52] and Nanjing, China [53]. In a children's hospital in Shanghai, China, the predominant sequence type of neonatal CRKP infections shifted from ST278 during 2017 and 2018 to ST11 in 2020 and 2021 [35]. ST2735 was the predominant ST type in pediatric CRKP strains from Xuzhou, China, representing 19.4% (6/31) of cases [5]. ST11 accounted for 40% (10/25) of cases among the CRKP strains collected from pediatric patients in Southern Brazil [36]. A systematic review of the epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacterales in Africa indicated that ST147 was the most commonly reported CRKP ST, followed by ST101 [38]. As no relevant literature was found in PubMed, the ST2154 strain identified in this study appears to be a novel ST. All ST307 CRKP strains carried the $bla_{\rm NDM}$ gene. The diversity of dominant strains across different geographical regions was observed, with ST11 consistently emerging as the principal ST among the pediatric CRKP isolates in China.

Plasmids play a crucial role in the transmission of genes contributing to antibiotic resistance and virulence. Plasmid replicant groups were widely distributed in CRKP and were associated with different carbapenemase. IncF, A/C, and X were the most prevalent compared to other Inc groups, and IncF has been reported worldwide [54]. In this study, plasmid classification revealed a high incidence of IncFII (85.19%), corresponding with a previous report [55]. These results revealed that prevalence of Inc-FII in children was comparable to that in adults. Notably, one strain not only harbored IncFII, but also IncI1, Inc-FIA and IncFIB. Thus, continuous monitoring of plasmid profiles in both adults and children, especially for IncFII, is essential to control the spread of virulent and AMR plasmids.

A limitation of our study is that the strains were collected from patients admitted to one large hospital in Henan, even though a high proportion of patients were transferred from various regions of Henan. These referrals may affect the generalizability of the study population. To improve the understanding of epidemiological trends, longitudinal and multicenter studies with larger sample sizes should be conducted to monitor CRKP trends over time and to identify risk factors contributing to the clinical outcomes. In addition, while we focused on commonly analyzed antibiotic resistance and virulence genes, whole genomic analysis should be applied in the future to uncover the genetic basis of the strains, especially for strains where no common carbapenemase genes were detected. It would also be valuable to investigate the potential resistance mechanisms against colistin and tigecycline in CRKP isolates.

Conclusion

In summary, our study highlights the presence of MDR CRKP strains in patients admitted to a large pediatric hospital in Henan, China. Regarding risk factors for mortality of CRKP infection, being a newborn, ICU admission, and fever were associated with the crude mortality. KPC production was the predominant mechanism of carbapenem resistance in Henan pediatric patients, which was different from the NDM-dominated carbapenemresistant mechanism among children. The ST11 clone and IncFII plasmids showed high prevalence. Additionally, a novel ST2154 CRKP strain was identified, with four of these strains carrying the $bla_{\rm IMP}$ gene. In addition, a significant proportion of strains exhibited both hypervirulence and MDR traits, which were identified using commonly applied hypervirulent markers. This study highlights the unique epidemiological, antibiotic resistance and virulence patterns of CRKP strains in Henan pediatric patients, emphasizing the significance of effective and continuous surveillance on the epidemiology characteristics of CRKP among children within the region in order to provide insight for the management and control of this pathogen.

Author contributions

JYM: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing-original draft; KJG: Investigation, Resources; MCL: Methodology, Formal analysis. JJZ: Methodology, Resources; XRS: Methodology, Supervision; YDZ: Resources; ZDY: Data curation; ZYY: Writing-review & editing; WLC: Writing-review & editing; WCZ: Data curation; ADS: Supervision; JMY: Resources, Supervision; HQS: Formal analysis, Supervision, Writing-review & editing; LFL: Supervision, Conceptualization, Writing-review & editing. All authors have revised the manuscript critically and approved the submission.

Funding

This work was supported by grants from the Scientific and Technological Projects of Henan Province (242102311201 and 212102310897), the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2024M752951), the Medical Science and Technology Projects of Henan Province (LHGJ20230556), the National

Natural Science Foundation of China (31900116) and State Key Laboratory of Microbial Technology Open Projects Fund (M2023-05).

