

Developing Metrics to Evaluate Digital Archives



Where museums, libraries, and archives intersect

Robin L. Dale
Portico Participants' Meeting
ALA New Orleans
24 June 2006

Past as Prologue...

■ Paper

- Costs associated with collecting, storing, providing access to, preserving journals
- Reduced options
- Increasing economic pressures (paper v. electronic)

■ Digital

- Increasingly, only publisher option, user desire
- Flat budget and economic exigencies
- Costs associated with collecting, storing, providing access to, preserving *e-journals*

So What About an IR?

- Institutional Repositories
 - What “free” software to use?
 - What level of development & support can you afford
 - Now & long-term?
 - Start-up costs & timeframe?
 - What kinds of content can your IR manage?
 - What level of preservation “services” can your IR provide?
 - Will it be sustainable?

How Can We Evaluate the Options?

- Understanding digital archiving options
 - Technological infrastructure, technical approach
 - Sustainability
 - Content capabilities
 - Access issues
 - Cost & long-term economic issues
- Goal: Transparency!

Not about finding the *ONLY* solution.
Key is finding the *best solution(s)* for you!

Developing Metrics for Evaluation

- *Trusted Digital Repositories: Attributes & Responsibilities* (2002)
- RLG-NARA Digital Repository Certification TF
 - *An Audit Checklist for the Certification of Trusted Digital Repositories*, Public Draft (August 2005)
 - Broad-based checklist to support audit of all kinds of digital repositories & archives
- Center for Research Libraries project
 - Long-term access to scholarly resources (e-journals, newspapers, born digital resources)

CRL Auditing & Certification of Digital Archives Project (1 of 3)

- Mellon-funded, began 1 May 2005
- Focuses on digital resources not necessarily owned by community
 - Electronic journals, news, other scholarly content
- Leverages work of RLG-NARA Digital Repository Certification TF
- Developing processes and activities required to audit and certify digital archives.

CRL Project (2 of 3)

- Components

1. Design audit process and documentation of metrics and terminology to be used
2. Model audit process through test audits of 3 digital archives; 1 archiving system
3. Develop the profile and business model for audit & certification

- Target digital archives

- Koninklijke Bibliotheek, Ithaka's Portico, and the Inter-university Consortium of Political and Social Research (ICPSR)
- LOCKSS distributed archiving system

CRL Project (3 of 3)

- Refining & adding criteria
 - Advisory committee
 - “Non-cooperative” audits of Newsbank & Lexis-Nexis
 - Community comments on original RLG-NARA checklist (public draft)
 - Meeting with ARL library directors
 - Incentives & drivers

What are the Questions?

- **Why** should my library invest?
- What is the **content coverage**?
- What type of **access** will we have/receive?
- How **sustainable** is the service/archive?
- What is the **technical approach** and underlying infrastructure?
- Is **preservation planning** built into the service/archive?

Metrics & Audit = Transparency

- CRL project developments
 - Information output desired is far different than completed checklist
 - Tiered report; increasing levels of detail
 - Business model to support objective evaluation, audit
- Frameworks for analysis
- Understanding mission, capabilities, services, & options enable educated discussions, informed decisions

Questions?

Thank you.

Robin.Dale@rlg.org