# **RESEARCH**

**Open Access**

# Multi-branch CNNFormer: a novel framework for predicting prostate cancer response to hormonal therapy

Ibrahim Abdelhalim<sup>1</sup>, Mohamed Ali Badawy<sup>2</sup>, Mohamed Abou El-Ghar<sup>2</sup>, Mohammed Ghazal<sup>3</sup>, Sohail Contractor<sup>4</sup>, Eric van Bogaert<sup>4</sup>, Dibson Gondim<sup>5</sup>, Scott Silva<sup>6</sup>, Fahmi Khalifa<sup>7</sup> and Ayman El-Baz<sup>1\*</sup>

\*Correspondence: aselba01@louisville.edu

<sup>1</sup> Department of Bioengineering, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA <sup>2</sup> Radiology Department, Urology and Nephrology Center, Mansoura, Egypt <sup>3</sup> Electrical, Computer, and Biomedical Engineering Department, Abu Dhabi University, Abu Dhabi, UAE 4 Department of Radiology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA 5 Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA 6 Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY, USA <sup>7</sup> Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, Morgan State University, Baltimore, MD, USA

## **Abstract**

**Purpose:** This study aims to accurately predict the elects of hormonal therapy on prostate cancer (PC) lesions by integrating multi-modality magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and the clinical marker prostate-specifc antigen (PSA). It addresses the limitations of Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs) in capturing long-range spatial relations and the Vision Transformer (ViT)'s deficiency in localization information due to consecutive downsampling. The research question focuses on improving PC response prediction accuracy by combining both approaches.

**Methods:** We propose a 3D multi-branch CNN Transformer (CNNFormer) model, integrating 3D CNN and 3D ViT. Each branch of the model utilizes a 3D CNN to encode volumetric images into high-level feature representations, preserving detailed localization, while the 3D ViT extracts global salient features. The framework was evaluated on a 39-individual patient cohort, stratifed by PSA biomarker status.

**Results:** Our framework achieved remarkable performance in dierentiating responders and non-responders to hormonal therapy, with an accuracy of 97.50%, sensitivity of 100%, and specificity of 95.83%. These results demonstrate the e ectiveness of the CNNFormer model, despite the cohort's small size.

**Conclusion:** The findings emphasize the framework's potential in enhancing personalized PC treatment planning and monitoring. By combining the strengths of CNN and ViT, the proposed approach offers robust, accurate prediction of PC response to hormonal therapy, with implications for improving clinical decision-making.

**Keywords:** Prostate cancer, Hormonal therapy, Deep learning, Vision transformer

# **Introduction**

Prostate Cancer (PC) is a signifcant global health concern, being the second most frequently diagnosed cancer. In 2024, the American Society reported 299,010 new PC cases in the United States, leading to approximately 35,250 deaths [[1\]](#page-13-0). PC is characterized by the uncontrolled growth of prostate gland cells, dependent on testicular hormones [\[2](#page-14-0)]. Androgen deprivation therapy (ADT), which uses castration and antiandrogens, is a key



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if you modifed the licensed material. You do not have permission under this licence to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit [http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

