RESEARCH Open Access

Check for updates

Occlusal features of 5-year-old Greek children: a cross-sectional national study

Sotiria Davidopoulou^{1*}, Konstantinos Arapostathis², Elias D. Berdouses³, Katerina Kavvadia⁴ and Constantine Oulis⁵

Abstract

Background: Occlusal characteristics of the primary dentition are crucial in predicting and determining permanent tooth alignment and occlusion. The aim of our study was to determine the occlusal characteristics of the primary dentition of 5-year-old children in Greece through a national pathfinder survey.

Methods: A stratified cluster sample of 1222 5-year-old children was selected according to the WHO guidelines for national pathfinder surveys. Five occlusal traits were registered clinically in centric occlusion, separately for the left and right sides: sagittal relationships of the second primary molars and primary canines, overjet, overbite, crossbite, and maxillary and mandibular spacing.

Results: Most children showed a flush terminal plane of primary second molars (44.8%), a class I primary canine relationship (52.2%) and normal overjet (46.4%), but a high prevalence of Class II canine relationship (25.6%) and overjet (37.8%) were also observed. A normal overbite was found in 40% of the children and 40% had a deep overbite. Spacing was apparent in both maxilla (71.1% of children) and mandible (56.4%). The prevalence of open bite and distal step molar relationship significantly rose in children with non-nutritive sucking habits.

Conclusions: Non-nutritive habits were associated to altered occlusal features. No sex significant differences were found in either the sagittal relationships of second primary molars and primary canines, or overjet, overbite, crossbite and spacing.

Keywords: Occlusion, Primary dentition, Non-nutritive oral habits

Background

During the last decades, there has been a growing interest in the occlusion of primary dentition and an increased awareness of its role in the determination of permanent tooth alignment and occlusion. The key occlusal characteristics in primary dentition are space discrepancies, overbite, overjet, transverse (posterior crossbite) and sagittal (molar and canine relationship) deviations in the posterior region [1]. The presence of diastemas in

the anterior region, a normal bite and overjet, a straight or mesial step molar relationship and a class I canine relationship are predictors of favorable development of the permanent occlusion [2, 3]. Nevertheless, most epidemiological studies of occlusion features are devoted on the permanent dentition and the few studies on primary occlusion reveal that the most common orthodontic problems among preschool children are anterior crossbite, excessive overjet, Class II malocclusion and posterior crossbite [4–7]. Such investigations, that study malocclusion and its variations in ethnic groups or local populations are of particular importance especially for the health delivery systems, to plan effective and sustainable preventive interventions and orthodontic services,

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

^{*}Correspondence: evimeria@yahoo.com; sdavidop@dent.auth.gr

¹ Department of Operative Dentistry, Dental School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece

targeted to the needs and requirements of the specific population.

The use of pacifiers and the digit or thumb sucking are common comforting behaviors, especially in young children. They are commonly referred as 'non-nutritive sucking habits' (NNSHs). In most children, the comforting habit stops as the child gets older, either under his own impetus or with parental or carers' support [8]. The influence of non-nutritive sucking habits on the development of dental malocclusion is undoubtable [9, 10]. In general, they are associated with a higher prevalence of malocclusion in the primary dentition, that may include a Class II canine and molar relationship, anterior open bite and posterior crossbite, and increased overjet [11].

In Greece, national oral health pathfinder surveys have been conducted in 2004 and 2014, but only in the 2014 survey data regarding occlusal characteristics and non-nutritive sucking habits in preschool children were collected. These findings are presented in the present study regarding primary dentition occlusal features in 5-year-old children in relation to non-nutritive sucking habits and other parameters as sex and parental education.

Methods

The aim of our study was to determine the occlusal characteristics of the primary dentition of 5-year-old children in Greece through a national pathfinder survey. A stratified cluster sample of 1222 5-year-old children was selected according to the World Health Organization guidelines for national pathfinder surveys [12]. The survey was performed in 24 sites, 15 urban and 9 rural, during the academic year 2013-2014. The sites were geographically distributed, in 6 mainland counties and 3 islands, in the Aegean and the Ionian Sea. Also, based on the same sites, the socio-economic backgrounds were achieved by sampling one urban and one rural community from the counties and islands and six sites from the two metropolitan areas. In each site two schools were randomly selected and at least 50 children (25 boys and 25 girls) were examined. Written informed consent was obtained from legal guardian(s) or from parents for each child to participate. All methods were carried out in accordance with relevant guidelines and regulations of the Declaration of Helsinki. An approval of the Ethics and Research Committee of the Dental School of the University of Athens (#201 and 202/21-03-2013) and a permission from the Ministry of Education of Greece for keeping sensitive personal data (#1131/10-10-2012) were obtained before the clinical examinations.