Data availability

Data are provided within the manuscript. The raw datasets used in the current study are available from the corresponding author on request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Henan Children's Hospital (2022-H-K37). Informed consent was exempt.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Author details

¹Henan International Joint Laboratory of Children's Infectious Diseases, Department of Neonatology, Children's Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University, Henan Children's Hospital, Zhengzhou Children's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

²Zhengzhou Key Laboratory of Children's Infection and Immunity, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Children's Hospital Affiliated to Zhengzhou University, Henan Children's Hospital, Zhengzhou Children's Hospital, Zhengzhou, China

Received: 12 August 2024 / Accepted: 31 October 2024 Published online: 07 November 2024

References

- Martin RM, Bachman MA, Colonization. Infection, and the Accessory Genome of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2018;8:4.
- WHO. WHO bacterial priority pathogens list. 2024. 2024 [cited] https://www. who.int/publications/i/item/9789240093461
- Guo Y, Hu FP, Zhu DM, Wang CQ, Wang AM, Zhang H, Wang C, Dong F, Zhen JH, Zhou SP, Zhou Y, Zhu L, Meng JH. Antimicrobial resistance changes of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* strains isolated from children. Zhonghua Er Ke Za Zhi. 2018;56(12):907–14.
- 4. Wang M, Earley M, Chen L, Hanson BM, Yu Y, Liu Z, Salcedo S, Cober E, Li L, Kanj SS, Gao H, Munita JM, Ordoñez K, Weston G, Satlin MJ, Valderrama-Beltrán SL, Marimuthu K, Stryjewski ME, Komarow L, Luterbach C, Marshall SH, Rudin SD, Manca C, Paterson DL, Reyes J, Villegas MV, Evans S, Hill C, Arias R, Baum K, Fries BC, Doi Y, Patel R, Kreiswirth BN, Bonomo RA, Chambers HF, Fowler VG Jr., Arias CA, van Duin D. Clinical outcomes and bacterial characteristics of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* complex among patients from different global regions (CRACKLE-2): a prospective, multicentre, cohort study. The Lancet Infectious diseases 2022;22(3):401–12.
- Zhou J, Song S, Xue S, Zhu Y, Xu B, Ma P, Lv Y, Kang H. Study of the Epidemiological and mechanistic differences between Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections in children and adults. Infect Drug Resist. 2024;17:2625–39.
- Nordmann P, Poirel L. Epidemiology and Diagnostics of Carbapenem Resistance in Gram-negative Bacteria. Clin Infect Dis. 2019;69(Suppl 7):5521–8.
- Han Renru S, Qingyu W, Shi Y, Dandan P, Mingjia D, Dong Z, Guo Y, Rong YZ. Hu Fupin. Dissemination of Carbapenemases (KPC, NDM, OXA-48, IMP, and VIM) Among Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae Isolated From Adult and Children Patients in China. Frontiers In Cellular and Infection Microbiology 2020;10:314.
- Fu Pan L, Xinpeng S, Jun H, Leiyan R, Hua L, Chunling C, Aimin SZLW. Wang Chuanqing. The molecular and epidemiological characteristics of carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae isolated from children in Shanghai, China, 2016–2021. Journal of Microbiology, Immunology, and infection=Wei Mian Yu Gan Ran Za Zhi 2023;56(1):48–56.
- Russo TA, Marr CM. Hypervirulent Klebsiella pneumoniae. Clin Microbiol Rev 2019, 32(3).
- Yuye W, Chenghao W, Danni B, Huiqiong J, Draz Mohamed S, Fang H. Ruan Zhi. Global evolution and geographic diversity of hypervirulent carbapenemresistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Lancet Infect Dis. 2022;22(6):761–2.