treatment for advanced PC [\[3](#page-14-1)]. Prostate-specifc antigen (PSA) is a crucial biomarker for monitoring disease progression and assessing treatment e  $\left( \cosh \left( 4\right) \right)$  e integration of machine learning (ML) and Deep Learning (DL) techniques in grading, particularly via the Gleason Score (GS), is standard practice for predicting PC aggressiveness [\[5](#page-14-3)–[10\]](#page-14-4). In addition, the assessment of PC therapy involves statistical analysis of clinical biomarkers [[11–](#page-14-5)[13](#page-14-6)] and the application of ML/DL on radiomic features or pathological markers [[14](#page-14-7), [15](#page-14-8)]. Collins and Cheng [[11\]](#page-14-5) provided an overview of PC treatments and highlighted histopathological changes in prostate tissue resulting from these treatments. Osiecki et al. [[12\]](#page-14-9) conducted a systematic review exploring the impact of morphological variants on outcomes following radical prostatectomy (RP), establishing a signifcant correlation. Identifying these high-risk morphologies during prostatectomy could improve prognostic accuracy and refne management strategies. While Guerra et al. [[16\]](#page-14-10) developed ML models to predict extracapsular extension in PC patients prior to RP, leveraging clinical, semantic, and radiomic features derived from T2-weighted MRI, decision curve analysis (DCA) and receiver operating characteristic (ROC) metrics were compared to guide feature selection. Using a training cohort of 139 patients and an external validation cohort of 55 patients, the model that integrated all feature types demonstrated the highest net beneft across relevant threshold probabilities. While DCA and the area under the curve (AUC) rankings dierred, the combined model showed promise for enhancing predictive accuracy and supporting nerve-sparing surgical decision-making in clinical practice. Saito et al. [\[13](#page-14-6)] developed a ML-based prognostic model for PC patients undergoing ADT, demonstrating the ability of ML to provide accurate prognostic predictions for ADT outcomes in metastatic PC. Radiomic features have also been investigated for therapy assessment. Nakata et al. [[14](#page-14-7)] introduced a VGG-16 based model to predict the time to castration-resistant disease in metastatic PC patients with an 80% accuracy rate. Chen et al. [\[15](#page-14-8)] evaluated a radiomics approach using biparametric Magnetic Resonance Imaging (bMRI) to detect signifcant residual PC after ADT, showing potential for improved detection. Furthermore, Spratt et al. [[17](#page-14-11)] designed a ResNet-50-based model to identify patients with predominantly intermediate risk for PC who could beneft from short-term ADT. Zhang et al. [[18](#page-14-12)] developed an ML model utilizing multi-modal ultrasound and PSA measurements to predict clinically signifcant PC with 80% sensitivity and an Area Under the Curve (AUC) of 85.5%. In summary, the literature emphasizes the utilization of diverse data sources, including clinical biomarkers, multimodality MRI, pathological markers, and medical records, for managing PC. However, a significant challenge lies in e ectively navigating the diagnostic complexities inherent in these multi-modal data sources, particularly during follow-up to evaluate hormonal therapy. e integration of multiple imaging modalities, such as dieusion-weighted imaging (DWI) and T2-weighted MRI, is crucial for a comprehensive diagnosis, as each modality provides unique insights. However, existing literature lacks an e cient methodology for integrating multi-modality MRI data, given their inherent heterogeneity. In addition, conventional ML techniques or CNN-based models often struggle to capture long-range dependence features essential for understanding the anatomical structure of medical images, underscoring the need for modeling global features. To address these limitations, we propose a multi-branch framework designed to e ectively integrate multi-modality MRI data to predict PC response to hormonal therpy. is framework

consists of a hybrid approach that combines a 3D CNN encoder and a 3D Vision Transformer (ViT) encoder at each branch (i.e., a branch for each modality) to predict the impact of hormonal therapy on PC lesions. We name this hybrid approach CNNFormer. Our contributions are as follows:

- We proposed a hybrid multi-branch framework named CNNFormer, comprising a 3D CNN encoder and a 3D ViT encoder. Is framework is designed to e ectively predict the e ects of hormonal therapy on PC using multi-modality MRI.
- By integrating multi-modality MRI data, our approach addresses the challenge of data heterogeneity. Each branch within the framework independently learns crucial contextual information from each modality, which collectively refnes our understanding of the prostate's anatomical structure from diverse data sources.
- e 3D CNN encoder in our architecture serves two primary functions: extracting key local radiomic features from MRI modalities and reducing data dimensionality to enhance computational e ciency for the 3D ViT encoder. Is encoder further refnes these features, focusing on salient aspects within the volumetric data. Finally, by merging outputs from each branch and applying average pooling and fattening, we achieve robust classifcation of responders and non-responders to hormonal therapy.

#### **Scope and importance of the study**

is research aims to bridge the gap between data heterogeneity and diagnostic precision by introducing a novel framework, CNNFormer, which integrates 3D multi-modal MRI with clinical biomarkers, specifcally PSA levels, to predict treatment outcomes for PC patients undergoing hormonal therapy. By leveraging the strengths of 3D CNNs and 3D ViTs, our approach overcomes the key limitations of existing models in capturing both local and global features in medical images.

Te scope of this study goes beyond merely predicting treatment outcomes. It aspires to establish a reliable and robust framework that enhances clinical decision-making by equipping clinicians with a tool to monitor and tailor therapy based on predicted responses. Tis personalized treatment approach has the potential to signifcantly improve patient care by enabling earlier interventions and reducing the likelihood of ine ective treatments. By accurately identifying which patients are most likely to beneft from hormonal therapy, the framework can help clinicians avoid unnecessary treatments, thereby minimizing side e ects and improving patients' quality of life. Moreover, the integration of multiple imaging modalities, such as DWI and T2 MRI images, enables a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the disease. This capability distinguishes the proposed model from traditional methods that rely on single-modality data.

e proposed framework could be seamlessly integrated into existing clinical workfows, assisting radiologists and oncologists in their decision-making processes. As the model undergoes further refnement and validation with larger patient cohorts, it holds promise for broader application in clinical settings, potentially transforming the management and monitoring of PC. Furthermore, the fexibility of this framework opens the possibility for adaptation to other diagnostic tasks, underscoring the broader applicability and signifcance of this research.