Prior to the survey, a meeting was organised in the Athens Dental School to train and calibrate 10 examiners. The procedure included a theoretical session with practical exercises (clinical images and extracted carious

teeth), and a clinical session with oral examination. During the calibration process, the reference examiner plus each of the 10 trainees examined 20 children 3 times and the monitoring of calibration performance was possible in real time in order to achieve an acceptable level of > 85% in inter- and intra-examiner reliability, estimated by the weighted Cohen's Kappa test [13]. Oral examinations were held in the classrooms of the selected schools, using artificial light using dental mirrors and the WHO periodontal probe.

Five occlusal traits were registered clinically in centric occlusion, separately for the left and right sides: (a) sagittal relationships of the second primary molars and primary canines, (b) overjet, (c) overbite, (d) crossbite, and (e) maxillary and mandibular spacing.

- (a) The sagittal relationships of the second primary molars and primary canines were assessed in centric occlusion using Foster and Hamilton criteria [14].
- Primary second molar relationship: the relationship of the maxillary and mandibular second primary molars in the vertical plane. Flush terminal plane: the distal surfaces of upper and lower primary second molars are in one line with each other when the primary teeth are in occlusion. Distal step: the distal surface of lower primary second molar is distal to the distal surface of the primary upper second molar in occlusion. Mesial step: the distal surface of lower primary second molar is mesial to the distal surface of the upper primary second molar in occlusion. Unspecified: not possible to be recorded e.g. due to tooth loss.
- Primary canine relationship: Class I: the cusp tip
 of the upper primary canine is in the same vertical plane as the distal surface of the lower primary
 canine. Class II: the cusp tip of the upper primary
 canine tooth is mesial to the distal surface of the
 lower primary canine. Class III: the cusp tip of the
 upper primary canine is distal to the distal surface of
 the lower primary canine. Unspecified: not possible
 to be recorded e.g. due to tooth loss.
- (b) Overjet (mm): measured from the mid-point of the labial surface of the most anterior lower central incisor to the mid-point of the labial surface of the most anterior upper central incisor, parallel to the occlusal plane. It was categorized as: reverse, normal (0–2 mm), increased (>2 mm), zero when upper and lower incisal edges met edge to edge and unspecified.

- (c) Overbite (mm): the vertical distance between the incisal edges of the upper and lower central incisors. It was categorized as: open bite, normal (0–2 mm), increased (>2 mm), zero when upper and lower incisal edges met edge to edge and unspecified.
- (d) Crossbite:
- Anterior crossbite. When one or more upper incisor teeth were palatal to the lower incisor teeth at maximum intercuspation.
- Posterior crossbite. When one or more lower posterior teeth (primary canines or primary molars) in any quadrant distal to the lateral incisor were placed buccal to the upper posterior teeth at maximum intercuspation. Posterior crossbite was recorded as unilateral, bilateral or none.
- (e) Maxillary and mandibular spacing. Spaces between adjacent teeth in maxillary and mandibular arches were validated and each arch was estimated as with spaces and without spaces. Primary spaces, between maxillary lateral primary incisors and primary canines and mandibular primary canines and first primary molars, and secondary spaces were recorded.

Further data was collected through a structured questionnaire that was sent to children's parents and was returned together with the signed consent form. The questionnaire provided information about the education level of both parents, and details about the presence or absence of oral habits and bottle-feeding practices. Regarding oral habits the following data were collected:

- Use of pacifier (yes-no) and frequency (during day-time, at sleep or both).
- Sucking of digit or thumb (yes-no) and frequency (during daytime, at sleep or both).

Statistical analysis

Data were summarized as frequencies. Categorical variables were compared by Chi-square (χ^2) test. All analyses were performed with SPSS v 20.0.0 statistical package. The significance level of all statistical tests was predetermined at p = 0.05.

Table 1 Demographic characteristics of the sample of 5-year-old children (sex, urban/rural residence area, parental education)

| | N (%) |
|---|-------------|
| Sex $(n = 1222)$ | |
| Male | 595 (48.7%) |
| Female | 627 (51.3%) |
| Area of residence ($n = 1222$) | |
| Urban | 759 (62.1%) |
| Rural | 463 (37.9%) |
| Father educational level ($n = 1222$) | |
| Up to lower secondary | 193 (15.8%) |
| Upper secondary/non-university tertiary | 738 (60.4%) |
| University | 272 (22.3%) |
| Not known | 19 (1.6%) |
| Mother educational level ($n = 1222$) | |
| Up to lower secondary | 94 (7.7%) |
| Upper secondary/non-university tertiary | 774 (63.3%) |
| University | 342 (28%) |
| Not known | 12 (1%) |