- Russo TA, Olson R, Fang CT, Stoesser N, Miller M, MacDonald U, Hutson A, Barker JH, La Hoz RM, Johnson JR. Identification of biomarkers for differentiation of Hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from classical K. pneumoniae. J Clin Microbiol 2018;56(9).
- Hu F, Pan Y, Li H, Han R, Liu X, Ma R, Wu Y, Lun H, Qin X, Li J, Wang A, Zhou M, Liu B, Zhou Z, He P. Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* capsular types, antibiotic resistance and virulence factors in China: a longitudinal, multi-centre study. Nat Microbiol. 2024;9(3):814–29.
- 13. Alan MC. Paulus Stéphane. Beta-lactamases in Enterobacteriaceae infections in children. J Infect 2016, 72 Suppl: S41-S49.
- CLSI. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. 30th. CLSI supplement M100-S30.; 2020.
- 15. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. 2024 [cited] http://www.eucas t.org
- Magiorakos AP, Srinivasan A, Carey RB, Carmeli Y, Falagas ME, Giske CG, Harbarth S, Hindler JF, Kahlmeter G, Olsson-Liljequist B, Paterson DL, Rice LB, Stelling J, Struelens MJ, Vatopoulos A, Weber JT, Monnet DL. Multidrug-resistant, extensively drug-resistant and pandrug-resistant bacteria: an international expert proposal for interim standard definitions for acquired resistance. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2012;18(3):268–81.
- 17. Srisrattakarn Arpasiri L, Aroonlug W, Chotechana C, Nicha W, Lumyai S, Pimjai C, Prajuab D, Jureerut, Chanawong Aroonwadee. Rapid and simple identification of carbapenemase genes, Bla NDM, Bla OXA-48, Bla VIM, Bla IMP-14 and bla KPC groups, in Gram-negative bacilli by in-house loop-mediated isothermal amplification with hydroxynaphthol blue dye. World J Microbiol Biotechnol. 2017;33(7):130.
- Bai-zeng YU, Ning SUN, Ying WANG, Wei-ping WANG, Hong-juan HUANG, Liu-fei MIAO, Yong CHEN, Jin CAO. Xiao-Jun LI. Establishment and clinical application of a multiplex PCR assay for the detection of five carbapenemase genes. Chin J Nosocomiol. 2020;30(15):2281–6.
- Li P, Liang Q, Liu W, Zheng B, Liu L, Wang W, Xu Z, Huang M, Feng Y. Convergence of carbapenem resistance and hypervirulence in a highlytransmissible ST11 clone of K. pneumoniae: an epidemiological, genomic and functional study. Virulence. 2021;12(1):377–88.
- El Fertas-Aissani R, Messai Y, Alouache S, Bakour R. Virulence profiles and antibiotic susceptibility patterns of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains isolated from different clinical specimens. Pathol Biol (Paris). 2013;61(5):209–16.
- Gu Danxia D, Ning Z, Zhiwei L, Di H, Man W, Lihua CEW-C, Lingbin S, Jiang Y, Rong Z. Chen Sheng. A fatal outbreak of ST11 carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a Chinese hospital: a molecular epidemiological study. Lancet Infect Dis. 2018;18(1):37–46.
- Brisse Sylvain F, Cindy P, Virginie I-J, Sylvie T, Régis D, Laure, Grimont Patrick. Virulent clones of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: identification and evolutionary scenario based on genomic and phenotypic characterization. PLoS ONE. 2009;4(3):e4982.
- Carattoli A, Bertini A, Villa L, Falbo V, Hopkins KL, Threlfall EJ. Identification of plasmids by PCR-based replicon typing. J Microbiol Methods. 2005;63(3):219–28.
- 24. Villa L, Garcia-Fernandez A, Fortini D, Carattoli A. Replicon sequence typing of IncF plasmids carrying virulence and resistance determinants. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2010;65(12):2518–29.
- Ma J, Song X, Li M, Yu Z, Cheng W, Yu Z, Zhang W, Zhang Y, Shen A, Sun H, Li L. Global spread of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae*: epidemiological features, resistance mechanisms, detection and therapy. Microbiol Res. 2023;266:127249.
- 26. Wang Qi W, Xiaojuan W, Juan O, Pengwen J, Chunmei W, Ruobing Z, Yawei J, Longyang C, Hongbin, Wang Zhanwei Z, Feifei C, Bin X, Liangyi L, Kang G, Bing Y, Chunxia L, Zhiwu M, Xiaobo J, Liang Z, Xiaoqian M, Sijin L, Wei P, Fengyan X, Xiuli J, Ping YJ. Wang Hui. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: data from a longitudinal large-scale CRE Study in China (2012–2016). Volume 67. Clinical Infectious Diseases: an Official Publication of the Infectious Diseases Society of America; 2018, pp. S196–205. suppl_2.
- 27. Wang X, Liu J, Li A. Incidence and risk factors for subsequent infections among rectal carriers with carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Hosp Infect. 2024;145:11–21.
- Cai S, Wang Z, Han X, Hu H, Quan J, Jiang Y, Du X, Zhou Z, Yu Y. The correlation between intestinal colonization and infection of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: a systematic review. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2024;38:187–93.