## **Experiments and results**

## **Data set**

In this study, a cohort comprising 39 patients was utilized to evaluate the proposed system. Patients underwent imaging with T2-MRI and DW-MRI, alongside the collection of clinical biomarkers such as PSA levels and GS. Tree b values of DW-MRI were used (i.e., b0, b500, b1400). Responders and non-responders to hormonal therapy were identifed based on their PSA levels before and after treatment. Among the 39 patients, 23 were classifed as non-responders, while 16 were responders. Imaging procedures were carried out using a 3 Tesla MRI scanner equipped with a phased-array body coil, following a specifc multiparametric MRI (mp-MRI) protocol. Preprocessing steps were applied to all modalities, as described in "[Preprocessing](#page-10-0)" section.

## **Setting**

Te proposed system was trained using the AdamW optimizer, set with a learning rate of 0.001, and a cosine annealing scheduler, along with a batch size of 8. For the purpose of training and testing, we employed a Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation (LOOCV) strategy. In addition, cross-entropy loss was utilized. Te implementation was executed via PyTorch, leveraging a single NVIDIA Quadro P5000 GPU with a memory capacity of 16 GB.

## **CNNFormer's results**

We begin our experiments by comparing various Machine Learning (ML) classifers, including K-Nearest Neighbor (KNN), Decision Tree (DT), Random Forest (RF), eXtreme Gradient Boosting (XGB), and Fully Connected (FC) classifers, based on the adaptive average pooling features from a 3D Multi-branch CNN (MCNN). e highest accuracy (ACC) and specifcity (SPE) were obtained using the FC classifer, as shown in Table [1](#page-3-0), row labeled MCNN. e best sensitivity (SEN) was achieved by both the FC and XGB classifers. Since the FC classifer outperformed the XGB classifer in all other metrics, we chose to use the FC classifer for the remainder of our experiments.

Moreover, as demonstrated in Table [2,](#page-5-0) the 3D multi-branch CNNFormer (MCNN-Former) was compared against 3D MCNN and several well-known classifcation models,

<span id="page-3-0"></span>



As shown in the table, the highest SEN was achieved with the XGB and FC classi ers. However, since the FC classi er outperformed XGB across other metrics, it was selected for use in subsequent experiments

Bold to empahsis that this model or appraoch achieved the best results based on those highlighted values

and it is evident that the 3D MCNNFormer achieved superior results across various metrics, including ACC and SEN. While CNNs excel in modeling local features crucial for understanding the impact of hormonal therapy on PC, the performance of the 3D MCNN lags behind the 3D MCNNFormer in terms of ACC and SEN, although it performs better in terms of SPE, similar to ResNet-18  $[19]$  $[19]$  $[19]$ . e 3D ViT, adept at capturing global features, plays a pivotal role in comprehending the characteristics of the prostate relative to its surrounding tissue. Consequently, the seamless fusion of 3D CNN and 3D ViT enables the integration of their respective strengths, leading to optimal outcomes.

In addition, models such as Wang et al.'s model [[20](#page-14-14)], UniFormer-S [\[21\]](#page-14-15), PosMLP-Video [\[22](#page-14-16)], and  $I^2GCN$  [\[23](#page-14-17)] demonstrate significant improvements over models such as SqueezeNet  $[24]$  $[24]$ , E cientNet-b0  $[25]$  $[25]$ , and ResNet-18  $[19]$  $[19]$ . e method of Wang et al. achieves impressive results with an ACC of 90.25% and a high SEN of 92.74%. Uni-Former-S further refnes these metrics, reaching an ACC of 93.23% and SEN of 94.56%, marking a notable improvement in both metrics. PosMLP-Video and  $I<sup>2</sup>GCN$  continue to enhance these metrics, with  $I^2GCN$  achieving the highest performance among these models, with an ACC of 95.51% and SEN of 96.64%, although it still performs slightly worse than our 3D MCNNFormer. In contrast, ConvNext-T [\[26](#page-14-20)] exhibits the poorest performance, with an ACC of only 51.28%, and poor SEN (50%) and SPE (52.17%). For additional details, please refer to Fig. [1,](#page-4-0) which illustrates the confusion matrix, the ROC curve, and the corresponding AUC for the 3D MCNNFormer. It is worth highlighting that 3D versions of SqueezeNet, E cientNet-b0, and ResNet-18 were utilized, with smaller variants of competing models being adopted due to memory constraints. Moreover, for the these models, a dual-branch architecture was implemented for processing DW-MRI and T2-MRI data, mirroring the design strategy applied in the 3D MCNN-Former. Also, the 3D MCNNFormer demonstrates inferior performance compared to ResNet-18 and MCNN in terms of SPE. However, in clinical practice, greater emphasis is placed on SEN, as it refects the model's ability to accurately identify true positive cases, which is of paramount importance.