Results

In this cross-sectional study, occlusal features recorded at totally 1222 children (595 boys and 627 girls) of 5-years of age. Table 1 presents sample characteristics concerning sex, urban or rural area of residence and parental education. The distribution of different sagittal relationship of molars and canines, overjet, overbite, crossbite and spacing is presented in Table 2. The majority of children showed a flush terminal plane of primary second molars (44.8%), and a class I primary canine relationship (52.2%). Regarding overjet, most of the children (46.4%) had normal (0-2 mm) followed by children (37.8%) with increased (>2 mm) overjet. Regarding overbite, 40.2% of the children demonstrated a normal overbite while the prevalence for increased overbite was almost similar (40.1%). Unilateral posterior cross bite was found in 8.8% of the children while anterior only in 4.8%. Spacing was apparent in both maxilla (71.1% of children) and mandible (56.4%). None of the occlusal features examined was related to sex. Moreover, no relation was observed between occlusal characteristics and area of residence, paternal, and maternal educational level.

The presence of sucking of digit or thumb was observed in 8.4% of children while the use of a pacifier in 3.5%. The results presented in Table 3 regarding non-nutritive sucking in relation to sex and parental education, indicate that although there was no significant relationship between sucking of digit or thumb and parental education, the use of pacifier was significantly correlated to both paternal (Chi-square = 8.147, p = 0.019) and maternal educational level (Chi-square = 6.584, p = 0.035). No correlation was

Table 2 Prevalence of occlusal features in the 5 years old sample

| Occlusal features | | N (%) | Sex | |
|---|----------------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| | | | Male | Female |
| Right primary second molar relationship | Flush terminal plane | 628 (51.4) | 297 (47.3) | 331 (52.7) |
| n = 1222 | Distal step | 298 (24.4) | 144 (48.3) | 154 (51.7) |
| | Mesial step | 285 (23.3) | 149 (52.3) | 136 (47.7) |
| | Unspecified | 11 (0.9) | 5 (45.5) | 6 (54.5) |
| Left primary second molar relationship | Flush terminal plane | 664 (54,4) | 320 (48.1) | 344 (51.8) |
| n = 1220 | Distal step | 268 (22.0) | 122 (45.5) | 146 (54.5) |
| | Mesial step | 272 (22.3) | 143 (52.6) | 129 (47.4) |
| | Unspecified | 16 (1.3) | 8 (50.0) | 8 (50) |
| Right primary canine relationship | Class I | 732 (60.0) | 358 (48.9) | 374 (51.1 |
| n = 1220 | Class II | 429 (35.2) | 207 (48.3 | 222 (51.7 |
| | Class III | 49 (4.0) | 26 (53.0) | 23 (47.0) |
| | Unspecified | 10 (0.8) | 3 (30.0) | 7 (70.0) |
| Left primary canine relationship | Class I | 771 (63.2) | 368 (47.7) | 403 (52.3) |
| n = 1219 | Class II | 396 (32.5) | 196 (49.5) | 200 (50.5) |
| | Class III | 43 (3.5) | 26 (60.4) | 17 (39.6) |
| | Unspecified | 9 (0.7) | 3 (33.3) | 6 (66.7) |
| Overjet | Reverse | 20 (1.6) | 10 (50.0) | 10 (50.0) |
| n = 1221 | Normal (0–2 mm) | 566 (46.4) | 274 (48.4) | 292 (51.6) |
| | Increased (> 2 mm) | 461 (37.8) | 237 (51.4) | 292 (63.3) |
| | Zero | 12 (1.0) | 3 (25.0) | 9 (75.0) |
| | Unspecified | 162 (13.3) | 70 (43.2) | 92 (56.8) |
| Overbite | Open bite | 58 (4.75) | 26 (44.8) | 32 (55.2) |
| n = 1220 | Normal (0–2 mm) | 490 (40.2) | 233 (47.6) | 257 (52.4) |
| | Increased (> 2 mm) | 489 (40.1) | 254 (51.9) | 235 (48.1) |
| | Zero | 23 (1.9) | 10 (43.5) | 13 (56.5) |
| | Unspecified | 160 (13.1) | 71 (44.4) | 89 (55.6) |
| Anterior crossbite | Yes | 59 (4.8) | 31 (52.5) | 28 (47.5) |
| n = 1220 | No | 1161 (95.2) | 563 (48.5) | 598 (51.5) |
| Posterior crossbite | Unilaterally | 107 (8.8) | 51 (47.7) | 56 (52.3) |
| n = 1221 | On both sides | 15 (1.2) | 8 (53.3) | 7 (46.7) |
| | No | 1099 (90.0) | 536 (48.8) | 563 (51.2) |
| Maxillary spacing | No | 352 (28.9) | 168 (47.7) | 184 (52.3) |
| n=1219 | Yes | 867 (71.1) | 427 (49.3) | 440 (50.7) |
| Mandibular spacing | No | 531 (43.6) | 259 (48.8) | 272 (51.2) |
| n=1219 | Yes | 688 (56.4) | 336 (48.8) | 352 (51.2) |

observed to area of residence. According to our data, 23% of children performed the habit during the day, 49% during the night and 28% in both cases, with no statistical relation to sex or parental education. Moreover, 25% of children with the habit of pacifier, practiced it during the daytime, 50% during sleep at night and 25% both daytime and night with no statistical relation to sex or parental education.