- Bassetti M, Monti G, Henriksen AS, Longshaw C. Predicting early appropriate therapy for patients infected by carbapenem-resistant Gram-negative pathogens in intensive care units in Italy. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2024;13(1):91.
- Mijac V, Brkic S, Milic M, Siljic M, Cirkovic V, Perovic V, Markovic M, Cirkovic I, Stanojevic M. Intestinal colonization of Preterm neonates with Carbapenem Resistant Enterobacteria at Hospital Discharge. Antibiot (Basel) 2023;12(2).
- Gao Bo L, Xiandong Y, Fengmei C, Wei Z, Ying. Bai Gang, Zhang Zhaoyong. Molecular epidemiology and risk factors of Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia infection caused by Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:262.
- Li Zhe L, Xi-Xi L, Cai-Xia Y, Wen-Jing L, Pei-Ning. Li Hai-Yan, Dong Lin. Epidemiological characteristics and risk factors of nosocomial carbapenemresistant Enterobacteriaceae infections in children. Chin Med J (Engl). 2020;133(22):2756–8.
- Fu Pan T, Yu L, Gang Y, Lianhua W, Yong J, Xiaofei. Pandemic spread of blaKPC-2 among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* ST11 in China is associated with horizontal transfer mediated by IncFII-like plasmids. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2019;54(2):117–24.
- Tao G, Tan H, Ma J, Chen Q. Resistance Phenotype and molecular epidemiology of Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolated from Nanjing Children's Hospital in Jiangsu Province, China. Infect Drug Resist. 2022;15:5435–47.
- Yin L, Lu L, He L, Wang L, Lu G, Cao Y, Zhai X, Wang C. Shift in the dominant sequence type of carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumonia infection from ST278-NDM-1 to ST11-KPC-2 in neonatal patients in a children's hospital in Shanghai, China, 2017–2021. Int Microbiol. 2024;27(3):871–81.
- Krul D, Rodrigues LS, Siqueira AC, Mesa D, Dos Santos ÉM, Vasconcelos TM, Spalanzani RN, Cardoso R, Ricieri MC, de Araújo Motta F, Conte D, Dalla-Costa LM. High-risk clones of carbapenem resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* recovered from pediatric patients in Southern Brazil. Braz J Microbiol. 2024 Jun;55(2):1437–1443.
- 37. Fisher M, Komarow L, Kahn J, Patel G, Revolinski S, Huskins WC, van Duin D, Banerjee R, Fries BC. Carbapenem-resistant enterobacterales in children at 18 US Health Care System Study Sites: clinical and molecular Epidemiology from a prospective Multicenter Cohort Study. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2024;11(2):ofad688.
- Kedišaletše M, Phumuzile D, Angela D, Andrew W, Mae NF. Epidemiology, risk factors, and clinical outcomes of carbapenem-resistant enterobacterales in Africa: a systematic review. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2023;35:297–306.
- Chiotos K, Hayes M, Gerber JS, Tamma PD. Treatment of Carbapenem-Resistant Enterobacteriaceae infections in Children. J Pediatr Infect Dis Soc. 2020;9(1):56–66.
- Zheng Z, Liu L, Ye L, Xu Y, Chen S. Genomic insight into the distribution and genetic environment of bla(IMP-4) in clinical carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* strains in China. Microbiol Res. 2023;275:127468.
- Lee YQ, Sri La Sri Ponnampalavanar S, Wong JH, Kong ZX, Ngoi ST, Karunakaran R, Lau MY, Abdul Jabar K, Teh CS. J. Investigation on the mechanisms of carbapenem resistance among the non-carbapenemase-producing carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2024;14:1464816.
- 42. Ruan Y, Li M, Wang D, Duan J, Zhang H, Zhou Y. Characteristics of non-carbapenemase producing carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* from a tertiary hospital in China. J Infect Dev Ctries. 2024;18(1):106–15.
- 43. Holmes CL, Anderson MT, Mobley HLT, Bachman MA. Pathogenesis of Gramnegative bacteremia. Clin Microbiol Rev 2021;34(2).
- Andrade BG, de Veiga Ramos N, Marin MF, Fonseca EL, Vicente AC. The genome of a clinical Klebsiella variicola strain reveals virulence-associated traits and a pl9-like plasmid. FEMS Microbiol Lett. 2014;360(1):13–6.
- Paniagua-Contreras GL, Bautista-Cerón A, Morales-Espinosa R, Delgado G, Vaca-Paniagua F, Díaz-Velásquez CE, de la Cruz-Montoya AH, García-Cortés LR, Sánchez-Yáñez MP, Monroy-Pérez E. Extensive Expression of the Virulome Related to Antibiotic Genotyping in Nosocomial Strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Int J Mol Sci. 2023;24(19).
- Davoudabadi S, Goudarzi M, Hashemi A. Detection of Virulence Factors and Antibiotic Resistance among *Klebsiella pneumoniae* Isolates from Iran. Biomed Res Int 2023;2023:3624497.
- 47. Pu D, Zhao J, Chang K, Zhuo X, Cao B. Superbugs with hypervirulence and carbapenem resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: the rise of such emerging nosocomial pathogens in China. Sci Bull (Beijing). 2023;68(21):2658–70.
- Du Qingqing P, Fen W, Chun Y, Fangyuan S, Yingying L, Wenxin L, Zhi H, Ping H, Dingding Z. Nosocomial dissemination of hypervirulent *Klebsiella*