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Fig. 1** Confusion matrix alongside the AUC and the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the 3D MCNNFormer. **a** Confusion matrix, detailing the classifcation performance, while **b** displays the AUC and ROC curve, providing an overview of the model's discriminatory ability. In the confusion matrix, 'NR' signifes non-responders, while 'R' denotes responders

Furthermore, we provide the number of parameters (in millions) for each model in Table [2,](#page-5-0) under the column labeled 'Params (M)'. As shown, the MCNNFormer requires 51.95M parameters, which is fewer than ConvNext-T and Wang et al.'s model but greater than most of the other competing models. Despite some models having fewer parameters than the MCNNFormer, it consistently achieves the best results among all models compared. It is also important to note that we used the smaller version of UniFormer, which requires 31.02M parameters, due to memory constraints. Running the larger version, which demands a signifcantly higher number of parameters, was not feasible in our experimental setup. In contrast, we successfully accommodated the MCNNFormer within the available memory constraints by incorporating a 3D CNN encoder. is design choice e ectively reduced the input size and alleviated memory limitations.

To provide additional evidence of the model's performance, we collected another DW-MRI data set consisting of 94 patients, used for diagnosing whether transplanted kidneys were normal (54 patients) or exhibiting acute rejection (40 patients). is data set includes the three b values:  $0, 500$ , and  $1000$ . e same preprocessing steps mentioned in ["Preprocessing"](#page-10-0) section were applied, including concatenation of the b values. For the experiments corresponding to this data set, we did not utilize multi-branches, as only one modality, DW-MRI with three b values, was available. Besides, LOOCV was employed for training and testing. Table [3](#page-6-0) presents the results on this data set, further supporting the superiority of the 3D CNNFormer over other models, even in the absence of multi-branching. In addition, the number of parameters is reduced compared to those listed in Table [2](#page-5-0), as the multi-branch architecture was not utilized. For a visual example of the kidney data set, refer to Fig. [2.](#page-7-0)

## **Ablation study**

To evaluate the effectiveness of each component of the 3D MCNNFormer, we conducted an ablation study based on SEN results, which hold significant relevance in clinical contexts, as outlined in Table [4.](#page-8-0) The study began by utilizing the DW-MRI



<span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 2** Comparison between the 3D MCNNFormer, 3D MCNN, and some well-known classifcation models demonstrates that the superior results in terms of ACC and SEN, highlighted in bold, are achieved by the 3D MCNNFormer

Here, MCNN denotes the 3D Multi-branch CNN, while MCNNFormer indicates the 3D Multi-branch CNNFormer, with each branch corresponding to T2-MRI and DW-MRI. T indicates the tiny version of that model while Params represent the number of parameters for each model, which are measured in Millions (M)

Bold to empahsis that this model or appraoch achieved the best results based on those highlighted values

Model	ACC(%)	SEN (%)	SPE (%)	Params (M)
SqueezeNet [24]	83.12	91.34	75.67	1.84
E cient-b0 $[25]$	87.45	91.23	84.56	4.55
ResNet-18 [19]	78.89	45.12	91.76	10.2
ConvNext-T [26]	54.34	48.76	53.45	27.60
Wang et al. [20]	91.12	90.45	89.23	35.64
UniFormer-S [21]	93.67	93.12	94.34	15.20
PosMLP-Video [22]	96.89	90.23	94.56	13.89
$I^2$ GCN [23]	96.12	94.45	96.78	4.8
<b>CNN</b>	80.23	51.67	95.12	0.14
<b>CNNFormer</b>	98.76	96.89	97.45	25.98

<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Table 3** Comparison of the 3D CNNFormer, 3D CNN, and several well-known classifcation models on the kidney data set reveals that the 3D CNNFormer outperforms the others, as indicated by the results highlighted in bold

In this context, CNN refers to the 3D CNN, while CNNFormer denotes the 3D CNNFormer, with each branch corresponding to T2-MRI and DW-MRI. The notation T represents the tiny version of the model, while S refers to the small version. Params represent the number of parameters for each model, which are measured in Millions (M)