Table 4 presents the results regarding bottle feeding practices in relation to sex and parental education. Almost one third of the children continued the usage of

a feeding bottle beyond the age of 3-years-old. No significant correlations to sex or parental education were observed, although children of high educated parents tended to quit earlier the non-nutritive habit.

Table 5 presents data regarding occlusal features in relation to non-nutritive sucking habits. Both sucking of fingers and use of pacifier significantly altered bilateral second primary molar relationship, specifically by increasing bilateral distal step (Chi-square = 12.292, p = 0.056 and Chi-square = 12.734, p = 0.047, respectively). Sucking of fingers significantly increased class

Table 3 Distribution and prevalence of oral habits in relation to sex and parental education

| | (%) N | Sex | | Father educational level | | | Mother educational level | | |
|----------|--------------------------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|------------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| | | Male N (%) | Female N (%) | Up to lower secondary N (%) | Upper secondary/non- University university tertiary N (%) N (%) | University N (%) | Up to lower secondary N (%) | Upper secondary/non- University university tertiary N (%) N (%) | University N (%) |
| Sucking | of finger or thumb | (n = 1200) | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 101 | 55 (54.4) | 46 | 21 | 55 | 23 | 11 | 63 | 25 |
| | (8.4) | | (45.6) | (20.8) | (54.4) | (22.8) | (10.9) | (62.4) | (24.8) |
| 9 | 1099 | 526 | 573 | 164 | 674 | 247 | 77 | 702 | 313 |
| | (91.6) | (47.9) | (52.1) | (14.9) | (61.3) | (22.5) | (7.0) | (63.9) | (28.5) |
| Use of p | Use of pacifier ($n = 1187$) | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 42 | 18 | 24 | 11 | 27 | 3 | 7 | 27 | ∞ |
| | (3.5) | (42.9) | (57.1) | (26.2) | (64.3) | (7.1) | (16.7) | (64.2) | (19.1) |
| 8 | 1145 | 555 | 280 | 171 | 693 | 266 | 79 | 730 | 327 |
| | (96.5) | (48.5) | (51.5) | (14.9) | (60.5) | (23.2) | (6.9) | (63.8) | (28.6) |
| | | | | Chi-square = 8.147 , p = 0.019 | | | Chi-square = 6.584 , p = 0.035 | | |

 Table 4
 Distribution of bottle feeding practices by age in relation to sex, and parental education

| (%) N | | Sex | | Father educati | ional level | | Mothe | Nother educational level | onal level | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--|---|---------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---------------------|
| | | Male N (%) | Female N (%) | Up to lower Upper sec secondary university N (%) | Upper secondary/non- University university tertiary N (%) | University N (%) | Up to lower secondary N (%) | ower lary | Upper secondary/non-university tertiary N (%) | University N (%) |
| Bottle feeding practices (n = 1181) | ices (n = 1 | 181) | | | | | | | | |
| Until 18 months | 423 | 208 | 215 | 62 | 249 | 106 | 31 | 262 | | 127 |
| | (35,8) | (49.2) | (20.8) | (14.7) | (58.9) | (25.1) | (7.3) | (6.19) | | (30.0) |
| Until 3-year-old | 392 | 186 | 206 | 61 | 239 | 06 | 23 | 250 | | 116 |
| | (33.2) | (47.4) | (52.6) | (15.6) | (61.0) | (23.0) | (2.9) | (63.8) | | (29.6) |
| Over 3-year-old | 366 | 175 | 191 | 62 | 228 | 89 | 34 | 244 | | 85 |
| | (31) | (47.8) | (52.1) | (16.9) | (62.3) | (18.6) | (6.3) | (66.7) | | (23.2) |

Table 5 Distribution and Prevalence of occlusal features by oral habits in the 5 years old sample