pneumoniae with high-risk clones among children in Shanghai. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:984180.

- Kim SH, Jeon CH, Kim HT, Wi YM. Clinical characteristics and manifestations in patients with hypermucoviscous *Klebsiella pneumoniae* bacteremia from extra-hepatobiliary tract infection. Infection. 2023;51(3):689–96.
- Zhu J, Chen T, Ju Y, Dai J, Zhuge X. Transmission Dynamics and Novel treatments of high risk carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: the Lens of One Health. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 2024;17(9).
- Xiong Z, Zhang C, Sarbandi K, Liang Z, Mai J, Liang B, Cai H, Chen X, Gao F, Lan F, Liu X, Liu S, Zhou Z. Clinical and molecular epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in pediatric inpatients in South China. Microbiol Spectr. 2023;11(6):e0283923.
- Hou M, Chen N, Dong L, Fang Y, Pan R, Wang W, Wang L, Ning J, Dong H. Molecular epidemiology, clinical characteristics and risk factors for bloodstream infection of Multidrug-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections in Pediatric patients from Tianjin, China. Infect Drug Resist. 2022;15:7015–23.
- Li J, Wu W, Wu M, Zhou Z, Wang J, Qiu M, Xu L, Ren J, Wu X. Clinical and molecular characteristics of patients with bloodstream infections caused by KPC and NDM co-producing Carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. Infect Drug Resist. 2024;17:1685–97.
- Kopotsa Katlego OS, John, Mbelle Nontombi Marylucy. Plasmid evolution in carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: a review. Ann N Y Acad Sci. 2019;1457(1):61–91.
- Chen L, Chavda KD, Melano RG, Jacobs MR, Levi MH, Bonomo RA. Kreiswirth B. N. Complete sequence of a bla(KPC-2)-harboring IncFII(K1) plasmid from a *Klebsiella pneumoniae* sequence type 258 strain. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2013;57(3):1542–5.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.