Bold to empahsis that this model or appraoch achieved the best results based on those highlighted values

alone with a 3D CNN encoder, achieving 18.75% (as shown in the first row of Table [4\)](#page-8-0). We attribute this lower performance to the 3D CNN encoder being fed with concatenated different b values (i.e., b0, b500, b1400) of DWI, which could have resulted in distraction due to the variations in these b values. Afterwards, the T2-MRI alone was utilized with the 3D CNN encoder, which achieved 50%. After extracting high-level local features from both DW-MRI and T2-MRI using the 3D MCNN encoder and fusing them through concatenation, we passed them through the classification layer (as shown in the third row of Table [4](#page-8-0)), achieving a SEN of 50%. However, this did not show any improvement over the T2-MRI alone. In the fourth row of Table [4,](#page-8-0) we once again used DW-MRI alone with the 3D CNN-Former encoder, achieving 93.75%, a significant improvement compared to the SEN of the first row of Table [4.](#page-8-0) This suggests that the high-level local radiomic features extracted from the 3D CNN encoder are highly attended by the 3D ViT encoder, resulting in salient informative features that comprehensively represent both the local and global understanding of the prostate. Similarly, the use of T2-MRI alone with a 3D CNNFormer encoder resulted in a substantial improvement, with a SEN of 87.50%, compared to the second row of Table [4](#page-8-0). Finally, by concatenating the outputs from each branch of the 3D CNNFormer and passing them through the classification layer (refer to Fig.  $4$ ), we were able to leverage the diverse characteristics of the prostate from different modalities, resulting in a SEN of 100%. This improvement indicates that utilizing multi-modal input within the 3D MCNNFormer, along with concatenating the highly informative features of each modality, leads to a comprehensive understanding of the PC response to hormonal therapy. This approach mirrors common clinical practice, where multi-modality is employed for accurate diagnosis. However, our aim is to enhance efficiency, representing a significant improvement over using each modality alone. For completeness, we also include the number of parameters in millions in Table [4,](#page-8-0) under the column labeled 'Params (M)'.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>**Fig. 2** Examples of DW-MRI images from the kidney data set, with each column labeled according to the corresponding b value of the DW-MRI images

As illustrated, the 3D ViT requires a large number of parameters. This underscores one of the motivations for employing a 3D CNN encoder: to reduce the input size and alleviate memory constraints. In the case of 3D CNNFormer for T2-MRI, the parameter count was 25.97 M, while for DW-MRI, it was 25.98 M, attributable to the difference in the number of channels: one for T2-MRI and three for DW-MRI. Conversely, both T2-MRI and DW-MRI models had identical params in the case of 3D CNN, specifically 0.14 M, owing to the negligible difference in params, which is negligible when rounded. In order to visually illustrate the distinct regions that the 3D MCNNFormer emphasizes while classifying PC patients into responders and non-responders to hormonal therapy, we employ the Class Activation Map (CAM).

<span id="page-8-0"></span>



The study examines the in uence of various inputs and architectures, including DW-MRI and T2-MRI data processed through either a 3D CNN encoder or the hybrid 3D CNNFormer encoder. The results reveal that the 3D CNNFormer substantially enhances SEN compared to the 3D CNN alone by e ectively leveraging both high-level local and global features. A perfect SEN of 100% is achieved when combining outputs from both DW-MRI and T2-MRI branches of the 3D MCNNFormer, underscoring the model's ability to integrate multi-modal inputs for improved performance. In addition, the number of parameters for each con quration (in millions) is reported (i.e., the column labeled 'Params (M)'), highlighting the trade-o s in computational complexity. These ndings emphasize the importance of modality fusion and hybrid architectures in achieving optimal predictions of PC response to hormonal therapy

Bold to empahsis that this model or appraoch achieved the best results based on those highlighted values



<span id="page-8-1"></span>**Fig. 3** Areas emphasized by the 3D MCNNFormer in classifying the impact of hormonal therapy on PC using Class Activation Maps (CAM). **a** shows a cross section of DW-MRI with an overlaid CAM, where the activation intensity highlights the model's focus on the prostate region while de-emphasizing less relevant anatomical areas. **b** depicts a cross section of T2-MRI with a CAM overlay, demonstrating a similar pattern of high activation in the prostate region, underscoring the model's consistency in identifying clinically significant regions across dieferent imaging modalities. As inferred from c, the model primarily focuses on the prostate region, with intensity gradually decreasing as the distance from this region increases. This behavior illustrates the model's ability to target regions of interest while minimizing the infuence of irrelevant areas, ensuring accurate and reliable feature extraction

Please refer to Fig. [3](#page-8-1) for the CAM overlay on the cross sections of DW-MRI and T2-MRI.