| Occlusal features | | | Sucking of finger or thumb | | Use of pacifier | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | Total N (%) | Yes N (%) | No N (%) | Yes N (%) | No N (%) |
| Bilateral second primary | Flush terminal plane | 538 (44.8) | 38 (37.6) | 500 (45.5) | 16 (38.1) | 514 (44.9) |
| molar relationship | Distal step | 211 (17.6) | 24 (23.8) | 187 (17.0) | 11 (26.2) | 199 (17.4) |
| (N = 1200) | Mesial step | 222 (18.5) | 13 (12.9) | 209 (19.0) | 5 (11.9) | 216 (18.9) |
| | Flush terminal plane-distal step | 110 (9.2) | 16 (15.8) | 94 (8.6) | 1 (2.4) | 106 (9.3) |
| | Flush terminal plane- mesial step | 75 (6.3) | 8 (7.9) | 67 (6.1) | 7 (16.7) | 69 (6.0) |
| | Distal-mesial step | 25 (2.1) | 1 (1.0) | 24 (2.2) | 1 (2.4) | 23 (2.0) |
| | Unspecified | 19 (1.6) | 1 (1.0) | 18 (1.6) | 1 (2.4) | 18 (1.6) |
| | Total | 1200 (100) | 101 (100) | 1099 (100) | 42 (100) | 1145 (100) |
| | | | Chi-square = 12.292, p = | 0.056 | Chi-square $= 12.73$ | 84, p = 0.047 |
| Bilateral primary canine | Class I | 625 (52.2) | 41 (40.6) | 584 (53.2) | 18 (42.9) | 601 (52.6) |
| relationship | Class II | 307 (25.6) | 38 (37.6) | 269 (24.5) | 16 (38.1) | 289 (25.3) |
| N = 1198 | Class III | 29 (2.4) | 1 (1.0) | 28 (2.6) | 0 (0.0) | 28 (2.4) |
| | Asymmetry | 235 (19.6) | 20 (19.8) | 215 (19.6) | 8 (19.0) | 223 (19.5) |
| | Unspecified | 2 (0.1) | 1 (1.0) | 1 (0.1) | 0 (0.0) | 2 (0.2) |
| | Total | 1198 (100) | 101 (100) | 1097 (100) | 42 (100) | 1143 (100) |
| | | | Chi-square = 14.440, p = | 0.006 | | |
| Overjet | Reverse | 19 (1.5) | 1 (1.0) | 18(1.6) | 0 (0.0) | 19 (1.7) |
| N = 1199 | Normal (0-2 mm) | 558 (46.5) | 27 (26.7) | 531 (48.4) | 14 (33.3) | 537 (46.9) |
| | Increased (> 2 mm) | 454 (37.9) | 57 (56.4) | 397 (36.2) | 20 (46.7) | 430 (37.6) |
| | Zero | 11 (1) | 0 (0.0) | 11 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | 11 (1.0) |
| | Unspecified | 157 (13.1) | 16 (15.8) | 141 (12.8) | 8 (19.0) | 147 (12.8) |
| | Total | 1199 (100) | 101 (100) | 1098 (100) | 42 (100) | 1144 (100) |
| | | | Chi-square = 21.235, p < | 0.001 | | |
| Overbite | Open bite | 55 (4.6) | 13 (12.9) | 42 (3.8) | 8 (19) | 46 (4.0) |
| N = 1198 | Normal | 485 (40.5) | 30(29.7) | 455 (41.5) | 13 (31) | 469 (41.0) |
| | Increased | 481 (40.6) | 42 (41.6) | 439 (40.0) | 15 (35.7) | 460 (40.2) |
| | Zero | 21 (1.8) | 2 (2.0) | 19 (1.7) | 1 (2.4) | 19 (1.7) |
| | Unspecified | 156 (13.0) | 14 (13.9) | 142 (12.0) | 5 (11.9) | 149 (13.0) |
| | Total | 1198 (100) | 101 (100) | 1097 (100) | 42 (100) | 1143 (100) |
| | | | Chi-square = 19.788, p = | 0.001 | Chi-square $= 21.44$ | 17, p < 0.001 |
| Anterior crossbite | Yes | 58 (4.8) | 8 (7.9) | 50 (4.6) | 1 (2.4) | 56 (4.9) |
| N = 1198 | No | 1140 (95.2) | 93 (92.1) | 1047 (95.4) | 41 (97.6) | 1087 (95.1) |
| | Total | 1198 (100) | 101 (100) | 1097 (100) | 42 (100) | 1143 (100) |
| Posterior crossbite | Unilaterally | 106 (8.8) | 10 (9.9) | 96 (8.8) | 5 (11.9) | 98 (8.6) |
| N = 1198 | On both sides | 14 (1.2) | 2 (2.0) | 12 (1.1) | 1 (2.4) | 13 (1.1) |
| | No | 1077 (89.9) | 89 (88.1) | 988 (90.1) | 36 (85.7) | 1031 (90.2) |
| | Total | 1198 (100) | 101 (100) | 1097 (100) | 42 (100) | 1143 (100) |
| Maxillary-mandibular spacing | On both maxilla and mandible | 673 (56.2) | 56 (55.4) | 617 (56.3) | 26 (61.9) | 635 (55.6) |
| N = 1197 | Maxilla yes-mandible no | 177 (14.8) | 16 (15.8) | 161 (14.7) | 9 (21.4) | 169 (14.8) |
| | No | 347 (29.0) | 29 (28.7) | 318 (29.0) | 7 (16.7) | 338 (29.6) |
| | Total | 1197 (100) | 101 (100) | 1096 (100) | 42 (100) | 1142 (100) |
| | | | | | Chi-square = 15.58 | 37, p=0.049 |

II bilateral canine relationship (Chi-square = 14.440, p=0.006), overjet (Chi-square = 21.235, p<0.001), and open bite (Chi-square = 19.788, p=0.001). The use of pacifier significantly altered overbite by increasing open bite (Chi-square = 21.447, p<0.001) and increased the maxillary and mandibular spacing (Chi-square = 15.587, p=0.049). Our results did not reveal any correlations of occlusal features to bottle feeding practices.

Discussion

This is the first study providing epidemiologic data on occlusal characteristics of preschool children in Greece. Thus, it is useful in understanding normal occlusion and various types of malocclusion of the primary dentition of Greek children. Moreover, results on occlusion combined with the results on children's non-nutritive oral habits, may support the development of effective primary and secondary prevention programs to achieve the goal of occlusal harmony and function in the permanent dentition.

Our results presented no sex differences in either the sagittal relationships of second primary molars and primary canines, or overjet, overbite, crossbite and spacing in accordance with previous studies [15-17]. The present study showed that the majority of the children in the sample (44.8%) had a bilateral 'flush terminal plane' molar relationship, an observation consistent with that reported in several other populations [1, 18, 19]. However, much higher percentages have also been reported [5]. In our study population, bilateral mesial and distal step molar relationship present almost equal percentages in accordance with previous studies [4], while in other populations mesial step is reported in much higher percentages than distal step [16, 17, 20], and even predominate in several other studies [16, 21]. Such differences between populations may be attributed to ethnic predilection, as has been previously suggested [22, 23].

52% of children in our study, had a bilateral Class I canine relationship while almost 20% presented asymmetry, in accordance with the studies of Bervian at al [1] and Abu Alhaija and Qudeimat [16], in Brazilian and Jordanian schoolchildren respectively. Nevertheless, most previous studies reported higher prevalence of Class I canine relationship at least over 75% [4, 18, 19, 24].

Discussing other occlusal parameters, the prevalence of increased overjet was found to be 37.8% rather higher than what previous studies reported [4, 16, 18, 19]. Moreover, increased overbite has also been detected in high prevalence (40%) in our study population in accordance with the study of Talebi et al. [24], while other studies reported lower prevalence of increased overbite [4, 18]. Both observations may be attributed to different methodology since in our study increased overjet was defined

as over 2 mm while in the other studies over 3 mm. The anterior crossbite prevalence in the present study was found to be 4.8% much higher than previously reported in other study populations [4, 18, 19]. Regarding posterior crossbite, 8.8% of children presented a unilateral posterior crossbite while 1.2% of them on both sides. Results on posterior crossbite in other populations vary from zero to 13% [4, 7, 16, 18, 23].

Spacing in the present study was reported in 71% and 56.4% of the children, for the maxilla and mandible respectively. In more detail, 56.2% of children presented spacing in both arches. Our results coincide with the results of Hegde et al. [19], while other studies reported higher prevalence of spacing [7, 16].

Malocclusion is a developmental condition. In most of the cases it is caused by moderate distortions of normal development and it results through a complex interaction among multiple factors that influence growth and development [25]. Transition to discrepancies from primary to permanent dentition has led to an increased awareness of the role of primary dentition characteristics in the establishment of permanent occlusion [18]. Regarding Greek population, recent published data [26] regarding 12- and 15-years-old children, acquired in the same National Pathfinder survey of 2014, revealed a high prevalence of increased overjet (41.5% and 30.3% for 12- and 15-years old respectively) and overbite (48% and 33.2% for 12- and 15-years old respectively) as well, in accordance with our results for 5-years old children, a finding maybe indicating a prevalent occlusion pattern for Greek children. Another finding is that about 50% of 12-years-old were recorded with a class I Angle classification in Mylopoulou study [26] which is in accordance with our results where over 60% of children demonstrated a flush terminal plane or a bilateral mesial step for second primary molars and also over 50% of them, a class I primary canine relationship which consist favorable characteristics to develop a class I relation in permanent occlusion [2, 3].