## **Conclusions and future work**

In this paper, we present a new framework designed to predict the response of PC to hormonal therapy. Our method leverages the unique characteristics revealed by various imaging modalities, allowing for a thorough evaluation of PC lesions and enhancing the assessment of outcomes from hormonal therapy. Specifcally, we extract high-level local radiomic features using a 3D CNN encoder for each modality (i.e., T2-MRI and DW-MRI). ese features are then passed through a 3D ViT, one for each modality, to augment our system's ability to model global features. en, we concatenate the highly informative features from each branch and pass them through a classifcation layer to obtain class logits, achieving SEN of 100%. As a result, our fusion strategies showcase the potential of the proposed framework to improve diagnostic performance, thereby serving as an auxiliary tool to optimize treatment planning and facilitate enhanced monitoring of patient responses to hormonal therapy. To further validate the improved performance of our approach compared to other models, we collected an additional data set, demonstrating that our model outperforms others in terms of ACC, SEN, and SPE. In future work, we aim to collect more data and explore other imaging modalities and data sets to increase the accuracy and reliability of the 3D MCNNFormer in assessing the outcomes of hormonal therapy in PC patients. In some a more comprehensive evaluation of its e ectiveness. In addition, we plan to investigate more state-of-theart models, including larger ones, and compare them with our approach. Furthermore, we intend to investigate the integration of radiomic features with biomedical markers such as GS and demographic information.

## **Methodology**

e workflow of the proposed framework for hormonal therapy assessment is illustrated in Fig. [4.](#page-9-0)  $\cdot$  e input data comprises MRI scans (T2 and DWI) along with PSA levels. Regarding the imaging input, the framework initiates with a preprocessing step aimed at preparing the data for our 3D multi-branch CNNFormer. Ten, the PSA levels are used to categorize the PC's data into responders and non-responders to hormonal therapy. Each branch consists of a 3D CNNFormer that leverages a 3D CNN encoder and 3D

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

ViT encoder. For the 3D CNN encoder, a sequence of convolutional layers is employed to extract high-level local radiomic features from volumetric MRI scans of PC. Furthermore, to capture global information from these features, 3D ViT is employed.

### <span id="page-10-0"></span>**Preprocessing**

To accurately predict the response of PC to hormonal therapy, the input data is subjected to preprocessing to ensure its seamless integration into our network. Te input data comprises T2 and DW MRIs. Initially, these images are resampled to an isotropic form followed by a data cleaning step to generate volumes with a uniform resolution of  $1 \times 50 \times 224 \times 224$  for each T2 and DW MRI image. For the DW-MRI images, we consider the b values of 500, 1400, and the baseline b0, which are concatenated prior to being input into the 3D CNN encoder, resulting in  $3 \times 50 \times 224 \times 224$ . Examples of DW-MRI and T2-MRI can be found in Fig. [5](#page-10-1).

## **CNNFormer**

Te inherent limitation of convolutional flters lies in their inability to capture global information within images, as they primarily focus on local features. While local radiomic features are pivotal for understanding how PC responds to hormonal therapy,

<span id="page-10-1"></span>

Fig. 5 Examples of DW-MRI and T2-MRI. The first three columns from the left represent examples of b values (b0, b500, and b1400), as labeled on each column of the DW-MRI. The fnal column illustrates examples of T2-MRI

<span id="page-12-0"></span>developed 3D CNN encoder (see Table [5](#page-11-0) and Fig. [6\)](#page-11-1) serves two crucial functions. Firstly, it encodes MRI scans (specifcally, T2 and DWI) into high-level local radiomic feature representations. is is essential because ViT tends to prioritize capturing broad visual features over fne local details, mainly due to its iterative reduction of image resolution. is characteristic of ViT, wherein image resolution is repeatedly downsampled, leads to a diminished ability to precisely identify and localize specifc details within images.

set of *K* learnable class embeddings  $c = [c_1, ..., c_K] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times K \times C}$ , where *K* corresponds to the number of classes indicating responder and non-responder PC, is processed alongside  $\delta$  by the 3D ViT encoder, which consists of L layers. We denote the concatenation of *c* and  $\delta$  as  $\Delta$ . Each layer comprises a multi-headed self-attention (MSA) block followed by a pointwise MLP block, with Layer Normalization (LN) applied before and residual connections added after each block:

$$
a_{i-1} = \text{MSA}(\text{LN}(\Delta_{i-1})) + \Delta_{i-1},
$$
  

$$
\Delta_i = \text{MLP}(\text{LN}(a_{i-1})) + a_{i-1},
$$

where  $i \in 1, ..., L$ . e self-attention mechanism computes queries  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ , keys  $\mathbf{K} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$ , and values  $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times d}$  via three pointwise linear layers, followed by selfattention calculation:

$$
\text{MSA}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{K}, \mathbf{V}) = \text{softmax}\left(\frac{\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{K}^T}{\sqrt{d}}\right)\mathbf{V}.
$$