Sucking behaviors are considered normal in infants and young children and derive mainly from the physiologic need for nutrients. They include nutritive sucking behaviors, consisting of breast- and bottle-feeding, and non-nutritive sucking, such as pacifier or finger sucking. Although such behaviors are considered normal in infants, the longer duration of the habit is associated to certain consequences on occlusion characteristics [27]. In the primary dentition, pacifier and digit sucking both present varying risks of developing features of malocclusions. In our study the prevalence of finger sucking and use of pacifier was 8.4% and 3.5% respectively, independent of sex, in a relevant agreement with equivalent studies in other populations [27, 28]. Moreover, we observed a correlation between father and mother educational level

and prolonged use of pacifier. There is limited published research regarding who and what influences a mother's decision to give a pacifier to her child but the association between lower mother education level and pacifier use is supported by previous studies [29, 30]. The above arise the need for better comprehension of the etiology of finger sucking and prolonged use of pacifiers through well designed studies. Moreover, educational programs and support for parents are necessary to diminish the side effects of such behaviors. Several studies have reported the association between non-nutritive oral habits and malocclusion, although most of them associated habits to malocclusions on mixed or permanent dentitions. Our results indicated that finger sucking and the use of pacifier significantly increased bilateral distal step molar relationship and open bite, while finger sucking also significantly increased Class II canine relationship and overjet, in accordance with previous studies [8–10, 31].

Conclusions

The present study provides new insight into the occlusal pattern and spacing of primary dentition of Greek schoolchildren. No sex significant differences were found in either the sagittal relationships of second primary molars and primary canines, or overjet, overbite, crossbite and spacing. Although the majority of children demonstrated a flush terminal plane of primary second molars, a class I primary canine relationship and normal overjet, a tendency for higher Class II canine relationship, and increased prevalence of overjet and overbite were also observed in our study population. Spacing was more apparent in upper arch although in lower prevalence than in other study populations. Non-nutritive habits were associated to altered occlusal features.

Abbreviations

NNSHs: Non-nutritive sucking habits; WHO: World Health Organization.

Acknowledgements

The authors are indebted to all those board members and coordinators of the local dental societies who, along with the calibrated examiners contributed to this Pan-Hellenic Pathfinder survey, which was conducted under the auspices of the Hellenic Dental Association and the scientific coordination of Prof. C.J. Oulis, in collaboration with the Dental Schools of Athens and Thessaloniki and funded by a National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) Program.

Authors Contributions

AK, KA and OC have made substantial contributions to the conception, design of the work and the acquisition of data. BE has made substantial contributions to the acquisition of data. DS and KA have made substantial contributions to the analysis and interpretation of data and have drafted the work. All authors substantively revised the manuscript and gave final approval.

Funding

The study was funded by a National Strategic Reference Framework (NSRF) Program (#5402/29-05-2013). The funding body did not have any role in the design of the study, the collection, analysis and interpretations of data or in writing of the manuscript.

Availability of data and materials

Due to privacy, the datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Written informed consent was obtained from legal guardian(s) or from parents. Permission from the Ministry of Education for keeping sensitive personal data (#1131/10-10-2012) and approval of the Ethics and Research committee in Dentistry of the University of Athens (#201 and 202/21-03-2013) were obtained before the clinical examinations.

Consent for publication

No information or images that could lead to identification of a study participant is included in the manuscript. Consent to publication is not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Operative Dentistry, Dental School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece. ²Department of Paediatric Dentistry, Dental School, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece. ³Department of Paediatric Dentistry, European University of Dubai, Dubai, United Arab Emirates. ⁴Department of Pediatric Dentistry, European University, Nicosia, Cyprus. ⁵Department of Paediatric Dentistry, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens, Greece.

Received: 25 January 2022 Accepted: 8 April 2022 Published online: 09 July 2022

References

- Bervian J, Feldens C, Kramer P, Pavinato L. Assessment of occlusal characteristics of the primary dentition: a cross-sectional study in Brazilian preschool children. RFO Passo Fundo. 2016;21(2):224–30.
- Peres KG, Peres MA, Thomson WM, Broadbent J, Hallal PC, Menezes AB.
 Deciduous-dentition malocclusion predicts orthodontic treatment needs
 later: findings from a population-based birth cohort study. Am J Orthod
 Dentofacial Orthop. 2015 Apr;147(4):492–8.
- Shalish M, Gal A, Brin I, Zini A, Ben-Bassat Y. Prevalence of dental features that indicate a need for early orthodontic treatment. Eur J Orthod. 2013;35(4):454–9.
- Farsi NMA, Salama FS. Characteristics of primary dentition occlusion in a group of Saudi children. Int J Paediatr Dent. 1996;6(4):253–9.
- Kaufman A, Koyoumdjisky E. Normal Occlusal Patterns in the Deciduous Dentition in Preschool Children in Israel. J Dent Res. 1967;46(3):478–82.
- Kumar D. Primary Canine and Molar Relationships in Centric Occlusion in 3- to 6-year-old Children: A Cross-sectional Survey. Int J Clin Paediatr Dent. 2019;12(3):201–4.
- Otuyemi OD, Sote EO, Isiekwe MC, Jones SP. Occlusal relationships and spacing or crowding of teeth in the dentitions of 3-4-year-old Nigerian children. Int J Paediatr Dent. 1997;7(3):155–60.
- 8. Ling HTB, Sum FHKMH, Zhang L, Yeung CPW, Li KY, Wong HM, et al. The association between nutritive, non-nutritive sucking habits and primary dental occlusion. BMC Oral Health. 2018;18(1):145.
- Lopes- Lopes-Freire GM, Cárdenas AB, Suarez de Deza JE, Ustrell-Torrent JM, Oliveira LB, Boj Quesada JR Jr. Exploring the association between feeding habits, non-nutritive sucking habits, and malocclusions in the deciduous dentition. Prog Orthod. 2015;16:43.
- Romero CC, Scavone-Junior H, Garib DG, Cotrim-Ferreira FA, Ferreira RI. Breastfeeding and non-nutritive sucking patterns related to the prevalence of anterior open bite in primary dentition. J Appl Oral Sci. 2011;19(2):161–8.
- Warren Warren JJ, Bishara SE. Duration of nutritive and nonnutritive sucking behaviors and their effects on the dental arches in the primary dentition. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2002;121(4):347–56.