Te transformer encoder maps the input sequences to a contextualized encoding sequence containing rich, salient information,  $\Delta_L = [\Delta_{L,1},...,\Delta_{M,N}]$ . Subsequently, this contextualized sequence is then average-pooled and reshaped for classifcation by a classifcation head comprising one LN and a fully connected classifcation layer (see Fig. [4](#page-9-0) and Fig. [7](#page-12-0)).

#### **Acknowledgements**

This study was made possible through the generous support of the American people via the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The authors are solely responsible for the content, which does not necessarily refect the views of USAID or the United States Government.

#### **Author contributions**

I.A. (Ibrahim Abdelhalim) conceptualized the proposed approach, developed the methodology, executed the coding, and wrote the main manuscript text. A.E. (Ayman El-Baz) and F.K. (Fahmi Khalifa) provided supervision and were also involved in manuscript writing and revisions. M.G. (Mohammed Ghazal) assisted in writing the manuscript. M.A.B. (Mohamed Ali Badawy), M.A.E. (Mohamed Abou El-Ghar), S.C. (Sohail Contractor), E.V.B. (Eric VAN Bogaert), D.G. (Dibson Gondim), and S.S. (Scott Silva) gathered and ensured the integrity of the data. All authors reviewed the manuscript before submission.

#### **Funding**

This research is supported by the Science and Technology Development Fund (STDF), Egypt (grant 45889).

#### **Data availability**

No datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

#### **Declarations**

#### **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

All procedures conducted in studies involving human participants adhered to the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committees, as well as the 1964 Helsinki Declaration and its subsequent amendments or equivalent ethical guidelines. Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing fnancial or non-fnancial interests related to this work.

Received: 2 October 2024 Accepted: 11 December 2024 Published online: 23 December 2024

#### **References**

<span id="page-13-0"></span>1. Society AC. Key statistics for prostate cancer. [https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/prostate-cancer/about/key-stati](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/prostate-cancer/about/key-statistics.html) [stics.html.](https://www.cancer.org/cancer/types/prostate-cancer/about/key-statistics.html)