- World Health Organization. Oral health surveys: basic methods, 4th ed. 1997 https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/41905
- 13. Pine CM, Pitts NB, Nugent ZJ. British Association for the Study of Community Dentistry (BASCD) guidance on sampling for surveys of child dental health. A BASCD coordinated dental epidemiology programme quality standard. Community Dent Health. 1997;14 Suppl 1:10–7.
- Foster TD and Hamilton MC. Occlusion in the primary dentition. Study of children at 2 and one-half to 3 years of age. Br Dent J 1969;126(2):76–9.
- VegesnaVegesna M, Chandrasekhar R, Chandrappa V. Occlusal Characteristics and Spacing in Primary Dentition: A Gender Comparative Cross-Sectional Study. Int Sch Res Notices. 2014 29;2014:512680.
- Abu Alhaija ESJ, Qudeimat MA. Occlusion and tooth/arch dimensions in the primary dentition of preschool Jordanian children. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2003;13(4):230–9.
- Awad SM, Hegazy SA, Abd Allateif AM. Characteristics of primary dentition occlusion in a group of preschool egyptian children. E. D. J. 2016;62(3):1–8.
- Khan R, Singh N, Govil S, Tandon S. Occlusion and occlusal characteristics of primary dentition in North Indian children of East Lucknow region. Eur Arch Paed Dent. 2014;15(5):293–9.
- Hegde S, Panwar S, Bolar DR, Sanghavi MB. Characteristics of occlusion in primary dentition of preschool children of Udaipur, India. Eur J Dent. 2012;6(1):51–5.
- Nanda RS, Khan I, Anand R. Age Changes in the Occlusal Pattern of Deciduous Dentition. J Dent Res. 1973;52(2):221–4.
- Bishara SE, Hoppens BJ, Jakobsen JR, Kohout FJ. Changes in the molar relationship between the deciduous and permanent dentitions: A longitudinal study. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 1988;93(1):19–28.
- 22. Infante PF. Malocclusion in the deciduous dentition in white, black, and Apache Indian children. Angle Orthod. 1975;45(3):213–8.
- Kerosuo H, Laine T, Nyyssonen V, Honkala E. Occlusal characteristics in groups of Tanzanian and Finnish urban schoolchildren. Angle Orthod. 1991;61(1):49–56.
- Talebi, M., Ajami, B., & Sahebalam, R. Evaluation of the Occlusion and Arch Dimensions in the Primary Dentition of an Iranian Population. JDMT. 2013;2:11–16.
- Proffit, William R., Henry W. Fields, and David M. Sarver. Contemporary Orthodontics. St. Louis, Mo: Elsevier/Mosby, 2013.
- Mylonopoulou I-M, Sifakakis I, Berdouses E, Kavvadia K, Arapostathis K, Oulis CJ. Orthodontic status and orthodontic treatment need of 12- and 15-year-old Greek adolescents: A National Pathfinder Survey. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021;18n (22):11790.
- 27. Bishara SE, Warren JJ, Broffitt B, Levy SM. Changes in the prevalence of nonnutritive sucking patterns in the first 8 years of life. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2006;130(1):31–6.
- 28. Larsson E. The prevalence and aetiology of prolonged dummy and finger-sucking habits. Eur J Orthod. 1985;7(3):172–6.
- Mauch CE, Scott JA, Magarey AM, Daniels LA. Predictors of and reasons for pacifier use in first-time mothers: An observational study. BMC Pediatr. 2012 Jan 19:12:7.
- Nelson EAS, Yu LM, Williams S, Jenik A, Vance J, Walmsley K, et al. International child care practices study: Breastfeeding and pacifier use. J Hum Lact. 2005;21(3):289–95.
- 31. Chen X, Xia B, Ge L. Effects of breast-feeding duration, bottle-feeding duration and non-nutritive sucking habits on the occlusal characteristics of primary dentition. BMC Pediatr. 2015;15:46.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- $\bullet\;$ thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