- <span id="page-14-0"></span>2. Tanaka G, Tsumoto K, Tsuji S, Aihara K. Bifurcation analysis on a hybrid systems model of intermittent hormonal therapy for prostate cancer. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena. 2008;237(20):2616–27.
- <span id="page-14-1"></span>3. Yanagisawa T, Rajwa P, Thibault C, Gandaglia G, Mori K, Kawada T, et al. Androgen receptor signaling inhibitors in addition to docetaxel with androgen deprivation therapy for metastatic hormone-sensitive prostate cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Urol. 2022;82:584–98.
- <span id="page-14-2"></span>4. Crawford ED, Rosenblum M, Ziada AM, Lange PH. Overview: hormone refractory prostate cancer. Urology. 1999;54(6):1–7.
- <span id="page-14-3"></span>5. Özhan O, Yağin FH. Machine learning approach for classifcation of prostate cancer based on clinical biomarkers. J Cogn Syst. 2022;7(2):17–20.
- 6. Hassan MR, Islam MF, Uddin MZ, Ghoshal G, Hassan MM, Huda S, et al. Prostate cancer classifcation from ultrasound and MRI images using deep learning based Explainable Artifcial Intelligence. Future Generation Comput Syst. 2022;127:462–72.
- 7. Ordones FV, Kawano PR, Vermeulen L, Hooshyari A, Scholtz D, Gilling PJ, et al. A novel machine learning-based predictive model of clinically signifcant prostate cancer and online risk calculator. Urology. 2024. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2024.11.001) [1016/j.urology.2024.11.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2024.11.001).
- 8. Cheng G, Xu J, Wang H, Chen J, Huang L, Qian ZR, et al. mtPCDI: a machine learning-based prognostic model for prostate cancer recurrence. Fronti Genet. 2024;15:1430565.
- 9. Müller D, Meyer P, Rentschler L, Manz R, Hieber D, Bäcker J, et al. Assessing the performance of deep learning for automated gleason grading in prostate cancer; 2024. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2403.16695.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2403.16695)
- <span id="page-14-4"></span>10. Talaat FM, El-Sappagh S, Alnowaiser K, Hassan E. Improved prostate cancer diagnosis using a modifed ResNet50 based deep learning architecture. BMC Med Inf Decis Making. 2024;24(1):23.
- <span id="page-14-5"></span>11. Collins K, Cheng L. Morphologic spectrum of treatment-related changes in prostate tissue and prostate cancer: an updated review. Human Pathol. 2022;127:56–66.
- <span id="page-14-9"></span>12. Osiecki R, Kozikowski M, Sarecka-Hujar B, Pyzlak M, Dobruch J. Prostate cancer morphologies: cribriform pattern and intraductal carcinoma relations to adverse pathological and clinical outcomes-systematic review and meta-analysis. Cancers. 2023;15(5):1372.
- <span id="page-14-6"></span>13. Saito S, Sakamoto S, Higuchi K, Sato K, Zhao X, Wakai K, et al. Machine-learning predicts time-series prognosis factors in metastatic prostate cancer patients treated with androgen deprivation therapy. Sci Rep. 2023;13(1):6325.
- <span id="page-14-7"></span>14. Nakata W, Mori H, Tsujimura G, Tsujimoto Y, Gotoh T, Tsujihata M. Pilot study of an artifcial intelligence-based deep learning algorithm to predict time to castration-resistant prostate cancer for metastatic hormone-naïve prostate cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2022;52(9):1062–6.
- <span id="page-14-8"></span>15. Chen ZZ, Gu WJ, Zhou BN, Liu W, Gan HL, Zhang Y, et al. Radiomics based on biparametric MRI for the detection of signifcant residual prostate cancer after androgen deprivation therapy: using whole-mount histopathology as reference standard. Asian J Androl. 2023;25(1):86.
- <span id="page-14-10"></span>16. Guerra A, Orton MR, Wang H, Konidari M, Maes K, Papanikolaou NK, et al. Clinical application of machine learning models in patients with prostate cancer before prostatectomy. Cancer Imaging. 2024;24(1):24.
- <span id="page-14-11"></span>17. Spratt DE, Tang S, Sun Y, Huang HC, Chen E, Mohamad O, et al. Artifcial intelligence predictive model for hormone therapy use in prostate cancer. NEJM Evidence. 2023;2(8):EVIDoa2300023.
- <span id="page-14-12"></span>18. Zhang M, Liu Y, Yao J, Wang K, Tu J, Hu Z, et al. Value of machine learning-based transrectal multimodal ultrasound combined with PSA-related indicators in the diagnosis of clinically signifcant prostate cancer. Front Endocrinol. 2023;14:1137322.
- <span id="page-14-13"></span>19. He K, Zhang X, Ren S, Sun J. Deep residual learning for image recognition. In: Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition; 2016. p. 770–8.
- <span id="page-14-14"></span>20. Wang J, Yang X, Li H, Liu L, Wu Z, Jiang YG. E cient video transformers with spatial-temporal token selection. In: European conference on computer vision. Springer; 2022. p. 69–86.
- <span id="page-14-15"></span>21. Li K, Wang Y, Gao P, Song G, Liu Y, Li H, et al. Uniformer: Unified transformer for e cient spatiotemporal representation learning; 2022. arXiv preprint [arXiv:2201.04676.](http://arxiv.org/abs/2201.04676)
- <span id="page-14-16"></span>22. Hao Y, Zhou D, Wang Z, et al. PosMLP-Video: spatial and temporal relative position encoding for e cient video recognition. Int J Comput Vis. 2024;132:5820–40.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11263-024-02154-z>.
- <span id="page-14-17"></span>23. Chen Z, Liu J, Zhu M, Woo PY, Yuan Y. Instance importance-aware graph convolutional network for 3D medical diagnosis. Med Image Anal. 2022;78: 102421.
- <span id="page-14-18"></span>24. Iandola FN, Han S, Moskewicz MW, Ashraf K, Dally WJ, Keutzer K. SqueezeNet: AlexNet-level accuracy with 50x fewer parameters and< 0.5 MB model size; 2016. arXiv preprint [arXiv:1602.07360.](http://arxiv.org/abs/1602.07360)
- <span id="page-14-19"></span>25. Tan M, Le Q. E cientnet: Rethinking model scaling for convolutional neural networks. In: International conference on machine learning. PMLR; 2019. p. 6105–14.
- <span id="page-14-20"></span>26. Liu Z, Mao H, Wu CY, Feichtenhofer C, Darrell T, Xie S. A convnet for the 2020s. In: Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF conference on computer vision and pattern recognition; 2022. p. 11976–86.

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional a liations.